

SEZNAM LITERATURY

- Agyemang, F. SK., Morrison, N. 2018. Recognising the barriers to securing affordable housing through the land use planning system in Sub-Saharan Africa: A perspective from Ghana. *Urban Studies* 55 (12): 2640–2659.
- Alonso, W. 1964. *Location and Land Use. Toward a General Theory of Land Rent*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Alterman, R. 1990. *Private Supply of Public Services: Evaluation of Real Estate Exactions, Linkage, and Alternative Land Policies*. New York: University Press.
- Alterman, R. 2012. Land use regulations and property values: The 'Windfalls Capture' idea revisited. In *The Oxford handbook of urban economics and planning*, edd. N. Brooks, K. Donaghy, a G. J. Knaap, 755–786. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Amati, M., Taylor, L. 2010. From green belts to green infrastructure. *Planning Practice & Research* 25 (2): 143–155.
- Andelson, R. V. 2000. Land-value taxation around the world. *The American Journal of Economics and Sociology* 59 (5) Supplement: i-xlii+1–490.
- Arias-Arévalo, P. et al. 2018. Widening the evaluative space for ecosystem services: a taxonomy of plural values and valuation methods. *Environmental Values* 27 (1): 29–53.
- Arnott, R. 1998. William Vickrey: Contributions to public policy. *International Tax and Public Finance* 5 (1): 93–113.
- Arnott, R. 2004. Does the Henry George Theorem provide a practical guide to optimal city size? *American Journal of Economics and Sociology* 63 (5): 1057–1090.
- Arnott, R. 2005. Neutral property taxation. *Journal of Public Economic Theory* 7 (1): 27–50.
- Arnott, R., Petrova, P. 2006. The property tax as a tax on value: Deadweight loss. *International Tax and Public Finance* 13 (2): 241–266.
- Arnott, R., Stiglitz, J. E. 1979. Aggregate land rents, expenditure on public goods, and optimal city size. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 93 (4): 471–500.
- Banerjee, A. et al. 2015. A multifaceted program causes lasting progress for the very poor: Evidence from six countries. *Science* 348 (6236). 1260799.
- Banerjee, S. et al. 2013. How to sell ecosystem services: a guide for designing new markets. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 11 (6): 297–304.
- Bateman, I. J. et al. 2013. Bringing ecosystem services into economic decision-making: land use in the United Kingdom. *Science* 341 (6141): 45–50.
- Bateman, I. J., Mace, G. M. 2020. The natural capital framework for sustainably efficient and equitable decision making. *Nature Sustainability* 3 (10): 776–783.
- Bengston, D. N., Fletcher, J. O., Nelson, K. C. 2004. Public policies for managing urban growth and protecting open space: policy instruments and lessons learned in the United States. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 69 (2-3): 271–286.
- Bingham, L. R. 2021. Vittel as a model case in PES discourse: Review and critical perspective. *Ecosystem Services* 48: 101247.
- Birchall, S. J., Murphy, M., Milne, M. J. 2015. Evolution of the New Zealand voluntary carbon market: an analysis of CarboNZero client disclosures. *Social and Environmental Accountability Journal* 35 (3): 142–156.
- Blackman, A., Woodward, R. T. 2010. User financing in a national payments for environmental services program: Costa Rican hydropower. *Ecological Economics* 69 (8): 1626–1638.

- Blanc, F. 2008. Spain: Non-financial compensation instruments and the Valencia model. In *New instruments in spatial planning: an international perspective on non-financial compensation*, ed. L. Janssen-Jansen, M. Spaans, M. van der Veen 95–120. Amsterdam: IOS Press.
- Blažek, J., Uhlíř, D. 2020. *Teorie regionálního rozvoje: nástin, kritika, klasifikace*. Praha: Karolinum.
- Blomley, N. 2017. Land use, planning, and the “difficult character of property”. *Planning Theory & Practice* 18 (3): 351–364.
- BMI. 2020. Handlungsempfehlungen der Baulandkommission, Bundesministerium des Innern, für Bau und Heimat, Dostupné na: <https://www.bmi.bund.de/SharedDocs/pressemitteilungen/DE/2020/09/baulandkommission.html>, přístup 19. 4. 2021.
- Bolund, P., Hunhammar, S. 1999. Ecosystem services in urban areas. *Ecological Economics* 29 (2): 293–301.
- Booth, P. 2002. Nationalising development rights: The feudal origins of the British planning system. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design* 29 (1): 129–139.
- Borjas, G. J. 2020. *Labor economics*. Boston: McGraw-Hill.
- Bosworth, G. 2010. Commercial counterurbanisation: an emerging force in rural economic development. *Environment and Planning A* 42 (4): 966–981.
- Boulding, K. E. 1941. *Economic Analysis*. New York: Harper.
- Brown, H. G. 1941. Economic rent: in what sense a surplus? *The American Economic Review* 31 (4): 833–835.
- Brueckner, J. K. 1986. A modern analysis of the effects of site value taxation. *National Tax Journal* 39 (1): 49–58.
- Brueckner, J. K. 2000. Urban sprawl: diagnosis and remedies. *International Regional Science Review* 23 (2): 160–171.
- Brueckner, J. K. 2011. *Lectures on urban economics*. Cambridge, Massachusetts a Londýn: The MIT Press.
- Brueckner, J. K., Helsley, R. W. 2011. Sprawl and blight. *Journal of Urban Economics* 69 (2): 205–213.
- Brueckner, J. K., Kim, H. A. 2003. Urban sprawl and the property tax. *International Tax and Public Finance* 10 (1): 5–23.
- Brueckner, J. K., Singh, R. 2020. Stringency of land-use regulation: Building heights in US cities. *Journal of Urban Economics* 116: 103239.
- Buitelaar, E., Lagendijk, A., Jacobs, W. 2007. A theory of institutional change: illustrated by Dutch city-provinces and Dutch land policy. *Environment and Planning A*, 39 (4): 891–908.
- Buitelaar, E., Sorel, N. 2010. Between the rule of law and the quest for control: Legal certainty in the Dutch planning system. *Land Use Policy* 27 (3): 983–989.
