

## Seznam literatury

- Bennett, N., & Dunne, E. (1992). *Managing groups*. Hemel Hempstead. Simon & Schuster Education.
- Van den Berg, Y. H. M. & Cillersen, A. H. N. (2015). Peer status and classroom seating arrangements: A social relations analysis. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 130, 19–34.
- Ferjenčík, J. (2000). *Úvod do metodologie psychologického výzkumu*. Praha: Portál.
- Gavora, P., Mareš, J., & Den Brok, P. (2003). Adaptácia dotazníka interakčného štýlu učiteľa. *Pedagogická revue*, 13(2), 126–145.
- Janík, T. (2009). Výzkum výuky: historický vývoj. In M. Janíková & K. Vlčková a kol., *Výzkum výuky: tematické oblasti, výzkumné přístupy a metody* (s. 23–44). Brno: Paido.
- Janíková, M. (2009). Interakce a komunikace ve výuce: výzkumné oblasti, přístupy a metody. In M. Janíková & K. Vlčková a kol., *Výzkum výuky: tematické oblasti, výzkumné přístupy a metody* (s. 45–62). Brno: Paido.
- Lewis, J., & Cowie, H. (1993). Cooperative group work: Promises and limitations. *British Psychological Society, Education Section Review*, 17(2), 77–84.
- Schwebel, A. I. & Cherlin, D. L. (1972). Physical and social distancing in teacher-pupil relationships. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 63(6), 543–550.
- Weaver, R. R. & Qi, J. (2005). Classroom Organization and Participation: College Students' Perception. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 76(5), 570–601.
- Adams, R., & Biddle, B. (1970) *The classroom scene. Realities of Teaching*. New York: Holt.
- Adey, P. (2006). A model for professional development of teachers thinking. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 1(1), 49–56.
- Adler, P., & Adler, P. A. (1998). *Peer power: Preadolescent culture and identity*. Piscataway: Rutgers University Press.
- Alexander, R. (2001). *Culture and pedagogy. International comparisons in primary education*. Londýn: Blackwell, 2001.
- Alexander, R. (2006). *Towards dialogic teaching: Rethinking classroom talk*. Cambridge: Dialogos.
- Alexander, R. (2017). Developing dialogue: Process, trial, outcomes. Příspěvek prezentovaný na 17. konferenci Biennial EARLI Conference, srpen 2017, Tampere, Finsko.
- Alexander, R. (2018). Developing dialogic teaching: Genesis, process, trial. *Research Papers in Education*, 33(5), 561–598.

- Altermatt, E. R., & Pomerantz, E. M. (2003). The development of competence-related and motivational beliefs: An investigation of similarity and influence among friends. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 95*(1), 111–123.
- Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (2001). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. New York: Longman.
- Andreassen, R., & Bråten, I. (2011). Implementation and effects of explicit reading comprehension instruction in fifth-grade classrooms. *Learning and Instruction, 21*(4), 520–537.
- Applebee, A. N., & Langer, J. A. (1983). Instructional scaffolding: Reading and writing as natural language activities. *Language Arts, 60*(2), 168–175.
- Applebee, A. N., Langer, J., Nystrand, M., & Gamoran, A. (2003). Discussion-based approaches to developing understanding: Classroom instruction and student performance in middle and high school English. *American Educational Research Journal, 40*(3), 685–730.
- Baines, E., Blatchford, P. & Kutnick, P. (2003). Changes in grouping practices over primary and secondary school. *International Journal of Educational Research, 39*(1-2), 9–34.
- Baker, J. A. (2006). Contributions of teacher-child relationships to positive school adjustment during elementary school. *Journal of School Psychology, 44*(3), 211–229.
- Bakhtin, M. M. (1981). *The dialogic imagination: Four essays by M. M. Bakhtin*. Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Barnes, D. (2008). Exploratory talk for learning. In N. Mercer (Ed.), *Exploring talk in schools: Inspired by the work of Douglas Barnes* (s. 1–16). Londýn: SAGE.
- Bauman, P., & Cvach, R. (2008). Filosofie pro děti: Výukový dialog trochu jinak. In R. Jandová (Ed.), *Šedesát let vzdělávání pedagogů na jihu Čech v reflexi současné reformy školství* (s. 131–145). České Budějovice: Jihočeská Univerzita v Českých Budějovicích.
- Bavelas, J. B. (1994). Gestures as part of speech: Methodological implications. *Research on Language and Social Interaction, 27*(3), 201–221.
- Bavelas, J. B., & Chovil, N. (2000). Visible acts of meaning. An integrated message model of language use in face-to-face dialogue. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology, 19*(2), 163–194.
- Bavelas, J. B., Gerwing, G., Allison, M., & Sutton, C. (2011). Dyadic evidence for grounding with abstract deictic gestures. In G. Stam & M. Ishino (Eds.), *Integrating gestures: The interdisciplinary nature of gesture* (s. 49–60). Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Beijaard, D. (2005). *Teacher professional development in changing conditions*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Benedict, M., & Hoagh, J. (2004). Seating location in large lectures: Are seating preferences or location related to course performance? *Journal of Economic Education, 35*(2), 215–231.
- Bernstein, B. (1996). *Pedagogy, symbolic control and identity*. Londýn: Taylor and Francis.

- Berson, E., Borko, H., Million, S., Khachatryan, E., & Glennon, K. (2015). Practice what you teach: A video-based practicum model of professional development for elementary science teachers. *Orbis scholae*, 9(2), 35–53.
- Bertrand, Y. (1998). *Soudobé teorie vzdělávání*. Praha: Portál.
- Black, L. (2004). Differential participation in whole-class discussions and the construction of marginalised identities. *Journal of Educational Enquiry*, 5(1), 34–54.
- Blume, F., Göllner, R., Moeller, K., Dresler, T., Ehlis, A. C., & Gawrilow, C. (2019). Do students better when seated close to the teacher? A virtual levels of inattention and hyperactivity-impulsivity. *Learning and Instruction*, 61, 138–147.
- Borko, H., Koellner, K., Jacobs, J., & Seago, N. (2011). Using video representations of teaching in practice-based professional development programs. *Zdm Mathematics Education*, 43(1), 175–187.
- Bowlby, J. (2010). *Vazby. Teorie kvality raných vztahů mezi matkou a dítětem*. Praha: Portál.
- Boyd, M.P. (2015). Relations between teacher questioning and student talk in one elementary ELL classroom. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 47(3) 370–404.
- Brekelmans, M., Levy, J., & Rodriguez, R. (1993). A typology of teacher communication style. In: T. Wubbels & J. Levy (Eds.), *Do you know what you look like? Interpersonal relationships in education* (s. 46–55). Londýn: The Falmer Press.
- Bradová, J. (2011). Variácie priestorového usporiadania školskej triedy a ich vplyv na pedagogickú komunikáciu. *Studia paedagogica*, 16(1), 192–210.
- Bradová, J. (2012). Keď zasadací poriadok funguje: alebo učiteľsko-žiacke preferencie pri obsadzovaní priestoru školskej triedy. *Studia paedagogica*, 17(2), 72–92.
- Brophy, J. E., & Good, T. L. (1970). Teachers' communication of differential expectations for children's classroom performance: Some behavioral data. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 61(5), 365–374.
- Brophy, J. E. (2004). Discussion. In J. Brophy (Ed.), *Using video in teacher education. Advances in research on teaching* (s. 287–304). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Brown, B.B, & Larson J. Handbook of adolescent psychology, Vol 2: Contextual influences on adolescent development. 3rd. Hoboken, NJ US: John Wiley & Sons Inc; 2009. Peer relationships in adolescents; pp. 74–103.
- Brownell, M. T., Lauterbach, A. A., Dingle, M. P., Boardman, A. G., Urbach, J. E., Leko, M. M., ... & Park, Y. (2014). Individual and contextual factors influencing special education teacher learning in literacy learning cohorts. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 37(1), 31–44.
- Bruner, J. S. (1978). The role of dialogue in language acquisition. In A. Sinclair, R. J. Jarvella, & W. J. M. Levelt (Eds.), *The Child's Concept of Language* (s. 241–256). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Bruner, J. S. (1986). *Actual minds, possible worlds*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Burns, Ch., & Myhil, D. (2004). Interactive or inactive? A consideration of the nature of interaction in whole class teaching. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 34(1), 35–50.

