

## Použitá literatura

- Abt, E. et al.* Science and Decisions: Advancing Risk Assessment. *Risk Analysis*, 2010, č. 7, s. 1028–1036
- Adler, M. D., Posner, E. A.* *New Foundations of Cost-Benefit Analysis*. Harvard: Harvard University Press, 2006
- Ahteensuu, M.* In Dubio Pro Natura? A Philosophical Analysis of the Precautionary Principle in Environmental and Health Risk Governance. Reports from the Department of Philosophy Vol. 20. Turku: University of Turku, 2008
- Alemanno, A.* Case C-79/09, Gowan Comércio Internacional e Servicos Lda v. Ministero della Salute, Judgment of the Court of Justice of the European Union (Second Chamber) of 22 December 2010. *Common Market Law Review*, 2011, s. 1329–1348
- Alemanno, A.* EU Risk Regulation and Science. The role of experts in decision-making and judicial review. In *Vos, E. (ed.) European Risk Governance. Its Science, its Inclusiveness and its Effectiveness*. CONNEX Report Series Nr. 6, 2008, s. 37–87
- Alemanno, A., Gabbi, S.* *Foundations of EU Food Law and Policy: Ten Years of the European Food Safety Authority*. Abingdon: Routledge, 2016
- Altieri, M. A.* Agroecology: the science of natural resources management for poor farmers in marginal environments, *Ag. Ecosys. Environment*, 2002, s. 1–24
- Altieri, M. A., Toledo, V. M.* The agroecological revolution in Latin America: Rescuing nature, ensuring food sovereignty and empowering peasants. *Journal of Peasant Studies*, 2011, č. 3, s. 587–612
- Andersen, M. S., Clubb, D. O.* Understanding and Accounting for the Costs of Inaction. In: European Environment Agency. *Late Lessons from Early Warnings*. 2. vyd. Kodaň: EEA, 2013, s. 564–580
- Anderson, R.* Rotation Design: A Critical Factor for Sustainable Crop Production in a Semiarid Climate: A Review. In *Lichtfouse, E. Organic Farming, Pest Control and Remediation of Soil Pollutants*. *Sustainable Agriculture Reviews 1*, Springer 2010, s. 107–121
- Arndt, B.* *Das Vorsorgeprinzip im EU-Recht*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2009
- Ashford, N. A., Hall, R. P.* The Importance of Regulation-induced Innovation for Sustainable Development. *Sustainability*, 2011, č. 1, s. 270–292
- Arcuri, A.* Reconstructing Precaution, Deconstructing Misconceptions. *Ethics and International Affairs*, 2007, č. 3, s. 359–379

- Atapattu, S. A.* Emerging Principles of International Environmental Law. Leiden: Brill, 2007
- Axelsson, O. et al.* Re: Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology. International Journal of Occupational Environmental Health, 2003, č. 4, s. 386–390
- Badgley, C. et al.* Organic Agriculture and the Global Food Supply. Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems, roč. 22, 2007, s. 89–108
- Baldwin, C., von Hippel, E.* Modeling a Paradigm Shift: From Producer Innovation to User and Open Collaborative Innovation. Organization Science, 2011, č. 6, s. 1399–1417
- Bartlett, D., Friedmann, H.* Precaution versus Sound Science. In *Kirton, J. J., Hajnal, P. I. (eds.) Sustainability, Civil Society and International Governance*. Ashgate, 2006, s. 41–62
- Bellon, M. R. et al.* Assessing the vulnerability of traditional maize seed systems in Mexico to climate change. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, (108/33) 2011, s. 13432–13437
- Bernasconi-Osterwalder, N.* Center for International Environmental Law, Seventh Annual WTO Conference, 22-23 May 2007, Background Note. WTO Dispute Settlement: Current Issues. The GMOs Dispute. Interpreting WTO Law and the Relevance of Multilateral Environmental Agreements in EC-Biotech, s. 5, [http://www.ciel.org/Publications/BIICL\\_ECBiotech\\_7Jun07.pdf](http://www.ciel.org/Publications/BIICL_ECBiotech_7Jun07.pdf)
- Biber-Klemm, S. et al.* Challenges of biotechnology in international trade regulation. In *Cottier, Th., Delimatsis, P.* The Prospects of International Trade Regulation From Fragmentation to Coherence. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, s. 284–320
- Bohanes, J.* Risk Regulation in WTO Law. A Procedure-Based Approach to Precautionary Principle. Columbia Journal of Transnational Law, 2002, s. 323–355
- Bonny, S.* L'agriculture française: le double risque des OGM. Risques, 1999, s. 67–72
- Boulle, L.* The Law of Globalization. An Introduction. New York: Wolters Kluwer, 2009
- Boyle, A.* International Law and the Environment. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002
- Bronner, G., Géhun, E.* L'inquiétant principe de précaution. Presses Universitaires de France, 2. vyd. 2014
- Cameron, L.* Environmental Risk Management in New Zealand: Is there Scope to Apply a more Generic Framework? In New Zealand Treasury Policy Perspectives Paper 06/2006, 2006
- Cardis, E., Sadetzki, S.* Indications of possible brain tumour risk in mobile-phone studies: should we be concerned? Occup. Environ. Med., 2011, č. 3, s. 169–171
- Cardis, E. et al.* Distribution of RF energy emitted by mobile phones in anatomical structures of the brain. Phys. Med. Biol., 2008, č. 11, s. 2771–2783
- Cogliano, V. J.* The IARC monographs: a resource for precaution and prevention: a commentary on the editorial by Martuzzi on „The precautionary principle: in action for public health“. Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2007, č. 9, s. 572–577

- Cosbey, A.* A Forced Evolution? The Codex Alimentarius Commission, Scientific Uncertainty and the Precautionary Principle. Winnipeg: International Institute for Sustainable Development, 2002, s. 1–16, <http://www.iisd.org/library/forced-evolution-codex-alimentarius-commission-scientific-uncertainty-and-precautionary>
- Cory-Slechta, D.* Studying toxicants as single chemicals: does this strategy adequately identify neurotoxic risk? *Neurotoxicology*, 2005, č. 4, s. 491–510
- de Sadeleer, N.* Interpreting the Precautionary Principle. Approaches from the Nordic Countries, EU and USA. London: Earthscan, 2007
- de Smedt, K., Vos, E.* Chapter 8. The Application of the Precautionary Principle in the EU. In *Mieg, H. A. (ed.)* The Responsibility of Science. Berlin: Springer, 2022, s. 163–186
- Dillon, S.* Opportunism and the World Trade Organization: Corporations, Academics and „Member States“. In *Picker, C. et al. (eds.)* International Economic Law: The State and Future of the Discipline. Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2008
- Dosenbach, N. U. et al.* Prediction of individual brain maturity using fMRI. *Science* 329(5997) 2010, s. 1358–1361
- Downes, Ch.* The Impact of WTO SPS Law on EU Food Regulations. Springer, 2014
- Eeckhout, P.* Judicial Enforcement of WTO Law in the European Union – Some Further Reflections. *Journal of International Economic Law*, 2002, s. 91–110
- EFSA* Final review of the Séralini et al. (2012a) publication on a 2-year rodent feeding study with glyphosate formulations and GM maize NK603 as published online on 19 September 2012 in Food and Chemical Toxicology. *EFSA Journal*, 2012, č. 11, s. 2986–2996
- ECHA (European Chemicals Agency)* Committee for Risk Assessment. Glyphosate: no change proposed to hazard classification. ECHA/NR/22/10. 30. 5. 2022, <https://echa.europa.eu/-/glyphosate-no-change-proposed-to-hazard-classification>
- Emch, A.* The European Court of Justice and WTO Dispute Settlement Rulings. The End of the Flirt. *Journal of World Investment and Trade*, 2006, č. 4, s. 563–589
- European Environment Agency* Late lessons from early warnings: the precautionary principle 1896-2000. Environmental Issue Report No. 22. Kodaň: EEA, 2001
- European Environment Agency* Late Lessons from Early Warnings: science, precaution, innovation. EEA Report No. 1/2013, 2013
- European Environment Agency* The European Environment – state and outlook 2010. European Environment Agency State of the environment report 2010. Kodaň, 2010
- European Environment Agency* „Statement on Mobile Phones for Conference on Cell Phones and Health: Science and Public Policy Questions“, Washington, 15 September 2009
- European Parliament* Directorate General for Parliamentary Research Services, The Precautionary Principle. European Union, 2016

- European Policy Evaluation Consortium (EPEC)* Evaluation of the EU legislative framework in the field of cultivation of GMOs under Directive 2001/18/EC and Regulation (EC) No. 1829/2003, and the placing on the market of GMOs as or in products under Directive 2001/08/EC, Final Report, March 2011
- European Research Council* Guidelines on Implementation of Open Access to Scientific Publications and Research Data in projects supported by the European Research Council under Horizon 2020. 21 April 2017
- Eurostat* Research and Development, 2011, [http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/statistics\\_explained/index.php/R\\_%26\\_D\\_expenditure](http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/statistics_explained/index.php/R_%26_D_expenditure)
- Evropská komise* Sdělení Komise Evropskému parlamentu, Radě, Evropskému hospodářskému a sociálnímu výboru a Výboru regionů o přezkumu rozhodovacího procesu o geneticky modifikovaných organismech (GMO) ze dne 22. 4. 2015, KOM(2015)0176
- Evropská komise* Sdělení Komise o zásadě předběžné opatrnosti (KOM(2000)0001 v konečném znění ze dne 2. 2. 2000, <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/CS/TXT/?uri=URISERV%3A132042>
- Evropský parlament* Usnesení Evropského parlamentu ze dne 16. 5. 2017 o iniciativě na podporu účinného využívání zdrojů: snížení míry plýtvání potravinami a zlepšení bezpečnosti potravin? P8\_TA(2017)0207
- Evropský parlament* Usnesení Evropského parlamentu ze dne 8. 6. 2016 o látkách narušujících endokrinní činnost: situace po rozsudku Tribunálu EU ze dne 16. 12. 2015, P8\_TA(2016)0270
- Farrow, S.* Using Risk Assessment, Benefit-Cost Analysis, and Real Options to Implement a Precautionary Principle. *Risk Analysis*, 2004, č. 3, s. 727–735
- Food and Agriculture Organization* FAO's Initiative on soaring food prices. Guide for Policy and Programmatic Actions at Country Level to Address High Food Prices. Rome, FAO: 2011
- Foster, C. E.* Science and the Precautionary Principle in International Courts and Tribunals. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013
- Frickel, S. et al.* Undone Science: Chartering Social Movement and Civil Society Challenges to Research Agenda Setting. *Science, Technology and Human Values*, 2010, č. 4, s. 444–473
- Fullem, G.* The Precautionary Principle: Environmental Protection in the Face of Scientific Uncertainty. *Williamette Law Review*, 1995, s. 495–522
- Gaskell, G. et al.* Europeans and biotechnology in 2010: Winds of change? Evropská komise, Generální ředitelství pro výzkum. Lucemburk, 2010, [http://ec.europa.eu/commfrontoffice/publicopinion/archives/ebs/ebs\\_341\\_winds\\_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/commfrontoffice/publicopinion/archives/ebs/ebs_341_winds_en.pdf)
- Gee, D.* More or less precaution?, In: European Environment Agency. Late Lessons from Early Warnings. 2. vyd. Kodaň: European Environment Agency, 2013, s. 643–669
- Gilbert, N.* Data gaps threaten chemical safety law. *Nature* (475/735), 2011, s. 150–151

- Go, J. H. The Analysis of EU GMO regulations based on the precautionary principle: Focused on the Interests, Institutions and Ideas. *The Journal of Contemporary European Studies*, 2013, č. 3, s. 179–213
- Graham, J. D., Hsia, S. Europe's Precautionary Principle: Promise and Pitfalls. *Journal of Risk Research*, 2002, č. 4, s. 371–390
- Grandjean, P. Science for precautionary decision-making. In *European Environmental Agency, Late Lessons from early warnings: science, precaution, innovation*. Kodaň, 2013, s. 623–642
- Grandjean, P. Seven deadly sins of environmental epidemiology and the virtues of precaution. *Epidemiology*, 2008, č. 1, s. 158–162
- Grando, M. T. *Evidence, Proof, and Fact-Finding in WTO Dispute Settlement*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009
- Grmelová, N. Consumer Protection in the Draft Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP): a Race to the Bottom? *Information Journal*, roč. 19, č. 7(B), 2016, s. 2977–2982
- Grmelová, N. Interpretation of Precautionary Principle in Trade Disputes between the EU and the US. In *Kovářová, E., Melecký, L., Staníčková, M. (eds.) Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on European Integration 2016. ICEI 2016*. Ostrava: VŠB – Technical University of Ostrava, 2016, s. 273–281
- Grmelová, N. Interpretation of the Precautionary Principle by the WTO's Dispute Settlement Body with Respect to the Sanitary and Phytosanitary Agreement. In *Bělohávek A. J., Rozehnalová N. (eds.) Czech Yearbook of International Law*, 2017, s. 117–132
- Grmelová, N. Mimosmluvní odpovědnost EU. *Současná Evropa*, 2013, č. 1, s. 61–71
- Grmelová, N. Prolomení podmínky přímých důkazů k prokázání příčinné souvislosti pro přiznání náhrady újmy na zdraví v judikatuře Soudního dvora EU. In *Štěpánková, K. (ed.) Právo v podnikání vybraných členských států EU – sborník příspěvků k IX. ročníku mezinárodní vědecké konference*. Praha: TROAS, 2017, s. 58–64
- Grmelová, N. Přístup veřejnosti k dokumentům orgánů a institucí EU v teorii a praxi. *Současná Evropa*, 2001, č. 1, s. 33–55
- Grmelová, N. The Impact of Brexit on the Future Fate of the Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA) and the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP). In *Globalization and Its Socio-Economic Consequences. 16th International Scientific Conference. Rajecské Teplice, 5. 10. 2016–6. 10. 2016*. Žilina: University of Žilina, 2016, s. 540–546
- Groth, E. *Science, Precaution and Food Safety. How can we do better? A Discussion Paper for the US Codex Delegation*. New York: Consumers Union of U. S., 2000, <http://consumersunion.org/news/science-precaution-and-food-safety-how-can-we-do-better>

- Gruszczynski, L.* The Role of Science in Risk Regulation under the SPS Agreement, EUI Working Papers LAW No. 2006/03, 2006
- Hansen, S., Tickner J.* The precautionary principle and false alarms – lessons learned. In European Environment Agency, *Late Lessons from Early Warnings*. 2. vyd. Kodaň: European Environment Agency, 2013
- Hartmann, O.* The (Dis)Entanglement of Law and Science: Judicial Review of Science-Based Measures by EC Courts. University of Maastricht Faculty of Law, 2008, s. 34, <http://www.steptoe.com/assets/attachments/4147.pdf>
- Health and Environment Alliance* Letter: Health and Environment Groups Urge EU Commission to support French proposal for EU restrictions on harmful substances in single-use diapers. Leden 2022, <https://www.env-health.org/letter-health-and-environment-groups-urge-eu-commissioners-to-support-french-proposal-for-eu-restriction-on-harmful-substances-in-single-use-diapers>
- Heap, I. M.* International Survey of Herbicide Resistant Weeds. (online) 2012, <https://www.weedscience.org>
- Horn, H. et al.* The WTO Dispute Settlement System (1995 to 2010): Some Descriptive Statistics. *Journal of World Trade*, 2011, s. 1107–1138
- Hruška, J.* Zpátečka ministra zemědělství. *Myslivost*, 2019, č. 1, s. 22, <https://www.myslivost.cz/Casopis-Myslivost/MYSLIVOST-Straz-myslivosti/2019/Leden-2019/Zpatecka-ministra-zemedelstvi>
- Charnovitz, S.* The Supervision of Health and Biosafety Regulation by World Trade Rules. *Tul. Envtl. L. J.*, 2000, s. 271–302
- Charnovitz, S.* The World Trade Organization, Meat Hormones, and Food Safety. *International Trade Reporter*, 1997, s. 1781 a násl.
- Christoforou, T.* The Precautionary Principle in EC Law and Science. In *Tickner, J. (ed.) Precaution, Environmental Science and Preventive Public Policy*. Island Press, 2003, kapitola 16
- Christoforou, T.* The Precautionary Principle in EC Law and the WTO Legal system. In *Kremlis, G., Balias, G., Sifakis, A. (eds.) The Precautionary Principle*. Athens: Sakkoulas, 2004
- Immordino, G.* Looking for a Guide to Protect the Environment: The Development of Precautionary Principle. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 2003, č. 5, s. 629–644
- International Agency for Research on Cancer* Agents classified by the IARC Monographs, Vol. 1105, 2012
- International Agency for Research on Cancer* IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans – Preamble, 2006, s. 1–20
- International Agency for Research on Cancer* Interphone study reports on mobile phone use and brain cancer risk. World Health Organization, 2010
- Jardim de Santa Cruz Oliveira, M. A.* International Trade Agreements Before Domestic Courts : Lessons from the EU and Brazilian Experiences. Springer, 2015

- Johannesson, L., Mavroidis, P. C.* Black Cat, White Cat: the Identity of WTO Judges. Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies Research Paper No. RSCAS 2015/17; Columbia Public Law Research Paper No. 14-463, 2015
- Kaczorowska, A.* European Union Law. 1st edition. London: Routledge-Cavendish, 2009
- Kaiser, M.* Precaution or Prudent Vigilance as guiding path to global food security? In *Roecklingsberg, H., Sandin, P. (eds.)* The ethics of consumption. Wageningen: Wageningen Academic Publishers, 2013
- Karas, V., Králik, A.* Právo Európskej únie. 1. vydání. Praha: C. H. Beck, 2012
- Kheifets, L. et al.* The sensitivity of children to electromagnetic fields. *Pediatrics*, 2005, č. 2, s. 303–313
- Kiers, E. T. et al.* Agriculture at a Crossroad. *Science* 5874, 2008, s. 320–321
- Klinke, A., Dreyer, M., Renn, O., Stirling, A., Zwanenberg, P.* Precautionary Risk Regulation in European Governance. *Journal of Risk Reserach*, 2006, č. 4, s. 373–392
- Knapp, V.* Vědecká propedeutika pro právníky. 1. vyd. Praha: Eurolex Bohemia, 2003
- Krimsky, S.* Science in the Private Interest. New York: Rowman and Littlefield, 2003
- Kuhn, T. S.* The Structure of Scientific Revolutions. Chicago: Chicago University Press, 2012
- Kuiper, P. J., Bronckers, M.* WTO Law in the European Court of Justice. *Common Market Law Review*, 2005, s. 1313–1355
- Kurland, J.* The heart of the precautionary principle in democracy. *Public Health Rep*, 2003, č. 6, s. 498–500
- Lähteenmäki-Uutela, A.* European Novel Food Legislation as a Restriction to Trade. Turku School of Economics, Poster prepared for presentation at the 106th seminar of the EAAE Pro-poor development in low income countries: Food, agriculture, trade, and environment 25-27 October 2007 – Montpellier, France, 2007; poster dostupný na: <http://ageconsearch.umn.edu/bitstream/7909/1/pp07la01.pdf>
- Lähteenmäki-Uutela, A., Grmelová, N.* European Law on Insects in Food and Feed. *European Food and Feed Law Review*, 2016, č. 1, s. 2–8
- Leonelli, G. C.* Judicial Review of Compliance with the Precautionary Principle from Paraquat to Blaise: „Quantitative Thresholds“, Risk Assessment, and the Gap between Regulation and Regulatory Implementation. *German Law Journal*, 2021, s. 184–215
- Leonelli, G. C.* The Glyphosate Saga Continues: „Dissenting“ Member States and the European Way Forward. *Transnational Environmental Law*, 2022 (v tisku)
- Linde Paniagua, E.* Principios de Derecho de la Unión Europea. 4a edición. Madrid: COLEX, 2011
- Levidow, L., Carr, S., Wiold, D.* European Union Regulation of Agri-Biotechnology: Precautionary Links between Science, Expertise and Policy. *Science and Public Policy*, 2005, č. 4, s. 261–276

- Lepage, C.* L'Indispensable principe de précaution. *Risques*, 1999, s. 99–102
- Lotter, D.* The genetic engineering of food and the failure of science. *International Journal of Sociology of Agriculture and Food*, 2009, č. 1, s. 31–58
- Lydgate, E., Anthony, C.* Brexit, food law and the UK's search for a post-EU identity. *Modern Law Review*, 2002, s. 1–23
- MacGarvin, M.* Precaution, Science and the Sin of Hubris. In *O'Riordan, T., Cameron, J. (eds.)* Interpreting the Precautionary Principle. London: Cameron May, 1994
- Majone, G.* What price safety? The precautionary principle and its policy implications. Florence: European University Institute, 2002
- Majone, G.* What Price Safety? The Precautionary Principle and its Policy Implications. In *Majone, G. (ed.)* Risk Regulation in the European Union: Between Enlargement and Internationalization. Florence: EUI, 2003, s. 33–54
- Marchant, G. E., Mossmann, K. L.* Arbitrary and Capricious: The Precautionary Principle in the EU Courts. Washington, D. C.: American Enterprise Institute, 2004
- Marvier, M.* Improving risk assessment for nontarget safety of transgenic crops. *Ecological Applications*, 2002, č. 4, s. 1119–1124
- Marvier, M. et al.* A meta analysis of effects of Bt cotton and maize on nontarget invertebrates. *Science* 316, 2007, s. 1475–1477
- Marris, C. et al.* How the French GM controversy led to the reciprocal emancipation of scientific expertise and policy making. *Science and Public Policy*, 2005, vol. 4, s. 301–308
- Mavroidis, P. C.* Dispute Settlement in the WTO. Mind over Matter. Florence. European University Institute Working Paper RSCAS 2016/04, 2016
- Mavroidis, P. C.* Regulatory Cooperation: Lessons from the WTO and the World Trade Regime. E15 Task Force on Regulatory Systems Coherence – Policy Options Paper. E15 Initiative. Geneva: International Center for Trade and Sustainable Development (ICTSD) and World Economics Forum, 2016
- Mavroidis, P. C., Sapir, A.* Dial PTAs for Peace: The Influence of Preferential Trade Agreements on Litigation between Trading Partners. *Journal of World Trade*, 2015, č. 3, s. 351–372
- Mavroidis, P. C.* The Gang that Couldn't Shoot Straight. The Not So Magnificent Seven of the WTO Appellate Body. EUI Working Papers RSCAS 2016/31, 2006
- Mazur, A.* True Warning and False Alarms – Evaluating Fears about Health Risks of Technology, 1948–1971, Resources for the Future. Washington, D. C., 2004
- McGrady, B.* Fragmentation of International Law or 'Systemic Integration' of Treaty Regimes: EC-Biotech Products and the Proper Interpretation of Article 31(3)(c) of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties. *Journal of World Trade*, 2008, č. 4, s. 589–618
- McGregor Pelikánová, R.* Evropské obchodní soudnictví. 2. vydání. Ostrava: KEY Publishing, 2011



- McIntyre, B. D. et al.* Synthesis report with executive summary: a synthesis of the global and sub-global. International Assessment of Agricultural Knowledge, Science and Technology for Development (IAASTD). Washington, D. C., 2009
- McIntyre, O., Mosedale, T.* The Precautionary Principle as a Norm of Customary International Law. *J. Env. Law*, 1997, s. 221 a násl.
- MEA (Millenium Ecosystem Assessment)* Ecosystems and Human Well-being: Synthesis. World Resources Institute, Washington, D. C., 2005, <http://www.millenniumassessment.org/documents/document.356.aspx.pdf>
- Meng, W. P.* The Hormones Conflict Between the EEC and the United States within the context of GATT. *Michigan Journal of International Law*, 1990, s. 819–839
- Michaels, D.* Doubt is Their Product: How Industry's Assault on Science Threatens Your Health. New York: Oxford University Press, 2008
- Morris, J.* Defining the Precautionary Principle. In *Morris, J. (ed.) Rethinking Risk and the Precautionary Principle*. 1 ed. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann, 2000
- Mortensen, D. A. et al.* Navigating a Critical Juncture for Sustainable Weed Management. *Bio Science*, 2012, č. 1, s. 75–84
- Myers, J. P. et al.* Why public health agencies cannot depend on good laboratory practices as a criterion for selecting data: the case of bisphenol A, *Environ. Health Perspect*, 2009, č. 3, s. 309–315
- National Research Council* Toxicity Testing: Strategies to Determine Needs and Priorities. Washington, D. C.: National Academy Press, 1984
- Neugebauer, R.* Fine-Tuning WTO Jurisprudence and the SPS Agreement: Lessons from the Beef Hormone Case. *Law and Policy in International Business*, 2000, s. 1255–1267
- Ondřej, J.* Mezinárodní právo veřejné, soukromé a obchodní. 5. vyd. Plzeň: Aleš Čeněk, 2014
- Palmer, D., Mavroidis, P. C.* Dispute Settlement in the World Trade Organization. Practice and Procedure. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004
- Palmer, D., Mavroidis, P. C.* Dispute Settlement in the World Trade Organization. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2022
- Palmer, D. et al.* Dispute Settlement in the WTO, Practice and Procedure. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2016
- Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology (UK)* GM Crops and Food Security, 2011, <http://researchbriefings.parliament.uk/ResearchBriefing/Summary/POST-PN-386>
- Paulini, S.* Fact of Fiction? Case C-616/17 and the Compatibility of the EU Authorization Procedure of Pesticides with the Precautionary Principle. *European Journal of Risk Regulation*, 2020, č. 3, s. 481–497
- Pauwelyn, J.* Evidence, Proof and Persuasion in WTO Dispute Settlement. Who Bears the Burden? *Journal of International Economic Law* 1, 1998, s. 227–258

