

Bibliografie

- Abramson, P. R. 1983. *Political Attitudes in America. Formation and Change*. New York: W. H. Freeman & Company.
- Albright, J. J., H. M. Park. 2009. „Confirmatory Factor Analysis Using Amos, LISREL, Mplus, and SAS/STAT CALIS“ [online]. *Working Paper. The University Information Technology Services (UITS). Center for Statistical and Mathematical Computing, Indiana University* [cit. 14. 1. 2012]. Dostupné z: <http://rt.uits.iu.edu/visualization/analytics/docs/cfa-docs/cfa.pdf>.
- Almond, G. A., S. Verba. 1963. *The Civic Culture: Political Attitudes and Democracy in Five Nations*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Anýžová, P. 2013. „Ekvivalence položek v mezinárodních datech: základní vymezení a možnosti analýzy.“ *Data a výzkum – SDA Info* 7 (1): 29–56, <http://dx.doi.org/10.13060/1802-8152.2013.7.1.2>.
- Anýžová, P. 2014. „Srovnatelnost Schwartzovy hodnotové škály v mezinárodních datech.“ *Sociologický časopis/Czech Sociological Review* 50 (4): 547–580, <http://dx.doi.org/10.13060/00380288.2014.50.4.108>.
- Arbuckle, J. L. 1996. „Full Information Estimation in the Presence of Incomplete Data.“ Pp. 243–277 in G. A. Marcoulides, R. E. Schumacker (eds.). *Advanced Structural Equation Modeling: Issues and Techniques*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315827414>.
- Arbuckle, J. L. 2012. *IBM SPSS® Amos™ 21 User's Guide*. Crawfordville, FL: Amos Development Corporation.
- Arts, W., L. Halman. 1999. „New Directions in Quantitative Comparative Sociology – An Introduction.“ *International Journal of Comparative Sociology* 40 (1): 1–12, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/002071529904000101>.
- Ægisdóttir, S., L. H. Gerstein, D. C. Çinarbas. 2008. „Methodological Issues in Cross-Cultural Counseling Research: Equivalence, Bias, and Translations.“ *The Counseling Psychologist* 36 (2): 188–219, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0011000007305384>.
- Balch, G. 1974. „Multiple Indicators in Survey Research: The Concept ‚Sense of Political Efficacy‘.“ *Political Metodology* 1 (1): 1–43.
- Bentler, P. M. 2005. *EQS 6 Structural Equations Program Manual*. Encino, CA: Multivariate Software.
- Bentler, P. M., C.-P. Chou. 1987. „Practical Issues in Structural Modeling.“ *Sociological Methods & Research* 16 (1): 78–117, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0049124187016001004>.
- Berry, J. W., Y. H. Poortinga, J. Pandey (eds.). 1997. *Handbook of Cross-Culture Psychology: Theory and Methods*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Bielby, W. T., R. M. Hauser. 1977. „Structural Equation Models.“ *Annual Review of Sociology* 3 (1): 137–161, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.so.03.080177.001033>.
- Billiet, J. 2003. „Cross-cultural Equivalence with Structural Equation Modeling.“ Pp. 247–263 in J. A. Harkness, F. J. R. Van de Vijver, P. Ph. Mohler (eds.). *Cross-cultural Survey Methods*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.

- Billiet, J., J. Welkenhuysen-Gybels. 2004. „Assessing Cross-national Construct Equivalence in the ESS: The Case of Six Items“ [online]. Příspěvek přednesený na konferenci *International Conference on Social Science Methodology, Edition 6*. Amsterdam, 17.–20. 8. 2004 [cit. 2. 11. 2010]. Dostupné z: <https://lirias.kuleuven.be/bitstream/123456789/84246/1/DA+2004-40.pdf>.
- Bhalla, G., L. Y. S. Lin. 1987. „Cross-Cultural Marketing Research: A Discussion of Equivalence Issues and Measurement Strategies.“ *Psychology & Marketing* 4 (4): 275–285.
- Blasius, J., V. Thiessen. 2001. „Methodological Artifacts in Measures of Political Efficacy and Trust: A Multiple Correspondence Analysis.“ *Political Analysis* 9 (1): 1–20, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.pan.a004862>.
- Blasius, J., V. Thiessen. 2006. „Assessing Data Quality and Construct Comparability in Cross-National Surveys.“ *European Sociological Review* 22 (3): 229–242, <http://dx.doi.org/0.1093/esr/jci054>.
- Blair, J., L. Piccinino. 2005. „The Development and Testing of Instruments for Cross-Culture and Multi-Cultural Surveys.“ Pp. 13–30 in J. H. P. Hoffmeyer-Zlotnic, J. A. Harkness (eds.). *Methodological Aspects in Cross-National Research*. Zuma Nachrichten, Band 11. Mannheim: Zuma.
- Bond, T. G., Ch. M. Fond. 2007. *Applying the Rasch Model. Fundamental Measurement in the Human Science*. 2nd Edition. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781410614575>.
- Borg, I. 1998. „A Facet-Theoretical Approach to Item Equivalency.“ Pp. 145–158 in J. A. Harkness (ed.). *Cross-Cultural Survey Equivalence*. Zuma-Nachrichten Spezial, Band 3. Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Bourdieu, P. 1986. „The Forms of Capital.“ Pp. 241–258 in J. G. Richardson (ed.). *Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education*. New York: Greenwood.
- Braun, M., J. A. Harkness. 2005. „Text and Context: Challenges to Comparability in Survey Questions.“ Pp. 95–109 in J. H. P. Hoffmeyer-Zlotnic, J. A. Harkness (eds.). *Methodological Aspects in Cross-National Research*. ZUMA-Nachrichten, Band 11. Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Braun, M., T. P. Johnson. 2010. „An Illustrative Review of Techniques for Detecting Inequivalences.“ Pp. 375–393 in J. A. Harkness et al. (eds). *Survey Methods in Multinational, Multiregional, and Multicultural Contexts*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470609927.ch20>.
- Braun, M., J. Scott. 1998. „Multidimensional Scaling and Equivalence: Is having a Job the Same as Working?“ Pp. 129–144 in J. A. Harkness (ed.). *Cross-Cultural Survey Equivalence*. Zuma-Nachrichten Spezial, Band 3. Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Brennan, R. L. 2001. *Generalizability theory*. New York: Springer-Verlag, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-3456-0>.
- Brislin, R. W. 1976. „Comparative Research Methodology: Cross-Cultural Studies.“ *International Journal of Psychology* 11 (3): 215–229, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00207597608247359>.
- Burnham, K. P., D. R. Anderson. 2004. „Multimodel Inference: Understanding AIC and BIC in Model Selection.“ *Sociological Methods & Research* 33 (2): 261–304, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0049124104268644>.

