

References

- Arrow, H., & Burns, K. L. (2004). Self-organizing culture: How norms emerge in small groups. In M. Schaller & C. S. Crandall (Eds.), *The psychological foundation of culture* (pp. 171–199). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Barrett, N. (2020). On the nature and origins of cognition as a form of motivated activity. *Adaptive Behavior*, 28(2), 89–103. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1059712318824325>
- Barton, S. (1994). Chaos, self-organization, and psychology. *American Psychologist*, 49(1), 5–14. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.49.1.5>
- Bateson, G. (1972). *Steps to an ecology of mind*. Ballantine Books.
- Bateson, G. (2002). *Mind and nature: A necessary unity*. Hampton Press.
- Ben-Ari, R., & Eliassy, L. (2003). The differential effects of the learning environment on student achievement motivation: A comparison between frontal and complex instruction strategies. *Social Behavior and Personality: An International Journal*, 31(2), 143–166. <https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.2003.31.2.143>
- Berthold, K., Eysink, T. H. S., & Renkl, A. (2009). Assisting self-explanation prompts are more effective than open prompts when learning with multiple representations. *Instructional Science*, 37(4), 345–363. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-008-9051-z>
- Bing, T. J., & Redish, E. F. (2009). Analyzing problem solving using math in physics: Epistemological framing via warrants. *Physical Review Special Topics-Physics Education Research*, 5(2). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.5.020108>
- Bolender, J. (2010). *The self-organizing social mind*. The MIT Press. <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/9780262014441.001.0001>
- Bond, H. M., & Wing-Chun Ng, W. I. (2004). The depth of a group's personality resources: Impacts on group process and group performance. *Asian Journal of Social Psychology*, 7(3), 285–300. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-839X.2004.00149.x>
- Brevik, L. M., Gunnulfsen, A. E., & Renzulli, J. S. (2018). Student teachers' practice and experience with differentiated instruction for students with higher learning potential. *Teaching and Teacher Education: An International Journal of Research and Studies*, 71(1), 34–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.12.003>
- Brigandi, C. B., Gilson, C. M., & Miller, M. (2019). Professional development and differentiated instruction in an elementary school pullout program: A gifted education case study. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted*, 42(4), 362–395. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0162353219874418>
- Brooks, J. G., & Brooks, M. G. (1999). *In search of understanding: The case for constructivist classrooms*. ASCD.

- Brown, J. S., Collins, A., & Duguid, P. (1989). Situated cognition and the culture of learning. *Educational Researcher*, 18(1), 32–42. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X018001032>
- Camilleri, K. (2014). Toward a constructivist epistemology of thought experiments in science. *Synthese*, 191(8), 1697–1716. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11229-013-0358-1>
- Carey, S. (1999). Knowledge acquisition: Enrichment or conceptual change. In E. Margolis & S. Laurence (Eds.), *Concepts: Core readings* (pp. 459–487). MIT Press.
- Cobb, P., & Yackel, E. (1996). Constructivist, emergent, and sociocultural perspectives in the context of developmental research. *Educational Psychologist*, 31(3), 175–190. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep3103&4_3
- Colliver, R., Goff, S., Reedy, R., & Vaartjes, V. (2015). Systemic pedagogy: A design for action researcher collective self development. *ALAR Journal*, 21(1), 53–76. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.3687.0562>
- Dale, R., Fusaroli, R., Duran, N. D., & Richardson, D. C. (2013). The self-organization of human interaction. In B. H. Ross (Ed.), *Psychology of learning and motivation* (Vol. 59, pp. 43–95). Academic Press.
- Dewald, R. J. (2020). How would Socrates enhance online learning in nursing education? *Nursing Education Perspectives*, 41(4), 253–254. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.NEP.0000000000000582>
- Dixon, J. A., Stephen, D. G., Boncoddio, R., & Anastas, J. (2010). The self-organization of cognitive structure. In B. H. Ross (Ed.), *Psychology of learning and motivation* (Vol. 52, pp. 343–384). Academic Press.
- Duffy, T. M., & Raymer, P. L. (2010). A practical guide and a constructivist rationale for inquiry based learning. *Educational Technology*, 50(4), 3–15.
- Engle, R. A., Nguyen, P. D., & Mendelson, A. (2011). The influence of framing on transfer: Initial evidence from a tutoring experiment. *Instructional Science*, 39(5), 603–628. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-010-9145-2>
- Eysink, T. H., Hulsbeek, M., & Gijlers, H. (2017). Supporting primary school teachers in differentiating in the regular classroom. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 66, 107–116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.04.002>
- Fields, C. (2016). Building the observer into the system: Toward a realistic description of human interaction with the world. *Systems*, 4(32), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.3390/systems4040032>
- Flynn, P., Vermette, P. J., Mesibov, D., & Smith, R. M. (2007). *Captivating classes with constructivism: Practical strategies for pre-service and in-service teachers*. The Institute for Learning Centered Education.
- Gebre, E. H., & Polman, J. L. (2020). From “context” to “active contextualization”: Fostering learner agency in contextualizing learning through science news reporting. *Learning, Culture and Social Interaction*, 24, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lcsi.2019.100374>