- Pauwelyn, J.* The WTO Agreement on Sanitary and Phytosanitary (SPS) Measures as Applied in the First Three SPS Disputes: EC-Hormones, Australia-Salmon and Japan-Varietals. *Journal of International Economic Law*, 1999, č. 4, s. 641–664
- Peel, J.* A GMO by Any Other Name ... Might Be an SPS Risk!: Implications of Expanding the Scope of the WTO Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures Agreement. *The European Journal of International Law*, 2006, č. 5, s. 1009–1031
- Petersmann, E.-U.* International Dispute Settlement and the Position of Individuals under EU and International Law. *EUI Working Papers, Department of Law* 2016/05, 2016
- Petersmann, E.-U.* Methodology problems in international economic law and adjudication. *EUI Working Papers, Law* 2016/12, 2016
- Petersmann, E.-U.* Transformative Transatlantic Free Trade Agreement without Rights and Remedies of Citizens? *Journal of International Economic Law*, 2015, č. 3, s. 579–607
- Peterson, M.* Should the precautionary principle guide our actions or beliefs? *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 2007, č. 1, s. 5–10
- Pollack, A.* Crop scientists say Biotech Seed companies thwarting research on GMO safety efficacy. *New York Times*, 20. 2. 2009
- Pollack, M. A., Shaffer, G. C.* When Cooperation Fails. *The International Law and Politics of Genetically Modified Foods*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009
- Randall, A.* Risk and Precaution. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011
- Recuerda, M. A. et al.* Administrative Authorizations, Risk and Biotechnology. *European Food and Feed Law Review*, 2009, č. 4, s. 251–265
- Renn, O., Stirling, A., Müller-Herold, U.* The Precautionary Principle: A New Paradigm for Risk Management and Participation. *Entreprises et biens publics*, 2004, s. 1–19
- Reynolds, M. P., Borlaug, N. E.* Applying innovations and new technologies for international collaborative wheat improvement. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 2006, č. 2, s. 95–110
- Roettger-Wirtz, S.* Case C-616/17 *Blaise and Others*: The precautionary principle and its role in judicial review – Glyphosate and the regulatory framework for pesticides. *Case Note*. *Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law*, 2020, č. 4, s. 529–542
- Rogers, M. D.* Risk management and the record of the precautionary principle in EU case law. *Journal of Risk Research*, 2011, s. 467–484
- Ross, H. Novel Food for Prosecution. Country Report on the United Kingdom. *European Food and Food Law Review*, 2009, s. 371 a násl.
- Rozehnalová, N. a kol.* Právo Světové obchodní organizace a další kapitoly z mezinárodního ekonomického práva. Brno: Masarykova univerzita, 2010
- Rozehnalová, N.* Právo mezinárodního obchodu. 3. vyd. Praha: Wolters Kluwer, 2010
- Sandin, P.* Dimensions of the Precautionary Principle. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment*, 1999, s. 889–907

- Sandler, R. K.* Food Ethics: The Basics. London: Routledge, 2014
- Saner, M.* An ethical Analysis of the Precautionary Principle. *International Journal of Biotechnology*, 2002, č. 1, s. 81–95
- Scott, J.* The WTO agreement on sanitary and phytosanitary measures: a commentary. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009
- Seknička, P., Putnová, A.* Etika v podnikání a hodnoty trhu. Praha: Grada, 2016
- Semertzi, A.* The Preclusion of Direct Effect in the Recently Concluded EU Free Trade Agreements. *Common Market Law Review*, 2014, s. 1125–1158
- Séralini, G.-E. et al.* Long term toxicity of a Roundup herbicide and a Roundup-tolerant genetically modified maize. *Environmental Sciences Europe*, 2014, <https://enveurope.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s12302-014-0014-5>
- Séralini, G.-E. et al.* Long term toxicity of a Roundup herbicide and a Roundup-tolerant genetically modified maize. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 2012, č. 11, s. 4221–4231, <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0278691512005637>
- Sing, C. F. et al.* Dynamic relationship between the genome and exposures to environments as causes of common human diseases. In *Simopoulos, A. O., Ordovas, J. M. (eds.) Nutrigenetics and nutrigenomics world review of nutrition and diet*. Basilej: Karger, 2004
- Sirinskiene, A.* The Status of Precautionary Principle: Moving Towards a Rule of Customary Law. *Jurisprudencija/Jurisprudence*, 2009, s. 349–364
- Smythe, E.* In Whose Interest? Transparency and Accountability in the Global Governance of Food: Agrobusiness, the Codex Alimentarius and the World Trade Organization. In *Clapp J., Fuchs, D. (eds.) Corporate Power in Global Agrifood Governance*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press, 2009, s. 95–123
- Smyth, S. J.* Genetically modified crops, regulatory delays, and international trade, *Food and Energy Security*, 2017, s. 5, [onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/fes3.100/full](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/fes3.100/full)
- Spoek, A. et al.* Risk assessment of GMO products in the European Union. Vienna: Umweltbundesamt Austria, 2004
- Steel, D.* Philosophy and the Precautionary Principle: Science, Evidence, and Environmental Policy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2015
- Stoll, P.-T. et al.* CETA, TTIP and the EU precautionary principle. Legal analysis of selected parts of the draft CETA agreement and the EU TTIP proposals. Foodwatch online, červen 2016, [https://www.foodwatch.org/fileadmin/Themen/TTIP\\_Freihandel/Dokumente/2016-06-21\\_foodwatch-study\\_precautionary-principle.pdf](https://www.foodwatch.org/fileadmin/Themen/TTIP_Freihandel/Dokumente/2016-06-21_foodwatch-study_precautionary-principle.pdf)
- Sunstein, C. R.* Laws of Fear. Beyond the Precautionary Principle. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2012
- Sunstein, C. R.* The Paralyzing Principle – Does the precautionary principle point us in any helpful direction? Washington, D. C.: The Cato Institute, Winter 2002–2003
- Sutherland, W., Brugman, M.* Policy Advice: Use experts wisely. *Nature*, 2015, <http://www.nature.com/news/policy-advice-use-experts-wisely-1.18539>