- Butler, D. L., Novak Lauscher, H., Jarvis-Selinger, S., & Beckingham, B. (2004). Collaboration and self-regulation in teachers' professional development. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 20(5), 435–455.
- Carletta, J., Anderson A., & Garrod, S. (2002). Seeing eye to eye: An account of grounding and understanding in work groups. *Cognitive Studies*, 9(2), 26–45.
- Carter, K. (1993). The place of story in the study of teaching and teacher education. *Educational Researcher*, 22(1), 5–12.
- Carroll, M. J. (2006). *An investigation into students' perceptions of multicultural classroom environment in Queensland catholic secondary schools* (Disertační práce). Virginie: Australian Catholic University, School of Education.
- Caspi, A., Chajut, E., Saporta, K., & Beyth-Marom, R. (2006). The influence of personality on social participation in learning environments. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 16(2), 129–144.
- Cazden, C. B. (1988). *Classroom discourse: The language of teaching and learning*. Portsmouth: Heineman.
- Cillessen, A. H. N., & Mayeux, L. (2007). Expectations and perceptions at school transitions: The role of peer status and aggression. *Journal of School Psychology*, 45(5), 567–586.
- Clarke, S. N. (2015). The right to speak. In L. B. Resnick, C. S. C. Asterhan, & S. N. Clarke (Eds.), *Socializing intelligence through academic talk and dialogue* (s. 167–180). Washington: American Educational Research Association.
- Clarke, S. N., Howley, I., Resnick, L. B., & Rosé, C. P. (2016). Student agency to participate in dialogic science discussions. *Learning, Culture and Social Interaction*, 10, 27–39.
- Corden, R. (2009). *Literacy and learning through talk*. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Creemers, B., Kyriakides, L., & Antoniou, P. (2013). *Teacher professional development for improving quality of teaching*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Crosnoe, R., Riegler-Crumb, C., Field, S., Frank, K., & Muller, C. (2008). Peer group contexts of girls' and boys' academic experiences. *Child Development*, 79(1), 139–155.
- Čechová, M., Dokulil, M., Hlavsa, Z., Hrbáček, J., & Hrušková, Z. (2011). *Čeština – řeč a jazyk*. Praha: SPN – pedagogické nakladatelství.
- Čermák, F. (2011). *Jazyk a jazykověda. Přehled a slovníky*. Praha: Karolinum.
- ČŠI (2018). *Kvalita a efektivita vzdělávání a vzdělávací soustavy ve školním roce 2017/2018. Výroční zpráva*. Praha. Dostupné z: [https://www.csicr.cz/Csicr/media/Prilohy/Obr%C3%A1zky%20ke%20%C4%8Dl%C3%A1nk%C5%AFm/2018/Vyrocni-zprava-CSI-2017-2018\\_final-verze.pdf](https://www.csicr.cz/Csicr/media/Prilohy/Obr%C3%A1zky%20ke%20%C4%8Dl%C3%A1nk%C5%AFm/2018/Vyrocni-zprava-CSI-2017-2018_final-verze.pdf)
- d'Agostino, J.V. (2000). Instructional and school effects on students' longitudinal reading and mathematics achievements. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 11(2), 197–235.

- Darling-Hammond, L., Chung Wei, R., Andree, A., & Richardson, N. (2009). *Professional learning in the learning profession: A status report on teacher development in the United States and abroad*. Oxford: National Staff Development Council.
- Darling-Hammond, L., Hyler, M.E., & Gardner, M. (2017). *Effective teacher professional development*. Palo Alto: Learning Policy Institute.
- Day, C. (1999). *Developing teachers: The challenges of lifelong learning*. Londýn: Falmer Press.
- de Jong, R.J., van Tartwijk, J., Verloop, N., Veldman, I., Wubbels, T. Delefes, P., & Jackson, B. (1998). Teacher-pupil interaction as a function of location in the classroom. *Psychology in the Schools*, 9(2), 119–123.
- DeVito, J. A. (2008). *Základy mezilidské komunikace*. Praha: Grada.
- Den Brok, P. (2001). *Teaching and student outcomes. A study on teachers' thoughts and actions from an interpersonal and a learning activities perspective*. Utrecht: W.C.C.
- Den Brok, P., Brekelmans, M., & Wubbels, T. (2004). Interpersonal teacher behaviour and student outcomes. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 15(3–4), 407–442.
- Desimone, L. M. (2009). Improving impact studies of teachers' professional development: Toward better conceptualizations and measures. *Educational Researcher*, 38(3), 181–199.
- Dombey, H. (2003). Interactions between Teachers, Children and Texts in Three Primary Classrooms in England. *Journal of Early Childhood Literacy*, 3(1), 37–58.
- Domkářová, V. (2008). Komunikační procesy na II. stupni základní školy z hlediska prostorového uspořádání jednotlivých aktérů. *Studia paedagogica*, 13(1), 153–161.
- Doubek, D. (1999). Drsňáci a frajerky. In: *PSŠE: 6. třída*. Praha: interní tisk.
- DeLaet, S., Colpin, H., Vervoort, E., Doumen, S., Van Leeuwen, K., Goossens, L., & Verschueren, K. (2015). Developmental trajectories of children's behavioral engagement in late elementary school: Both teachers and peers matter. *Developmental Psychology*, 51(9), 1292–1306.
- Duschl, R. A., & Osborne, J. (2002). Supporting and promoting argumentation discourse in science education. *Studies in Science Education*, 38(1), 39–72.
- Edwards, A. D., & Westgate, D. P. G. (1994). *Investigating classroom talk*. Lewes: Falmer Press.
- Edwards, A. D., & Mercer, N. (1995). *Common knowledge. The development of understanding in the classroom*. Londýn a New York: Routledge.
- Eliasson, N., Sørensen, H., & Karlsson, K.-G. (2016). Teacher-student interaction in contemporary science classroom: Is participation still a question of gender? *International Journal of Science Education*, 38(10), 1655–1672.
- Engels, M. C., Colpin, H., Van, L. K., Bijttebier, P., Den, N. W. V., Claes, S., Goossens, L., & Verschueren, K. (2017). School engagement trajectories in adolescence: The role of peer likability and popularity. *Journal of School Psychology*, 64(5), 61–75.