- Byrne, B. M. 1988. „The Self Description Questionnaire III: Testing for Equivalent Factorial Validity across Ability.“ *Educational and Psychological Measurement* 48 (2): 397–406, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0013164488482012>.
- Byrne, B. M. 1998. *Structural Equation Modeling with LISREL, PRELIS, and SIMPLIS: Basic Concepts, Applications, and Programming*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9780203774762>.
- Byrne, B. M. 2004. „Testing for Multigroup Invariance Using AMOS Graphics: A Road Less Traveled.“ *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal* 11 (2): 272–300, http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/s15328007sem1102_8.
- Byrne, B. M. 2006. *Structural Equation Modeling with EQS: Basic Concepts, Applications, and Programming. Second edition*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9780203726532>.
- Byrne, B. M. 2008. „Testing for Multigroup Equivalence of a Measuring Instrument: A Walk through the Process.“ *Psicothema* 20 (4): 872–882.
- Byrne, B. M. 2010. *Structural Equation Modeling with AMOS: Basic Concepts, Applications, and Programming. Second Edition*. New York, NY: Taylor & Francis Group, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9780203805534>.
- Byrne, B. M. 2012. *Structural Equation Modeling with Mplus: Basic Concepts, Applications, and Programming*. New York, NY: Taylor and Francis Group, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9780203807644>.
- Byrne, B. M., R. J. Shavelson, B. Muthén. 1989. „Testing for the Equivalence of Factor Covariance and Mean Structures: The Issue of Partial Measurement Invariance.“ *Psychological Bulletin* 105 (3): 456–466, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.105.3.456>.
- Byrne, B. M., D. Watkins. 2003. „The Issue Of Measurement Invariance Revisited.“ *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology* 34 (2): 155–175, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022022102250225>.
- Campbell, A., G. Gurin, W. E. Miller. 1954. *The Voter Decides*. Evanston, IL: Row, Peterson.
- Campbell, D. T., D. W. Fiske. 1959. „Convergent and Discriminant Validation by the Multimethod-Multitrait Matrix.“ *Psychological Bulletin* 56 (2): 833–853, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/h0046016>.
- Cieciuch, J., E. Davidov. 2012. „A Comparison of the Invariance Properties of the PVQ-40 and the PVQ-21 to Measure Human Values across German and Polish Samples.“ *Survey Research Methods* 6 (1): 37–48.
- Citrin, J., H. McClosky, M. J. Shanks, P. M. Sniderman. 1975. „Personal and Political Sources of Political Alientation.“ *British Journal of Political Science* 5 (1): 1–31, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0007123400008024>.
- Coleman, J. S. 1988. „Social Capital in the Creation of Human Capital.“ *American Journal of Sociology* 94 (Supplement): S95–S120, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/228943>.
- Craig, S. C., R. G. Niemi, G. E. Silver. 1990. „Political Efficacy and Trust: A report on the NES Pilot Study Items.“ *Political Behavior* 12 (3): 289–314, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00992337>.
- Davidov, E. 2010. „Testing for Comparability of Human Values across Countries and Time with the Third Round of European Social Survey.“ *International Journal of Comparative Sociology* 51 (3): 171–191, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0020715210363534>.

- Davidov, E. 2012. „Comparability of Measurement Instruments across Countries or Time Points“ [online]. *Presentation in the University of Tartu*, 4. 10. 2012 [cit. 22. 1. 2014]. Dostupné z: http://www.ssi.ut.ee/sites/default/files/ssi/davidov_lecture2.pdf.
- Davidov, E., A. De Beuckelaer. 2010. „How Harmful are Survey Translations? A Test with Schwartz's Human Values Instrument.“ *International Journal of Public Opinion Research* 22 (4): 485–510, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ijpor/edq030>.
- Davidov, E., H. Dülmer, E. Schlüter, P. Schmidt, B. Meuleman. 2012. „Using a Multilevel Structural Equation Modeling Approach to Explain Cross-Cultural Measurement Noninvariance.“ *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology* 43 (4): 558–575, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022022112438397>.
- Davidov, E., P. Schmidt. 2007. „Values and Attitudes towards Immigrants: A Comparison between Germany and Israel.“ *Prezentace, Workshop: Immigration, public opinion and governmental policies*, Pompeu Fabra University, Barcelona, březen 2007.
- Davidson, A. R., J. Jaccard, H. C. Triandis, M. L. Morales, R. Diaz-Guerrero. 1976. „Cross-Cultural Model Testing: toward a Solution of the Etic-Emic Dilemma.“ *International Journal of Psychology* 11 (1): 1–13, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00207597608247343>.
- Devins, G. M., M. Beiser, R. Dion, L. G. Pelletier, R. G. Edwards. 1997. „Cross-Cultural Measurements of Psychological Well-Being: The Psychometric Equivalence of Cantonese, Vietnamese, and Laotian Translations of the Affect Balance Scale.“ *American Journal of Public Health* 87 (5): 794–799, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.87.5.794>.
- Diehl, S., R. Terlutter (eds.). 2006. *International Advertising and Communication. Current Insights and Empirical Findings*. Wiesbaden: GWV, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/3-8350-5702-2>.
- DiStefano, C. 2002. „The Impact of Categorization With Confirmatory Factor Analysis.“ *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal* 9 (3): 327–346, http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/S15328007SEM0903_2.
- Donahue, B. H. 2006. *The effect of Partial Measurement Invariance on Prediction*. (Unpublished PhD Dissertation). Athens: University of Georgia.
- Dorer, B. 2012. *Round 6 Translation Guidelines*. Mannheim: European Social Survey, GESIS.
- Eurobarometer. 2005. *Social Capital* [online]. Special Eurobarometer 223. Wave 62.2 – TNS Opinion & Social [cit. 22. 1. 2014]. Dostupné z: http://ec.europa.eu/public_opinion/archives/ebs/ebs_223_en.pdf.
- European Social Survey. 2010. *ESS Round 5 Translation Guidelines*. Mannheim, European Social Survey GESIS.
- European Social Survey. 2012. *Sampling for the European Social Survey Round VI: Principles and Requirements*. Mannheim: European Social Survey, GESIS.
- Ferragina, E. (ed.). 2012. *Social Capital in Europe: A Comparative Regional Analysis*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Pub, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4337/9781781000229>.
- Flora, D. B., P. J. Curran. 2004. „An Empirical Evaluation of Alternative Methods of Estimation for Confirmatory Factor Analysis With Ordinal Data.“ *Psychological Methods* 9 (4): 466–491, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.9.4.466>.
- Fontaine, J. R. J. 2003. „Multidimensional Scaling.“ Pp. 235–246 in J. A. Harkness, F. J. R. Van de Vijver, P. Ph. Mohler (eds.). *Cross-Cultural Survey Methods*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.

