

## SELECT BIBLIOGRAPHY

Abbreviations used throughout this work are given in **bold** at the end of selected items.

### I. 2001 REGULATION AND LUGANO CONVENTIONS

#### 1. Reports and Preliminary Documents

- Jenard, P, Report on the Convention on Jurisdiction and the Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters [1979] OJ C 59/1 (**Jenard Report**).
- Schlosser, P, Report on the Convention on the Association of the Kingdom of Denmark, Ireland and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland to the Convention on Jurisdiction and the Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters and to the Protocol on its Interpretation by the Court of Justice [1979] OJ C 59/71 (**Schlosser Report**).
- Evrigenis, D and Kerameus, K, Report on the Accession of the Hellenic Republic to the Community Convention on Jurisdiction and the Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters [1986] OJ C 29/1 (**Evrigenis & Kerameus Report**).
- Jenard, P and Möller, G, Report on the Convention on Jurisdiction and the Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters done at Lugano on 16 September 1988 [1990] OJ C 189/07 (**Jenard & Möller Report**).
- de Almeida Cruz, M, Desantes Real, M, and Jenard, P, Report on the Accession of the Kingdom of Spain and the Portuguese Republic to the 1968 Convention on Jurisdiction and the Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters [1990] OJ C 189/35 (**Almeida Cruz, Desantes Real, & Jenard Report**).
- Commission Proposal for a Council Regulation (EC) on Jurisdiction and the Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters, COM (1999) 348 final.
- Commission Revised Proposal for a Council Regulation (EC) on Jurisdiction and the Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters, COM (2000) 689 final.
- Pocar, F, Report on the Convention on Jurisdiction and the Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters at Lugano on 30 October 2007 [2009] OJ C 319/1 (**Pocar Report**).

#### 2. General Works of Reference

- Beaumont, P and McEleavy, P, *Private International Law* (3rd edn, Edinburgh: W Green, 2011).
- Briggs, A and Rees, P, *Civil Jurisdiction and Judgments* (5th edn, London: Informa, 2009) (**Briggs & Rees**) (6th edn forthcoming, 2015).
- Calvo–Caravaca, A L, Comentario al Convenio de Bruselas relativo a la competencia judicial y a la ejecución de resoluciones judiciales en materia civil y mercantil (Madrid: Boletín oficial del Estado, 1995).
- Collins, L (ed), *Dicey, Morris and Collins on the Conflict of Laws* (15th edn, London: Sweet and Maxwell, 2012) (**Dicey, Morris, & Collins**).
- Czernich, D, Tiefenthaler, S, and Kodek, G E, *Kurzkommentar Europäisches Gerichtsstands- und Vollstreckungsrecht* (2nd edn, Vienna: LexisNexis, 2003).
- Dasser, F and Oberhammer, P, *Kommentar zum Lugano Übereinkommen (LuGÜ)*, (Bern: Stämpfli, 2011).
- Droz, G, *Compétence judiciaire et effets des jugements dans le Marché Commun* (Paris: Dalloz, 1972).
- Fentiman, R, *International Commercial Litigation* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010).
- Gaudemet-Tallon, H, *Compétence et exécution de jugements en Europe* (4th edn, Paris: LGDJ, 2010) (**Gaudemet-Tallon**).



- Geimer, R and Schütze, R A (eds), *Europäisches Zivilverfahrensrecht* (3rd edn, Munich: C H Beck, 2010) (Geimer & Schütze).
- Gothot, P and Holleaux, D, *La Convention de Bruxelles du 27 Septembre 1968—Compétence judiciaire et effets des jugements dans la CEE* (Paris: Jupiter, 1985).
- Hartley, T C, *Civil Jurisdiction and Judgments* (London: Sweet & Maxwell, 1984).
- Hartley, T C, *International Commercial Litigation* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009).
- Hess, B, *Europäisches Zivilprozessrecht* (Heidelberg: C F Müller, 2010) (Hess).
- Hill, J and Chong, A, *International Commercial Disputes* (4th edn, Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2010).
- Kaye, P, *Civil Jurisdiction and Enforcement of Foreign Judgments* (Abingdon, Oxon: Professional Books, 1987).
- Kaye, P, *Private International Law of Tort and Product Liability* (Dartmouth: Aldershot, 1991).
- Kropholler, J and von Hein, J, *Europäisches Zivilprozessrecht* (9th edn, Frankfurt am Main: Recht und Wirtschaft, 2011) (Kropholler & von Hein).
- Layton, A and Mercer, H, *European Civil Practice* (2nd edn, London: Thomson, 2004) (Layton & Mercer).
- Magnus, U and Mankowski, P (eds), *Brussels I Regulation* (2nd edn, Munich: Sellier, 2012) (Magnus & Mankowski).
- Rauscher, T (ed), *Europäisches Zivilprozess- und Kollisionsrecht EuZPR/EuIPR* (2nd edn, Munich: Sellier, 2011) (Rauscher).
- Salerno, F, *Giurisdizione ed efficacia delle decisioni straniere nel regolamento (CE) no 44/2001* (3rd edn, Padova: CEDAM, 2006).
- Simons, T, Hausmann, R, and Althammer, C, *unalex Kommentar Brüssel I-Verordnung Kommentar zur VO (EG) 44/2001 und zum Übereinkommen von Lugano* (Munich: IPR Verlag, 2012).
- Schlosser, P, *EU-Zivilprozessrecht* (3rd edn, Munich: C H Beck, 2009) (Schlosser).
- van Lith, H, *International Jurisdiction and Commercial Litigation* (The Hague: T M C Asser, 2009).

## II. RECAST REGULATION

### 1. Reports and Preliminary Documents

- Hess, B, Pfeiffer, T and Schlosser, P, Report on the Application of Regulation Brussels I in the Member States (Study JLS/C4/2005/3), available on the Commission website at: <[http://ec.europa.eu/civiljustice/news/docs/study\\_application\\_brussels\\_1\\_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/civiljustice/news/docs/study_application_brussels_1_en.pdf)> (Heidelberg Report) and reproduced in B Hess, T Pfeiffer, and P Schlosser, *The Brussels I Regulation 44/2001* (Oxford/London: C H Beck/Hart Publishing, 2008).<sup>1</sup>
- Nuyts, A, Study on Residual Jurisdiction (Review of the Member States' Rules Concerning the 'Residual Jurisdiction' of Their Courts in Civil and Commercial Matters Pursuant to the Brussels I and II Regulations) JLS/C4/2005/07-30-CE)0040309/00-37, available at: <[http://ec.europa.eu/civiljustice/news/docs/study\\_residual\\_jurisdiction\\_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/civiljustice/news/docs/study_residual_jurisdiction_en.pdf)> (Nuyts Report).
- Commission Report of 21 April 2009 (COM (2009) 174 final) to the European Parliament, the Council and the Economic and Social Committee on the application of Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (Commission Report).
- Commission Green Paper on the Review of Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (COM (2009) 175 final), 21 April 2009 (Green Paper).
- European Parliament Committee on Legal Affairs (JURI), Report on the implementation and review of Council Regulation (EC) n 44/2001 on jurisdiction and the recognition and

<sup>1</sup> References to the Heidelberg Report in this work are to the version on the Commission website.



- enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters, 29 June 2010, PE 439.997v02-00 (Rapporteur: T Zwiefka).
- Commission Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters ('Recast'), COM (2010) 748 final, 14 December 2010 (**Commission Proposal**).
- Commission Impact Assessment accompanying the Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters, SEC (2010) 1547 final, 14 December 2010 (**Impact Assessment**).
- European Parliament Committee on Legal Affairs (JURI), Draft Report on the Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (recast), 28 June 2011 (Rapporteur: T Zwiefka), EP document PE467.046v01-00 (**EP Draft Report**).
- European Parliament Committee on Legal Affairs (JURI), Report on the Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (Recast) , 15 October 2012 (Rapporteur: T Zwiefka), EP document PE467.046v02-00 (**EP Final Report**).
- Dickinson, A, 'The Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on Jurisdiction and the Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters (Recast)' (2011) PE 453.200, at: <<http://www.europarl.europa.eu/studies>>, 6–10.
- Horn, F, 'The Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on Jurisdiction and the Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters (Recast)' (2011) PE 453.202 EN, at: <<http://www.europarl.europa.eu/studies>>.
- Layton, A, 'The Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on Jurisdiction and the Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters (Recast)' (2011) PE 453.203 EN, at: <<http://www.europarl.europa.eu/studies>>.
- Muir Watt, H, 'The Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on Jurisdiction and the Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters (Recast)' (2011) PE 453.199 EN, at: <<http://www.europarl.europa.eu/studies>>.
- Niboyet, M-L, 'The Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on Jurisdiction and the Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters (Recast)' (2011) PE 453.204, at: <<http://www.europarl.europa.eu/studies>>.
- Pretelli, I, 'The Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on Jurisdiction and the Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters (Recast)' (2011) PE 453.205 EN, at: <<http://www.europarl.europa.eu/studies>>.

## 2. General Works of Reference

- Bonomi, A and Schmid, C, *La révision du Règlement 44/2001 (Bruxelles I)* (Zürich: Schulthess, 2011).
- Briggs, A, *Private International Law in English Courts* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2014).
- Collins, L (ed), *Dicey, Morris and Collins on the Conflict of Laws* (15th edn, London: Sweet & Maxwell, 2012), 1st Supplement, 2014 (**Dicey, Morris, & Collins**).
- Geimer, R and Schütze, R A, *Internationaler Rechtsverkehr in Zivil- und Handelssachen* (Munich: C H Beck, 2014), supplement.
- Zöller, R, Geimer, R, Greger, R, Herget, K, Heßler, H J, Lückemann, C, Stöber, K, Vollkommer, M, Feskorn, C, Lorenz, A, *Zöller—ZPO* (30th edn, 2014).
- Guinchard, E (ed), *Le nouveau Règlement Bruxelles I bis* (Brussels: Bruylant, 2014).
- Lein, E (ed), *The Brussels I Review Proposal Uncovered* (London: BIICL, 2012).
- Niboyet, M-L and de Geouffre de la Pradelle, G (eds), *Droit International Privé* (4th edn, Paris: LGDJ, 2013).
- Pocar, F, Viarengo, I and Villata, F C (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012).
- Pampoukis, C (ed), *Jurisdiction, Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Disputes: The New Revised Regulation 1215/2012 Brussels (Ibis)* (Athens: Nomikh, 2014) (Greek language).



### 3. Articles, Monographs, Book Chapters, and Other Materials

#### A. General

- Beraudo, J P, 'Regards sur le nouveau règlement Bruxelles I sur la compétence judiciaire, la reconnaissance et l'exécution des décisions en matière civile et commerciale' (3/2013) *JDI* 741.
- Cadet, F, 'Le nouveau règlement Bruxelles I ou l'itinéraire d'un enfant gâté' (3/2013) *JDI* 765.
- Domej, T, 'Die Neufassung der EuGVVO—Quantensprünge im europäischen Zivilprozessrecht' (2014) *RabelsZ* 78: 508.
- Garcimartin, F and Sanchez, S, 'El nuevo Reglamento Bruselas I: Qué ha cambiado en el ámbito de la competencia judicial', (2013) *Revista Española de Derecho Europeo* 48: 9.
- Hay, P, 'Notes on the European Union's Brussels-I "Recast" Regulation' [2013] *EurLegForum* 1.
- von Hein, J, 'Die Neufassung der Europäischen Gerichtsstands- und Vollstreckungsverordnung (EuGVVO)' (2013) *RIW* 59: 97.
- Knot, J G, 'Herschikking Brussel I' (2013) *Nederlands tijdschrift voor Europees recht* 5 : 145.
- Lenaerts, K and Stapper, T, 'Development of the Brussels I Regulation as a Product of the Dialogue between the European Court of Justice and the Legislator' (2014) *RabelsZ* 78: 252.
- De Miguel Asensio, P A, 'El Nuevo Reglamento sobre competencia judicial y reconocimiento y ejecución de resoluciones' (2013) *La Ley* 8013: 1.
- Nielsen, P A, 'The New Brussels I Regulation' (2013) *CML Rev* 50: 503.
- Nielsen, P A, 'The Past, Present and Future of Brussels I', in I Govaere and D Hanf, *Scrutinizing Internal and External Dimensions of European Law (Les dimensions internes et externes du droit européen à l'épreuve: Liber amicorum for Paul Demaret)* (Bern: Peter Lang AG, 2013), 1.
- Nuyts, A, 'La refonte du règlement Bruxelles I' (2013) *Rev crit DIP* 102:1.
- Pohl, M, 'Die Neufassung der EuGVVO—im Spannungsfeld zwischen Vertrauen und Kontrolle' (2013) *IPRax* 33: 109.

#### B. Chapter 1: Background and Introduction to the Regulation<sup>2</sup>

- Althammer, C, 'Unvereinbare Entscheidungen, drohende Rechtsverwirrung und Zweifel an der Kernpunkttheorie—Webfehler im Kommissionsvorschlag für eine Neufassung der Brüssel I-VO', in R Geimer and R Schütze, *Recht ohne Grenzen: Festschrift für Athanassios Kaissis* (Munich: Sellier, 2012), 23.
- Dickinson, A, 'Surveying the Proposed Brussels I 'Bis' Regulation: Solid Foundations but Renovation Needed', (2011) *Yrbk Priv Int L* XII: 247.
- Geimer, R, 'Bemerkungen zur Brüssel I-Reform', in R Geimer, T Schütze, and T Garber, *Europäische und internationale Dimension des Rechts: Festschrift für Daphne-Ariane Simotta* (Vienna: LexisNexis, 2012), 163.
- Hess, B, 'Die Reform der EuGVVO und die Zukunft des Europäischen Zivilprozessrechts' (2011) *IPRax* 31: 125.
- Hess, B, 'The Brussels I Regulation: Recent Case Law of the Court of Justice and the Commission's Proposed Recast' (2012) *CMLR* 49: 1075.
- Hess, B, 'The Proposed Recast of the Brussels I Regulation: Rules on Jurisdiction', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata, *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 91.
- Kiesselbach, P, 'The Brussels I Review Proposal—An Overview', in E Lein (ed), *The Brussels I Review Proposal Uncovered* (London: BIICL, 2012), 1.
- Magnus, U and Mankowski, P, 'Brussels I on the Verge of Reform—A Response to the Green Paper on the Review of the Brussels I Regulation' (2010) *ZVglRWiss* 109: 1.
- Magnus, U and Mankowski P, 'The Proposal for the Reform of Brussels I—Brussels Ibis ante portas' (2011) *ZVglRWiss* 110: 252.