- Engle, R.A., Langer-Osuna, J. M. & McKinney de Royston, M. (2014). Toward a model of influence in persuasive discussions: Negotiating quality, authority, privilege, and access within a student-led argument. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 23(2), 245–268.
- English, E., Hargreaves, L. & Hislam, J. (2002). Pedagogical dilemmas in the national literacy strategy: Primary teachers' perceptions, reflections and classroom behaviour. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 32(1), 9–26.
- Erickson, F. (2007). Ways of seeing video: Toward a phenomenology of viewing minimally edited footage. In R. Goldman, R. Pea, B. Barron, & S. Derry (Eds.), *Video research in the learning sciences* (s. 145–155). Mahwah: Erlbaum.
- Fernandes, A. C. & Huang, J. (2012). Chinese teacher perceptions of the impact of classroom seating arrangements on student participation. *International Journal of Applied Educational Studies, Al-Yrmouk*, 13(1), 49–67.
- Flanders, N. A. (1962). Using Interaction Analysis in the Inservice Training of Teachers. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, 30(4), 313–316.
- Flanders, N. (1970). *Analysing Teaching Behavior*. Reading: Addison-Wesley
- Forman, E. A., McCormick, D. E., & Donato, R. (1998). Learning what counts as a mathematical explanation. *Linguistics and Education*, 9(4), 313–339
- Fraser, J. B., McRobbie, C. J., & Fisher, D. L. (1996). *Development, validation and use of personal and class form a new classroom environment instrument*. Příspěvek prezentovaný na konferenci Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, 1996, New York, USA.
- French, J. R. & Raven, B., H. (1959). The bases of social power. In D. Cartwright (Ed.), *Studies in social power* (s. 150–167). Ann Arbor, MI: Institute for Social Research.
- Galton, M., Simon, B., & Croll, P. (1980). *Inside the primary classroom*. Londýn: Routledge.
- Galton, M., Hargreaves, L., Conner, C., Wall, D., & Pell, A. (1999). *Inside the primary classroom: 20 years on*. Londýn: Routledge.
- Gaudin, C., & Chaliès, S. (2015). Video viewing in teacher education and professional development: A literature review. *Educational Research Review*, 16(1), 41–67.
- Gavora, P. (1998). Malí mezi velkými: Žiaci rozprávajúci dialektom. In M. Kučera (Ed.), *Transmise kultury a škola* (s. 73–78). Praha: Francouzský ústav pro výzkum ve společenských vědách.
- Gavora, P., Mareš, J., & den Brok, P. J. (2003). Adaptácia Dotazníka interakčného štýlu učiteľa. *Pedagogická revue*, 55(2), 126–145.
- Gavora, P. (2007). *Učitel a žáci v komunikaci*. Brno: Paido.
- Goh, S., & Fraser, B. J. (2000). Teacher interpersonal teacher behaviour and elementary students' outcomes. *Journal of Research in Childhood Education*, 14(2), 216–231
- Grassinger, R., & Dresel, M. (2017). Who learns from errors on a class test? Antecedents and profiles of adaptive reactions to errors in a failure situation. *Learning And Individual Differences*, 53, 61–68.

- Gremmen, M. C., Van den Berg, Y. H., Steglich, C., Veenstra, R., & Dijkstra, J. K. (2018). The importance of near-seated peers for elementary students' academic engagement and achievement. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 57*, 42–52.
- Gremmen, M. C. et al. (2018). The importance of near-seated peers for elementary students' academic engagement and achievement. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 57*, 42–52.
- Greenleaf, C. L., Hanson, T. L., Rosen, R., Boscardin, D. K., Herman, J., Schneider, S. A., Madden, S., & Jones, B. (2011). Integrating literacy and science in biology: Teaching and learning impacts of reading apprenticeship professional development. *American Educational Research Journal, 48*(3), 647–717.
- Gurtman, M. B. (2009). Exploring personality with the interpersonal circumplex. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass, 3*(4), 601–619.
- Gutierrez, K. D. (2002). Studying cultural practices in urban learning communities. *Human Development, 45*(4), 312–321.
- Harrop, A., & Swinson, J. (2011). Comparison of teacher talk directed to boys and girls and its relationship to their behaviour in secondary and primary schools. *Educational Studies, 37*(1), 115–125.
- Hatch, T., Shuttleworth, J., Jaffee, A. T., & Marri, A. (2016). Videos, pairs, and peers: What connects theory and practice in teacher education? *Teaching and Teacher Education, 59*(1), 274–284.
- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research, 77*(1), 81–112.
- Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible Learning: A Synthesis of Over 800 Meta-Analyses Relating to Achievement*. Londýn: Routledge.
- Hattie, J., & Yates, G. C. R. (2014). *Visible learning and the science of how we learn*. New York: Routledge.
- Heath, B. S. (1986). Questioning at home and at school: A comparative study. In M. Hammersley (Ed.), *Case studies in classroom research* (s. 104–131). Philadelphia: Open University Press.
- He, A. W. (2000). Grammatical and sequential organization of teachers' directives. *Linguistics and Education 1*(1–2), 119–140.
- Hejný, M., & Kuřina, F. (2009). *Dítě, škola a matematika. Konstruktivistické přístupy k vyučování*. Praha: Portál.
- Helgevold, N. (2016). Teaching as creating space for participation – Establishing a learning community in diverse classrooms. *Teachers and Teaching, 22*(3), 315–328.
- Henderson, D. G. (1995). *A study of the classroom and laboratory environments and student attitude and achievement in senior secondary biology classes*. (Nepublikovaná disertační práce). Perth: Curtin University of Technology
- Hennesy, S. (2011). The role of digital artefacts on the interactive whiteboard in mediating dialogic teaching and learning. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 27*(6), 463–586.