- Gao, S., P. L. Mokhtarian, R. A. Johnston. 2008. „Nonnormality of Data in Structural Equation Models.“ *Journal of the Transportation Research Board* 2082 (1): 116–124, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3141/2082-14>.
- Goerman, P. L., R. A. Caspar. 2010. „Managing the Cognitive Pretesting of Multilingual Survey Instruments: A Case Study of Pretesting of the U. S. Census Bureau Bilingual Spanish/English Questionnaire.“ Pp. 75–90 in J. A. Harkness et al. (eds). *Survey Methods in Multinational, Multiregional, and Multicultural Contexts*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470609927.ch5>.
- Groves, R. M. 2004. *Survey Errors and Survey Costs*. New York: Wiley, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/0471725277>.
- Halman, L., R. Luijkx. 2006. „Social Capital in Contemporary Europe: Evidence from the European Social Survey.“ *Portuguese Journal of Social Science* 5 (1): 65–90, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1386/pjss.5.1.65/1>.
- Halperin, E., R. Sulitzeanu-Kenan. 2010. „Making a Difference: Political Efficacy and Policy Preferences Polarization“ [online]. *Working Paper for Social Science Research Network (SSRN)* [cit. 20. 1. 2013]. Dostupné z: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1719564> nebo <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1719564>.
- Harris, M. 1976. „History and Significance of the Emic/Etic Distinction.“ *Annual Review of Anthropology* 5 (1): 329–350, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.an.05.100176.001553>.
- Harkness, J. A. 2003. „Questionnaire Translation.“ Pp. 35–56 in J. A. Harkness, F. J. R. Van de Vijver, P. Ph. Mohler (eds.). *Cross-Cultural Survey Methods*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Harkness, J. A. (ed.). 1998. *Cross-Cultural Survey Equivalence*. Zuma-Nachrichten Spezial, Band 3. Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Harkness, J. A., M. Braun, B. Edwards, T. P. Johnson, L. Lyberg, P. Ph Mohler, B.-E. Pennell, T. W. Smith (eds.). 2010. *Survey Methods in Multinational, Multiregional, and Multicultural Contexts*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470609927>.
- Harkness, J. A., P. Ph. Mohler, F. J. R. Van de Vijver. 2003. „Comparative Research.“ Pp. 3–16 in J. A. Harkness, F. J. R. Van de Vijver, P. Ph. Mohler (eds.). *Cross-Cultural Survey Methods*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Harkness J. A., A. Schoua-Glusberg. 1998. „Questionnaires in Translation.“ Pp. 87–126 in J. A. Harkness (ed.). *Cross-Cultural Survey Equivalence*. Zuma-Nachrichten Spezial, Band 3. Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Harkness, J. A., A. Viilar, B. Edwards. 2010. „Translation, Adaptation, and Design.“ Pp. 117–140 in J. A. Harkness et al. (eds). *Survey Methods in Multinational, Multiregional, and Multicultural Contexts*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470609927.ch7>.
- Harkness, J. A., F. J. R. Van de Vijver, P. Ph. Mohler (eds.). 2003. *Cross-Cultural Survey Methods*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Hayes, B. C., C. S. Bean. 1993. „Political Efficacy: A Comparative Study of the United States, West Germany, Great Britain and Australia.“ *European Journal of Political Research* 23 (3): 261–280, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.1993.tb00359.x>.
- Hendl, J. 2006. *Přehled statistických metod zpracování dat. Analýza a metaanalýza dat*. Praha: Portál.