- Buitelaar, E., Weterings, A., Ponds, R. 2017. *Cities, economic inequality and justice: Reflections and alternative perspectives*. Londýn a New York: Routledge.
- Burchell, R. et al. 1998. *The Costs of Sprawl—Revisited*. Transportation Cooperative Research Program Report 39. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Burge, G., Ihlanfeldt, K. 2006. Impact fees and single-family home construction. *Journal of Urban Economics* 60 (2): 284–306.
- Buttenheim, A. M., Asch, D. A. 2013. Making vaccine refusal less of a free ride. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics* 9 (12): 2674–2675.
- Calavita, N. 2019. Developer obligations in the US. In: *Public infrastructure, private finance: developer obligations and responsibilities*, ed. Demetrio Muñoz Gielen, Erwin van der Krabben. Londýn a New York: Routledge.
- Ceriani, L., Verme, P. 2012. The origins of the Gini index: extracts from *Variabilità e Mutabilità* (1912) by Corrado Gini. *The Journal of Economic Inequality* 10 (3): 421–443.
- Cheshire, P. 2018. Broken market or broken policy? The unintended consequences of restrictive planning. *National Institute Economic Review* 245 (1): R9–R19.
- Cheshire, P., Hilber, C. A. L., Koster, H. R. A. 2018. Empty homes, longer commutes: the unintended consequences of more restrictive local planning. *Journal of Public Economics* 158: 126–151.
- Cheshire, P., Sheppard, S. 2002. The welfare economics of land use planning. *Journal of Urban Economics* 52 (2): 242–269.

- Christophers, B. 2016. For real: land as capital and commodity. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* 41 (2): 134–148.
- Clinch, J. P., O'Neill, E. 2010. Assessing the Relative Merits of Development Charges and Transferable Development Rights in an Uncertain World. *Urban Studies* 47 (4): 891–911.
- Coase, R. H. 1960. The problem of social cost. In *Classic papers in natural resource economics*, 87–137. Londýn: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Collier, P. et al. 2018. Land and property taxes for municipal finance. *International Growth Center*, Londýn: London School of Economic and Political Science. Dostupné na: <https://www.theigc.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/Land-and-Property-Taxes-for-Municipal-Finance-06.07.18.pdf>, přístup 15.5.2021.
- Colsaet, A., Laurans, Y., Levrel, H. 2018. What drives land take and urban land expansion? A systematic review. *Land Use Policy* 79: 339–349.
- Costanza, R., Daly, H. E. 1992. Natural capital and sustainable development. *Conservation Biology* 6 (1): 37–46.
- Crist, E., Mora, C., Engelman, R. 2017. The interaction of human population, food production, and biodiversity protection. *Science* 356 (6335): 260–264.
- Crook, T., Henneberry, J., Whitehead, C. 2015. *Planning gain: Providing infrastructure and affordable housing*. Chichester : John Wiley & Sons.
- Czischke, D., van Bortel, G. 2018. An exploration of concepts and policies on 'affordable housing' in England, Italy, Poland and The Netherlands. *Journal of Housing and the Built Environment* 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10901-018-9598-1>.
- Davy, B. 2007. Mandatory Happiness? Land Readjustment and Property in Germany In *Analyzing land readjustment: Economics, law, and collective action*, ed. Y. Hong, B. Needham. Cambridge, MA: Lincoln Institute of Land Policy.
- Davy, B. 2012. *Land Policy, Planning and the Spatial Consequences of Property*. Oxon: Routledge.
- de Vries, F. P., Hanley, N. 2016. Incentive-based policy design for pollution control and biodiversity conservation: a review. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 63 (4): 687–702.
- Debrunner, G., Hartmann, T. 2020. Strategic use of land policy instruments for affordable housing—Coping with social challenges under scarce land conditions in Swiss cities. *Land Use Policy* 99: 104993.
- Dekle, R., Eaton, J. 1999. Agglomeration and land rents: evidence from the prefectures. *Journal of Urban Economics* 46 (2): 200–214.
- Doebele, W. A. 1982. *Land readjustment: A different approach to finance urbanization*. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.
- Doleželová, L., Vejchodská, E. 2018. Mnichovský model nastavení finanční participace investorů na základě zastavitelnosti území. *Urbanismus a územní rozvoj* 21(3): 22–26.
- Dolowitz, D. P., Marsh, D. 2000. Learning from abroad: The role of policy transfer in contemporary policy-making. *Governance* 13 (1): 5–23.
- Drixler, E., Friesecke, F., Kötter, T., Weitkamp, A. Weiß, D. 2014. Kommunale Bodenpolitik und Baulandmodelle—Strategien für bezahlbaren Wohnraum? Eine vergleichende Analyse in deutschen Städten, Augsburg: Wißner-Verlag.
- Dryzek, J. S. 2013. *The politics of the earth: Environmental discourses*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Dubová, L., Macháč, J. 2019. Improving the quality of life in cities using community gardens: from benefits for members to benefits for all local residents. *GeoScape* 13 (1): 68–78.
- Dunn, W. N. 2012. *Public policy analysis*. Boston a Londýn: Pearson.
- Dye, R., England, R. W. (edd.) 2009. *Land value taxation: theory, evidence, and practice*. Cambridge, MA: Lincoln Institute of Land Policy.
- EC. 2011. Roadmap to a Resource Efficient Europe; Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, COM (2011) 571 final; Brussels: European Commission.
- EEA. 2019. *The European environment - state and outlook 2020. Knowledge for transition to a sustain-*

- nable Europe 474 (SOER 2020). Dostupné na: <https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/soer-2020>, přístup 4.6.2021.
- Ehrlich, M. V., Hilber, C. A. L., Schöni, O. 2018. Institutional settings and urban sprawl: Evidence from Europe. *Journal of Housing Economics* 42: 4–18.
- Einstein, K. L. 2021. The privileged few: How exclusionary zoning amplifies the advantaged and blocks new housing—and what we can do about it. *Urban Affairs Review* 57 (1): 252–268.