- Gendler, T. S. (2000). *Thought experiment: On the powers and limits of imaginary cases*. Routledge.
- Gericke, N., Hagberg, M., & Jorde, D. (2013). Upper secondary students' understanding of the use of multiple models in biology textbooks—The importance of conceptual variation and incommensurability. *Research in Science Education*, 43(2), 755–780. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-012-9288-z>
- Gobert, J. D., O'Dwyer, L., Horwitz, P., Buckley, B. C., Levy, S. T., & Wilensky, U. (2011). Examining the relationship between students' understanding of the nature of models and conceptual learning in biology, physics, and chemistry. *International Journal of Science Education*, 33(5), 653–684. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500691003720671>
- Greene, J. A., & Yu, S. B. (2014). Modeling and measuring epistemic cognition: A qualitative re-investigation. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 39(1), 12–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2013.10.002>
- Gurses, A., Gunes, K., Dalga, T., & Dogar, C. (2015). A design practice for interactive-direct teaching based on constructivist learning (IDTBCL): Boiling and evaporation. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 197, 2377–2383. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.07.293>
- Hammer, D., & Elby, A. (2002). On the form of a personal epistemology. In B. K. Hofer & P. R. Pintrich (Eds.), *Personal epistemology: The psychology of beliefs about knowledge and knowing* (pp. 169–190). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Hammer, D., & Elby, A. (2003). Tapping epistemological resources for learning physics. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 12(1), 53–90. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327809JLS1201_3
- Hammer, D., & van Zee, E. (2006). *Seeing the science in children's thinking: Case studies of student inquiry in physical science*. Heinemann.
- Hammer, D., Elby, A., Scherr, R. E., & Redish, E. F. (2005). Resources, framing, and transfer. In J. P. Mestre (Ed.), *Transfer of learning from a modern multidisciplinary perspective* (pp. 89–119). IAP.
- Hester, P. T., & Adams, K. M. (2014). *Systemic thinking: Fundamentals for understanding problems and messes*. Springer.
- Hickey, D. T., Moore, A. L., & Pellegrino, J. W. (2001). The motivational and academic consequences of elementary mathematics environments: Do constructivist innovations and reforms make a difference? *American Educational Research Journal*, 38(3), 611–652. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312038003611>
- Hofer, B. (2006). Domain specificity of personal epistemology: Resolved questions, persistent issues, new models. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 45(1), 85–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2006.08.006>

- Holland, J. H. (2006). Studying complex adaptive systems. *Journal of Systems Science and Complexity*, 19(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11424-0060001-z>
- Hollis, G., Kloos, H., & Van Orden, G. C. (2009). Origins of order in cognitive ability. In S. Guastello, M. Koopmans & D. Pincus (Eds.), *Chaos and complexity in psychology* (pp. 206–241). Cambridge University Press.
- Honkimäki, S., Tynjälä, P., & Valkonen, S. (2004). University students' study orientations, learning experiences and study success in innovative courses. *Studies in Higher Education*, 29(4), 431–449. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0307507042000236353>
- Hutchison, P., & Hammer, D. (2010). Attending to student epistemological framing in a science classroom. *Science Education*, 94(3), 506–524. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20373>
- Chi, M., Slotta, J. D., & De Leeuw, N. (1994). From things to processes: A theory of conceptual change for learning science concepts. *Learning and Instruction*, 4(1), 27–43. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-4752\(94\)90017-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-4752(94)90017-5)
- Chinn, C. A., O'Donnell, A. M., & Jinks, T. S. (2000). The structure of discourse in collaborative learning. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 69(1), 77–97. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220970009600650>
- Imuta, K., Scarf, D., Carson, S., & Hayne, H. (2018). Children's learning and memory of an interactive science lesson: Does the context matter? *Developmental Psychology*, 54(6), 1029–1037. <https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000487>
- Ingraham, C. (1996). Systemic pedagogy: Activating sociological thinking inside and outside the classroom. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, 16(11), 3–9. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ebo13277>
- Jehng, J. C. J., Johnson, S. D., & Anderson, R. C. (1993). Schooling and students' epistemological beliefs about learning. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 18(1), 23–35. <https://doi.org/10.1006/ceps.1993.1004>
- Justi, R. S. (2000). Teaching with historical models. In J. K. Gilbert & C. J. Boulter (Eds.), *Developing models in science education* (pp. 209–226). Kluwer Academic.
- Kapon, S. (2016). Unpacking sensemaking. *Science Education*, 101(1), 165–198. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21248>
- Kloos, H., Baker, H., & Waltzer, T. (2019). A mind with a mind of its own: How complexity theory can inform early science pedagogy. *Educational Psychology Review*, 31(3), 735–752. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-019-09472-6>
- Knezic, D., Wubbels, T., Elbers, E., & Hajer, M. (2010). The Socratic dialogue and teacher education. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 26(4), 1104–1111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2009.11.006>
- Kost, A., & Chen, F. M. (2015). Socrates was not a pimp: Changing the paradigm of questioning in medical education. *Academic Medicine*, 90(1), 20–24. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000000446>

- Krell, M., Reinisch, B., & Krüger, D. (2015). Analyzing students' understanding of models and modeling referring to the disciplines biology, chemistry, and physics. *Research in Science Education*, 45(3), 367–393. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-014-9427-9>
- Kriz, J. (2009). Cognitive and interactive patterning: Processes of creating meaning. In J. Valsiner, P. C. M. Molenaar, M. C. D. P. Lyra & N. Chaudhary (Eds.), *Dynamic process methodology in the social and developmental sciences* (pp. 619–650). Springer.
- Kuhn, T. S. (1977). *The essential tension*. University of Chicago Press.
- Kuhn, D., Cheney, R., & Weinstock, M. (2000). The development of epistemological understanding. *Cognitive Development*, 15(3), 309–328.
- Lazarová, B. (2018). Systemický přístup a sebereflexe v práci učitele—skepse nebo naděje?. *Pedagogická orientace*, 7(4), 19–23.
- Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation*. Cambridge University Press.
- Linn, M. C., & Eylon, B. S. (2000). Knowledge integration and displaced volume. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 9(4), 287–310. <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1009451808539>
- Lombardi, D., Sinatra, G. M., & Nussbaum, E. M. (2013). Plausibility reappraisals and shifts in middle school students' climate change conceptions. *Learning and Instruction*, 27, 50–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2013.03.001>
- Lourenco, O. (2012). Piaget and Vygotsky: Many resemblances, and a crucial difference. *New Ideas in Psychology*, 30(3), 281–295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newideapsych.2011.12.006>
- Luhmann, N. (1995). *Social systems*. Stanford University Press.
- Lund, A. (2006). The multiple contexts of online language teaching. *Language Teaching Research*, 10(2), 181–204. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1362168806lr1910a>
- Maloney, D. (2015). Teaching critical thinking: Sense-making, explanations, language, and habits. *Physics Teacher*, 53(7), 409–411. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.4931008>
- McClelland, J. L. (2010). Emergence in cognitive science. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, 2(4), 751–770. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1756-8765.2010.01116.x>
- Mella, P. (2014). *The magic ring: Systems thinking approach to control systems*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-05386-8>
- Milner, A. R., Templin, M. A., & Czerniak, C. M. (2010). Elementary science students' motivation and learning strategy use: Constructivist classroom contextual factors in a life science laboratory and a traditional classroom. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 22(2), 151–170. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-010-9200-5>
- Mudrák, J., Zábrodská, K., & Takács, L. (2020a). Systemic approach to the development of reading literacy: Family resources, school grades, and