- Herkenrath, M. 2002. „Quantitative Cross-National Analysis as a Research Tool in the Sociology of Developing Countries: A Critical Examination.“ *Current Sociology* 50 (4): 517–530, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0011392102050004003>.
- Hoffmeyer-Zlotnic, J. H. P., J. A. Harkness (eds.). 2005. *Methodological Aspects in Cross-National Research*. ZUMA-Nachrichten, Band 11. Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Horn, J. L., J. J. McArdle. 1992. „A Practical and Theoretical Guide to Measurement Invariance in Aging Research.“ *Experimental Aging Research* 18 (3): 117–144, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03610739208253916>.
- Hox, J. J., T. M. Bechger. 1998. „An Introduction to Structural Equation Modeling.“ *Family Science Review* 11: 354–373.
- Hox, J. J., E. D. de Leeuw, M. J. S. Brinkhuis. 2010. „Analysis Models for Comparative Surveys.“ Pp. 395–418 in J. A. Harkness et al. (eds). *Survey Methods in Multinational, Multiregional, and Multicultural Contexts*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470609927.ch21>.
- Hsueh, K.-H., L. R. Phillips, W. Y. Cheng, S. J. Fulton Picot. 2005. „Assessing Cross-Cultural Equivalence Through Confirmatory Factor Analysis.“ *Western Journal of Nursing Research* 27 (6): 755–771, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0193945905276585>.
- Hui, C. H., H. C. Triandis. 1985. „Measurement in Cross-Cultural psychology: A Review and Comparison of Strategies.“ *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology* 16 (2): 131–152, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022002185016002001>.
- Chan, D. 2000. „Detection of Differential Item Functioning on the Kirton Adaptation-Innovation Inventory Using Multiple-Group Mean and Covariance Structure Analyses.“ *Multivariate Behavioral Research* 35 (2): 169–199, http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr3502_2.
- Cheung, G. W., R. B. Rensvold. 1999. „Testing Factorial Invariance across Groups: A Reconceptualization and Proposed New Method.“ *Journal of Management* 25 (1): 1–27, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/014920639902500101>.
- Cheung, G. W., R. B. Rensvold. 2002. „Evaluating Goodness-of-fit Indexes for Testing Measurement Invariance.“ *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal* 9 (2): 233–255, http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/S15328007SEM0902_5.
- Christiansen, B., H. Hyman, R. Rommetveit. 1951. *Cross-National Social Research*. Oslo: Institut for Social Research.
- Jelínek, M., P. Květon, D. Vobořil. 2011. *Testování v psychologii: Teorie odpovědi na položku a počítačové adaptivní chování*. Praha: Grada Publishing.
- Jeřábek, H., P. Soukup (eds.) 2008. *Advanced Lazarsfeldian Methodology*. Praha: Karolinum.
- Johnson, T. P. 1998. „Approaches to Equivalence in Cross-Cultural and Cross-National Survey Research.“ Pp. 1–40 in J. A. Harkness (ed.). *Cross-Cultural Survey Equivalence*. ZUMA-Nachrichten Special, Band 3. Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Johnson, T. P., F. J. R. Van de Vijver. 2003. „Social Desirability in Cross-Cultural Research.“ Pp. 195–204 in J. A. Harkness, F. J. R. Van de Vijver, P. Ph. Mohler. *Cross-Cultural Survey Methods*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Jöreskog, K. G. 1971. „Simultaneous Factor Analysis in Several Populations.“ *Psychometrika* 36 (4): 409–426, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02291366>.

- Jöreskog, K. G. 1990. „New Developments in LISREL: Analysis of Ordinal Variables Using Polychoric Correlations and Weighted Least Squares.“ *Quality and Quantity* 24 (4): 387–404, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00152012>.
- Jöreskog, K. G. 2002. *Structural Equation Modeling with Ordinal Variables Using LISREL* [online]. [cit. 14. 1. 2012]. Dostupné z: www.ssicentral.com/lisrel/techdocs/ordinal.pdf.
- Jöreskog, K. G., D. Sörbom. 1986. *PRELIS. A Preprocessor to Lisrel. First Edition*. Chicago: SPSS Inc.
- Jöreskog, K. G., D. Sörbom. 1993. *LISREL 8: Structural Equation Modeling with the SIMPLIS Command Language*. Chicago: Scientific Software International.
- Jöreskog, K. G., D. Sörbom. 1996. *LISREL 8: User's reference guide*. Chicago: Scientific Software International.
- Jowell, R.. 1998. „How Comparative Is Comparative Research?“ [online]. Centre For Research Into Elections And Social Trends CREST. Paper No 66. Maison Francaise, Oxford: 26.–27. 6. 1997 [cit. 27. 10. 2009]. Dostupné z: <http://www.crest.ox.ac.uk/papers.htm>.
- Jowell, R., R. C. Fitzgerald, G. Eva (eds.). 2007. *Measuring Attitudes Cross-Nationally*. London: Sage Publications, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781849209458>.
- Kankaras, M., G. Moors. 2009. „Measurement Equivalence in Solidarity Attitudes in Europe: Insights from a Multi-Group Latent-Class Factor Approach.“ *International Sociology* 24 (4): 557–579, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0268580909334502>.
- Karp, J. A., S. A. Banducci. 2008. „Political Efficacy and Participation in Twenty-Seven Democracies: How Electoral Systems Shape Political Behaviour.“ *British Journal of Political Science* 38 (2): 311–334, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/s0007123408000161>.
- Kline, R. B. 1998. *Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling*. New York: Guilford.
- Kohn, M. L. (ed.). 1989. *Cross-National Research in Sociology*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Kostelecký, T., D. Čermák. 2003. „Výběrová šetření a analýza agregátních dat – diskuze na téma použitelnosti různých přístupů v komparativních analýzách politického chování.“ *Sociologický časopis/Czech Sociological Review* 39 (4): 529–550.
- Kreidl, M. 2004. „Metody měření reliability a validity“ [online]. *Socioweb* 2004/2: 6–8. [cit. 14. 12. 2012]. Dostupné z: www.socioweb.cz/index.php?disp=teorie&shw=153&lst=106.
- Krejčí, J.. 2006. „Mezinárodní sociálněvědní komparativní výzkum a Česká republika: Přehled výzkumů a dostupných dat.“ *Sociologický časopis / Czech Sociological Review* 42 (1): 149–174.
- Krejčí, J. 2008. *Kvalita sociálněvědních výběrových šetření v České republice*. Praha: Sociologické nakladatelství SLON.
- Kubátová, H. a kol. 2015. *Mezigenerační proměny způsobu života na Hlučínsku*. Praha: Sociologické nakladatelství SLON.
- Lewis, M. P., G. F. Simons, Ch. D. Fennig (eds.). 2013. *Ethnologue: Languages of the World. Seventeenth edition* [online]. Dallas, Texas: SIL International. [cit. 30. 8. 2013]. Dostupné z: <http://www.ethnologue.com>.
- Lievens, F., F. Anseel, M. H. Harris, J. Eisenberg. 2007. „Measurement Invariance of the Pay Satisfaction Questionnaire Across Three Countries.“ *Educational and Psychological Measurement* 67 (6): 1042–1051, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0013164406299127>.