- Evans, M. 2009. Policy transfer in critical perspective. *Policy Studies* 30 (3): 243–268.
- Ewing, R., Hamidi, S. 2015. Compactness versus sprawl: A review of recent evidence from the United States. *Journal of Planning Literature* 30 (4): 413–432.
- Felcman, J., Franke, D. 2013. Geografický tvar města a dostupnost volné krajiny. *Urbanismus a územní rozvoj* 16 (6): 15–22.
- Felcman, J., Vejchodská, E. 2022. Plánovací smlouvy v novém stavebním zákoně: promarněná příležitost. *Urbanismus a územní rozvoj*, přijato k publikaci.
- Fielding, A. J. 1982. Counterurbanisation in western Europe. *Progress in Planning* 17 (1): 1–52.
- Fisher, B., Turner, R. K., Morling, P. 2009. Defining and classifying ecosystem services for decision making. *Ecological Economics* 68 (3): 643–653.
- Foldvary, F. E., Minola, L. A. 2017. The taxation of land value as the means towards optimal urban development and the extirpation of excessive economic inequality. *Land Use Policy* 69: 331–337.
- Freemark, Y. 2020. Upzoning Chicago: Impacts of a zoning reform on property values and housing construction. *Urban Affairs Review* 56 (3): 758–789.
- FWS. 2019. Conservation banking. Incentives for Stewardship. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. Dostupné na: https://www.fws.gov/endangered/esa-library/pdf/conservation_banking.pdf, přístup 15.5.2021.
- Gaffney, M. 1994. Neo-classical economics as a stratagem against Henry George. *The corruption of economics* 29–163. Dostupné na: <https://cooperative-individualism.org/gaffney>, přístup 3.2.2021.
- Garza, N., Lizieri, C. 2016. A spatial-temporal assessment of the land value development tax. *Land Use Policy* 50: 449–460.
- Geitzenauer, M. et al. 2017. The challenge of financing the implementation of Natura 2000—Empirical evidence from six European Union Member States. *Forest Policy and Economics* 82: 3–13.
- Gillenwater, M. et al. 2007. Policing the voluntary carbon market. *Nature Climate Change* 1 (711): 85–87.
- Glaeser, E. L., Gyourko, J. 2018. The economic implications of housing supply. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 32 (1): 3–30.
- Glaeser, E. L., Gyourko, J., Saks, R. 2005. Why is Manhattan so expensive? Regulation and the rise in housing prices. *The Journal of Law and Economics* 48 (2): 331–369.
- Glaeser, E. L., Kahn, M. E. 2004. Sprawl and urban growth. In J. Vernon Henderson, J.-F. Thisse. *Handbook of Regional and Urban Economics*, 2481–2527. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Goździewicz-Biechońska, J. 2020. Law in the face of the problem of land take. *Przegląd Prawa Rolnego* 1 (26): 105–124.
- Gonzalez-Navarro, M., Quintana-Domeque, C. 2016. Paving streets for the poor: Experimental analysis of infrastructure effects. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 98 (2): 254–267.
- Goodhart, Ch., Hofmann, B. 2008. House prices, money, credit, and the macroeconomy. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 24 (1): 180–205.
- Graubner, M. 2018. Lost in space? The effect of direct payments on land rental prices. *European Review of Agricultural Economics* 45 (2): 143–171.
- Greenwald, B. C., Stiglitz, J. E. 1986. Externalities in economies with imperfect information and incomplete markets. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 101 (2): 229–264.
- Gyourko, J. 1991. Impact fees, exclusionary zoning, and the density of new development. *Journal of Urban Economics* 30 (2): 242–256.

- Gyourko, J., Molloy, R. 2015. Regulation and housing supply. In Vernon Henderson, J.-F. Thisse. *Handbook of Regional and Urban Economics*, 1289–1337. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Gyourko, J., Saiz, A., Summers, A. 2008. A new measure of the local regulatory environment for housing markets: The Wharton Residential Land Use Regulatory Index. *Urban Studies* 45 (3): 693–729.
- Haaland, Ch., van den Bosch, C. K. 2015. Challenges and strategies for urban green-space planning in cities undergoing densification: A review. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening* 14 (4): 760–771.
- Hagman, D. G., Misczynski, D. J. 1978. *Windfalls for Wipeouts: Land Value Capture and Compensation*. Chicago: American Planning Association.
- Hahn, R. W. 2000. The impact of economics on environmental policy. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 39 (3): 375–399.
- Haila, A. 2015. *Urban land rent: Singapore as a property state*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Halleux, J.-M., Marcinczak, S., van der Krabben, E. 2012. The adaptive efficiency of land use planning measured by the control of urban sprawl. The cases of the Netherlands, Belgium and Poland. *Land Use Policy* 29 (4): 887–898.
- HAMPL, M. 2001. Trojí přístup k veřejným statkům. *Finance a úvěr* 51 (2): 111–125.
- Hanley, N. et al. 1998. Principles for the provision of public goods from agriculture: Modeling moorland conservation in Scotland. *Land Economics* 74 (1): 102–113.
- Hanley, N., Shogren, J., White, B. 2013. *Introduction to Environmental Economics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hartmann, T., Hengstermann, A. 2020. Internationale Impulse für die deutsche Bodenpolitik. Bericht zum Sondierungsworkshop des Internationalen Arbeitskreises (IAK) in Mannheim, 29.-30. August 2019. *ARL Nachrichten* 49 (2): 60–61.
- Hartmann, T., Slavíková, L., McCarthy, S. (edd.) 2019. *Nature-based flood risk management on private land: Disciplinary perspectives on a multidisciplinary challenge*. Cham: Springer Nature.
- Hartmann, T., Spit, T. 2015. Dilemmas of involvement in land management—Comparing an active (Dutch) and a passive (German) approach. *Land Use Policy* 42: 729–737.
- Harvey, J., Jowsey, E. 2004. *Urban land economics*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Havel, M. B. 2017. How the distribution of rights and liabilities in relation to betterment and compensation links with planning and the nature of property rights: Reflections on the Polish experience. *Land Use Policy* 67: 508–516.
- HCLGC: 2018. *Land Value Capture. Tenth Report of Session 2017–19*, Housing, Communities and Local Government Committee, House of Commons.
- Helbrecht, I., Weber-Newth, F. 2017. Die Abschöpfung des Planungsmehrwerts als Repolitisierung der Planung? Eine neue Perspektive auf die aktuelle Wohnungsfrage. *sub\urban. zeitschrift für kritische stadtforschung* 5 (1/2): 61–86.
- Henger, R., Bizer, K. 2010. Tradable planning permits for land-use control in Germany. *Land Use Policy* 27 (3): 843–852.
- Hengstermann, A. 2018. Building obligations in Switzerland. In *Instruments of land policy: Dealing with scarcity of land*, edd. J.-D. Gerber, T. Hartmann, A. Hengstermann, Londýn a New York: Routledge.
- Hersperger, A. M., Grădinaru, S. R., Siedentop, S. 2020. Towards a better understanding of land conversion at the urban-rural interface: planning intentions and the effectiveness of growth management. *Journal of Land Use Science* 15 (5): 644–651.
- Herzon, I. et al. 2018. Time to look for evidence: Results-based approach to biodiversity conservation on farmland in Europe. *Land Use Policy* 71: 347–354.
- Hillman, M., Instone, L. 2010. Legislating nature for biodiversity offsets in New South Wales, Australia. *Social & Cultural Geography* 11 (5): 411–431.
- Hodge, I. 1984. Uncertainty, irreversibility and the loss of agricultural land. *Journal of Agricultural Economics* 35 (2): 191–202.
- Hoekstra, J. M. et al. 2005. Confronting a biome crisis: global disparities of habitat loss and protection. *Ecology Letters* 8 (1): 23–29.

- Holman, R. et al. 1999. *Dějiny ekonomického myšlení*. Praha: Nakladatelství C.H. Beck.
- Home, R. 2007. Land readjustment as a method of development land assembly: A comparative overview. *The Town Planning Review* 78 (4): 459–483.
- Hong, Y., Needham, B. (edd.) 2007. *Analyzing Land Readjustment. Economics, Law, and Collective Action*. Cambridge, MA: Lincoln Institute of Land Policy.
- Hoover, E. M. 1937. *Location theory and the shoe and leather industries*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Hristov, J. et al. 2020. Impacts of the EU's Common Agricultural Policy "Greening" reform on agricultural development, biodiversity, and ecosystem services. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy* 42 (4): 716–738.
- Hrůza, J. 2014. *Svět měst*. Praha: Academia.
- Hughes, C. et al. 2020. Implementing a land value tax: Considerations on moving from theory to practice. *Land Use Policy* 94: 104494.
- Hymel, K. 2019. If you build it, they will drive: Measuring induced demand for vehicle travel in urban areas. *Transport Policy* 76: 57–66.
- Chandrabose, M. et al. 2019. Built environment and cardio-metabolic health: systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Obesity Reviews* 20 (1): 41–54.
- Chapple, K. 2018. The fiscal trade-off: Sprawl, the conversion of land, and wage decline in California's metropolitan regions. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 177: 294–302.
- Ihlanfeldt, K. R. 2007. The effect of land use regulation on housing and land prices. *Journal of Urban Economics* 61 (3): 420–435.
- Irwin, E. G., Bockstael, N. E. 2004. Land use externalities, open space preservation, and urban sprawl. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 34 (6): 705–725.
- Irwin, E. G. et al. 2009. The economics of urban-rural space. *Annual Review of Resource Economics* 1 (1): 435–459.
- Jackson, P. M., Brown, C. V. 2003. *Ekonomie veřejného sektoru*. Praha: Eurolex Bohemia.
- Jacobs S. et al. 2016. A new valuation school: Integrating diverse values of nature in resource and land use decisions. *Ecosystem Services* 22: 213–220.
- Janoušková, J., Sobotovičová, Š. 2019. Fiscal autonomy of municipalities in the context of land taxation in the Czech Republic. *Land Use Policy* 82: 30–36.
- Janssen-Jansen, L. 2008. Space for Space, a transferable development rights initiative for changing the Dutch landscape. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 87: 192–200.
- Janssen-Jansen, L. 2016. The Dutch office space tragedy: Unlocking the lock-in. In *Planning By Law and Property Rights Reconsidered*, edd. T. Hartmann, B. Needham, 189–205. Londýn: Routledge.
- Janssen-Jansen, L., Spaans, M., van der Veen, M. (edd.) 2008. *New instruments in spatial planning. An international perspective on non-financial compensation*. Amsterdam : IOS Press.
- Kalkuhl, M., Edenhofer, O. 2017. Ramsey meets Thünen: the impact of land taxes on economic development and land conservation. *International Tax and Public Finance* 24 (2): 350–380.
- Kaplowitz, M. D., Macheimer, P., Pruetz R. 2008. Planners' experiences in managing growth using transferable development rights (TDR) in the United States. *Land Use Policy* 25 (3): 378–387.
- Kapuria-Foreman, V., Perlman, M. 1995. An economic historian's economist: remembering Simon Kuznets. *The Economic Journal* 105 (433): 1524–1547.
- Khoshkar, S., Balfors, B., Wärnbäck, A. 2018. Planning for green qualities in the densification of suburban Stockholm—opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management* 61 (14): 2613–2635.
- Knoll, K., Schularick, M., Steger, T. 2017. No price like home: Global house prices, 1870–2012. *American Economic Review* 107 (2): 331–53.
- Knowles, R. D. 2012. Transit oriented development in Copenhagen, Denmark: from the finger plan to Ørestad. *Journal of Transport Geography* 22: 251–261.
- Kohák, E. 1998. *Zelená svatozář: kapitoly z ekologické etiky*. Praha: Sociologické nakladatelství.

- Korthals Altes, W. K. 2008. Actief Grondbeleid Betaalt zich Terug. *Property Research Quarterly* 7 (1): 22–27.
- Kresse, K. et al. 2020. Value capture ideals and practice—Development stages and the evolution of value capture policies. *Cities* 106: 102861.
- Krkoška Lorencová, E. et al. 2021. Stakeholder engagement and institutional context features of the ecosystem-based approaches in urban adaptation planning in the Czech Republic. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening* 58: 126955.
- Kuznets, S. 1955. Economic growth and income inequality. *The American Economic Review* 45 (1): 1–28.
- Ladd, H. F. 1998. *Local Government Tax and Land Use Policies in the United States*, Cheltenham a Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Langpap, Ch., Kerkvliet, J., Shogren, J. F. 2018. The economics of the US Endangered Species Act: A review of recent developments. *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy* 12 (1): 69–91.
- Lant, Ch. L., Ruhl, J. B., Kraft, S. E. 2008. The tragedy of ecosystem services. *BioScience* 58 (10): 969–974.
- Latruffe, L., Le Mouël, Ch. 2009. Capitalization of government support in agricultural land prices: What do we know? *Journal of Economic Surveys* 23 (4): 659–691.
- Leoni, G. 2008. Italy: experiments with 6 non-financial compensation instruments to preserve, conserve and re-allocate buildings. In *New instruments in spatial planning. An international perspective on non-financial compensation*, edd. L. Janssen-Jansen, M. Spaans, M. van der Veen. Amsterdam: Delft University Press.
- Lerman, B. R. 2006. Mandatory inclusionary zoning—the answer to the affordable housing problem. *Boston College Environmental Affairs Law Review* 33 (2): 383–416.
- Leroyer, A. 1995. Land policy in Estonia: establishing new valuation and taxation programs. *Land Lines* 7 (5). Dostupné na: <https://www.lincolnst.edu/publications/articles/land-policy-estonia>, přístup 4. 6. 2019.
- Levine, J. 2010. *Zoned out: Regulation, markets, and choices in transportation and metropolitan land use*. New York: RFF Press.
- Lewis, L., Tietenberg, T. H. 2019. *Environmental economics and policy*. London: Routledge.
- LHM – Landeshauptstadt München 2017a. *Verfahrensgrundsätze zur Sozialgerechten Bodennutzung in der Neufassung vom 26.07.2017*, Mnichov. Dostupné na: <https://www.muenchen.de/rathaus/Stadtverwaltung/Referat-fuer-Stadtplanung-und-Bauordnung/Stadt-und-Bebauungsplanung/SoBoN.html>, přístup: 13. 5. 2019.
- LHM – Landeshauptstadt München 2017b. *Wohnungspolitisches Handlungsprogramm „Wohnen in München VI“ 2017–2021*, Mnichov. Dostupné na: <https://www.muenchen.de/rathaus/Stadtverwaltung/Referat-fuer-Stadtplanung-und-Bauordnung/Stadtentwicklung/Grundlagen/Wohnungspolitik.html>, přístup: 14. 5. 2019.
- Liu, Y. et al. 2016. Socioeconomic drivers of forest loss and fragmentation: A comparison between different land use planning schemes and policy implications. *Land Use Policy* 54: 58–68.
- Maier, K., Čtyroký, J. 2000. *Ekonomika územního rozvoje*. Praha: Grada Publishing.
- Malambo, P. et al. 2016. Built environment, selected risk factors and major cardiovascular disease outcomes: a systematic review. *PloS one* 11 (11): e0166846.
- Mangin, J. 2014. The new exclusionary zoning. *Stanford Law & Policy Review* 25: 91–120.
- Mankiw, N. G. 1999. *Zásady ekonomie*. Praha: Grada Publishing.
- Mankiw, N. G., Taylor, M. P. 2014. *Macroeconomics*, New York: Worth Publishers.
- Marquard, E. et al. 2020. Land Consumption and Land Take: Enhancing Conceptual Clarity for Evaluating Spatial Governance in the EU Context. *Sustainability* 12 (19): 8269.
- Marshall, A. 1890. *Principles of Economics*. London. Macmillan.
- Mattauch, L. et al. 2018. Financing public capital when rents are back: a macroeconomic Henry George Theorem. *FinanzArchiv: Public Finance Analysis* 74 (3): 340–360.
- McAllister, P., Street, E., Wyatt, P. 2016. Governing calculative practices: An investigation of development viability modelling in the English planning system. *Urban Studies* 53 (11): 2363–2379.

- McCann, P. 2013. *Modern urban and regional economics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Medda, F. 2012. Land value capture finance for transport accessibility: a review. *Journal of Transport Geography* 25: 154–161.
- Meiyappan, P., Jain, A. K. 2012. Three distinct global estimates of historical land-cover change and land-use conversions for over 200 years. *Frontiers of Earth Science* 6 (2): 122–139.
- Mendonça, R. et al. 2020. Assessing economic instruments to steer urban residential sprawl, using a hedonic pricing simulation modelling approach. *Land Use Policy* 92: 104458.
- Milan, B. F., Creutzig, F. 2016. Municipal policies accelerated urban sprawl and public debts in Spain. *Land Use Policy* 54: 103–115.
- Mill, J. S. 1848. *Principles of Political Economy with Some of their Applications to Social Philosophy*. London: John W. Parker.
- Miller, N., Jones, S., Roulac, S. 1995. In defense of the land residual theory and the absence of a business value component for retail property. *Journal of Real Estate Research* 10 (2): 203–215.
- Mokhtarian, P. L., Tal, G. 2013. Impacts of ICT on travel behavior: a tapestry of relationships. In: *The Sage handbook of transport studies*, edd. J.P. Rodrigue, T. Notteboom, J. Shaw. Londýn: Sage.
- Moravcová, J. et al. 2017. Analysis of land consolidation projects and their impact on land use change, landscape structure, and agricultural land resource protection: case studies of Pilsen-South and Pilsen-North (Czech Republic). *Landscape and Ecological Engineering* 13 (1): 1–13.
- Morris, A. C. 1998. Property Tax Treatment of Farmland: Does Tax Relief Delay Land Development? In *Local Government Tax and Land Use Policies in the United States*, ed. H. F. Ladd. Cheltenham, Northampton MA: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Muellbauer, J. 2017. Foreword. In *Rethinking the economics of land and housing*, edd. J. Ryan-Collins, T. Lloyd, L. MacFarlane. Zed Books Ltd.
- Muellbauer, J., Murphy, A. 2008. Housing markets and the economy: the assessment. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 24 (1): 1–33.
- Muchová, Z., Jusková, K. 2017. Stakeholders' perception of defragmentation of new plots in a land consolidation project: Given the surprisingly different Slovak and Czech approaches. *Land Use Policy* 66: 356–363.
- Muñoz Gielen, D. 2016. Proposal of Land Readjustment for the Netherlands: An analysis of its effectiveness from an international perspective. *Cities* 53: 78–86.
- Muñoz Gielen, D. 2019. Spain: Developer obligations and land readjustment. In *Public infrastructure, private finance: developer obligations and responsibilities*, edd. D. Muñoz Gielen, E. van der Krabben. Londýn a New York: Routledge.
- Muñoz Gielen, D., Lenferink, S. 2018. The role of negotiated developer obligations in financing large public infrastructure after the economic crisis in the Netherlands. *European Planning Studies* 26.4: 768–791.
- Muñoz Gielen, D., van der Krabben, E. (edd.) 2019. *Public infrastructure, private finance: developer obligations and responsibilities*. Londýn a New York: Routledge.
- Muñoz Gielen, D., Salas, I. M., Cuadrado, J. 2017. Burón. International comparison of the changing dynamics of governance approaches to land development and their results for public value capture. *Cities* 71: 123–134.
- Muñoz Gielen, D., Tasan-Kok, T. 2010. Flexibility in Planning and the Consequences for Public-value Capturing in UK, Spain and the Netherlands. *European Planning Studies* 18 (7): 1097–1131.
- Needham, B. 2000. Land taxation, development charges, and the effects on land-use. *Journal of Property Research* 17 (3): 241–257.
- Needham, B. 2016. *Dutch land-use planning: The principles and the practice*. Londýn a New York: Routledge.
- Needham, B., Buitelaar, E., Hartmann, T. 2019. *Planning, Law and Economics: The Rules We Make for Using Land*, 2nd edition. Londýn a New York: Routledge.
- Nechyba, T. J., Walsh, R. P. 2004. Urban sprawl. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 18 (4): 177–200.
- Nelson, A. C. 2012. *A guide to impact fees and housing affordability*. Washington: Island Press.

- Nelson, C., Nicholas, J. C., Juergensmeyer, J. C. 2017. *Impact fees: Principles and practice of proportionate-share development fees*. New York: Routledge.
- Newell, R. G., Pizer, W. A., Raimi, D. 2013. Carbon markets 15 years after Kyoto: Lessons learned, new challenges. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 27 (1): 123–46.
- Nichiforel, L. et al. 2018. How private are Europe's private forests? A comparative property rights analysis. *Land Use Policy* 76: 535–552.
- Oates, W. E., Schwab, R. M. 2009. The simple analytics of land value taxation. In *Land value taxation: Theory, evidence, and practice*, edd. R. Dye, R. W. England, Cambridge, MA: Lincoln Institute of Land Policy.
- O'Sullivan, F. 2019. Will Copenhagen's Eco-Friendly Man-Made Islands Pay Off?, Citylab, dostupné z: <https://www.citylab.com/design/2019/01/copenhagen-model-island-sustainability-climate-change-denmark/580297/>, přístup 29. 3. 2020.
- OECD. 2020a. *Revenue Statistics 2020*, Paris: OECD Publishing. Dostupné na: https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/taxation/revenue-statistics-2020_8625f8e5-en, přístup 5.6.2021.
- OECD. 2020b. *Building a Global Compendium on Land Value Capture*, <https://www.oecd.org/regional/cities/land-value-capture.htm>, přístup 7.4.2020.
- O'Glasser, B. P. 2006. Constitutional, Political, and Philosophical Struggle: Measure 37 and the Oregon Urban Growth Boundary Controversy. *Journal of Constitutional Law* 9 (2): 595–628.
- Ostrom, E. 1986. An agenda for the study of institutions. *Public Choice* 48 (1): 3–25.
- Ostrom, E. 1990. *Governing the commons – The evolution of institutions of collective actions*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Özdilek, Ü. 2016. Property price separation between land and building components. *Journal of Real Estate Research* 38 (2): 205–228.
- Pascual, U. et al. 2010. Exploring the links between equity and efficiency in payments for environmental services: A conceptual approach. *Ecological Economics* 69 (6): 1237–1244.
- Pascual, U. et al. 2017. Valuing nature's contributions to people: the IPBES approach. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability* 26–27: 7–16.
- Pigou, A. 1920. *The economics of welfare*. (opětovně vydáno New York: Routledge, 2017).
- Piketty, T. 2014. *Capital in the 21st Century*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Pollakowski, H. O., Wachter, S. M. 1990. The effects of land-use constraints on housing prices. *Land Economics* 66 (3): 315–324.
- Pruetz, R., Standridge, N. 2008. What makes transfer of development rights work?: Success factors from research and practice. *Journal of the American Planning Association*. 75 (1): 78–87.
- Quiggin, J. 2010. *Zombie Economics. How dead ideas still walk among us*. Princeton a Oxford: Princeton University Press.
- Quigley, J. M., Raphael, S. 2004. Is housing unaffordable? Why isn't it more affordable? *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 18 (1): 191–214.
- Reed, M. S. et al. 2014. Improving the link between payments and the provision of ecosystem services in agri-environment schemes. *Ecosystem Services* 9: 44–53.
- Renard, V. 1999. Application of tradable permits to land-use management. In OECD. *Implementing domestic tradable permits for environmental protection*, 53–75. Paris: OECD Publication Service.
- Rhoads, T. A., Shogren, J. F. 2006. Why do cities use supply side strategies to mitigate traffic congestion externalities? *Economics Letters* 92 (2): 214–219.
- Rijksoverheid: Municipal Fund, Rijksoverheid (Vláda Nizozemska), 2019. Dostupné na: <https://www.rijksoverheid.nl/onderwerpen/financien-gemeenten-en-provincies/gemeentefonds>, přístup 30. 5. 2019.
- Ricardo, D. 1817. *Principles of political economy and taxation*. Londýn: Batoche Books, výtisk 3. vydání v 2001.
- Ripka, Š. et al. 2018. The Housing First for Families in Brno Trial Protocol: A Pragmatic Single-Site Randomized Control Trial of Housing First Intervention for Homeless Families in Brno, Czech Republic. *European Journal of Homelessness* 12 (1): 133–150.

- Roulac, S. 1994. Retail real estate in the 21st century: information technology+ time consciousness+ unintelligent stores= intelligent shopping? NOT! *Journal of Real Estate Research* 9 (1): 125-150.
- Russi, D. et al. 2016. Result-based agri-environment measures: Market-based instruments, incentives or rewards? The case of Baden-Württemberg. *Land Use Policy* 54: 69-77.
- Rydin, Y. 2011. *The purpose of planning. Creating sustainable towns and cities*. Bristol: Policy Press.
- Ryan-Collins, J., Lloyd, T., Macfarlane, L. 2017. *Rethinking the economics of land and housing*. Londýn: Zed Books Ltd.
- Řezáč, V. 2017. Hamburg Altona: Plánování velkých rozvojových projektů v Německu. *Urbanismus a územní rozvoj* 20 (1): 31-35.
- Salhofer, K., Feichtinger, P. 2020. Regional differences in the capitalisation of first and second pillar payments of the CAP into land rental prices. *European Review of Agricultural Economics* 48 (1): 8-41.
- Salzman, J. et al. 2018. The global status and trends of payments for ecosystem services. *Nature Sustainability* 1 (3): 136.
- Samuelson, P. A. 1954. The pure theory of public expenditure. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 36 (4): 387-389.
- Samuelson, P. A., Nordhaus, W. D. 1992. *Economics*. 14. vydání, New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Scanlon, K., Whitehead, C., Arrigoitia, M. F. (edd.). 2014. *Social housing in Europe*. Oxford: J. Wiley & Sons.
- Shahab, S., Clinch, J., P., O'Neill, E. 2018. Estimates of Transaction Costs in Transfer of Development Rights Programs. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 84 (1): 61-75.
- Sharma, R., Newman, P. 2018. Does urban rail increase land value in emerging cities? Value uplift from Bangalore Metro. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice* 117: 70-86.
- Sidemo-Holm, W., Smith, Henrik G., Brady, M. V. 2018. Improving agricultural pollution abatement through result-based payment schemes. *Land Use Policy* 77: 209-219.
- Sklenička, P. 2006. Applying evaluation criteria for the land consolidation effect to three contrasting study areas in the Czech Republic. *Land Use Policy* 23 (4): 502-510.
- Sklenička, P. et al. 2013. Factors affecting farmland prices in the Czech Republic. *Land Use Policy* 30 (1): 130-136.
- Smolka, M. O., Amborski, D. 2000. *Value capture for urban development: An inter-American comparison*. Cambridge, MA: Lincoln Institute of Land Policy.
- Snyder, S. A. et al. 2007. Determinants of forest land prices in Northern Minnesota: A hedonic pricing approach. *Forest Science* 53 (1): 25-36.
- Solow, R. 2014. Thomas Piketty is Right: Everything you need to know about 'Capital in the Twenty-First Century', *New Republic* 22.
- SPÚ. 2021. *Koncepce pozemkových úprav na období let 2021-2025*. Státní pozemkový úřad.
- Squires, G. D., Kubrin, C. E. 2005. Privileged places: Race, uneven development and the geography of opportunity in urban America. *Urban Studies* 42 (1): 47-68.
- Sterner, T. 2002. *Policy instruments for environmental and natural resource management*. Hoboken: Taylor and Francis.
- Stiglitz, J. E. 1977. The Theory of Local Public Goods. In *The Economics of Public Services. International Economic Association Conference Volumes*, edd. M. S. Feldstein, R. P. Inman, London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Stiglitz, J. E. 1983. The theory of local public goods twenty-five years after Tiebout: A perspective. In *Local Provision of Public Services: The Tiebout Model after Twenty-Five Years*, ed. G. R. Zodrow. New York: Academic Press.
- Stiglitz, J. E. 2012. *The price of inequality: How today's divided society endangers our future*. New York: WW Norton & Company.
- Stiglitz, J. E. 2015. The origins of inequality, and policies to contain it. *National Tax Journal* 68 (2): 425-448.
- Stiglitz, J. E. 2019. *People, power, and profits: Progressive capitalism for an age of discontent*. New York: WW. Norton & Company.

- Stiglitz, J. E., Rosengard, J. K. 2015. *Economics of the public sector: Fourth international student edition*. New York: WW Norton & Company.
- Stroup, R., L. 2007. Zákon o ohrožených druzích: kterak učinit z nevinných živočichů nepřítelé. In *Ekonomie a životní prostředí, nepřátelé či spojenci*, ed. L. Čamrová. Praha: Alfa Publishing a Liberální institut.
- Su, Q., Desalvo, J. S. 2008. The effect of transportation subsidies on urban sprawl. *Journal of Regional Science* 48 (3): 567-594.
- Sýkora, L. 2003. Suburbanizace a její společenské důsledky. *Sociologický časopis/Czech Sociological Review* 39 (2): 217-233.
- Šimon, M. 2014. Exploring Counterurbanisation in a Post-Socialist Context: Case of the Czech Republic. *Sociologia ruralis* 54 (2): 117-142.
- Teytelboym, A. 2019. Natural capital market design. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 35 (1): 138-161.
- Thaler, R., Sunstein, C.R. 2009. *Nudge: Improving Decisions About Health, Wealth and Happiness*. Londýn: Penguin.
- Thiel, F., Wenner, F. 2018. Land taxation in Estonia: An efficient instrument of land policy for land scarcity, equity, and ecology. In *Instruments of land policy: Dealing with scarcity of land.*, edd. J.-D. Gerber, D. T. Hartmann, A. T., Hengstermann. Londýn a New York: Routledge.
- Tietenberg, T. 1998. Disclosure strategies for pollution control. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 11 (3-4): 587-602.
- Tietenberg, T., Lewis, L. 2012. *Environmental & Natural Resource Economics*. 9th edition, Boston: Pearson.
- Tilman, D. et al. 2017. Future threats to biodiversity and pathways to their prevention. *Nature* 546 (7656): 73-81.
- Tullock, G. 1967. The welfare costs of tariffs, monopolies, and theft. *Economic Inquiry* 5 (3): 224-232.
- Valtonen, E., Falkenbach, H., Viitanen, K. 2018. Securing public objectives in large-scale urban development: Comparison of public and private land development. *Land Use Policy* 78: 481-492.
- van Acker, V., van Wee, B., Witlox, F. 2010. When transport geography meets social psychology: toward a conceptual model of travel behaviour. *Transport Reviews* 30 (2): 219-240.
- van der Krabben, E., Jacobs, H. M. 2013. Public land development as a strategic tool for redevelopment: Reflections on the Dutch experience. *Land Use Policy* 30 (1): 774-783.
- van der Krabben, E., Lenferink, S. 2018. The introduction of urban land readjustment legislation as an institutional innovation in Dutch land policy. *Habitat International* 75: 114-121.
- van der Krabben, E., Needham, B. 2008. Land readjustment for value capturing: a new planning tool for urban redevelopment. *Town Planning Review* 79 (6): 651-672.
- van der Veen, M., Spaans, M., Janssen-Jansen, L. B. 2010. Using compensation instruments as a vehicle to improve spatial planning: Challenges and opportunities. *Land Use Policy* 27: 1010-1017.
- van Hecken, G., Bastiaensen, J. 2010. Payments for ecosystem services: justified or not? A political view. *Environmental Science & Policy* 13 (8): 785-792.
- van Vliet, J. et al. 2017. A global analysis of land take in cropland areas and production displacement from urbanization. *Global Environmental Change-Human and Policy Dimensions* 43: 107-115.
- Vejchodská, E. 2016. Tradable planning permits versus auctioned tradable development rights: different trading agents, different policy outcomes. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management* 59 (8): 1418-1437.
- Vejchodská, E. 2017. Nástroje územního rozvoje založené na podmíněnosti práva k výstavbě a jejich využití v evropských zemích. *Urbanismus a územní rozvoj* 20 (1): 13-16.
- Vejchodská, E. 2018. Prevence suburbanizace pomocí tržně orientovaných nástrojů - německá politika obchodovatelných povolení k plánování. *Urbanismus a územní rozvoj* 21 (4): 14-17.

- Vejchodská, E. et al. 2022. Bridging value capture arena with land rent narratives. *Land Use Policy* 114: 105956 .
- Vejchodská, E., Felcman, J., Šindlerová, V. 2019. Ekonomické nástroje v české územně plánovací praxi. Potenciál a bariéry jejich využití. *Urbanismus a územní rozvoj* 22 (6): 11–17.
- Vejchodská, E., Hendricks, A. 2022. Munich's developer obligations as a legal transplant to the Czech institutional context. *Town Planning Review*, přijato k publikaci, <https://doi.org/10.3828/tpr.2021.28>.
- Vejchodská, E., Pělucha, M. 2019. Environmental charges as drivers of soil sealing? The case of the Czech charge for agricultural land loss. *Land Use Policy* 87: 104071.
- Vejchodská, E., Šindlerová, V., Felcman, J. 2020. *Ekonomické nástroje v územním plánování*. Souhrnná výzkumná zpráva. Praha: Fakulta humanitních studií Univerzity Karlovy.
- Verburg, P. H. et al. 2015. Land system science and sustainable development of the earth system: A global land project perspective. *Anthropocene* 12: 29–41.
- Viallon, F.-X. 2018. Added value capturing in Switzerland. How much is enough? In *Instruments of land policy: Dealing with scarcity of land*, edd. J.-D. Gerber, T. Hartmann, A. Hengstermann. Londýn a New York: Routledge.
- Vickrey, W. S. 2001. Site Value Taxes and the Optimal Pricing of Public Services. *The American Journal of Economics and Sociology* 60 (5): 85–96.
- Vopravil, J. et al. 2011. *Půda a její hodnocení v ČR*. Díl II. Praha: VÚMOP.
- Wenner, F. 2018. Sustainable urban development and land value taxation: The case of Estonia. *Land Use Policy* 77: 790–800.
- Winfree, R., Gross, B. J., Kremen, C. 2011. Valuing pollination services to agriculture. *Ecological Economics* 71: 80–88.
- Wunder, S. 2015. Revisiting the concept of payments for environmental services. *Ecological Economics* 117: 234–243.
- Wunder, S., Albán, M. 2008. Decentralized payments for environmental services: The cases of Pimampiro and Profafor in Ecuador. *Ecological Economics* 65 (4): 685–698.
- Wunder, S., Engel, S., Pagiola, S. 2008. Taking stock: A comparative analysis of payments for environmental services programs in developed and developing countries. *Ecological Economics* 65 (4): 834–852.
- Yousefi, Z., Dadashpoor, H. 2020. How do ICTs affect urban spatial structure? A systematic literature review. *Journal of Urban Technology* 27 (1): 47–65.
- Zanon, B., Veronesi, S. 2013. Climate change, urban energy and planning practices: Italian experiences of innovation in land management tools. *Land Use Policy* 32: 343–355.
- ZK – Zlínský kraj. 2017. *Srovnávací analýza potřebnosti ploch pro bydlení v územních plánech Zlínského kraje*. Zlínský kraj. Dostupné na: <https://www.kr-zlinsky.cz/srovnavaci-analyza-potrebnosti-ploch-pro-bydleni-v-uzemnich-planech-zlinskeho-kraje-cl-2647.html>, přístup 15.6.2021.