- Svoboda, P.* Úvod do evropského práva. 4. vydání. Praha: C. H. Beck, 2011
- Sykes, A.* Domestic Regulation, Sovereignty, and Scientific Evidence Requirements: A Pessimistic View. *Chicago Journal of International Law*, roč. 3, s. 353–368
- Štěrbová, L. et al.* Mezinárodní obchod ve světové krizi 21. století. Praha: Grada, 2013
- Štěrbová, L.* Technické překážky obchodu v regulaci EU. *Současná Evropa*, 2014, č. 1, s. 101–116
- Šturma, P., Balaš, V.* Mezinárodní ekonomické právo. 2. vyd. Praha: C. H. Beck, 2013
- Šturma, P. a kol.* CASEBOOK. Výběr případů z mezinárodního práva veřejného. 2. vyd. Praha: Právnická fakulta UK, 2010
- Švarc, Z.* Právo WTO jako nástroj vyváženého rozvoje mezinárodních ekonomických vztahů. *European Offroads of Social Science*, 2012, č. 2, s. 24–33
- Tegner Anker, H.* The precautionary principle and beyond. In *Gaines Sandford W. et al.* Liberalising Trade in the EU and the WTO. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2012, s. 333–359
- Thies, A.* International Trade Disputes and EU Liability. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2016
- Thym, D.* The Missing Link. Direct Effect: CETA/TTIP and Investor-State-Dispute Settlement. *Verfassungsblog*. 4. ledna 2015, <http://verfassungsblog.de/en-verhinder-te-rechtsanwendung-deutsche-gerichte-cetatiip-und-investor-staat-streitigkeiten-2>
- Tickner, J.* Precaution in Practice: a Framework for Implementing the Precautionary Principle (academic dissertation). University of Massachusetts, Lowell, 2000
- Tichý, L., Arnold, R., Zemánek, J., Král, R., Dumbrovský, T.* Evropské právo. 4. vydání. Praha: C. H. Beck, 2011
- Tong, E. K. et al.* Changing Conclusions on Secondhand Smoke in a Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Review Funded by the Tobacco Industry. *Pediatrics*, 2005, č. 3, s. 356–366
- Torgeresen, H., Seifert, F.* Austria: Precautionary Blockage of Agricultural Biotechnology. *Journal of Risk Research*, 2000, s. 207–209
- Trebilcock, M. J.* An Advanced Introduction to International Trade law. Cheltenham/Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2015
- Trouwborst, A.* Evolution and Status of the Precautionary Principle in International Law. Springer Netherlands, 2002
- Tuháček, M., Jelínková, J. a kol.* Právo životního prostředí – praktický průvodce. Praha: Grada, 2015
- Quist, D. A. et al.* Hungry for innovation: pathways from GM crops to agroecology. In European Environment Agency. Late lessons from early warnings: science, precaution, innovation. Kodaň: European Environment Agency, 2013, s. 458–485
- UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme)* Agriculture: investing in natural capital. In Towards a green economy: pathways to sustainable development and poverty eradication. Nairobi: UNEP, 2011, s. 32–76

- UNEP-UNCATAD Organic Agriculture and Food Security in Africa. New York: United Nations, 2008
- van der Bossche, P., Prévost, D. Essentials of WTO Law. 2. vydání. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2021
- van der Hove, S. et al. The Innovation Union: A Perfect Means to Confused Ends? Environmental Science and Policy, 2002, s. 73–80
- van der Meulen, B. Governance in Law: Charting Legal Intuition. In *Colombi Ciacchi, A. L. B. et al. Law & Governance – Beyond the Public-Private Divide?* Haag: Eleven International Publishing, 2013, s. 275–309
- van der Meulen, B. Prior Authorization Schemes: Trade Barriers in Need of Scientific Justification. European Food and Feed Law Review, 2010, č. 4, s. 465–471
- van der Meulen, B. Science-based Food Law. European Food and Feed Law Review, 2009, č. 1, s. 58–71
- van der Meulen, B. Structural Precaution. Food and Drug Law Journal, 2012, s. 453–473
- van der Meulen, B. The Global Arena of Food Law: Emerging Contours of a Meta Framework. Erasmus Law Review, 2010, č. 4, s. 217–240
- Vogel, D. The New Politics of Risk Regulation in Europe. 1. vyd. London: London School of Economics and Political Science, 2001
- von Schomberg, R. A vision of responsible innovation. In *Oven, R. et al. Responsible Innovation*. London: John Wiley, 2013
- von Schomberg, R. The Normative Basis of the Precautionary Principle. In *Andersson, K. (ed.) Values in Decisions on Risks (conference proceedings)*. Stockholm: Valdor, 2006, s. 11–18
- Walker, V. R. Keeping the WTO from Becoming the „World Trans-Science Organization“: Scientific Uncertainty, Science Policy, and Factfinding in the Growth Hormones Dispute. Cornell Int. L. J., 1998, s. 251–254
- Walker, V. R. The Myth of Science as Neutral Arbiter for Triggering Precaution. B. C. International and Comparative Law Review, 2003, č. 2, s. 197 a násl.
- Waltz, E. Under wraps. Are the crop industry’s strong-arm tactics and close-fisted attitudes to sharing seeds hoding back independent research and undermining public acceptance of transgenic crops? Nature Biotechnology, 2009, s. 880–882
- Ward, E. The future of democracy in the fact of climate change. Foundation for Democracy and Sustainable Development, London, 2012
- Weiss, B., Bellinger, D. C. Social ecology of children’s vulnerability to environmental pollutants. Environ. Health Perspective, 2006, č. 114, s. 1479–1485
- WHO IARC Monographs Volume 112: evaluation of five organophosphate insecticides and herbicides. 20 March 2015, <https://www.iarc.who.int/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/MonographVolume112-1.pdf>