- Lievesley, D. 2001. „Making a Difference: A Role for the Responsible International Statistician?“ *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society* 50 (4): 367–406, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1467-9884.00285>.
- Linek, L. 2010. *Zrazení snu? Struktura a dynamika postojů k politickému režimu a jeho institucím a jejich důsledky*. Praha: Sociologické nakladatelství SLON.
- Linek, L. 2011. „Institucionální odcizení a individuální odcizení od politiky.“ *Data a výzkum – SDA Info* 5 (1): 7–34.
- Little, R. J. A., D. B. Rubin. 2014. *Statistical analysis with Missing Data. Second Edition*. New York: Wiley, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781119013563>.
- Lonner, W. J. 1985. „Issues in Testing Assessment in Cross-Cultural Counseling.“ *The Counseling Psychologist* 13: 599–614, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0011000085134004>.
- Loury, G. C. 1977. „A Dynamic Theory of Racial Income Differences.“ Pp. 153–186 in P. A. Wallace, A. M. LaMond (eds.). *Women, Minorities, and Employment Discrimination*. Lexington, MA: Heath.
- Madden, Ch. 2004. „Making Cross-Country Comparisons of Culture Statistics: Problems and Solutions (International Comparisons of Culture Sectors: An Exploratory Investigation)“ [online]. IFACCA, Australian Council for the Arts. Sydney [cit. 4. 10. 2009]. Dostupné z: www.australiacouncil.gov.au/.
- Madsen, D. 1978. „A Structural Approach to the Explanation of Political Efficacy Levels Under Democratic Regimes.“ *American Journal of Political Science* 22 (4): 867–883, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2110595>.
- Marcoulides, G. A., R. E. Schumacker (eds.). 1996. *Advanced Structural Equation Modeling: Issues and Techniques*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315827414>.
- Marsh, R. M. 1967. *Comparative Sociology. A Codification of Cross-Societal Analysis*. New York: Harcourt, Brace & World, Inc.
- Matějů, P. 1989. „Metoda strukturního modelování: Přehled základních problémů.“ *Sociologický časopis / Czech Sociological Review* 25 (4): 399–418.
- Matějů, P. 1993. „Determinanty ekonomického úspěchu v první fázi postkomunistické transformace. Česká republika 1989–1992.“ *Sociologický časopis / Czech Sociological Review* 29 (3): 341–366.
- Matějů, P. 2002. „Social Capital. Problems of Its Conceptualization and Measurement in Transforming Societies“ [online]. *Conference Paper 6. Social Capital: The Challenge of International Measurement*. An international conference convened by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development and the United Kingdom Office for National Statistics. London, UK, 25.–27. 9. 2002 [cit. 22. 1. 2009]. Dostupné z: http://www.stratif.cz/attachments/doc97/Soc_cap_mateju_london.pdf.
- Matějů, P., A. Vitásková. 2006. „Trust and Mutually Beneficial Exchanges: Measuring Social Capital for Comparative Analyses.“ *Sociologický časopis / Czech Sociological Review* 42 (3): 493–516.
- May, H. 2006. „A Multilevel Bayesian Item Response Theory Method for Scaling Socio-economic Status in International Studies of Education.“ *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics* 31 (1): 63–79, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3102/10769986031001063>.
- Meade, A. W., E. C. Johnson, Ph. W. Braddy. 2006. „The Utility of Alternative Fit Indices in Tests of Measurement Invariance“ [online]. *Paper presented at the Antal Academy of*

- Management Conference*. Atlanta, GA [cit. 20. 2. 2013]. Dostupné z: <http://www4.ncsu.edu/~awmeade/Links/Papers/AFIsMI%28AoM06%29.pdf>.
- Meade, A. W., G. J. Lautenschlager. 2004. „Comparison of Item Response Theory and Confirmatory Factor Analytic Methodologies for Establishing Measurement Equivalence/Invariance.“ *Organizational Research Methods* 7 (4): 361–388, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1094428104268027>.
- Meredith, W. 1993. „Measurement Invariance, Factor Analysis and Factorial Invariance.“ *Psychometrika* 58 (4): 525–543, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02294825>.
- Meuleman, B., E. Davidov, J. Billiet. 2009. „Changing Attitudes toward Immigration in Europe, 2002–2007: A Dynamic Group Conflict Theory Approach.“ *Social Science Research* 38 (2): 352–365, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2008.09.006>.
- Meulemann, H. (ed.). 2008. *Social Capital in Europe: Similarity of Countries and Diversity of People? Multi-Level Analyses of the European Social Survey 2002*. Leiden/Boston: Brill, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/ej.9789004163621.i-328>.
- Milfont, T. L., R. Fisher. 2010. „Testing Measurement Invariance across Group: Applications in Cross-Cultural Research.“ *International Journal of Psychological Research* 3 (1): 111–121.
- Mîndrilă, D. 2010. „Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Diagonally Weighted Least Squares (DWLS) Estimation Procedures: A Comparison of Estimation Bias with Ordinal and Multivariate Non-Normal Data.“ *International Journal of Digital Society* 1 (1): 60–66.
- Mohler, P. Ph., T. P. Johnson. 2010. „Equivalence, Comparability, and Methodological Progress.“ Pp. 17–29 in J. A. Harkness et al. (eds). *Survey Methods in Multinational, Multiregional, and Multicultural Contexts*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470609927.ch2>.
- Montero, J. R., R. Gunther, M. Torcal. 1997. „Democracy in Spain: Legitimacy, Discontent, and Disaffection.“ *Studies in Comparative International Development* 32 (3): 124–160, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf02687334>.
- Možný, I. 1991. *Proč tak snadno ... Některé důvody sametové revoluce*. Praha: Sociologické nakladatelství SLON.
- Mullen, M. R. 1995. „Diagnostic Measurement Equivalence in Cross-National Research.“ *Journal of International Business Studies* 26 (3): 573–596, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8490187>.
- Mushquash, Ch., B. P. O'Connor. 2006. „SPSS and SAS Programs for Generalizability Theory Analyses.“ *Behavior Research Methods* 38 (3): 542–547, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3758/bf03192810>.
- Muthén, B., A. Christoffersson. 1981. „Simultaneous Factor Analysis of Dichotomous Variables in Several Groups.“ *Psychometrika* 46 (4): 407–419, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf02293798>.
- Muthén, B., D. Kaplan. 1985. „A Comparison of Some Methodologies for the Factor Analysis of Non-Normal Likert Variables.“ *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology* 38 (2): 171–189, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8317.1985.tb00832.x>.
- Olsson, U. 1979. „Maximum Likelihood Estimation of the Polychord Correlation Coefficient.“ *Psychometrika* 44: 443–460, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf02296207>.