- Hennessey, S., Warwick, P., Brown, L., Rawlins, D., & Neale, C. (2014). *Developing interactive teaching and learning using the interactive whiteboard: A resource for teachers*. Maidenhead: Open University Press.
- Hersch, P. (1998). *A tribe apart: A journey into the heart of American adolescence*. New York: Ballantine Books.
- Higgins, S. (2003). Parlez-vous mathematics? In I. Thomson (Ed.), *Enhancing primary school classroom* (s. 54–64). Philadelphia: Open University Press
- Hopmeyer Gorman, A., Kim, J., & Schimmelbusch, A. (2002). The attributes adolescents associate with peer popularity and teacher preference. *Journal of School Psychology, 40*(2), 143–165.
- Howe, C. (2010). *Peer groups and children's development*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Howe, Ch., & Abedin, M. (2013). Classroom dialogue: a systematic review across four decades of research. *Cambridge Journal of Education, 43*(3), 325–356.
- Hrabal, V. (2002). *Diagnostika: pedagogickopsychologická diagnostika žáka s úvodem do diagnostické aplikace statistiky*. Praha: Karolinum
- Chapin, S. H., O'Connor, M. C., & Anderson, N. C. (2003). *Classroom discussions: Using math talk to help students learn, grades 1-6*. Sausalito: Math Solutions Publications.
- Chapin, S., Anderson, N., & O'Connor, C. (2009). *Classroom Discussions: Using math talk to help students learn, 2nd edition*. Sausalito: Math Solutions.
- Chinn, C. A., Anderson R. C., & Waggoner. M. A. (2001). Patterns of discourse in two kinds of literature discussion. *Reading Research Quarterly, 36*(4), 378–411.
- Chinn, C. (2006). Classroom interaction in science: Teacher questioning and feedback to students' responses. *International Journal of Science Education, 28*(11), 1315–1346.
- Irons, A. (2008). *Enhancing learning through formative assessment and feedback*. New York: Routledge.
- Jay, T., Taylor, R., Moore, N., Burnett, C., Merchant, G., Thomas, P., Willis, B., & Stevens, A. (2017). *Dialogic Teaching: Evaluation report and executive summary*. Londýn: Education Endowment Foundation.
- Jurik, V., Gröschner, A., & Seidel, T. (2013). How student characteristics affect girls' and boys' verbal engagement in physics instruction. *Learning and Instruction, 23*, 33–42.
- Juvonen, J., Graham, S., Schuster, M.A. (2003). Bullying Among Young Adolescents: The Strong, the Weak, and the Troubled. *Pediatrics, 112*(6), 1231–1237.
- Juzwik, M., Nystrand, M., Kelly, S., & Sherry, M. B. (2008). Oral narrative genres as dialogic resources for classroom literature study: A contextualized case study of conversational narrative discussion. *American Educational Research Journal, 45*(4), 1111–1154.
- Juzwik, M., Borsheim-Black, C., Caughlan, S., & Heintz, A. (2013). *Inspiring dialogue: Talking to learn in the English classroom*. New York: Teachers College Press.



- Karlík, P., Nekula, M., & Rusínová, Z. (Eds.). (1996). *Příruční mluvnice češtiny*. Praha: Nakladatelství Lidové noviny.
- Kelly, S. (2008). Race, social class, and student engagement in middle school English classrooms. *Social Science Research*, 37(2), 434–448.
- Kim, M.-Y., & Wilkinson, I. A. G. (2019). “What is dialogic teaching? Constructing, deconstructing, and reconstructing a pedagogy of classroom talk.” *Learning, Culture and Social Interaction*, 21, 70–86.
- Kita, S. (2000). How representational gestures help speaking. In D. McNeill (Ed.), *Language and gesture* (s. 162–185). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kennedy, A. (2005). Models of continuing professional development: A framework for analysis. *Journal of In-service Education*, 31(2), 235–250.
- Knausová, I. (2006). *Problémy jazykové socializace. Ověření platnosti Bernsteinovy teorie v českém prostředí*. Olomouc: Votobia.
- Korthagen, F., Kessels, J., Kesters, B., Lagerwerf, B., & Wubbels, T. (2011). *Jak spojit teorii s praxí: Didaktika realistického vzdělávání učitelů*. Brno: Paido.
- Kovalainen, M., & Kumpulainen, K. (2007). The social construction of participation in an elementary classroom community. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 46(3-4), 141–158.
- Kuhn, D. (1992). Thinking as argument. *Harvard Educational Review*, 62(2), 155–178.
- Kulhavy, R., & Stock, W. (1989). Feedback in written instruction: The place of response certitude. *Educational Psychology Review*, 1(4), 279–308.
- Kulič, V. (1971). *Chyba a učení: Funkce chybného výkonu v učení a v jeho řízení*. Praha: Státní pedagogické nakladatelství.
- Kumpulainen, K., & Lipponen, L. (2010). Productive interaction as agentic participation in dialogic enquiry. In K. Littleton & C. Howe (Eds.), *Educational dialogues: Understanding and promoting productive interaction* (s. 48–63). Londýn, New York: Routledge.
- Kyriakides, L., Gagatsis, A., & Campbell, R.J. (2000). The significance of the classroom effect in primary schools: An application of Creemers comprehensive model of educational effectiveness. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 11(4), 501–529.
- Leary, T. (1957). *An interpersonal diagnosis of personality*. New York: Ronald Press Company.
- Labov, W. (1972). *Language in the inner city. Studies in the black English vernacular*. Pennsylvania: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Lack, B., Swars, S. L., & Meyers, B. (2014). Low- and high-achieving sixth-grade students’ access to participation during mathematics discourse. *The Elementary School Journal*, 115(1), 97–123.
- Langer-Osuna, J.M. (2016). The Social Construction of Authority Among Peers and Its Implications for Collaborative Mathematics Problem Solving. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning*, (18)2, 107–124.

- Langer-Osuna, J. M. (2011). How Brianna became bossy and Kofi came out smart: Understanding the differentially mediated identity and engagement of two group leaders in a project-based mathematics classroom. *The Canadian Journal for Science, Mathematics, and Technology Education*, 11(3), 207–225.
- Lease, A. M., Kennedy, Ch. A., & Axelrod, J. L. (2002). Children's social constructions of popularity. *Social Development*, 11(1), 87–10.
- Lefstein, A. (2008). Changing classroom practice through the English National Literacy Strategy: A micro-interactional perspective. *American Educational Research Journal*, 45(3), 701–737.
- Lefstein, A., & Snell, J. (2014). *Better than best practice: Developing teaching and learning through dialogue*. Londýn: Routledge.
- Lemke, J. L. (1990). *Talking science: Language, learning, and values*. Norwood: Ablex Publishing.
- Levitt, K. E. (2002). An analysis of elementary teachers' beliefs regarding the teaching and learning of science. *Science Education*, 86(1), 1–22.
- Lewin, K. (1951). *Field theory in social science: Selected theoretical papers*. New York: Harper and Brothers.
- Li, Y., Doyle Lynch, A., Kalvin, C., Liu, J., & Lerner, R. M. (2011). Peer relationships as a context for the development of school engagement during early adolescence. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 35(4) 329–342.
- Lipman, M. (2003). *Thinking in education*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lukas, J., & Šerek, J. (2008). Předběžné výsledky standardizace dotazníku QTI pro 2. stupeň českých základních škol. In *Sociálne procesy a osobnosť. Zborník z konferencie* (s. 786–797) Bratislava: Ústav experimentálnej psychologie SAV.
- Luyten, H., & De Jong, R. (1998). Parallel classes: Differences and similarities. Teacher effects and school effects in secondary schools. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 9(4), 437–473.
- Lyle, S. (2008). Dialogic teaching: Discussing theoretical context and reviewing evidence from classroom practice. *Language and Education*, 22(3), 222–240.
- Macků, R. (2010). Filozofie pro děti – specifický způsob výchovy zážitkem. *Gymnasion, časopis pro zážitkovou pedagogiku*. Dostupné z <http://archive.gymnasion.org/archive/article/filozofie-pro-deti-specificky-zpusob-vychovy-zazitkem>.
- Makovská, Z. (2011). Žákovské strategie při hledání odpovědi na otázky učitele. *Studia paedagogica*, 16(1), 47–70.
- Mareš, J. (1975). Interakce učitel – žáci v zjednodušeném modelu hromadného vyučování. *Pedagogika*, 25(5), 617–628.
- Mareš, J., & Křivohlavý, J. (1995). *Komunikace ve škole*. Brno: Masarykova univerzita.
- Mareš, J., & Gavora, P. (2004). Interpersonální styl učitelů: Teorie, diagnostika a výsledky výzkumů. *Pedagogika*, 54(2), 101–128.
- Mareš, J., & Ježek, S. (2012). *Klima školní třídy. Dotazník pro žáky*. Praha: Národní ústav pro vzdělávání.