- Wiener, J. B.* in *Wiener J. B. et al.* The Reality of Precaution. Comparing Risk Regulation in the United States and Europe. 1. vyd. Abingdon: Routledge, 2011, s. 3–38
- Wijnands, J. et al.* Competitiveness of the European Food Industry. An economic and legal assessment. Brussels: European Commission, 2007, [http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/food/competitiveness\\_study.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/food/competitiveness_study.pdf)
- Ziller, J.* Europäische Implementierungsforschung im XXI. Jahrhundert. European University Institute Working Paper. Department of Law, No. 2006/42. Florence, 2006

## **Citované rozsudky Soudního dvora EU (v chronologickém pořadí)**

- Věc C-5/66 *Kampffmeyer proti Komisi*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 14. 7. 1967, Sb. soud. rozh. 1967: 00317
- Věc C5/71 *Zuckerfabrik Schöppenstedt proti Radě*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 2. 12. 1971, Sb. soud. rozh. 1971:00975
- Věc C-169/73 *Compagnie Continentale France proti Radě*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 4. 2. 1975, Sb. soud. rozh. 1975-117
- Věc C-43/75 *Defrenne proti Sabeně*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 8. 4. 1976, Sb. soud. rozh. 1976:455
- Věc C-238/78 *Ireks-Arkady*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 4. 10. 1979, Sb. soud. rozh. 1979: 02955
- Věc C-53/80 *Officier van justitie proti Koninklijke Kaasfabriek Eysen BV*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 5. 2. 1981, Sb. soud. rozh. 1981:409
- Věc C-104/81 *Hauptzollamt Mainz proti C.A. Kupferberg & Cie KG a.A.* Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 26. 10. 1982, Sb. soud. rozh. 1982:3641
- Věc C-174/82 *Sandoz BV*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 14. 7. 1983, Sb. soud. rozh. 1983: 2445
- Věc C-94/83 *Albert Heijn BV*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 19. 9. 1984, Sb. soud. rozh. 1984: 3263
- Věc C-53/84 *Stanley George Adams proti Komisi Evropských společenství*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 7. 11. 1985, Sb. soud. rozh. 1985: 03595
- Věc C-178/84 *Komise ES proti Spolkové republice Německo*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 12. 3. 1987, Sb. soud. rozh. 1987: 01227
- Věc C-54/85 *Xavier Mirepoix*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 13. 3. 1986, Sb. soud. rozh. 1986: 1067
- Věc C-12/86 *Meryem Demirel proti Stadt Schwäbisch Gmünd*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 30. 9. 1987, Sb. soud. rozh. 1987: 03719
- Věc C-69/89 *Nakajima All Precision Co. Ltd. proti Radě (Nakajima)*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 7. 5. 1991, Sb. soud. rozh. 1991: I-2096.

- Spojené věci C-46/93 a C-48/93 *Brasserie du Pêcheur a Factortame*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 5. 3. 1996, Sb. soud. rozh. 1996: I-1029
- Věc C-149/96 *Portugalsko proti Radě*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 23. 11. 1999, Sb. soud. rozh. 1999: I-8395
- Věc C-157/96 *National Farmer's Union*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 5. 5. 1998, Sb. soud. rozh. 1998: I-01122
- Věc C-180/96 *Spojené království proti Komisi*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 5. 5. 1998, Sb. soud. rozh. 1998: I-02265
- Věc C-281/98 *Angonese*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 6. 6. 2000, Sb. soud. rozh. 2000: I-04139
- Věc C-95/01 *Greenham proti Abel*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 5. 2. 2004, Sb. soud. rozh. 2004: I-01333
- Věc C-236/01 *Monsanto Agricoltura Italia Spa*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 9. 9. 2003, Sb. soud. rozh. 2003: I-08105
- Věc C-192/01 *Komise proti Dánsku*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 23. 9. 2003, Sb. soud. rozh. 2003: I-09693
- Věc C-41/02 *Komise proti Nizozemí*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 2. 12. 2004, Sb. soud. rozh. 2004: I-11375
- Věc C-377/02 *Firma Leon van Parys proti Belgisch Interventie- en Restitutiebureau (Van Parys)*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 1. 3. 2005, Sb. soud. rozh. 2005: I-1465
- Věc C-198/03 P *Komise proti CEVA a Pfizer*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 12. 7. 2005, Sb. soud. rozh. 2005: I-06357
- Věc C-504/04 *Agrarproduktion Staebelow*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 12. 1. 2006, Sb. soud. rozh. 2006: I-00679
- Věc C-174/05 *Stichting Zuid-Hollands Miliefederatie a.o.* Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 9. 3. 2006, Sb. soud. rozh. 2006: I-02443
- Věc C-196/05 *Sachsenmilch AG*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 8. 6. 2006, Sb. soud. rozh. 2006: I-05161
- Spojené věci C-439/05 P a C-454/05 P *Land Oberoesterreich a Rakouská republika proti Evropské komisi*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 13. 9. 2007, Sb. soud. rozh. 2007: I-07141
- Věc C-120/06 P *FIAMM a další proti Radě a Komisi*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora (velkého senátu) ze dne 9. 9. 2008, Sb. soud. rozh. 2008: I-06513
- Věc C-448/06 *cp-Pharma Handels GmbH*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 17. 7. 2008, Sb. soud. rozh. 2008: I-05685
- Věc C-333/08 *Komise proti Francii*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 28. 1. 2010, Sb. soud. rozh. 2010: I-00757
- Věc C-419/08P *Trubowest Sander a Makarov proti Radě a Komisi*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 18. 3. 2010, Sb. soud. rozh. 2010: I-02259