- Øyen, E. (ed.). 1990. *Comparative Methodology: Theory and Practice in International Social Research*. London: Sage.
- Pan, Y., A. Landreth, H. Park, M. Hinsdale-Shouse, A. Schoua-Glusberg. 2010. „Cognitive Interviewing in Non-English languages: A Cross-Cultural Perspective.“ Pp. 91–113 in J. A. Harkness et al. (eds). *Survey Methods in Multinational, Multiregional, and Multicultural Contexts*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470609927.ch6>.
- Parts, E. 2008. „Indicators of Social Capital in the European Union“ [online]. *Working Paper. IAREG 2008/02. University of Tartu*. [cit 22. 1. 2014]. Dostupné z: http://www.iareg.org/fileadmin/iareg/media/papers/WP2_02.pdf.
- Payne, G. 1973. „Comparative Sociology: Some Problems of Theory and Method.“ *British Journal of Sociology* 24 (1): 13–30, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/588795>.
- Paxton, P. 2002. „Social Capital and Democracy: An Interdependent Relationship.“ *American Sociological Review* 67 (2): 254–277, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3088895>.
- Plecitá-Vlachová, K., F. Kalvas. 2005. „The European Social Survey (ESS): o projektu a dostupnosti dat.“ *SDA Info VII* (2): 14–16.
- Poortinga, Y. H. 1989. „Equivalence of Cross-Cultural Data: An Overview of Basic Issues.“ *International Journal of Psychology* 24 (6): 737–756, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00207598908246809>.
- Przeworski, A., H. Teune. 1970. *The Logic of Comparative Social Inquiry*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Putnam, R. 1995. „Tuning In, Tuning Out: The Strange Disappearance of Social Capital in America.“ *PS: Political Science and Politics* 28 (4): 664–683, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/s1049096500058856>.
- Putnam, R. 2000. *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Putnam, R. D., R. Leonardi, R. Y. Nanetti. 1993. *Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Raju, N. S., L. J. Laffitte, B. M. Byrne. 2002. „Measurement Equivalence: A Comparison of Methods Based on Confirmatory Factor Analysis and Item Response Theory.“ *Journal of Applied Psychology* 87 (3): 517–529, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.87.3.517>.
- Reeskens, T., M. Hooghe. 2008. „Cross-Cultural Measurement Equivalence of Generalized Trust. Evidence from the European Social Survey (2002 and 2004).“ *Social Indicators Research* 85 (3): 515–532, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11205-007-9100-z>.
- Revilla, M., W. Saris. 2011. „How to Evaluate the Cross-Cultural Equivalence of Single Items.“ (RECSM, UPF) *Mini conference: Measurement invariance: Methods, problems, and further directions*. Zurich 15.–16. 7. 2011.
- Richardson, J. G. (ed.). 1986. *Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education*. New York: Greenwood.
- Robert, Ch., W. C. Lee, K.-Y. Chan. 2006. „An Empirical Analysis of Measurement Equivalence with the INDCOL Measure of Individualism and Collectivism: Implications for Valid Cross-Cultural Inference.“ *Personnel Psychology* 59: 65–99, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2006.00804.x>.