- reading motivation in fourth-grade pupils. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 37. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00037>
- Mudrak, J., & Zabrodska, K. (2015). Childhood giftedness, adolescent agency: A systemic multiple-case study. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 59(1), 55–70. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0016986214559602>
- Mudrak, J., Zabrodska, K., & Machovcova, K. (2020b). Psychological constructions of learning potential and a systemic approach to the development of excellence. *High Ability Studies*, 31(2), 181–212. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13598139.2019.1607722>
- Muis, K., Bendixen, L., & Haerle, F. (2006). Domain-generality and domain-specificity in personal epistemology research: Philosophical and empirical reflections in the development of a theoretical framework. *Educational Psychology Review*, 18(1), 3–54. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-006-9003-6>
- Nadelson, L. S., Heddy, B. C., Jones, S., Taasobshirazi, G., & Johnson, M. (2018). Conceptual change in science teaching and learning: Introducing the dynamic model of conceptual change. *International Journal of Educational Psychology*, 7(2), 151–195. <https://doi.org/10.17583/ijep.2018.3349>
- Odden, T. O. B., & Russ, R. S. (2019). Defining sensemaking: Bringing clarity to a fragmented theoretical construct. *Science Education*, 103(1), 187–205. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21452>
- Oeberst, A., Halatchliyski, I., Kimmerle, J., & Cress, U. (2014). Knowledge construction in Wikipedia: A systemic-constructivist analysis. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 23(2), 149–176. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10508406.2014.888352>
- Oliveira, A. W., & Sadler, T. D. (2008). Interactive patterns and conceptual convergence during student collaborations in science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 45(5), 634–658. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20211>
- Pelech, J. (2010). *The comprehensive handbook of constructivist teaching: From theory to practice*. Information Age Publishing.
- Pellegrini, D. W. (2009). Applied systemic theory and educational psychology: Can the twain ever meet? *Educational Psychology in Practice*, 25(3), 271–286. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02667360903151841>
- Piaget, J. (1954). *The construction of reality in the child*. Basic Books.
- Piaget, J. (1970). Piaget's theory. In P. H. Mussen (Ed.), *Carmichael's manual of child psychology* (3rd ed., Vol. 1, pp. 703–732). Wiley.
- Piaget, J. (1977). *The development of thought: Equilibration of cognitive structures*. Viking Press.
- Pozas, M., Letzel, V., & Schneider, C. (2020). Teachers and differentiated instruction: Exploring differentiation practices to address student