- Marx, R., Blumenfeld, P., & Krajcik, J. (1998). New technologies for teacher professional development. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 14(1), 33–52.
- Marx, A., Fuhrer, U., & Hartig, T. (1999). Effects of classroom seating arrangements on children's question-asking. *Learning Environments Research*, 2(3), 249–263.
- McCroskey, J. C. & McVetta, R. W. (1978). Classroom seating arrangements: Instructional communication theory versus student preferences. *Communication Education*, 27(2), 99–111.
- McCroskey, J., Richmond, V., & McCroskey, L. (2006). *An introduction to communication in the classroom*. Boston: Pearsons Education.
- McElhone, D. (2012). Tell us more: Reading comprehension, engagement, and conceptual press discourse. *Reading Psychology*, 33(6), 525–561.
- McIntyre, E., Kyle, D.W., & Moore, G.H. (2006). A primary-grade teacher's guidance toward small-group dialogue. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 41, 36–66.
- McNeill, D. (1992). *Hand and mind: What gestures reveal about thought*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Means, M. L., & Voss, J. F. (1996). Who reasons well? Two studies of informal reasoning among children of different grade, ability, and knowledge levels. *Cognition and Instruction*, 14(2), 139–178.
- Mehan, H. (1998).
- Mehan, H. (1979a). *Learning lessons. Social organisation in the classroom*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Mehan, H. (1979b). What time is it, Denise? Asking known information questions in classroom discourse. *Theory into Practice*, 18(4), 85–103.
- Meighan, R. (1981). *A sociology of education*. Eastbourne: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- Meissel, K., Parr, J. M., & Timperley, H. S. (2016). Can professional development of teachers reduce disparity in student achievement? *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 58, 163–173.
- Mercer, N., & Edwards, D. (1987). Ground-rules for mutual understanding. A social psychological approach to classroom knowlege. In B. M. Mayor & K. Pugh, *Language, Communication and Education* (s. 357–371). Londýn: Croom Helm.
- Mercer, N. (1995). *The Guided Construction of Knowledge: talk amongst teachers and learners*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.
- Mercer, N. (2000). *Words and minds. How we use language to think together*. Londýn: Routledge.
- Mercer, N., & Littleton, K. (2007). *Dialogue and the development of children's thinking: A sociocultural approach*. Londýn, New York: Routledge.
- Mercer, N. (2008). *The guided construction of knowledge: Talk among teachers and learners*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.
- Mercer, N., & Hodgkinson, S. (Eds.). (2008). *Exploring talk in schools: Inspired by the work of Douglas Barnes*. Londýn: SAGE.

- Mercer, N., & Howe, C. (2012). Explaining the dialogic processes of teaching and learning: The value and potential of sociocultural theory. *Learning, Culture and Social Interaction*, 1(1), 12–21.
- Michaels, S., O'Connor, M. C., & Resnick, L. (2002). *Accountable talk: Classroom conversation that works* [e-book]. Pittsburg: University of Pittsburgh.
- Michaels, S., O'Connor, C., Williams-Hall, M. C., & Resnick, L. B. (2010). *Accountable talk sourcebook: For classroom conversation that works*. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh.
- Michaels, S., & O'Connor, C. (2011). Conceptualizing talk moves as tools: Professional development approaches for academically productive discussion. In L. B. Resnick, C. Asterhan, & S. N. Clarke, *Socializing Intelligence through talk and dialogue* (s. 347–361). Washington: American Educational Research Association.
- Mokrejš, A. (2013). *Jméno – objev a zmizení věci*. Praha: Academia.
- Mortimer, E. F., & Scott, P. (2003). *Meaning making in science classrooms*. Milton Keynes: Open University Press.
- Muhonen, H., Pakarinen, E., Poikkeus, A.-M., Lerkkanen, M.-K., & Rasku-Puttonen, H. (2018). Quality of educational dialogue and association with students' academic performance. *Learning and Instruction*, 55, 67–79.
- Myhill, D. (2002). Bad boys and good girls? Patterns of interaction and response in whole class teaching. *British Educational Research Journal*, 28(3), 339–352.
- Myhill, D., & Warren, P. (2005). Scaffolds or straitjackets? Critical moments in classroom discourse. *Educational Review*, 57(1), 55–69.
- Myhill, D., Jones, S., & Hopper, R. (2007). *Talking, listening, learning*. Londýn: Open University Press.
- Nassaji, H., & Wells, G. (2000). What's the use of "Triadic dialogue"? An investigation of teacher–student interaction. *Applied Linguistics*, 21(3), 376–406.
- Neil, P., & Morgan, C. (2003). *Continuing professional development for teachers from induction to senior management*. Londýn: Kogan Page.
- Neill, S. (1991). *Classroom nonverbal communication*. Londýn: Routledge.
- Newcomb, A. F., Bukowski, W. M., & Pattee, L. (1993). Children's peer relations: A metaanalytic review of popular, rejected, neglected, controversial, and average sociometric status. *Psychological Bulletin*, 113(1), 99–128.
- Newton, P., Driver, R., & Osborne, J. (1999). The place of argument in the pedagogy of school science. *International Journal of Science Education*, 21(5), 553–576.
- Nystrand, M., Gamoran, A., Kachur, R., & Prendergast, C. (1997). *Opening dialogue. Understanding the dynamics of language and learning in the English classroom*. New York, Londýn: Teachers College Press.
- Nystrand, M. (2006). Research on the role of classroom discourse as it affects reading comprehension. *Research in the Teaching English*, 40, 392–412.
- O'Connor, E., Dearing, E., & Collins B. A. (2011). Teacher-child relationship and behavior problem trajectories in elementary school. *American Educational Research Journal*, 48(1), 120–162.