- Rudá, E. 2012. *Teorie odpovědi na položku a její aplikace v sociologii*. Nepublikovaná diplomová práce. Praha: FF UK.
- Řehák, J. 1998a. „Kvalita dat I: Klasický model měření reliability a jeho praktický aplikační výzkum.“ *Sociologický časopis / Czech Sociological Review* 34: 51–60.
- Řehák, J. 1998b. „Kvalita dat II: Přístupy ohodnocování výzkumných instrumentů založené na modelování kovariančních struktur.“ *Sociologický časopis / Czech Sociological Review* 34 (2): 195–204.
- Saris, W. E. 2003. „Multitrait-Multimethod Studies.“ Pp. 265–274 in J. A. Harkness, F. J. R. Van de Vijver, P. Ph. Mohler (eds.). *Cross-Cultural Survey Methods*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Saris, W. E., I. Gallhofer. 2007. „Can Questions Travel Successfully?“ Pp. 53–79 in R. Jowell et al. (eds.). *Measuring Attitudes Cross-Nationally*. London: Sage Publications, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781849209458.n3>.
- Saris, W. E., I. Gallhofer. (n.d.). Report on the MTMM Experiments in the Pilot Studies and Proposals for Round 1 of the ESS. European Social Survey.
- Saris, W. E., A. Satorra, W. van der Veld. 2009. „Testing Structural Equation Models or Detection of Misspecifications?“ *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal* 16 (4): 561–582, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10705510903203433>.
- Sedláčková, Markéta. 2013. *Důvěra a demokracie. Přehled sociologických teorií důvěry od Tocquevillova po transformaci v postkomunistických zemích*. Praha: Sociologické nakladatelství SLON.
- Seligson, M. A. 1980. „A Problem-Solving Approach to Measuring Political Efficacy.“ *Social Science Quarterly* 60 (4): 630–642.
- Scheuch, E. K. 1993. „The Cross-Culture Use of Sample Surveys: Problems of Comparability.“ *Historical Social Research* 18 (2): 104–138.
- Schmitt, N., G. Kuljanin. 2008. „Measurement Invariance: Review of Practise and Implications.“ *Human Resource Management Review* 18 (4): 210–222, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2008.03.003>.
- Schubert, J. 2010. „Klasická testová teorie reliability v metodologii výběrových šetření.“ *Data a výzkum – SDA Info* 4 (2): 105–122.
- Schwarz, N., D. Oyserman, E. Peytcheva. 2010. „Cognition, Communication, and Culture: Implications for the Survey Response Process.“ Pp. 177–190 in J. A. Harkness et al. (eds.). *Survey Methods in Multinational, Multiregional, and Multicultural Contexts*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470609927.ch10>.
- Singh, J. 1995. „Measurement Issues in Cross-National Research.“ *Journal of International Business Studies* 26 (3): 597–619, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8490188>.
- Smith, T. W. 2003. „Developing Comparable Questions in Cross-national Survey.“ Pp. 69–91 in J. A. Harkness, F. J. R. Van de Vijver, P. Ph. Mohler (eds.). *Cross-Cultural Survey Methods*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Smith, P. B., R. Fischer. 2008. „Acquiescence, Extreme Response Bias and Culture: A Multilevel Analysis.“ Pp. 285–314 in J. R. Fons Van de Vijver, D. van Hemert, Y. H. Poortinga (eds.). *Multilevel Analysis of Individuals and Cultures*. New York: Taylor & Francis Group.
- Sociological Methods & Research*. August 2004, 33 (1), November 2004, 33 (2).

- Soukup, P. 2006a. „Proč užívat hierarchické lineární modely?“ *Sociologický časopis / Czech Sociological Review* 42 (5): 987–1012.
- Soukup, P. 2006b. „Čím větší, tím lepší (aneb mýty o reliabilitě)“ [online]. *Socioweb* 2006/7: 11–12. [cit. 14. 12. 2012]. Dostupné z: <http://www.socioweb.cz/index.php?disp=teorie&shw=242&lst=112>.
- Soukup, P. 2010. „Nesprávná užívání statistické významnosti a jejich možná řešení.“ *Data a výzkum-SDA Info* 4 (2): 77–104.
- Stachová, J., J. Bernard, D. Čermák. 2009. *Sociální kapitál v České republice a v mezinárodním srovnání*. Praha: Sociologický ústav AV ČR.
- Steenkamp, J.-B. E. M., H. Baumgartner. 1998. „Assessing Measurement Invariance in Cross-National Consumer Research.“ *Journal of Consumer Research* 25 (1): 78–90, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/209528>.
- Steinmetz, H. 2013. „Analyzing Observed Composite Differences across Groups: Is Partial Measurement Invariance Enough?“ *Methodology: European Journal of Research Methods for the Behavioral and Social Sciences* 9 (1): 1–12, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1027/1614-2241/a000049>.
- Stout, W. 2001. „Nonparametric Item Response Theory: A Maturing and Applicable Measurement Modeling Approach.“ *Applied Psychological Measurement* 25 (3): 300–306, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/01466210122032109>.
- Stout, W. 2002. „Psychometrics: From Practice to Theory and Back (15 Years of Nonparametric Multidimensional IRT, DIF/Test Equity, and Skills Diagnostic Assessment).“ *Psychometrika* 67 (4): 485–518, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf02295128>.
- Straus, M. A. 1969. „Phenomenal Identity and Conceptual Equivalence of Measurement in Cross-National Comparative Research.“ *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 31 (2): 233–241, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/349938>.
- Šafr, J., M. Sedláčková. 2006. *Sociální kapitál: Koncepty, teorie a metody měření*. Praha: Sociologický ústav AV ČR.
- Temme, D. 2006. „Assessing Measurement Invariance of Ordinal Indicators in Cross-National Research.“ Pp. 455–472 in S. Diehl, R. Terlutter (eds.). *International Advertising and Communication. Current Insights and Empirical Findings*. Wiesbaden: GWV, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/3-8350-5702-2_24.
- Thomassen, J. (n.d.). „Opinions about Political Issues“ [online]. *European Social Survey. ESS Core Questionnaire Development. Chapter 5, Part II: People's Underlying Value Orientations* [cit. 14. 1. 2013]. Dostupné z: http://www.europeansocialsurvey.org/index.php?option=com_docman&task=cat_view&gid=83&Itemid=80.
- Thompson, B. (2004). *Exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis: Understanding concepts and applications*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/10694-000>.
- Tourangeau R., L. J. Rips, K. A. Rasinski. 2000. *The Psychology of Survey Response*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511819322>.
- Traxlerová, M., L. Rabušic. 2008. „Jak měřit bezmocnost: předběžné výsledky.“ *Data a výzkum – SDA Info* 2 (1): 7–29.