<sup>2</sup> Articles included in this section may cover in detail topics covered in the following chapters and should also be consulted.



- Nielsen, P A, 'The Recast of the Brussels I Regulation', in M L Bonell, M-L Holle, and P A Nielsen (eds), *Liber Amicorum Ole Lando* (Copenhagen: Djøf Publishing, 2012), 257–276.
- Nielsen, P A, 'The State of Play of the Recast of the Brussels I Regulation' (2012) *Nordic Journal of International Law* 81: 585.
- Pertegás, M, 'The Revision of the Brussels I Regulation: A View from The Hague Conference', in E Lein (ed), *The Brussels I Review Proposal Uncovered* (London: BIICL, 2012), 193.
- Takahashi, K, 'Review of the Brussels I Regulation: A Comment from the Perspectives of Non-Member States' (2012) *J Priv Int L* 8: 1.
- Vlek, J F, 'Aanzet tot herschikking EEX: het voorstel van de Commissie' (2011) *WPNR* 142: 521.
- Weller, M, 'Der Kommissionsentwurf zur Reform der Brüssel I-VO' (2012) *GPR* 9: 34.
- Weller, M, 'Der Ratsentwurf und der Parlamentsentwurf zur Reform der Brüssel I-VO' (2012) *GPR* 9: 328.

C. Chapter 2: Scope and Definitions (Arts 1–3)

- Insolvency proceedings Bos, T M, 'De verhouding tussen de EEX-Verordening (Brussel I) en de Insolventieverordening' (2011) *WPNR* 142: 548.
- Mankowski P, 'Insolvenznahe Verfahren im Grenzbereich zwischen EuInsVO und EuGVVO' (2010) *NZI* 508.
- McCormack, G, 'Reforming the European Insolvency Regulation: A Legal and Policy Perspective' (2014) *J Priv Int L* 10: 41.
- Lennarts, L, 'EU Corporate Law in the Wake of ECJ's Deko Marty Judgment' (2010) *Europ Comp L* 7: 106.
- Thole, C, 'Die Reform der Europäischen Insolvenzverordnung' (2014) *ZEuP* 22: 39.

Arbitration

- Azzali, M De Santis, 'Impact of the Commission's Proposal to Revise the Brussels I Regulation on Arbitration Proceedings Administered by the Chamber of Arbitration of Milan', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 71.
- Azcárraga Monzonís, C, 'Heidelberg, West Tankers, Endesa: la revisión del Reglamento Bruselas I en materia arbitral: ¿reformar para mejorar?' (2011) *Revista de arbitraje comercial y de inversiones* 4: 405.
- Bertoli, S P, 'Arbitration, the Brussels I Recast and the Need for European Arbitration Law' (2014) *Il diritto dell'Unione Europea* 19: 81.
- Camilleri, S P, 'Recital 12 of the Recast Regulation: A New Hope?' (2013) *ICLQ* 62: 899.
- Carducci, G, 'The New EU Regulation 1215/2012 of 12 December 2012 on Jurisdiction and International Arbitration With Notes on Parallel Arbitration, Court Proceedings and the EU Commission's Proposal' (2013) *Arb Intl* 29: 467–91.
- Consolo, C and Stella, M, 'Brussels I Regulation Amendment Proposals and Arbitration', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 37.
- Domej, T, 'Alles klar?—Bemerkungen zum Verhältnis zwischen staatlichen Gerichten und Schiedsgerichten unter der neu gefassten EuGVVO' in *Festschrift für Peter Gottwald zum 70. Geburtstag* (Munich: C H Beck, 2014), 97.
- Dreata, U and Santini, A, 'Arbitration Exception and Brussels I Regulation: No Need for Change' (2009) *International Business Law Journal* 741.
- Garnett, R, 'Coexisting and Conflicting Jurisdiction and Arbitration Clauses' (2013) *J Priv Int L* 9: 361.
- Harri, E, J and Lein, E, 'A Neverending Story? Arbitration and Brussels I: the Recast', in E Lein (ed), *The Brussels I Review Proposal Uncovered* (London: BIICL, 2012), 31.



- Hartley, T, 'The Brussels I Regulation and Arbitration' (2014) *ICLQ* 63: 843.
- Hauberg Wilhelmsen, L, 'The Recast Brussels I Regulation and Arbitration: Revisited or Revised?' (2014) *Arb Intl* 30: 169.
- van Haersolte-van Hof, J J, 'The Commission's Proposal to Amend the Arbitration Exception Should be Embraced!' (2011) *NIPR* 29: 280.
- van Haersolte-van Hof, J J, 'De voorgestelde aanpassing van de arbitrage-exceptie in de EEX-Verordening' (2011) *WPNR* 142: 527.
- Hess, B, 'Die Reform der Verordnung Brüssel I und die Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit', in H Kronke and K Thorn, *Grenzen überwinden, Prinzipien bewahren: Festschrift für Bernd von Hoffmann zum 70. Geburtstag am 28. Dezember 2011* (Bielefeld: Giesecking, 2011), 648.
- Illmer, M, 'Brussels I and Arbitration Revisited: The European Commission's Proposal COM (2010) 748 final' (2011) *RabelsZ* 75: 645.
- Ippolito, A E and Adler-Nissen, M, 'West Tankers Revisited: Has the New Brussels I Regulation Brought Anti-Suit Injunctions Back into the Procedural Armoury?' (2013) *Arbitration* 79: 158.
- Kessedjian, C, 'Commentaire de la refonte du règlement no 44/2001' (2011) *RTD* 47: 117.
- Kessedjian, C, 'Le Règlement 44/2001 et l'arbitrage' [2009] *Rev Arb* 699.
- Lazic, V, 'The Amendment to the Arbitration Exception Suggested in the Commission's Proposal: The Reasons as to Why It Should be Rejected' (2011) *NIPR* 29: 289.
- Lazić, V, 'The Commission's Proposal to Amend the Arbitration Exception in the EC Jurisdiction Regulation: How "Much Ado about Nothing" Can End Up in a "Comedy of Errors" and in Anti-Suit Injunctions Brussels-style' (2012) *Journal of International Arbitration* 29: 19.
- Lefèvre, F and van der Haegen, O, 'Arbitration and Brussels I Regulation: Before and After West Tankers', in Centre Belge d'Arbitrage et de Médiation, *Eerbetoon aan Guy Keutgen* (Brussels: Bruylant, 2011), 245.
- Malatesta, A, 'Il nuovo regolamento Bruxelles I-bis e l'arbitrato: verso un ampliamento dell'arbitration exclusion' (2014) *Riv di dir int priv e proc* 50: 5.
- Moses, M, 'Arbitration/Litigation Interface: The European Debate' (2014) *Nw. J. Int'l L. & Bus.* 35: 1.
- Mourre, A and Nioche, M, 'Le règlement Bruxelles I "refondu" évite le risque d'une régionalisation de l'arbitrage' [2013] *Cahiers de l'Arbitrage* 567.
- Nielsen, P, 'The New Brussels I Regulation' (2013) *CMLR* 50: 503.
- Pinsolle, P, 'The Proposed Reform of Regulation 44/2001: A Poison Pill for Arbitration in the European Union' (2009) *Int'l Arb L Rev* 12(4): 62.
- Radicati di Brozolo, L G, 'Arbitration and the Draft Revised Brussels I Regulation: Seeds of Home Country Control and of Harmonisation?' (2011) *J Priv Int L* 7: 423.
- Salerno, F, 'Il coordinamento tra arbitrato e giustizia civile nel regolamento (UE) n. 1215/2012' (2013) *Riv dir int* 4: 1146.
- Santomauro, P, 'Sense and Sensibility: Reviewing West Tankers and Dealing with its Implications in the Wake of the Reform of EC Regulation 44/2001' (2010) *J Priv Int L* 6: 281.
- Schlosser, P, 'Europe—Is it Time to Reconsider the Arbitration Exception from the Brussels Regulation?' (2009) *Int ALR* 12: 45.

*Other topics within this chapter*<sup>3</sup>

- Fitcher, J, 'Authentic Instruments and European Private International Law in Civil and Commercial Matters: Is Now the Time to Break New Ground?' (2011) *J Priv Int L* 7: 33–100.
- Fitcher, J, 'The Recognition and Enforcement of Authentic Instruments in the Proposed European Succession Regulation' (2012) *J Priv Int L* 8: 323–58.

<sup>3</sup> See also chapter 11 in this volume for material on provisional, including protective, measures.



- Hausmann, R, 'The Scope of Application of the Brussels I Regulation', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 3.
- von Hein, J, 'Die Neufassung der Europäischen Gerichtsstands- und Vollstreckungsverordnung' (2013) *RIW* 59: 97.
- Pohl, M, 'Die Neufassung der EuGVVO—im Spannungsfeld zwischen Vertrauen und Kontrolle' (2013) *IPRax* 33: 109.
- Santomauro, P, 'Sense and Sensibility: Reviewing West Tankers and Dealing with Its Implications in the Wake of the Reform of EC Regulation 44/2001' (2010) *J Priv Int L* 6: 281.
- Viarengo, I, 'The Removal of Maintenance Obligations from the Scope of Brussels I', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 29.
- D. Chapter 3: Jurisdiction—General Provisions (Arts 4–6)*
- Borrás, A, 'Application of the Brussels I Regulation to External Situations: From Studies Carried Out by the European Group for Private International Law (EGPIL/GEDIP) to the Proposal for the Revision of the Regulation' (2011) *Yb Priv Int L* XII: 333.
- Borrás, A, 'The Application of the Brussels I Regulation to Defendants Domiciled in Third States: From the EGPIL Proposal to the Commission Proposal', in E Lein (ed), *The Brussels I Review Proposal Uncovered* (London: BIICL, 2012), 31.
- Cafari Panico, R, '*Forum necessitatis*: Judicial Discretion in the Exercise of Jurisdiction', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 127.
- Fentiman, R, 'Brussels I and Third States: Future Imperfect' (2011) *The Cambridge Yearbook of European Legal Studies* 13: 65.
- Gillies, L, 'Creation of Subsidiary Jurisdiction Rules in the Recast of Brussels I: Back to the Drawing Board' (2012) *J Priv Int L* 8: 489.
- Ibili, F, 'Toepassing van de EEX-bevoegdheidsregels op verweerders uit derde landen: naar een universeel formeel toepassingsgebied' (2011) *WPNR* 142: 533.
- Layton, A, 'The Brussels I Regulation in the International Legal Order: Some Reflections on Reflectiveness', in E Lein (ed), *The Brussels I Review Proposal Uncovered* (London: BIICL, 2012), 75.
- Luzzatto, R, 'On the Proposed Application of Jurisdictional Criteria of Brussels I Regulation to Non Domiciled Defendants', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 111.
- Mari, L and Pretelli, I, 'Possibility and Terms for Applying the Brussels I Regulation (Recast) to Extra EU Disputes: Excerpta of the Study PE 493.024 by the Swiss Institute of Comparative Law' (2014) *Yrbk Priv Int L* XV: 211.
- Weber, J, 'Universal Jurisdiction and Third States in the Reform of the Brussels I Regulation' (2011) *RabelsZ* 75: 619.
- Weitz, K, 'Die geplante Erstreckung der Zuständigkeitsordnung der Brüssel I-Verordnung auf drittstaatsansässige Beklagte', in R Geimer, R Schütze, and T Garber (eds), *Europäische und internationale Dimension des Rechts: Festschrift für Daphne-Ariane Simotta* (Vienna: Lexis Nexis, 2012).
- E. Chapter 4: Special Jurisdiction (Arts 7–9)*
- D'Alessandro, E, *La connessione tra controversie transnazionali. Profili sistematici* (Torino: Giappichelli, 2009).
- Basedow, J, 'International Cartels and the Place of Acting under Article 5(3) of the Brussels I Regulation', in J Basedow, S Francq, and L Idot, *International Antitrust Litigation: Conflict of Laws and Coordination* (Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2012), 31.
- Bogdan, M, 'Contract or Tort under Article 5 of the Brussels I Regulation: Tertium non Datur?', in H Kronke and K Thorn, *Grenzen überwinden, Prinzipien bewahren: Festschrift für Bernd von Hoffmann* (Bielefeld: Giesecking, 2011), 561.



- Crespi Reghizzi, Z, 'A New Special Forum for Disputes Concerning Rights *in Rem* over Movable Assets: Some Remarks on Article 5(3) of the Commission's Proposal', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 173.
- Dickinson, A, 'In the EU, We Trust? A New European Framework for Jurisdiction and Judgments' (2011) *Trusts and Trustees* 17: 280.
- Domej, T, 'Negative Feststellungsklage im Deliktsgerichtsstand' (2008) *IPRax* 28: 550.
- Francq, S, E Alvarez Armas & M Dechamps, 'L'"actualité" de l'article 5 (1) du règlement Bruxelles I: Evaluation des premiers arrêts interprétatifs portant sur la disposition relative à la compétence judiciaire internationale en matière contractuelle' (2012) *RDC* 2: 127.
- Franzina, P, 'The Proposed New Rule of Special Jurisdiction Regarding Rights *in Rem* in Movable Property: A Good Option for a Reformed Brussels I Regulation?' (2011) *Diritto del Commercio Internazionale* 25: 789.
- García Gutiérrez, L, 'La vulneración de los derechos de la personalidad y la reforma del Reglamento Bruselas I: ¿un foro especial por razón de la materia sin cambios para este tipo de daños?', in E M Vázquez Gómez et al (eds), *El arreglo pacífico de controversias internacionales* (Valencia: Tirant lo Blanch, 2013), 513–535.
- Harris, J, 'Jurisdiction and Enforcement of Foreign Judgments in Transnational Trust Litigation', in D Hayton (ed), *The International Trust* (3rd edn, Bristol: Jordan Publishing, 2011), 3.
- Lehmann, M and Duczek, A, 'Zuständigkeit nach Art. 5 Nr. 1 lit. b EuGVVO—besondere Herausforderungen bei Dienstleistungsverträgen' (2011) *IPRax* 1: 41.
- Lejeune, F, 'La CJ rejette toute forme de focalisation pour les AdWords' (2013) *IRDI* 1: 61.
- Lejeune, F, 'Une clarification attendue: en matière de compétence internationale, le droit d'auteur échappe à la théorie de la focalisation' (2014) *Auteurs et Media* 3–4: 186.
- Moiny, J, 'Droit international et droit européen—L'intention "géodéterminée": un facteur de rattachement confirmé?' (2012) *RTDI* 208.
- von Mühlendahl, A, 'The Application of the Brussels Regulation on the Jurisdiction and the Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments to Community Trade Mark Litigation', in J Drexler, *Technology and Competition: Contributions in Honour of Hanns Ullrich* (Brussels: Larcier, 2009).
- Norrgard, M, 'A Spider Without a Web? Multiple Defendants in IP Litigation', in S Leible and A Ohly (eds), *Intellectual Property and Private International Law* (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck 2009), 211.
- Riesenhuber, K, *Europäische Methodenlehre: Handbuch für Ausbildung und Praxis* (Berlin: De Gruyter, 2010).
- Vilà Costa, B, 'How to Apply Articles 5(1) and 5(3) Brussels I Regulation to Private Enforcement of Competition Law: A Coherent Approach', in J Basedow, S Francq, and L Idot (eds), *International Antitrust Litigation: Conflict of Laws and Coordination* (Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2012), 17.
- Wilderspin, M, 'Jurisdiction Issues: Brussels I Regulation Articles 6 (1), 23, 27 and 28', in J Basedow, S Francq, and L Idot (eds), *International Antitrust Litigation: Conflict of Laws and Coordination* (Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2012), 41.
- ten Wolde, M H, Henckel, K C, 'The ECJ's Interpretation of Article 5(3) Brussels I Regulation: A Carefully Balanced System of Jurisdictional Rules?' (2013) *IJPL* 3: 195.
- F. Chapter 5: Jurisdiction in Matters Relating to Insurance (Arts 10–16)*
- Caamiña Domínguez, C M, *Los litigios en materia de seguros en la Unión europea—Cuestiones de Derecho Internacional Privado* (Granada: Editorial Comares 2014).
- Vassilakakis, E, 'International Jurisdiction in Insurance Matters under Regulation Brussels I', in C Pampoukis (ed), *Essays in Honour of Professor Emeritus Spyridon Vrellis* (Athens: Nomikh, 2014), 1079.



G. Chapter 6: Jurisdiction over Consumer Contracts (Arts 17–19)

- van den Aardweg, W, 'De gerichte activiteit van artikel 15 lid 1, onderdeel c, Brussel I: meer duidelijkheid door Luxemburgse gezichtspunten. Hof van Justitie EU 7 december 2010, gevoegde zaken C-585/08 en C-144/09 (*Pammer en Hotel Alpenhof*)' (2011) *NIPR* 29: 473.
- Nuyts, A, 'Compétence internationale et litiges en matière de consommation' [2012] *JT* 844.

H. Chapter 7: Jurisdiction over Individual Contracts of Employment (Arts 20–23)

- Grusic, U, 'Jurisdiction in Employment Matters under Brussels I: A Reassessment' (2012) *ICLQ* 62: 91.
- Grusic, U, 'Should the Connecting Factor of the "Engaging Place of Business" be Abolished in European Private International Law?' (2013) *ICLQ* 61: 173.
- Gulotta, C, 'L'estensione della giurisdizione nei confronti dei datori di lavoro domiciliati all'estero: il caso *Mahamdia* e il nuovo regime del regolamento Bruxelles I-bis', (2013) *Riv di dir int priv proc* 619.
- Merrett, L, *Employment Contracts in Private International Law* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011).

I. Chapter 8: Exclusive Jurisdiction (Art 24)

- de Miguel Asensio, P A, 'The Unified Patent Court Agreement and the Amendment to the Brussels I Regulation (Recast)', in Constanza Honorati (ed), *Luci e ombre del nuovo sistema UE di tutela brevettuale* (Torino: Giappichelli, 2014), 153.
- Jespers, A and Vanbrabant, A, 'Aperçu des règles de compétence internationale en matière de propriété intellectuelle' in B Vanbrabant (ed), *Droits intellectuels: le contentieux (compétence, procédures, sanctions)* (Limal: Anthémis, 2012), 15–54.

J. Chapter 9: Prorogation of Jurisdiction—Choice of Court Agreements and Submission (Arts 25–26)

- Ancel, P and Cuniberti, G, 'One Sided Jurisdiction Clauses—A Casenote on Rothschild' (2013) *JTL* 1: 7.
- Brand, R A, 'Consent, Validity, and Choice of Forum Agreements in International Contracts' in I. Boone, I. Claeys en L. Lavrysen (eds.), *Liber Amicorum Hubert Bocken* (Brugge: die Keure, 2009), 541.
- Briggs, A, 'What Should be Done about Jurisdiction Agreements?' (2011) *Yrbk Priv Int L* XII: 311.
- Calvo Caravaca, A L, 'La sumisión tácita como foro de competencia judicial internacional y el artículo 24 del Reglamento 44/2001 de 22 diciembre 2000', in A L Calvo Caravaca and S Areal Ludena (eds), *Cuestiones actuales del Derecho mercantil internacional* (Madrid: Colex, 2005), 203–15.
- Camilleri, S P, 'Article 23: Formal Validity, Material Validity or Both?' (2011) *J Priv Int L* 7: 297.
- Carbone, S, 'Gli accordi di proroga della giurisdizione e le convenzioni arbitrali nella nuova disciplina del Regolamento (UE) 1215/2012' (2013) *Diritto del Commercio Internazionale* 651.
- Franzina, P, 'La garanzia dell'osservanza delle norme sulla competenza giurisdizionale nella proposta di revisione del Regolamento Bruxelles I' (2011) *Cuadernos de Derecho Transnacional* 3: 144.
- Garnett, R, 'Coexisting and Conflicting Jurisdiction and Arbitration Clauses' (2013) *J Priv Int L* 9: 361.
- Hartley, T, 'Choice-of-Court Agreements and the New Brussels I Regulation' (2013) *LQR* 129: 309.
- Hartley, T, *Choice-of-Court Agreements under the European and International Instruments* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013).
- Hausmann, R, 'Invalidity of Unilateral Jurisdiction Clauses under Article 23 Brussels I Regulation?' (2013) *EuLF* 2: 37.
- Heinze, C, 'Choice of Court Agreements, Coordination of Proceedings and Provisional Measures in the Reform of the Brussels I Regulation' (2011) *RabelsZ* 75: 581–618.



- Herranz Ballesteros, P M, 'The Regime of Party Autonomy in the Brussels I Recast: The Solutions Adopted for Agreements on Jurisdiction' (2014) *J Priv Int L* 10: 291.
- Kohler, C, 'Agreements Conferring Jurisdiction on Courts of Third States', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 199.
- Magnus, U, 'Choice of Court Agreements in the Review Proposal for the Brussels I Regulation', in E Lein (ed), *The Brussels I Review Proposal Uncovered* (London: BIICL, 2012), 83.
- Magnus, U, 'Gerichtsstandsvereinbarungen unter der reformierten EuGVO', in Witzleb et al (eds), *Festschrift für Dieter Martiny zum 70. Geburtstag* (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2014), 785.
- Ojiegbe, C, 'Choice of Court Agreements and Brussels I Recast—The End of Torpedo Actions' (2014) *Trinity College Law Review* 17: 126.
- Queirolo, I, 'Prorogation of Jurisdiction in the Proposal for a Recast of the Brussels I Regulation', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 183.
- Queirolo, I, 'Choice of Court Agreements in the New Brussels I-bis Regulation: A Critical Appraisal' (2014) *Yrbk Priv Int L* XV: 113.
- Radicati di Brozolo, L G, 'Choice of Court and Arbitration Agreements and the Review of the Brussels I Regulation' (2010) *IPRax* 30: 121.
- Ratkovic, T and Rotar, D Z, 'Choice-of-Court Agreements under the Brussels I Regulation (Recast)' (2013) *J Priv Int L* 9: 245.
- Steinle, J and Vasiliades, E, 'The Enforcement of Jurisdiction Agreements under the Brussels I Regulation: Reconsidering the Principle of Party Autonomy' (2010) *J Priv Int L* 6: 565.
- Verbruggen, C, 'La compatibilité d'une clause (unilatérale) optionnelle de juridiction avec l'article 23 du Règlement Bruxelles I' (2013) *RDC* 443.
- Villata, F C, 'Choice-of-Court Agreements in Favour of Third States' Jurisdiction in Light of the Suggestions by Members of the European Parliament', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 219.
- Weitz, K, 'Internationale Gerichtsstandsvereinbarungen und positive internationale Kompetenzkonflikte: ein Beitrag zum Änderungsentwurf der Brüssel I-Verordnung' (2011) *IJPL* 1: 337.

*K. Chapter 10: Examination as to Jurisdiction and Admissibility (Arts 27–28)*

- Schoibl, N A, 'Die Prüfung der internationalen Zuständigkeit nach Europäischem Verfahrensercht' (2005), *ZZP Int* 10: 123.
- Strikwerda, L, *Inleiding tot het Nederlandse Internationaal Privaatrecht* (10th edn, Kluwer, 2012), 268.

*L. Chapter 11: Lis Pendens and Related Actions (Arts 29–34)*

- Franzina, P, 'Successive Proceedings over the Same Cause of Action: A Plea for a New Rule on Dismissals for Lack of Jurisdiction', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 253.
- Franzina, P, 'Lis pendens Involving a Third Country under the Brussels I-bis Regulation: An Overview', (2014) *Riv di dir int priv proc* 50: 23.
- Fumagalli, L, 'Lis Alibi Pendens. The Rules on Parallel Proceedings in the Reform of the Brussels I Regulation', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 237.
- Hartley, T, 'Choice-of-Court Agreements and the New Brussels I Regulation' (2013) *LQR* 129: 309.
- Heinze, C, 'Choice of Court Agreements, Coordination of Proceedings and Provisional Measures in the Reform of the Brussels I Regulation' (2011) *RabelsZ* 75: 581.
- Kindler, P, 'Torpedo Actions and the Interface between Brussels I and International Commercial Arbitration', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 57.



- Koppenol-Laforce, M, 'Herschikking Brussel I: litispendinge en forumkeuze, een positieve stap voorwaarts!?' (2011) *NIPR* 29: 452.
- Lupi, M A, 'La nuova disciplina della litispendenza e della connessione tra cause nel Regolamento UE n. 1215/2012' [2013] *Rivista Trimestrale di Diritto e Procedura Civile* 1425.
- Mariottini, C M, 'The Proposed Recast of the Brussels I Regulation and *Forum Non Conveniens* in the European Union Judicial Area', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 285.
- Marongio Buonaiuti, F, 'Lis alibi pendens and Related Actions in the Relationships with the Courts of Third Countries in the Recast of the Brussels I Regulation' (2014) *Yrbk Priv Int L* XV: 87.
- Ojiegbe, C, 'Choice of Court Agreements and Brussels I Recast—The End of Torpedo Actions' (2014) *Trinity College Law Review* 17: 126.
- Ratkovic, T and Zgrabljic Rotar, D, 'Choice-of-Court Agreements under the Brussels I Regulation (Recast)' (2013) *J Priv Int L* 9: 245.
- Rogerson, P, 'Lis Pendens and Third States: The Commission's Proposed Changes to the Brussels I Regulation', in E Lein (ed), *The Brussels I Review Proposal Uncovered* (London: BIICL, 2012), 103.
- Schmehl, C, *Parallelverfahren und Justizgewährung* (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2011).
- M. Chapter 12: Art 35 (2001 Regulation, Art 31)*
- Bogdan, M, 'The Proposed Recast of Rules on Provisional Measures under the Brussels I Regulation', in E Lein (ed), *The Brussels I Review Proposal Uncovered* (London: BIICL, 2012), 125.
- Dickinson, A, 'Provisional Measures in the "Brussels I" Review: Disturbing the Status Quo' (2010) *IPRax* 30: 203 and (2010) *J Priv Int L* 9: 519.
- Eisermann, F, *Einstweilige Maßnahmen auf dem Gebiet der Brüssel I-VO* (Hamburg: Dr Kovac, 2011).
- Honorati, C, 'Medidas provisionales y revisión del Reglamento Bruselas I: una oportunidad perdida para mejorar la regulación' (2011) *Anuario español de derecho internacional privado* 11: 207.
- Honorati, C, 'Provisional Measures and the Recast of Brussels I Regulation: A Missed Opportunity for a Better Ruling' (2012) *Riv di dir int priv e proc* 48: 525.
- Kruit, J, 'Voorlopige maatregelen: belangrijke wijzigingen op komst voor de (natte) praktijk!?' (2011) *NIPR* 29: 271.
- van Lith, H, 'De herschikking van voorlopige en bewarende maatregelen onder de EEX-Verordening: een kort overzicht' (2011) *WPNR* 142: 537.
- Marquette, V, 'La clause d'élection de for et les mesures provisoires ou conservatoires' (2012) *RDC* 350.
- Nioche, M, 'Décision provisoire et autorité de la chose jugée' (2012) *Rev crit DIP* 101: 277.
- Nioche, M, *La décision provisoire en droit international privé européen* (Bruxelles: Bruylant, 2012).
- Nuyts, A, 'Les mesures provisoires dans le Règlement Bruxelles I bis' [2013] *RDC* 301.
- Sandrini, L, 'Coordination of Substantive and Interim Proceedings', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 273.
- Zilinsky, M, 'Afschaffing van het exequatur onder het voorstel tot herschikking van de EEX-Verordening: een hybride tussenvorm?' (2011) *WPNR* 142: 543.
- N. Chapter 13: The Recognition and Enforcement of Member State Judgments (Arts 36–60)*
- Albrecht, H, *Die Streitsache im deutschen und englischen Zivilverfahren* (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2013).
- D'Alessandro, E, 'Il titolo esecutivo europeo nel sistema del Regolamento n. 1215/2012' (2013) *Rivista di diritto processuale* 1044.



- Arenas García, R, 'Abolition of Exequatur: Problems and Solutions—Mutual Recognition, Mutual Trust and Recognition of Foreign Judgments: Too Many Words in the Sea' (2011) *Yrbk Priv Int L* XII: 351.
- D'Avout, L, *La refonte du règlement Bruxelles I (Règlement EU n. 1215/2012 du 12 décembre 2012)* (Paris: Dalloz, 2013), 1014.
- Bariatti, S, 'Recognition and Enforcement in the EU of Judicial Decisions Rendered upon Class Actions: The Case of U.S. and Dutch Judgments and Settlements', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 319.
- Beaumont, P, 'Abolition of "Exequatur" under the Brussels I Regulation as it Affects EU Competition Law', in M Danov, F Becker, and P Beaumont, *Cross-Border EU Competition Law Actions* (Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2013).
- Beaumont, P and Johnston, E, 'Abolition of the Exequatur in Brussels I: Is a Public Policy Defence Necessary for the Protection of Human Rights?' (2010) *IPRax* 30: 105.
- Beaumont, P and Johnston, E, 'Can Exequatur be Abolished in Brussels I Whilst Retaining a Public Policy Defence?' (2010) *J Priv Int L* 6: 249.
- Biavati, P, 'Judicial Cooperation in Europe: Is Exequatur Still Necessary?' (2011) *International Journal of Procedural Law* 1, 403.
- Boularbah, H, *Requête unilatérale et inversion du contentieux* (Brussels: Larcier, 2010).
- Calvo Caravaca, A L and Carrascosa González, J, *Derecho internacional privado* (15th edn, Granada: Comares, 2014), 589.
- Carbone, S M, *Lo spazio giudiziario europeo in materia civile e commerciale* (6th edn, Torino: Giappichelli, 2009), 225.
- Carbone, S M, 'What About the Recognition of Third States' Foreign Judgments?', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 299.
- De Cristofaro, M, 'The Abolition of Exequatur Proceedings: Speeding up the Free Movement of Judgments While Preserving the Rights of the Defense', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 353.
- Cuniberti, G, 'Some Remarks on the Efficiency of Exequatur' in H Kronke and K Thorn, *Grenzen überwinden, Prinzipien bewahren: Festschrift für Bernd von Hoffmann* (Bielefeld: Giesecking, 2011), 568.
- Cuniberti, G and Rueda, I, 'Abolition of Exequatur. Addressing the Commission's Concerns' (2011) *RabelsZ* 75: 286.
- Dickinson, A, 'Free Movement of Judgements in the EU: Knock Down the Walls but Mind the Ceiling', in E Lein (ed), *The Brussels I Review Proposal Uncovered* (London: BIICL, 2012), 135.
- Frigo, M, 'Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments on Matters Relating to Personality Rights and the Recast Proposal of the Brussels I Regulation', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 341.
- García, A, 'Abolition of Exequatur: Problems and Solutions—Mutual Recognition, Mutual Trust and Recognition of Foreign Judgments: Too Many Words in the Sea' (2011) *Yrbk Priv Int L* XII: 351.
- Gardenes Santiago, M, 'Les exigences du marché intérieur dans la construction d'un code européen de droit international privé en particulier la place de la confiance et de la reconnaissance mutuelle', in M Fallon, P Lagarde, and S Poillot-Peruzzetto (eds), *Quelle architecture pour un code européen de droit international privé?* (Brussels: Lang, 2011), 89.
- Germelmann, C F, *Die Rechtskraft von Gerichtsentscheidungen in der Europäischen Union* (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2009).
- Harder, S, 'The Effects of Recognized Foreign Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters' (2013) *ICLQ* 62: 441.
- Hazelhorst, M I, and Kramer, X E, 'Afschaffing van het exequatur in Brussel I: daadwerkelijke verbetering of politiek gebaar?' (2013) *Tijdschrift voor Civiele Rechtspleging* 2: 37.
- Hess, B, 'Mutual Recognition in the European Law of Civil Procedure' (2012) *ZVglRWiss* 110: 21.
- Kramberger Skerl, J, 'European Public Policy (With an Emphasis on Exequatur Proceedings)' (2011) *J Priv Int L* 7: 461.



- Kramer, X E, 'Abolition of Exequatur under the Brussels I Regulation: Effecting and Protecting Rights in the European Judicial Area' (2011) *NIPR* 29: 549.
- Kramer, X E, 'Cross-Border Enforcement in the EU: Mutual Trust versus Fair Trial? Towards Principles of European Civil Procedure' (2011) *International Journal of Procedural Law* 2: 202.
- Kramer, X E, 'Cross-Border Enforcement and the Brussels I-bis Regulation: Towards a New Balance between Mutual Trust and National Control over Fundamental Rights' (2013) *NILR* 60: 343.
- Kur, A, 'Case C-235/09 *DHL Express v Chronopost*, Judgment of the Court of Justice (Grand Chamber) of 12 April 2011' (2012) *CML Rev* 49: 735.
- Lopes Pegna, O, 'Il regime di circolazione delle decisioni nel regolamento (UE) n. 1215/2012 (Bruxelles I-'bis')' (2013) *Riv dir int* 96: 1221.
- López de Tejada, M, *La disparition de l'exequatur dans l'espace judiciaire européen* (Paris: LGDJ, 2013).
- Di Noto, R, 'La portée objective de l'autorité de la chose (qui n'a pas été) jugée—Un aperçu de droit comparé', in T Bezenberger, J Gruber, and S Rohlfing-Dijoux (eds), *Die deutsch-französischen Rechtsbeziehungen, Europa und die Welt—Liber Amicorum Otmar Seul* (Baden Baden: Nomos, 2014), 84.
- Oberhammer, P, 'The Abolition of Exequatur' (2010) *IPRax* 30: 197.
- Pfeiffer, T, 'Recast of the Brussels I Regulation: The Abolition of *Exequatur*', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 311.
- Rodríguez Vázquez, M A, 'Review of the Brussels I Regulation: Complete Abolition of Exequatur?', in B Campuzano Díaz et al (eds), *Latest Developments in EU Private International Law* (Cambridge: Intersentia, 2011), 153.
- Rodríguez Vázquez, M A, 'La supresión del "exequátur" en la reforma del Reglamento Bruselas I: valoración de las soluciones propuestas', in E M Vázquez Gómez et al (eds), *El arreglo pacífico de controversias internacionales* (Valencia: Tirant lo Blanch, 2013), 549.
- Schack, H, 'The (Misguided) Abolition of Exequatur Proceedings in the European Union' in J Gudowski and K Weitz, *Festschrift für Tadeusza Ereciński: 'Aurea Praxis, Aurea Theoria'* (Warsaw: LexisNexis, 2011), 1345.
- Schilling, T, 'Das Exequatur und die EMRK' (2011) *IPRax* 31: 40.
- Schlosser, P, 'The Abolition of Exequatur Proceedings—Including Public Policy Review?' (2010) *IPRax* 30: 101.
- Schramm, D, 'Enforcement and the Abolition of Exequatur under the 2012 Brussels I Regulation' (2014) *Yrbk Priv Int L* XV: 143.
- Sepperer, S, *Der Rechtskräfteinwand in den Mitgliedstaaten der EuGVO* (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2010).
- Sladic, J, 'The Remedies and Recourses in European Civil Procedure After the Intended Abolition of the Exequatur' (2013) *ZEuS* 16: 329.
- Timmer, L, 'Abolition of "Exequatur" under the Brussels I Regulation: Ill Conceived and Premature?' (2013) *J Priv Int L* 9: 129.
- Tulibacka, M, 'Europeanization of civil procedures: in search of a coherent approach' (2009) *CML Rev* 46: 1527.
- Tuo, C E, *La rivalutazione della sentenza straniera nel regolamento Bruxelles I: tra divieti e reciproca fiducia* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 26.
- Würtenberger, G, 'The Enforcement of Judgments of Community Trade Mark Courts' (2012) *JIPLP* 7: 373.
- Zilinsky, M, 'Afschaffing van het exequatur onder het voorstel tot herschikking van de EEX-Verordening: een hybride tussenvorm?' (2011) *WPNR* 142: 544.