- O'Connor, C., Michaels, S., & Chapin, S. (2015). "Scaling Down" to explore the role of talk in learning: From district intervention to controlled classroom study. In L. B. Resnick, Ch. S. C. Asterhan, & S. N. Clarke (Eds.), *Socializing intelligence through academic talk and dialogue* (s. 111–126). Washington: AERA.
- O'Connor, C., Michaels, S., Chapin, S., & Harbaugh, A. G. (2016). The silent and the vocal: Participation and learning in whole-class discussion. *Learning and Instruction, 48*, 5–13.
- O'Connor, M.C., Michaels, S., Chapin, S., & A.G. Harbaugh (2017). The silent and the vocal: Participation and learning in whole-class discussion. *Learning and Instruction, 48*, 5–13.
- Parker, M., & Hurry, J. (2007). Teachers' use of questioning and modelling comprehension skills in primary classrooms. *Educational Review, 59*(3), 299–314.
- Patton, J. E., Snell, J., Knight, W. J., & Gerken, K. (2001). *A Survey Study of Elementary Classroom Seating Designs*. National Association of School Psychologists. Dostupné z <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED454194>
- Pehmer, A. K., Gröschner, A., & Seidel, T. (2015). Fostering and scaffolding student engagement in productive classroom discourse: Teachers' practice changes and reflections in light of teachers professional development. *Learning, Culture and Social Interaction, 7*, 12–27.
- Pennings, H.J.M., van Tartwijk, J., Wubbels, T., Claessens, L.C.A., van der Want, A. C., & Brekelmans, M. (2014). Real-time teacher-student interactions: A dynamic systems approach. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 37*, 183–193.
- Pedersen, D. M. (1994). Privacy preferences and classroom seat selection. *Social Behavior & Personality, 22*(4), 393–398.
- Penuel, W. R., Fishman, B. J., Cheng, B. H., & Sabelli, N. (2011). Organizing research and development at the intersection of learning, implementation, and design. *Educational Researcher, 40*(7), 331–337.
- Petty, G. (2006). *Moderní vyučování*. Portál. Praha.
- Pianta, R. C., & Stuhlman, M. W. (2004). Teacher-child relationships and children's success in the first years of school. *School Psychology Review, 33*(3), 444–458.
- Picci, P., Calvani, A., & Bonaiuti, G. (2012). The use of digital video annotation in teacher training: The teachers' perspectives. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences, 69*(24), 600–613.
- Pierce, K. M., & Gilles, C. (2008). From exploratory talk to critical conversation. In N. Mercer (Ed.), *Exploring talk in schools: Inspired by the work of Douglas Barnes* (s. 37–54). Londýn: SAGE.
- Pimentel, S. D., & McNeill, K. L. (2013). Conducting talk in secondary science classrooms: Investigating instructional moves and teachers' beliefs. *Science Education, 97*(3), 367–394.
- Pine, K. J., Lufkin, N., & Messer, D. J. (2004). More gestures than answers: Children learning about balance. *Developmental Psychology, 40*(6), 1059–1067.

- Pratt, N. (2006). „Interactive“ teaching in numeracy lessons: What do children have to say? *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 36(2), 221–235.
- Prouzová, R. (1997). Když se řekne... jazyk. In *Pražská skupina školní etnografie: 3. třída. Výzkumná zpráva*. Praha.
- Prouzová, R. (1998). Specifika mluveného projevu žáků třetí třídy. In *Pražská skupina školní etnografie: 3. třída. Výzkumná zpráva*. Praha.
- Prouzová, R. (1999). Aspekty mluveného projevu žáků čtvrté třídy. In *Pražská skupina školní etnografie, 4. třída*. Praha: Pedagogická fakulta.
- Průcha, J., Walterová, E., & Mareš, J. (2003). *Pedagogický slovník*. Praha: Portál.
- Pstružinová, J. (1992). Některé pedagogicko psychologické aspekty učitelských otázek. *Pedagogika*, 42(2), 223–228.
- Rámcový vzdělávací program pro základní vzdělávání*. (2017). Praha: Výzkumný ústav pedagogický v Praze. Dostupné z <http://www.msmt.cz/file/43792/>
- Rampton, B. (2006). *Language in Late Modernity: Interaction in an Urban School*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Raven, B. H. (2008). The bases of power and the power/interaction model of interpersonal influence. *Analysis of Social Issues and Public Policy*, 8(1), 1–22.
- Raviv, A., Raviv, A., & Reisel, E. (1990). Teachers and students: Two different perspectives?! Measuring social climate in the classroom. *American Educational Research Journal*, 27(1), 141–157.
- Rathvon, N. (2008). *Effective school interventions: Evidence-based strategies for improving student outcomes*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Rentsch, K., SchröderAbé, M. and Schütz, A. (2013). Being Called a ‘Streber’: The Roles of Personality and Competition in the Labelling of Academically Oriented Students. *European Journal of Personality*, 27, 411–423.
- Resnick, L.B., Michaels, S., & O’Connor, C. (2011). How (well structured) talk builds the mind. In R. Sternberg & D. Preiss (Eds.), *From genes to context: New discoveries about learning from educational research and their applications* (s. 163–194). New York: Springer.
- Resnick, L. B., Asterhan C. S. C., & Clarke S. N. (2015). Talk, learning, and teaching. In L. B. Resnick, C. S. C. Asterhan, & S. N. Clarke (Eds.), *Socializing intelligence through academic talk and dialogue* (s. 1–12). Washington: American Educational Research Association.
- Resnick, L. B. (2015). Talking to learn: The promise and challenge of dialogic teaching. In L. B. Resnick, C. S. C. Asterhan, & S. N. Clarke (Eds.), *Socializing intelligence through academic talk and dialogue* (s. 441–450). Washington: AERA.
- Resnick, L. B., Asterhan C. S. C., & Clarke S. N. (2017). Student discourse for learning. In G. E. Hall, D. M. Gollnick, & L. F. Qzinn (Eds.), *Handbook of teaching and learning*. Wiley: Wiley-Blackwell.