- Věc C-327/09 *Mensch und Natur proti Freistaat Bayern*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 14. 4. 2011, Sb. soud. rozh. 2011: I-02897
- Věc C-343/09 *Afton*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 8. 7. 2010, Sb. soud. rozh. 2010: I-07027
- Věc C-442/09 *Karl Heinz Bablok a další proti Freistaat Bayern*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 6. 9. 2011, Sb. soud. rozh. 2011: I-07419
- Věc C-615/13 P *ClientEarth a PAN Europe proti Evropskému úřadu pro bezpečnost potravin*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 16. 7. 2015, zveřejněno v elektronické Sbírce soudních rozhodnutí
- Věc C-111/16 *G. Fidenato a další*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 13. 9. 2017, zveřejněno v elektronické Sbírce soudních rozhodnutí
- Věc C-616/17 *Blaise a další*. Rozsudek Soudního dvora ze dne 1. 10. 2019, zveřejněno v elektronické Sbírce soudních rozhodnutí

### **Citované rozsudky Soudu prvního stupně (nyní Tribunálu) v chronologickém pořadí**

- Věc T-13/99 *Pfizer Animal Health proti Radě*. Rozsudek Soudu prvního stupně ze dne 11. 9. 2002, Sb. soud. rozh. 2002: II-03305
- Věc T-70/99 *Alpharma proti Radě*. Rozsudek Soudu prvního stupně ze dne 11. 9. 2002, Sb. soud. rozh. 2002: II-03495
- Spojené věci T-74/00, T-76/00 a T-83/00 *Artegodan a další*. Rozsudek Tribunálu ze dne 26. 11. 2002, Sb. soud. rozh. 2002: II-04945
- Věc T-174/00 *Biret International SA proti Radě EU*. Rozsudek Tribunálu ze dne 11. 1. 2002, Sb. soud. rozh. 2002: II-00017
- Věc T-209/00 *Lamberts proti evropskému veřejnému ochránci práv*. Rozsudek Tribunálu ze dne 10. 4. 2002, Sb. soud. rozh. 2002: II-02203
- Věc T-19/01 *Chiquita Brands International proti Komisi*. Rozsudek Tribunálu ze dne 3. 2. 2005, Sb. soud. rozh. 2005: II-00315
- Věc T-28/03 *Holcim proti Komisi*. Rozsudek Tribunálu ze dne 21. 4. 2005, Sb. soud. rozh. 2005: II-01357
- Spojené věci T-366/03 a T-235/04 *Land Oberösterreich proti Komisi*. Rozsudek Tribunálu ze dne 5. 10. 2005, Sb. soud. rozh. 2005: II-04005
- Věc T-440/03 *Arizmendi proti Radě a Komisi*. Rozsudek Tribunálu ze dne 18. 12. 2009, Sb. soud. rozh. 2009: II-4884
- Věc T-412/05 *M. proti evropskému veřejnému ochránci práv*. Rozsudek Tribunálu ze dne 20. 9. 2008, Sb. soud. rozh. 2008: II-00197
- Věc T-341/07 *Sison proti Radě EU*. Rozsudek Tribunálu ze dne 23. 11. 2011, Sb. soud. rozh. 2011: II-07915



Věc T-240/10 *Maďarsko proti Evropské komisi*. Rozsudek Tribunálu ze dne 13. 12. 2013, zveřejněno v elektronické Sbírce soudních rozhodnutí

Věc T-214/11 *ClientEarth a PAN Europe proti Evropskému úřadu pro bezpečnost potravin*. Rozsudek Tribunálu ze dne 13. 9. 2013, zveřejněno v elektronické Sbírce soudních rozhodnutí

Věc T-521/14 *Švédsko proti Komisi*. Rozsudek Tribunálu ze dne 16. 12. 2015, zveřejněno v elektronické Sbírce soudních rozhodnutí

Věc T-754/14 *Michael Efler a další proti Komisi*. Rozsudek Tribunálu ze dne 10. 5. 2017, zveřejněno v elektronické Sbírce soudních rozhodnutí

### **Citované rozsudky Soudu EFTA (v chronologickém pořadí)**

Věc E-3/00 *EFTA Surveillance Authority proti the Kingdom of Norway*. Rozsudek Soudu EFTA ze dne 5. 4. 2001, Sb. soud. rozh. 2000-2001: 73

Věc E-4/04 *Pedidel AS*. Rozsudek Soudu EFTA ze dne 25. 2. 2005, Sb. soud. rozh. 2005:1

Věc E-9/16 *EFTA Surveillance Authority proti the Kingdom of Norway*. Rozsudek Soudu EFTA ze dne 14. 2. 2017, Úř. věst. C 1, 4. 1. 2018, s. 7

### **Seznam citovaných případů rozhodovaných odvolacím orgánem/panely/rozhodcem Orgánu pro řešení sporů WTO (v chronologickém pořadí)**

Zpráva odvolacího orgánu ve věci *EC-Hormones*, WT/DS26/AB/R, WT/DS48/AB/R, 16. 1. 1998

Rozhodčí nález ve věci *EC-Hormones*, WT/DS26/15; WT/DS48/13, 29. 5. 1998

Zpráva odvolacího orgánu ve věci *US-Shrimps*, WT/DS58/AB/R, 12. 10. 1998

Zpráva odvolacího orgánu ve věci *Australia-Salmon*, WT/DS18/AB/R, 20. 10. 1998

Zpráva odvolacího orgánu ve věci *Japan-Varietals*, WT/DS76/AB/R, 22. 2. 1999

Zpráva panelu ve věci *European Communities – Measures Affecting Asbestos and Products Containing Asbestos*, WT/DS135/P/R, 18. 9. 2000

Zpráva odvolacího orgánu ve věci *European Communities – Measures Affecting Asbestos and Products Containing Asbestos*, WT/DS/135/AB/R, 12. 3. 2001

Zpráva panelu ve věci *European Communities – Measures Affecting the Approval and Marketing of Biotech Products*, WT/DS291/P/R (US), WT/DS292/P/R (Canada), WT/DS293/P/R (Argentina), 29. 9. 2006

Zpráva odvolacího orgánu ve věci *Continued Suspension of Obligations in the EC - Hormones Dispute*, WT/DS320/AB/R, WT/DS321/AB/R, 28. 10. 2008