- diversity. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*, 20(3), 217–230. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-3802.12481>
- Prigogine, I., & Stengers, I. (1984). *Order out of chaos: Man's new dialogue with nature*. Bantam Books.
- Reiner, M. (1998). Thought experiments and collaborative learning in physics. *International Journal of Science Education*, 20(9), 1043–1058. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0950069980200903>
- Reiner, M., & Gilbert, J. (2000). Epistemological resources for thought experimentation in science learning. *International Journal of Science Education*, 22(5), 489–506. <https://doi.org/10.1080/095006900289741>
- Reiner, M., & Gilbert, J. (2008). When an image turns into knowledge: The role of visualization in thought experimentation. In J. K. Gilbert, M. Reiner & M. Nakhleh (Eds.), *Visualization: Theory and practice in science education* (pp. 295–309). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-5267-5>
- Richardson, V. (2003). Constructivist pedagogy. *Teachers College Record*, 105(9), 1623–1640. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1467-9620.2003.00303.x>
- Roiha, A. S. (2014). Teachers' views on differentiation in content and language integrated learning (CLIL): Perceptions, practices and challenges. *Language and Education*, 28(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500782.2012.748061>
- Rosenberg, S., Hammer, D., & Phelan, J. (2006). Multiple epistemological coherences in an eighth-grade discussion of the rock cycle. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 15(2), 261–292. <https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327809jls1502>
- Samarapungavan, A., Westby, E. L., & Bodner, G. M. (2006). Contextual epistemic development in science: A comparison of chemistry students and research chemists. *Science Education*, 90(3), 468–495. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20111>
- Sherin, B. L., Krakowski, M., & Lee, V. R. (2012). Some assembly required: How scientific explanations are constructed during clinical interviews. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 49(2), 166–198. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20455>
- Shtulman, A. (2009). Rethinking the role of resubsumption in conceptual change. *Educational Psychologist*, 44(1), 41–47. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520802616275>
- Schwab, S., Sharma, U., & Hoffmann, L. (2019). How inclusive are the teaching practices of my German, Maths and English teachers? – psychometric properties of a newly developed scale to assess personalisation and differentiation in teaching practices. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2019.1629121>
- Silseth, K. (2018). Students' everyday knowledge and experiences as resources in educational dialogues. *Instructional Science*, 46(2), 291–313. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-017-9429-x>

- Sjøberg, S. (2010). Constructivism and learning. In P. Peterson, E. Baker & B. McGaw (Eds.), *International encyclopedia of education* (3rd ed., pp. 485–490). Elsevier.
- Southerland, S., Kittleson, J., Settlage, J., & Lanier, K. (2005). Individual and group meaning-making in an urban third grade classroom: Red fog, cold cans, and seeping vapor. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 42(9), 1032–1061. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20088>
- Stroet, K., Opdenakker, M. C., & Minnaert, A. (2016). Fostering early adolescents' motivation: A longitudinal study into the effectiveness of social constructivist, traditional and combined schools for prevocational education. *Educational Psychology*, 36(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2014.893561>
- Taber, K. S. (2011). Constructivism as educational theory: Contingency in learning, and optimally guided instruction. In J. Hassaskhah (Ed.), *Educational theory* (pp. 39–61). Nova Science Publishers.
- Tajino, A. (Ed.). (2019). *A systems approach to language pedagogy* (Vol. 17). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-6272-9>
- Tannen, D. (1993). *Framing in discourse*. Oxford University Press.
- Thaneerananon, T., Triampo, W., & Nokkaew, A. (2016). Development of a test to evaluate students' analytical thinking based on fact versus opinion differentiation. *International Journal of Instruction*, 9(2), 123–138. <https://doi.org/10.12973/iji.2016.929a>
- Tsai, C. C. (2000). Relationships between student scientific epistemological beliefs and perceptions of constructivist learning environments. *Educational Research*, 42(2), 193–205. <https://doi.org/10.1080/001318800363836>
- Van Orden, G., Holden, J., & Turvey, M. T. (2003). Self-organization of cognitive performance. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 132(3), 331–350. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0096-3445.132.3.331>
- Velentzas, A., & Halkia, K. (2013). The use of thought experiments in teaching physics to upper secondary-level students: Two examples from the theory of relativity. *International Journal of Science Education*, 35(18), 3026–3049. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2012.682182>
- Von Bertalanffy, L. (1968). *General systems theory: Foundations, development, application*. Pergamon.
- Vygotsky, L. (1997). *Educational psychology*. St. Lucie Press.
- Webster, R., & Blatchford, P. (2019). Making sense of 'teaching', 'support' and 'differentiation': The educational experiences of pupils with Education, Health and Care Plans and Statements in mainstream secondary schools. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 34(1), 98–113. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08856257.2018.1458474>