O. Chapter 14: Authentic Instruments and Court Settlements (Arts 58–60)

- Arons, T and van Boom, W, 'Beyond Tulips and Cheese: Exporting Mass Securities Claim Settlements from The Netherlands' (2010) *European Business Law Review* 21: 857.
- Fitchen, J, 'Authentic Instruments and European Private International Law in Civil and Commercial Matters: Is Now the Time to Break New Ground?' (2011) *J Priv Int L* 7: 33.



- Fitchen, J, 'The Recognition and Enforcement of Authentic Instruments in The Proposed European Succession Regulation' (2012) *J Priv Int L* 8: 323.
- Kohler, M and Buschbaum, M, 'Die "Anerkennung" öffentlicher Urkunden?—Kritische Gedanken über einen zweifelhaften Ansatz in der EU-Kollisionsrechtsvereinheitlichung' (2010) *IPRax* 313 and 'La "Reconnaissance" des Actes Authentiques?—Reflexions Critiques sur une Approche douteuse Entamée dans l'Harmonisation des Règles de Conflits de Lois' (2010) *Rev Crit DIP* 99: 629.)
- Kramer, X E, 'Enforcing Mass Settlements in the European Judicial Area: EU Policy and the Strange Case of Dutch Collective Settlements (WCAM)', in C Hodges and A Stadler (eds), *Resolving Mass Disputes, ADR and Settlement of Mass Claims* (Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 2013), 82.
- Kramer, X E, 'Securities Collective Action and Private International Law Issues in Dutch WCAM Settlements: Global Aspirations and Regional Boundaries' (2014) *Global Business & Development Law Journal* 27: 236.
- Tzankova, I and van Lith, H, 'Class Actions and Class Settlements Going Global: The Netherlands', in D Fairgrieve and E Lein (eds), *Extraterritoriality and Collective Redress* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012), 67.
- P. Chapter 17: Relationship with Other Instruments (Arts 67–73)*
- Berti, S, Furrer, A, Girsberger, D, and Buhr, A, *Stellungnahme zur Revision des Lugano-Übereinkommens* (University of Lucerne, Center for Conflict Resolution), at: <<http://www.unilu.ch/files/eu-rechtsquellen/20080903-Stellungnahme-der-RF-unilu-zum-revLugue.pdf>>.
- Bonomi, A, 'La révision du règlement 44/2001 (Bruxelles I): quelles conséquences pour la Convention de Lugano? Actes de la 23e Journée de Droit international privé du 8 avril 2011 à Lausanne' (Zürich: Schulthess, 2011).
- Bucher, A (ed), *Commentaire romand, Loi fédérale sur le droit international privé (LDIP)/ Convention de Lugano (CL)* (Basel: Helbing Lichtenhahn, 2011).
- Buhr, A, *Europäischer Justizraum und revidiertes Lugano-Übereinkommen* (Bern: Stämpfli, 2010).
- Domej, T, 'Art. 64', in Felix Dasser and Paul Oberhammer (eds), *Lugano-Übereinkommen (LugÜ)* (2nd edn, Bern: Stämpfli, 2011).
- Espinosa Calabuig, R, 'La desarmonización de la armonización europea? A propósito del convenio de Ginebra de 12 de marzo de 1999 sobre embargo preventivo de buques y su relación con los reglamentos Bruselas I y Bruselas I bis', (2013) *Riv di dir int priv proc* 49: 645.
- Furrer, A, 'The Brussels I Review Proposal: Challenges for the Lugano Convention?', in E Lein (ed), *The Brussels I Review Proposal Uncovered* (London: BIICL, 2012), 165.
- Markus, A R, 'Harmonisation of the EU Rules of Jurisdiction Regarding Defendants Outside the EU. What About the Lugano Countries?', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 123.
- Oetike, C and Weibel, T, *Lugano-Übereinkommen* (Basel: Helbing Lichtenhahn, 2011), Art. 64.
- Pocar, F, 'A Partial Recast: Has the Lugano Convention Been Forgotten?', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 117.
- Q. Other Topics*
- Crawford, E and Carruthers, J, 'Connection and Coherence Between and Among European Instruments in the Private International Law of Obligations' (2014) *ICLQ* 63: 1.
- González Beilfuss, G and Añoveros Terradas, B, 'Compensatory Consumer Collective Redress and the Brussels I Regulation (Recast)', in A Nuyts and N E Hatzimihail, *Cross-Border Class Actions: The European Way* (Munich: Sellier, 2014), 241.
- Hess, B, 'Cross-Border Collective Litigation and the Regulation Brussels I' (2010) *IPRax* 30: 116.
- Lein, E, 'Jurisdiction and Applicable Law in Cross-Border Mass Litigation', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 159.



- Malatesta, A, 'Die Auswirkungen der Revision der Brüssel I-Verordnung auf den deutsch-italienischen Rechtsverkehr', in A Röthel et al (eds), *Europäische Einflüsse auf den deutsch-italienischen Rechtsverkehr* (Heidelberg: C F Müller, 2013), 43.
- Posnow-Wurm, M, 'Rethinking Collective Redress, Consumer Protection and Brussels I Regulation', in A Nuyts and N E Hatzimihail, *Cross-Border Class Actions: The European Way* (Munich: Sellier, 2014), 259.
- Ricolfi, M, 'The Recasting of Brussels I Regulation from an Intellectual Property Lawyer's Perspective', in F Pocar, I Viarengo, and F C Villata (eds), *Recasting Brussels I* (Padova: Cedam, 2012), 147 and (2012) *Giurisprudenza commerciale* 39: 483–92.
- Seatzu, F, 'A Plea for Civil Remedy: The Municipal Implementation of Fundamental Rights Provisions in the Framework of Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012' (2014) *Yrbk Priv Int L* XV: 175.
- Thorn, K, 'Die Revision der Brüssel I-Verordnung und ihre Auswirkungen auf den deutsch-italienischen Rechtsverkehr', in A Röthel et al (eds), *Europäische Einflüsse auf den deutsch-italienischen Rechtsverkehr* (Heidelberg: C F Müller, 2013), 61.
- Tichy, L, 'Protection against Abuse of Process in the Brussels I Review Proposal?', in E Lein (ed), *The Brussels I Review Proposal Uncovered* (London: BIICL, 2012), 179.