- Reznitskaya, A., & Gregory, M. (2013). Student thought and classroom language: Examining the mechanism of change in dialogic teaching. *Educational Psychologist*, 48(2), 114–133.
- Reznitskaya, A., & Wilkinson, I. (2015). Professional development in dialogic teaching: Helping teachers promote argument literacy in their classrooms. In D. Scott & E. Hargreaves (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of learning* (s. 219–232). Londýn: SAGE.
- Ridling, Z. (1994). *The effects of three seating arrangements on teachers' use of selective interactive verbal behaviors*. New Orleans: American Educational Research Association.
- Richmond, V., & Roach, D. (1992). Power in the classroom: Seminal studies. In V. Richmond & J. McCroskey (Eds.), *Power in the classroom: Communication, control and concern* (s. 47–66). Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Riessman, C. C. (2008). *Narrative methods for the human sciences*. California: SAGE.
- Roehler, L. E., & Canlon, D. J. (1997). Scaffolding: A powerful tool in social constructivist classrooms. In K. Hogan & M. Presley (Eds.), *Scaffolding Student Learning. Institutional Approaches and Issues* (s. 6–42). Cambridge: Brooklin Books.
- Roth, W.-M. (2001). Gestures: Their role in teaching and learning. *Review of Educational Research*, 71(3), 365–392.
- Roth, W.-M., & Welzel, M. (2001). From activity to gestures and scientific language. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 38(1), 103–136.
- Roth, W. M. (2010). *Language, Learning, Context. Talking the talk*. New York: Routledge.
- Rymes, B. (2003). Eliciting Narratives: Drawing Attention to the Margins of Classroom Talk. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 37(3), 380–407.
- Sadler, P., Ethier, N., Gunn, G. R., Duong, D., & Woody E. (2009). Are we on the same wavelength? Interpersonal complementarity as shared cyclical patterns during interactions. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 97(6), 1005–1020.
- Samková, L., Hošpesová, A., Roubíček, F., & Tichá, M. (2015). Badatelsky orientované vyučování matematice. *Scientia in Educatione*, 6(1), 91–122.
- Sandal, O., Wold, B., & Bronis, M. (1999). Relationship between students' perceptions of school environment, their satisfaction with school and perceived academic achievement: An international study. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 10(3), 296–320.
- Sandoval, W. A., & Millwood, K. A. (2005). The quality of students' used of evidence in written scientific explanations. *Cognition and Instruction*, 23(1), 23–55.
- Scott, P. H., Mortimer, E. F. and Aguiar, O. G. (2006). The tension between authoritative and dialogic discourse: A fundamental characteristic of meaning making interactions in high school science lessons. *Science Education*, 90, 605–631.
- Sedláček, M., & Šedřová, K. (2017). How many are talking? The Role of collectivity in dialogic teaching. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 85, 99–108.
- Sedláček, M., & Šedřová, K. (2019, v recenzním řízení). *Are student engagement and peer relationships connected to student participation in classroom talk?*

- Seryová Juhová, D. (2018). Vztahy mezi učiteli a žáky z pohledu teorie vazby. In L. Lacinová et al., *Adolescenti a dospělí kolem nich* (s. 81–99). Brno: Masarykova univerzita.
- Sfard A. (2008). *Thinking as communicating*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Sharpe, T. (2008). How can teacher talk support learning? *Linguistics and Education* 19(2), 132–148.
- Shuell, T.J. (1996). Teaching and learning in a classroom context. In D.C. Berliner & R.C. Calfee (Eds.), *Handbook of educational psychology* (s. 726–764). New York: Macmillan.
- Shute V. J. (2008). Focus on Formative Feedback. *Review Of Educational Research*, 78(1), 153–189. Dostupné z <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.3102/0034654307313795>
- Scheerens, J. (Ed.). (2010). *Teachers' professional development. Europe in international comparison*. Lucemburk: Office for Official Publication of the European Union.
- Scherr, R. E. (2004). Gestures as evidence of student thinking about physics. In *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 720(1), 61–64.
- Schleppegrell, M. J. (2001). Linguistic features of the language and schooling. *Linguistics and Education*, 12(4), 431–459.
- Schnitzerová, E., & Račková, M. (1995). Niektoré kvantitatívne charakteristiky pedagogickej interakcie v situácii frontálneho vyučovania na ZŠ. In *Psychológia a patopsychológia* (s. 293–301). Bratislava: Slovenské pedagogické nakladateľstvo.
- Schrober, M. F., & Clark, H. H. (1989). Understanding by addressees and overhearers. *Cognitive Psychology*, 21(2), 211–232.
- Silverstein, H., & Stang, D. J. (1976). Seating position and interaction in triads: A field study. *Sociometry*, 39(2), 166–170.
- Sinclair, J. M., & Coulthard, M. (1975). *Towards an analysis of discourse: The English used by teachers and pupils*. Londýn: Oxford University Press.
- Skidmore, D., & Murakami, K. (2010). How prosody marks shifts in footing in classroom discourse. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 49(2-3), 69–77.
- Slama-Cazacu, T. (1976). Nonverbal components in message sequence: „Mixed syntax“. In W. C. McCormack & S. A. Wurm (Eds.), *Language and man: Anthropological issues* (s. 217–222). Haag: Mouton.
- Smagorinsky, P. (2013). The development of social and practical concepts in learning to teach: A synthesis and extension of Vygotsky's conception. *Learning, Culture And Social Interaction*, 2(4), 238–248.
- Smetáčková, I., & Rubín, T. (2015). Když se dívky srovnávají s chlapci: Aktivace genderového stereotypu a výkon v matematice v prostředí českých škol. *Česko-slovenská psychologie*, 59(6), 580–581.
- Snell, J., & Lefstein, A. (2018). „Low ability,” participation, and identity in dialogic pedagogy. *American Educational Research Journal*, 55(1), 40–78.



- Stuchlíková, I. (2010). O badatelsky orientovaném vyučování. In M. Papáček (Ed.), *Didaktika biologie v České republice 2010 a badatelsky orientované vyučování* (s. 129–136). České Budějovice: Jihočeská univerzita v Českých Budějovicích, PedF.
- Sucháček, P. (2016). Implementace prvků dialogického vyučování v rámci mikro-vyučování u studentů učitelství přírodovědných předmětů. *Studia paedagogica*, 21(3), 81–106.
- Svatoš, T. (2011). Pedagogická interakce a komunikace pohledem kategoriálního systému. *Studia paedagogica*, 16(1), 175–190.
- Šalamounová, Z. (2013). Čtení z rukou – gestika jako nástroj zviditelňování myšlení. *Studia paedagogica*, 18(1), 37–55.
- Šalamounová, Z. (2015). *Socializace do školního jazyka*. Brno: Masarykova univerzita.
- Šalamounová, Z., Bradová, J., & Lojdová, K. (2014). Mocenské vztahy mezi začínajícími učiteli a jejich žáky. *Pedagogická orientace*, 24(3), 375–393.
- Šalamounová, Z. (2016). Když jsou náročné otázky jen pro někoho. Kognitivní náročnost versus princip kolektivity. In K. Šeďová, R. Švaříček, M. Sedláček, & Z. Šalamounová, *Jak se učitelé učí. Cestou profesního rozvoje k dialogickému vyučování* (s. 185–205). Brno: Masarykova univerzita.
- Šalamounová, Z., & Fučík, P. (2019). The relationship between peer status and students' participation in classroom discourse. *Educational Studies*, 1–18.
- Šeďová, K. (2005). Podoby pedagogické komunikace: Intencionální a iluzivní dialog. *Pedagogika*, 55(4), 368–381.
- Šeďová, K. (2011). Mocenské konstelace ve výukové komunikaci. *Studia paedagogica*, 16(1), 89–118.
- Šeďová, K., Švaříček, R., & Šalamounová, Z. (2012). *Komunikace ve školní třídě*. Praha: Portál.
- Šeďová, K., Šalamounová, Z., & Švaříček, R. (2014). Troubles with Dialogic Teaching. *Learning, Culture and Social Interaction*, 3(4), 274–285.
- Šeďová, K. (2015). Moc v dialogickém vyučování. *Pedagogická orientace*, 25(1), 32–62.
- Šeďová, K., Sucháček, P., & Majcík, M. (2015). Kdopak to mluví? Participace žáků ve výukové komunikaci na druhém stupni základní školy. *Pedagogika*, 65(2), 143–162.
- Šeďová, K., Švaříček, R., Sedláček, M., & Šalamounová, Z. (2016). *Jak se učitelé učí. Cestou profesního rozvoje k dialogickému vyučování*. Brno: Masarykova univerzita.
- ŠEĎOVÁ, K. (2019). Mluvit, či nemluvit? Participace na výukové komunikaci očima vokálních a tichých žáků a jejich učitelek. *Orbis scholae*, 13(2), 65–94. doi: 10.14712/23363177.2019.3.
- Šeďová, K., Sedláček, M., Švaříček, R., Majcík, M., Navrátilová, A., Drexlerová, A., Kychler, J., & Šalamounová, Z. (2019). Do those who talk more learn more? The relationship between student classroom talk and student achievement. *Learning and Instruction*, 63. Dostupné z <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959475218303839>

