

Literatura

- Andersen, S., Ertac, S., Gneezy, U., Hoffman, M., & List, J. A. (2011). Stakes matter in ultimatum games. *American Economic Review*, 101(7), 3427–3439. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.101.7.3427>
- Asch, S. E. (1951). Effects of group pressure upon the modification and distortion of judgments. *Groups, leadership, and men*, 177–190.
- Bardsley, N. (2010). Sociality and external validity in experimental economics. *Mind & Society*, 9(2), 119–138. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11299-010-0075-0>
- Berg, J., Dickhaut, J., & McCabe, K. (1995). Trust, reciprocity, and social history. *Games and economic behavior*, 10(1), 122–142. <https://doi.org/10.1006/game.1995.1027>
- Berger, L., & Bosetti, V. (2020). Characterizing ambiguity attitudes using model uncertainty. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 180, 621–637. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2020.02.014>
- Camerer, C. F. (1997). Progress in behavioral game theory. *Journal of economic perspectives*, 11(4), 167–188. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.11.4.167>
- Camerer, C. F. (1999). Behavioral economics: Reunifying psychology and economics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 96(19), 10575–10577. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.19.10575>
- Camerer, C. F. (2011). The promise and success of lab-field generalizability in experimental economics: A critical reply to levitt and list. *Available at SSRN 1977749*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1977749>
- Cárdenas, J. C., De Roux, N., Jaramillo, C. R., & Martinez, L. R. (2014). Is it my money or not? an experiment on risk aversion and the house-money effect. *Experimental Economics*, 17, 47–60. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10683-013-9356-x>
- Charness, G., Gneezy, U., & Henderson, A. (2018). Experimental methods: Measuring effort in economics experiments. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 149, 74–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2018.02.024>
- Chaudhuri, A. (2011). Sustaining cooperation in laboratory public goods experiments: A selective survey of the literature. *Experimental economics*, 14, 47–83. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10683-010-9257-1>
- Cherry, T. L., Frykblom, P., & Shogren, J. F. (2002). Hardnose the dictator. *American Economic Review*, 92(4), 1218–1221. <https://doi.org/10.1257/00028280260344740>
- Colburn, T., & Shute, G. (2007). Abstraction in computer science. *Minds and Machines*, 17, 169–184. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-007-9061-7>
- Croson, R., & Gneezy, U. (2009). Gender differences in preferences. *Journal of Economic literature*, 47(2), 448–474. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.47.2.448>

- Cueva, C., Roberts, R. E., Spencer, T., Rani, N., Tempest, M., Tobler, P. N., Herbert, J., & Rustichini, A. (2015). Cortisol and testosterone increase financial risk taking and may destabilize markets. *Scientific reports*, 5(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep11206>
- Damasio, A. R. (1994). Descartes' error and the future of human life. *Scientific American*, 271(4), 144–144. <https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican1094-144>
- Dickerson, C. A., Thibodeau, R., Aronson, E., & Miller, D. (1992). Using cognitive dissonance to encourage water conservation 1. *Journal of applied social psychology*, 22(11), 841–854. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.1992.tb00928.x>
- Dunbar, R. I. M., & Barrett, L. (2007). *Oxford handbook of evolutionary psychology*. Oxford University Press, USA.
- Engel, C. (2011). Dictator games: A meta study. *Experimental economics*, 14, 583–610. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10683-011-9283-7>
- Epley, N., & Gilovich, T. (2001). Putting adjustment back in the anchoring and adjustment heuristic: Differential processing of self-generated and experimenter-provided anchors. *Psychological science*, 12(5), 391–396. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.00372>
- Exadaktylos, F., Espin, A. M., & Branas-Garza, P. (2013). Experimental subjects are not different. *Scientific reports*, 3(1), 1213. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep01213>
- Falk, A., Meier, S., & Zehnder, C. (2013). Do lab experiments misrepresent social preferences? the case of self-selected student samples. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 11(4), 839–852. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jeea.12019>
- Fehr, E., & Gächter, S. (2000). Cooperation and punishment in public goods experiments. *American Economic Review*, 90(4), 980–994. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.90.4.980>
- Fehr, E., & Schmidt, K. M. (1999). A theory of fairness, competition, and cooperation. *The quarterly journal of economics*, 114(3), 817–868. <https://doi.org/10.1162/003355399556151>
- Feltovich, N. (2011). What's to know about laboratory experimentation in economics? *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 25(2), 371–379. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6419.2010.00676.x>
- Forsythe, R., Horowitz, J. L., Savin, N. E., & Sefton, M. (1994). Fairness in simple bargaining experiments. *Games and Economic behavior*, 6(3), 347–369. <https://doi.org/10.1006/game.1994.1021>
- Friedman, M., & Savage, L. J. (1952). The expected-utility hypothesis and the measurability of utility. *Journal of Political Economy*, 60(6), 463–474. <https://doi.org/10.1086/257308>
- Gelo, O., Braakmann, D., & Benetka, G. (2009). Quantitative and qualitative research: Beyond the debate. *Integrative psychological & behavioral science*, 43(4), 406. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12124-008-9078-3>

- Gjerstad, S. D., & Smith, V. L. (2014). *Rethinking housing bubbles: The role of household and bank balance sheets in modeling economic cycles*. Cambridge University Press.
- Güth, W., Schmittberger, R., & Schwarze, B. (1982). An experimental analysis of ultimatum bargaining. *Journal of economic behavior & organization*, 3(4), 367–388. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2681\(82\)90011-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2681(82)90011-7)
- Henrich, J., Boyd, R., Bowles, S., Camerer, C., Fehr, E., Gintis, H., McElreath, R., Alvard, M., Barr, A., Ensminger, J., et al. (2005). “economic man” in cross-cultural perspective: Behavioral experiments in 15 small-scale societies. *Behavioral and brain sciences*, 28(6), 795–815. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0140525x05000142>
- Henrich, J., Heine, S. J., & Norenzayan, A. (2010). The weirdest people in the world? *Behavioral and brain sciences*, 33(2-3), 61–83. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X0999152X>
- Holt, C. A., & Laury, S. K. (2002). Risk aversion and incentive effects. *American economic review*, 92(5), 1644–1655. <https://doi.org/10.1257/000282802762024700>
- Holt, C. A., & Roth, A. E. (2004). The nash equilibrium: A perspective. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 101(12), 3999–4002. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0308738101>
- Hurwicz, L. (1972). On informationally decentralized systems. *Decision and organization: A volume in Honor of J. Marschak*.
- Iyengar, S., & Kinder, D. R. (1987). *News that matters: Television and american opinion*. University of Chicago Press.
- Johnson, N. D., & Mislin, A. A. (2011). Trust games: A meta-analysis. *Journal of economic psychology*, 32(5), 865–889. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joep.2011.05.007>
- Kahneman, D. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decisions under risk. *Econometrica*, 47, 278. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1914185>
- Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, fast and slow*. macmillan.
- Kramer, J. (2007). Is abstraction the key to computing? *Communications of the ACM*, 50(4), 36–42. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1232743.1232745>
- Meeker, D., Linder, J. A., Fox, C. R., Friedberg, M. W., Persell, S. D., Goldstein, N. J., Knight, T. K., Hay, J. W., & Doctor, J. N. (2016). