

# References

1. Cowhey, P.F. 1990. The international telecommunications regime: The political roots of regimes for high technology. *International Organization* 44 (2).
2. Fransman, M. 2001. Evolution of the telecommunications industry into the internet age. In *Telecoms in the Internet Age: From Boom to Bust to—?*, ed. M. Fransman, 34–80. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
3. Glazer, J.H. 1962. The law-making treaties of the international telecommunication union through time and in space. *Michigan Law Review* 60 (3): 269–315.
4. Thierer, A.D. 1994. Unnatural monopoly: Critical moments in the development of the bell system monopoly. *Cato Journal* 14 (2): 267–285.
5. Montanye, J.A. 1996. Rent seeking never stops: An essay on telecommunications policy. *The Independent Review* 1 (2): 249–280.
6. Li, F., and W. Jason. 2002. Deconstruction of the telecommunications industry: From value chain to value networks. *Telecommunications Policy* 26 (9–10): 451–483.
7. Raphael, D.E. 1998. The future of telecommunications: Connectivity through alliances. *Business Economics* 33 (2): 32.
8. U.S. Congress Office of Technology Assessment. 1992. *U.S. Banks and International Telecommunications: Background Paper, OTA-BP-TCT-100*. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.
9. Krasner, S.D. 1991. Global communications and national power: Life on the Pareto frontier. *World Politics* 43 (3): 336–366.
10. Roberts, L.G. 1978. The evolution of package switching. In *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 1307–1313.
11. Landweber, L. 2015. *Untold Internet: The Internet-OSI Standards Wars*. [cited 2021 15 December]; Available from: <https://www.internethalloffame.org/blog/2015/11/12/untold-internet-internet-osi-standards-wars>
12. World Bank. 2020. *Europe 4.0: Addressing the Digital Dilemma*. World Bank.
13. Drake, W.J. 1994. Asymmetric deregulation and the transformation of the international telecommunications regime. In *Asymmetric Deregulation: The Dynamics of Telecommunications Policies in Europe and the United States*, ed. E.M. Noam and G. Pogorel, 137–203. Amsterdam: Pogorel.
14. Brotman, S.N. 2016. *Was the 1996 Telecommunications Act Successful in Promoting Competition?* [cited 2021 15 December]; Available from: <https://www.brookings.edu/blog/techtank/2016/02/08/was-the-1996-telecommunications-act-successful-in-promoting-competition/>
15. Neyer, J. 2021. After global governance: Technological innovation and the new politics of sovereignty in internet governance. *Journal of Political Science*.
16. Williamson, J. (ed.).1990. *Latin American Adjustment: How Much Has It Happened?* Washington D.C.: Institute for International Economics.

17. Rodrik, D. 2006. Goodbye Washington consensus, hello Washington confusion? A review of the World Bank's economic growth in the 1990s: Learning from a decade of reform. *Journal of Economic Literature* XLIV: 973–987.
18. Williamson, J. 2004. *The Washington Consensus as Policy Prescription for Development*, in *Practitioners of Development*. The World Bank Washington, DC: Institute for International Economics.
19. Therborn, G. 2007. After dialectics—Radical social theory in a post-communist world. *New Left Review* 2: 63–114.
20. WTO. 1997. *The WTO Negotiations on Basic Telecommunications*. [cited 2021 26 November]; Available from: [https://www.wto.org/english/news\\_e/pres97\\_e/summary.htm](https://www.wto.org/english/news_e/pres97_e/summary.htm)
21. Monteiro, J.-A. 2021. *Hold the line: The evolution of telecommunications provisions in regional trade agreements*, in *WTO Staff Working Paper, No. ERSD-2021–7*. Geneva: W.T. Organization.
22. Rodrik, D. 2013. *The Past, Present, and Future of Economic Growth*. Global Citizen Foundation.
23. Rodrik, D. 2010. Making room for China in the world economy. *American Economic Review* 100 (2): 89–93.
24. Tan, Z. 2003. *Telecommunications, Technology and China's Modernisation: China's Emerging Technological Trajectory in the Twenty-First Century*. New York: Troy.
25. Harwit, E. 2007. Building China's telecommunications network: Industrial policy and the role of Chinese state-owned, foreign and private domestic enterprises. *The China Quarterly* 190: 311–332.
26. Xu, Y. 2004. *3G Mobile Policy: The Case of China and Hong Kong SAR*. Telecommunication Case Studies for the International Telecommunication Union (ITU).
27. Harvey, P. 2004. *Cisco Drops Huawei Suit*. [cited 2021 23 December]; Available from: <https://www.lightreading.com/ethernet-ip/cisco-drops-huawei-suit/d/d-id/604715>
28. Pohlmann, T., K. Blind, and P. Heß. 2020. *Fact Finding Study on Patents Declared to the 5G Standard*. Available from: [https://www.iplytics.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/5G-patent-study\\_TU-Berlin\\_IPlytics-2020.pdf](https://www.iplytics.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/5G-patent-study_TU-Berlin_IPlytics-2020.pdf)
29. WIPO. 2008. *Global Economic Slowdown Impacts 2008 International Patent Filings*. World Intellectual Property Organisation.
30. ShanghaiDaily.com. 2009. *Huawei Leads the World with 3G Contracts Boom*. [cited 2009 12 March]; Available from: <https://archive.shine.cn/business/it/Huawei-leads-the-world-with-3G-contracts-boom/shdaily.shtml>
31. Lin, X., and N. Lee. 2021. *5G and Beyond: Fundamentals and Standards*, 1st ed. Cham: Springer Nature.
32. National Bureau of Statistics of China. 2007. *China Statistical Yearbook*, vol. 26. Beijing: China Statistics Press.
33. Harwit, E. 2004. Spreading telecommunications to developing areas in China: Telephones, the internet and the digital divide. *The China Quarterly* 180: 1010–1030.
34. The New York Times. 2008. *China Surpasses U.S. in Number of Internet Users*. [cited 3 January 2009]; Available from: <https://www.nytimes.com/2008/07/26/business/worldbusiness/26internet.html>
35. Statista. 2021. *Number of Mobile Cell Phone Subscriptions in China from October 2020 to October 2021*. [cited 2021 16 December]; Available from: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/278204/china-mobile-users-by-month/>
36. Xinhua. 2021. *China's Internet Users Exceed 1 bln: Report*. [cited 2021 16 December]; Available from: [http://www.news.cn/english/2021-08/27/c\\_1310151874.htm](http://www.news.cn/english/2021-08/27/c_1310151874.htm).
37. Davis, M. 2022. Thanatos triumphant. *New Left Review* 48.
38. China Briefing. 2022. *US-China Relations in the Biden-Era: A Timeline*. [cited 2022 22 April]; Available from: <https://www.china-briefing.com/news/us-china-relations-in-the-biden-era-a-timeline/>
39. Ferdinand, P. 2000. The internet, democracy and democratization. *Democratization* 7 (1).

40. Laidlaw, E. 2015. The internet as a democratising force. In *Regulating Speech in Cyberspace: Gatekeepers, Human Rights and Corporate Responsibility*, ed. E. Laidlaw, 1–35. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
41. Baischew, D., et al. 2020. *Digital Sovereignty in Europe—A First Benchmark*. Bad Honnef: WIK-Consult.
42. Africa Union. 2020. *Digital Transformation Strategy for Africa (2020–2030)*.
43. Hao, K. 2022. *Artificial Intelligence is Creating a New Colonial World Order*. Available from: <https://www.technologyreview.com/2022/04/19/1049592/artificial-intelligence-colonialism/>
44. Zuboff, S. 2019. *The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for the future at the new frontier of power*. New York: PublicAffairs.
45. Varoufakis, Y. 2021. *Techno-Feudalism is Taking Over*. [cited 2022 11 March]; Available from: <https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/techno-feudalism-replacing-market-capitalism-by-yanis-varoufakis-2021-06>
46. Carnap, K.V., and V. Tan. 2021. *Tech Regulation in China Brings in Sweeping Changes*. [cited 2021 3 November]; Available from: <https://merics.org/de/kurzanalyse/tech-regulation-china-brings-sweeping-changes>
47. Yates, M. 2011. Postmetaphysical thinking. In *Jürgen Habermas: Key Concepts*, ed. B. Fultner. Acumen Publishing.
48. Jelinek, T. 2020. The future rulers? On artificial intelligence ethics and governance. In *Reset Europe: Time for Culture to Give Europe New Momentum*, eds. W. Billows, and S. Körber, 244–252. Stuttgart: Institut für Auslandsbeziehungen (ifa).
49. Feenberg, A. 1991. *Critical Theory of Technology*. New York: Oxford University Press.
50. Scharff, R.C., and V. Dusek. 2014. *Philosophy of Technology: The Technological Condition: An Anthology*, vol. xv, 719. Malden, MA: Wiley Blackwell.
51. European Data Protection Board. 2019. *Government Access to Data in Third Countries: Final Report*. Brussels.
52. Creemers, R. 2020. *China Approach to Cyber Sovereignty*. Berlin: Konrad-Adenauer-Stiftung.
53. Accenture. 2022. Cybersecurity in China: The meaning and impact of the country's multi-level protection scheme 2.0. In *Cybersecurity in China*.
54. Wübbecke, J., et al. 2021. *Navigating China's Cybersecurity Regulations*. Sinolytics.
55. CCP. 2022. *Plan Focuses on Digital Economy Development During 14th Five-Year Plan Period*. [cited 2022 9 May]; Available from: [https://english.www.gov.cn/policies/latestreleases/202201/12/content\\_WS61de9a35c6d09c94e48a385f.html](https://english.www.gov.cn/policies/latestreleases/202201/12/content_WS61de9a35c6d09c94e48a385f.html)
56. NPC. 2021. *Data security law of the People's Republic of China, in No. 84*. Beijing: The National People's Congress of the People's Republic of China.
57. Horchert, J., and C. Stöcker. 2013. Shopping for spy gear: Catalog advertises NSA toolbox. In *Der Spiegel*.
58. BSI. 2021. *Cisco Produkte durch mehrere Schwachstellen angreifbar, in Schwachstellen, Gefährdung, Vorfall, IT-Assets*. Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik.
59. Risen, J. 2022. *The FBI Tired to Ambush My Source. Now I'm Telling the Whole Story*. The Intercept.
60. 6G-IA. 2022. *Memorandum of Understanding Signed Between the 6G-IA and the IMT-2030 (6G) Promotion Group on 6G Cooperation*. Available from: [https://6g-ia.eu/single\\_post/?slug=memorandum-of-understanding-signed-between-the-6g-ia-and-the-imt-2030-6g-promotion-group-on-6g-cooperation](https://6g-ia.eu/single_post/?slug=memorandum-of-understanding-signed-between-the-6g-ia-and-the-imt-2030-6g-promotion-group-on-6g-cooperation)
61. Bhat, J.R., and S. Alqahtani. 2021. 6G ecosystem: Current status and future perspective. *IEEE Access* 9.
62. Nye, Joseph S. 2014. The regime complex for managing global cyber activities. In *Global Commission on Internet Governance Paper Series*. Global Commission on Internet Governance.
63. Jelinek, T., W. Wallach, and D. Kerimi. 2020. Policy brief: The creation of a G20 coordinating committee for the governance of artificial intelligence. *AI and Ethics*.
64. Jelinek, T. 2022. The artificial intelligence governance gap: A barrier to intelligent decarbonization. In *Intelligent Decarbonisation. Lecture Notes in Energy*, vol. 86, eds. O. Inderwildi and M. Kraft, 183–191. Cham: Springer.

65. Vinuesa, R., et al. 2020. The role of artificial intelligence in achieving the sustainable development goals. *Nature Communications* 11 (233).
66. Rolnick, D., et al. 2019. Tackling climate change with machine learning. arXiv:1906.05433
67. Inderwildi, O., and M. Kraft (eds.). 2022. *Intelligent Decarbonisation. Lecture Notes in Energy*, vol. 86. Cham: Springer.
68. Brundage, M., et al. 2018. The malicious use of artificial intelligence: forecasting, prevention and mitigation. arXiv:1802.07228
69. Husain, A. 2018. *Conflict and competition in the AI century*. Austin: SparkCognition Press.
70. Group of Twenty. 2019. G20 ministerial statement on trade and digital economy. [cited 2020, 10 April; Available from: <https://www.mofa.go.jp/files/000486596.pdf>
71. Corea, F. 2018. AI knowledge map: how to classify AI technologies. [cited 2019 29 August]; Available from: <https://link.medium.com/0f4BD3u754>
72. Lee, K.-F., and Q. Chen. 2021. *AI 2041: Ten visions for our future*. London: Virgin Digital.
73. Schaupp, S. 2021. *Technopolitik von unten. Algorithmische Arbeitssteuerung und kybernetische Proletarisierung*. Berlin: Matthes & Seitz.
74. Fukuyama, F. 2016. Reflections on Chinese governance. *Journal of Chinese Governance* 1 (3): 379–391.
75. Kissinger, H., E. Schmidt, and D. Huttenlocher. 2021. *The Age of AI: And our Human Future*. Boston: Little, Brown and Company.
76. Kania, E.B., *AI Weapons in China's Military Innovation*. Global China: Technology The Brookings Institute.
77. Zeng, Y., E. Lu, and C. Huangfu. 2018. Linking artificial intelligence principles. arXiv:1812.04814
78. Madiega, T. 2022. *Artificial Intelligence Act*. Brussels: European Parliamentary Research Service.
79. UNESCO. 2021. UNESCO member states adopt the first ever global agreement on the ethics of artificial intelligence. [cited 2021; 25 November]. Available from: <https://en.unesco.org/news/unesco-member-states-adopt-first-ever-global-agreement-ethics-artificial-intelligence>
80. Work, R.O., and B. Fabian. 2021. For JADC2 to have a chance, DoD needs to get serious about data standards. [cited 2021 28 November]; Available from: <https://breakingdefense.com/2021/11/for-jadc2-to-have-a-chance-dod-needs-to-get-serious-about-data-standards/>
81. Commission, E. 2021. *Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council Laying Down Harmonized Rules on artificial intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act) and Amending Certain Union Legislative Acts*. Brussels: European Commission.
82. Cyberspace Administration of China. 2021. *Internet Information Service Algorithm Recommendation Management Regulation (Draft for Public Comment)*. [cited 2021 12 October]; Available from: [http://www.cac.gov.cn/2021-08/27/c\\_1631652502874117.htm](http://www.cac.gov.cn/2021-08/27/c_1631652502874117.htm)
83. OECD.AI. 2021. *Database of National AI Policies*. [cited 2021 28 November 2021]; Available from: <https://oecd.ai/>
84. Jelinek, T. 2018. Post rapprochement: Sino-West relations. In *Cultures of We? Europe and the Search for a New Narrative*, eds. W. Billow and S. Körber, 244–252. Göttingen: European National Institutes for Culture and Institut für Auslandsbeziehungen (ifa).
85. Schwab, K. 2015. *The Fourth Industrial Revolution: What it means and How to respond*. Foreign Affairs. <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/2015-12-12/fourth-industrial-revolution>
86. Wikipedia. 2021. *Alexander Graham Bell*. Wikipedia.
87. Ellen MacArthur Foundation. 2013. Towards the circular economy: economic and business rationale for an accelerated transition.
88. ElMassah, S., and M. Mohieldin. 2020. Digital transformation and localizing the sustainable development goals (SDGs). *Ecological Economics* 169: 106490.
89. Tsinghua University. 2021. *AI governance for Sustainable Development Goals*. Newsletter [cited 2021 30 November]; Available from: <http://aiig.tsinghua.edu.cn/en/info/1041/1073.htm>
90. Žižek, S. 2009. *The Parallax View*, vol. x, 434. Cambridge, Mass.; London: MIT.

91. McManus, M. 2019. How Slavoj Žižek can help us understand climate change. [cited 2022 13 March]; Available from: <https://merionwest.com/2019/10/04/how-slavoj-zizek-can-help-us-understand-climate-change/>
92. Campbell, K.M., and E. Ratner. 2018. *The China Reckoning: How Beijing Defied American Expectations*. Foreign Affairs.
93. Bateman, J. 2022. *US-China Technology Decoupling*. Carnegie Endowment for International Peace.
94. Li, C. 2022. Paradox: Reconciling drastic moves and political stability. In *The Reshuffling Report* [cited 2022; Available from: <https://www.chinausfocus.com/2022-CPC-congress/paradox-reconciling-drastic-moves-and-political-stability>
95. Feng, J. “*Racism*” at Heart of U.S. Policy Toward China, Former Ambassador Says. Newsweek Digital.
96. European Chamber of Commerce in China. 2020. *Decoupling: Severed Ties and Patchwork Globalisation*. Partnership with Merics.
97. Hayashi, Y. Nations aim to secure supply chains by turning offshoring into ‘friend-shoring’. *The Wall Street Journal*.
98. Paul, C., et al. 2021. *A guide to extreme competition with China*. Santa Monica, Calif: Rand Corporation, National Security Research Division.
99. Piketty, T. 2022. *Responding to China: The Case for Global Justice and Democratic Socialism*. U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Strategic Multilayer Assessment (SMA), Institute for National Strategic Studies.
100. Blinken, A.J. 2021. *A Foreign Policy for the American People*. State Department. <https://www.state.gov/a-foreign-policy-for-the-american-people>
101. Sanger, D.E. 2021. *Biden Defines His Underlying Challenge with China: ‘Prove Democracy Works’*. The New York Times.
102. Weber, I., Taylor, and e. Francis. 2021. *How China Escaped Shock Therapy: The Market Reform Debate*. 1st ed. Routledge studies on the Chinese economy. Abingdon, Oxon; New York, N.Y: Routledge.
103. Nolan, P. 2004. *China at the Crossroads*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
104. Caixin Global. 2021. Full text: Xi Jinping’s speech on boosting common prosperity. [cited 2022 21 March]; Available from: <https://www.caixinglobal.com/2021-10-19/full-text-xi-jinpings-speech-on-boosting-common-prosperity-101788302.html>
105. Qu, T., and Z. Xin. 2022. China to end regulatory storm over Big Tech and give sector bigger role in boosting slowing economy, sources say. [cited 2022; Available from: <https://www.scmp.com/tech/big-tech/article/3175971/china-end-regulatory-storm-over-big-tech-and-give-sector-bigger-role>
106. Johnston, L.A. 2021. *The Belt and Road Initiative as Ten Commandments: Revisiting XI’s Launch Speeches and the Washington Consensus*. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3931191>
107. Statista. 2022. *Chinese Communist Party: Statistics and Facts*. [cited 29 April 2022; Available from: <https://www.statista.com/topics/1247/chinese-communist-party/>
108. Li, C. 2016. *Chinese Politics in the Xi Jinping era: Reassessing Collective Leadership*. Washington, District of Columbia: Brookings Institution Press.
109. Li, C. 2022. *Prelude: Anticipated Major Leadership Changes at China’s 20th Party Congress*. The reshuffling report 2022 [cited 2022; Available from: <https://www.chinausfocus.com/2022-CPC-congress/prelude-anticipated-major-leadership-changes-at-chinas-20th-party-congress>
110. Nolan, P. 2004. *Transforming China: Globalization, Transition, and Development*, 333. Anthem studies in political economy and globalization. London: Anthem Press.
111. Jelinek, T. 2009. *A Critical Assessment of Competing Representations of Contemporary China: Working in a Large Chinese High-Tech Corporation*, in *Department of Sociology*, 225. Cambridge, UK: University of Cambridge.
112. Minzner, C. 2018. The end of China’s reform era. *Asia Policy* 13 (4): 83–98.
113. Fukuyama, F. 1992. *The End of History and the Last Man*. New York: Avon.

114. Piketty, T., and A. Goldhammer. 2017. *Capital in the Twenty-First Century*, 793. London: The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
115. Žižek, S. 2008. *In Defense of Lost Causes*, 504. London, New York: Verso.
116. Rodrik, D. 2011. *The Globalization Paradox: Democracy and The Future of the World Economy*. New York, London: W.W. Norton & Co.
117. Anheier, H.K., and A. Filip. 2020. *The Rodrik Trilemma and the Dahrendorf Quandary: An Empirical Assessment*, IV. Dahrendorf Forum.
118. Ortega, A. 2018. The demolition of Rodrik's trilemma. [cited 2022 12 March]; Available from: <https://www.realinstitutoelcano.org/en/the-demolition-of-rodriks-trilemma/>
119. Manow, P. 2021. Populism is a symptom of democracy's deeper crisis. [cited 2022 6 March]; Available from: <https://symposium.org/populism-is-a-symptom-of-democracys-deeper-crisis/>
120. Runciman, D. 2018. *How Democracy Ends*, 249. First US ed. New York: Basic Books.
121. Rodrik, D. 2007. *One Economics, Many Recipes: Globalization, Institutions, and Economic Growth*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
122. Crozier, M., et al. 1975. *The Crisis of Democracy: Report on the Governability of Democracies to the Trilateral Commission*, 220. New York: New York University Press.
123. Fukuyama, F. 2020. The pandemic and political order: it takes a state. [cited 2020 August 2020]; Available from: <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/world/2020-06-09/pandemic-and-political-order>
124. Roubini, N. 2021. *Biden's Neo-Populist Economic Doctrine*. [cited 2021 3 August]; Available from: <https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/biden-trump-neopopulist-economic-doctrine-by-nouriel-roubini-2021-08>
125. Hofman, B. 2022. How fast can China grow? In *Critical Issues Confronting China Series*. Fairbank Center.
126. Sacks, D. 2022. *What is China learning from Russia's war in Ukraine?* Foreign Affairs.
127. Varas, A., et al. 2021. *Strengthening the Global Semiconductor Supply Chain in an Uncertain Era*. Boston Consulting Group.
128. Taylor, A. 2021. *Biden Delivered Straight Talk on Taiwan—Contradicting A Deliberately Ambiguous U.S. Policy. Did he Misspeak?* The Washington Post.
129. Ryder, H. 2022. Africans need more seats at the tables of power. [cited 1st May 2022; Available from: <https://foreignpolicy.com/2022/02/07/african-union-multilaterals-more-representation-g20/>
130. Sachs, J.D. 2021. The case for a G21. [cited 2022 1 May 2022]; Available from: <https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/g20-should-become-g21-with-african-union-by-jeffrey-d-sachs-2021-08>
131. WTO and WEF. 2022. *The Promise of TradeTech: Policy Approaches to Harness Trade Digitalization*. W. Publications: Geneva.