- Uebersax, J. S. 2006a. „Factor Analysis and SEM with Tetrachoric and Polychoric Correlations“ [online]. *Statistical Methods for Rater Agreement web site*. [cit. 14. 1. 2012]. Dostupné z: <http://john-uebersax.com/stat/sem.htm>.
- Uebersax, J. S. 2006b. „The Tetrachoric and Polychoric Correlation Coefficients“ [online]. *Statistical Methods for Rater Agreement web site*. [cit. 14. 1. 2012]. Dostupné z: <http://john-uebersax.com/stat/tetra.htm>.
- Urbánek, T. 2000. *Strukturální modelování v psychologii*. Brno: Psychologický ústav AV ČR a Nakladatelství Pavel Křepela.
- Urbánek, T., M. Šimeček. 2001. „Teorie odpovědi na položku.“ *Československá psychologie: Časopis pro psychologickou teorii a praxi* [online] 45 (5): 428–440 [cit. 14. 12. 2012]. Dostupný z: <http://www.psychodiagnostika.cz/urbanek.pdf>.
- Urbánek, T., D. Denglerová, J. Širůček. 2011. *Psychometrika: Měření v psychologii*. Praha: Portál.
- Vandenberg, R. J. 2002. „Toward a Further Understanding of and Improvement in Measurement Invariance Methods and Procedures.“ *Organizational Research Methods* 5 (2): 139–158, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1094428102005002001>.
- Vandenberg, R. J., Ch. E. Lance. 2000. „A Review and Synthesis of the Measurement Invariance Literature: Suggestions, Practices, and Recommendations for Organizational Research.“ *Organizational Research Methods* 3 (1): 4–70, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/109442810031002>.
- Van Deth, J. W. 2003. „Using Published Survey Data.“ Pp. 291–309 in J. A. Harkness, F. J. R. Van de Vijver, P. Ph. Mohler (eds.). *Cross-Cultural Survey Methods*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Van de Vijver, F. J. R. 1998. „Towards a Theory of Bias and Equivalence.“ Pp. 41–65 in J. A. Harkness (ed.). *Cross-Cultural Survey Equivalence. ZUMA-Nachrichten Spezial, Band 3*. Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Van de Vijver, F. J. R. 2003a. „Bias and Equivalence: Cross-Cultural Perspectives.“ Pp. 143–155 in J. A. Harkness, F. J. R. Van de Vijver, P. Ph. Mohler (eds.). *Cross-Cultural Survey Methods*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Van de Vijver, F. J. R. 2003b. „Bias and Substantive Analysis.“ Pp. 207–233 in J. A. Harkness, F. J. R. Van de Vijver, P. Ph. Mohler (eds.). *Cross-Cultural Survey Methods*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Van de Vijver, F. J. R., D. A. van Hemert, Y. H. Poortinga (eds.). 2008. *Multilevel Analysis of Individuals and Cultures*. New York: Taylor & Francis Group.
- Van de Vijver, F. J. R., K. Leung. 1997. „Methods and Data Analysis of Comparative Research.“ Pp. 257–301 in J. W. Berry, Y. H. Poortinga, J. Pandey (eds.). *Handbook of Cross-Culture Psychology: Theory and Methods*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Van de Vijver, F. J. R., Y. H. Poortinga. 2002. „Structural Equivalence in Multilevel Research.“ *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology* 33 (2): 141–156, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022022102033002002>.
- Van der Veld, W., W. E. Saris. 2009. „Causes of Generalized Social Trust: An Innovative Cross-National Evaluation“ [online]. *RECSM Working Paper 8*. [cit. 22. 1. 2014]. Dostupné z: <http://www.upf.edu/survey/working/working.html>.

- Van Hemert, D. A., F. J. R. van de Vijver, Y. H. Poortinga, J. Georgas. 2002. „Structural and Functional Equivalence of the Eysenck Personality Questionnaire within and between Countries.“ *Personality and Individual Differences* 33 (8): 1229–1249, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0191-8869\(02\)00007-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0191-8869(02)00007-7).
- Vinopal, J. 2008. *Kognitivní přístupy v metodologii výzkumných šetření: metoda okamžité validizace*. Praha: Sociologický ústav AV ČR.
- Vinopal, J. 2009. „Situace standardizovaného dotazování z hlediska kognitivních přístupů.“ *Sociologický časopis/Czech Sociological Review* 45 (2): 397–420.
- Wallace, P. A., A. M. LaMond (eds.). 1977. *Women, Minorities, and Employment Discrimination*. Lexington, MA: Heath.
- Wang, M., S. S. Russell. 2005. „Measurement Equivalence of the Job Descriptive Index Across Chinese and American Workers: Results from Confirmatory Factor Analysis and Item Response Theory.“ *Educational and Psychological Measurement* 65 (4): 709–732, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0013164404272494>.
- Welkenhuysen-Gybels, J. G. J., F. J. R. van de Vijver. 2001. „A Comparison of Methods for the Evaluation of Construct Equivalence in a Multigroup Setting“ [online]. Ne publikováno. *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Statistical Association* [cit. 22. 1. 2014]. Dostupné z: <http://www.amstat.org/sections/srms/Proceedings/y2001/Proceed/00106.pdf>.
- Woehr, D. J., J. P. Meriac. 2010. „Using Polytomous Item Response Theory to Examine Differential Item and Test Functioning: The Case of Work Ethic.“ Pp. 419–433 in J. A. Harkness et al. (eds). *Survey Methods in Multinational, Multiregional, and Multicultural Contexts*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470609927.ch22>.

Data

- European Social Survey. „ESS Round 1“ ed. 6.3. Výzkumná data za rok 2002. European Social Survey [online]. Dostupné z: <http://www.europeansocialsurvey.org/data/download.html?r=1>
- European Social Survey. „ESS Round 2“ ed. 3.3. Výzkumná data za rok 2004. European Social Survey [online]. Dostupné z: <http://www.europeansocialsurvey.org/data/download.html?r=2>
- European Social Survey. „ESS Round 3“ ed. 3.4. Výzkumná data za rok 2006. European Social Survey [online]. Dostupné z: <http://www.europeansocialsurvey.org/data/download.html?r=3>
- European Social Survey. „ESS Round 4“ ed. 4.2. Výzkumná data za rok 2008. European Social Survey [online]. Dostupné z: <http://www.europeansocialsurvey.org/data/download.html?r=4>
- European Social Survey. „ESS Round 5“ ed. 3.1. Výzkumná data za rok 2010. European Social Survey [online]. Dostupné z: <http://www.europeansocialsurvey.org/data/download.html?r=5>

European Social Survey. „ESS Round 6“ ed. 2.0. Výzkumná data za rok 2012. European Social Survey [online]. Dostupné z: <http://www.europeansocialsurvey.org/data/download.html?r=6>