- Šedřová, K., & Navrátilová, J. (2019, v recenzním řízení). *Silent students and the patterns of their participation in classroom talk.*
- Švařiček, R. (2011). Funkce učitelských otázek ve výukové komunikaci na druhém stupni základní školy. *Studia paedagogica*, 16(1), 9–46.
- Švařiček, R., & Šalamounová, Z. (2013). Vizuální akty ve výukové komunikaci. *Pedagogická orientace*, 23(1), 48–71.
- De Jong, R. J., Van Tartwijk, J., Verloop, N., Veldman, I., & Wubbels, T. (2012). Teachers' expectations of teacher-student interaction: Complementary and distinctive expectancy patterns. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 28(7), 948–956.
- Tripp, R. T., & Rich, J. R. (2012). The influence of video analysis on the process of teacher change. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 28(5), 728–739.
- Tulis, M. (2013). Error management behavior in classrooms: Teachers' responses to student mistakes. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 33, 56–68.
- Vařurová, L. (2011). Genderově stereotypní vzorce chování v pedagogické komunikaci na druhém stupni základní školy. *Studia paedagogica*, 16(1), 211–224.
- Van de Grift, W., Houtveen, T., & Vermeulen, C. (1997). Instructional climate in Dutch Secondary education. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 8(4), 449–462.
- Vaňková, I., & Nebeská, I. (2005). Člověk v jazyce a ve světě. In I. Vaňková, I. Nebeská, L. Saicová Římalová, & J. Šlédrová (Eds.), *Co na srdci, to na jazyku* (s. 37–106). Praha: Karolinum.
- Vrikkí, M., Kershner, R., Calcagni, E., Hennessy, S., Lee, L., Hernández, F., Estrada, N., & et al. (2019). The teacher scheme for educational dialogue analysis (T-SEDA): developing a research-based observation tool for supporting teacher inquiry into pupils' participation in classroom dialogue. *International Journal of Research & Method in Education*, 42(2), 185–203.
- Vygotskij, L. S. (1970). *Myšlení a řeč*. Praha: SPN.
- Vygotskij, L. S. (1976). *Vývoj vyšších psychických funkcí*. Praha: SPN.
- Wagner Cook, S. M., & Goldin-Meadow, S. (2006). The role of gesture in learning: Do children use their hands to change their minds? *Journal of Cognition and Development*, 7(2), 211–232.
- Wannarka, R., & Ruhl, K. (2008). Seating arrangements that promote positive academic and behavioural outcomes: A review of empirical research. *Support for Learning*, 23(2), 89–93.
- Wang, J., & Hartley, K. (2003). Video technology as a support for teacher education reform. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 11(1), 105–138.
- Watzlawick, P., Beavin Bavelasová, J., & Jackson, D. D. (2000). *Pragmatika lidské komunikace: Interakční vzorce, patologie a paradoxy*. Hradec Králové: Konfrontace.
- Webb, N. M., & Palincsar, A. S. (1996). Group processes in the classroom. In D. C. Berliner & R. C. Calfee (Eds.), *Handbook of educational psychology* (s. 841–873). New York: Macmillan.

- Webb, N. M., Franke, M. L., Ing, M., Wong, J., Fernandez, C. H., Shin, N., & Turrou, A. C. (2014). Engaging with others' mathematical ideas: Interrelationships among student participation, teachers instructional practices, and learning. *International Journal of Educational Research* 63, 79–93.
- Wells, G., & Arauz, M. R. (2006). Dialogue in the classroom. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 15(3), 379–428.
- Weinstein, C. (1979). The physical environment of the school: A review of the research. *Review of Educational Research*, 49(4), 577–610.
- Wentzel, K. R., Barry, C. M., & Caldwell, K. A. (2004). Friendships in middle school: Influences on motivation and school adjustment. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 96(2), 195–203.
- Wertsch, J. (1985). *Vygotsky and the social formation of mind*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Wilkinson, I. A. G., Murphy, P. K., & Binici, S. (2015). Dialogue-intensive pedagogies for promoting reading comprehension: What we know, what we need to know. In L. B. Resnick, C. S. C. Asterhan, & S. N. Clarke (Eds.), *Socializing intelligence through academic talk and dialogue* (s. 37–50). Washington: American Educational Research Association.
- Winograd, K. (2002). The negotiative dimension of teaching: Teachers sharing power with the less powerful. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 18(3), 343–362.
- Wood, D. (1998). *How children think and learn: The social contexts of cognitive development*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Wortham, S. (2006). *Learning Identity: The Joint Emergence of Social Identification and Academic Learning*. Cambridge University Press.
- Wubbels, T., Créton, H., & Hooymayers, H. P. A. (1992). Review of research on teacher communications styles with use of the Leary model. *Journal of Classroom Interaction*, 27(1), 1–12.
- Wubbels, T., & Brekelmans, M. (2005). Two decades of research on teacher-student relationships in class. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 43(1-2), 6–24.
- Wulf, K. M. (1977). Relationship of assigned classroom seating area to achievement variables. *Educational Research Quarterly*, 2(2), 56–62.
- Young, C. (2014). Predictors of quality verbal engagement in third-grade literature discussions. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, 6(3), 427–440.
- Zeichner, K. (2012). The turn once again toward practice-based teacher education. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 63(5), 376–382.
- Zohar, A., & Nemet, F. (2002). Fostering students' knowledge and argumentation skills through dilemmas in human genetics. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 39(1), 35–62.
- Zormanová, L. (2009). *Gender ve vzdělávání dětí a mládeže na ZŠ: Srovnání míry aktivity chlapců a dívek ve výuce občanské výchovy na základní škole*. (Disertační práce) Dostupné z: [http://is.muni.cz/th/239706/pedf\\_d/](http://is.muni.cz/th/239706/pedf_d/)

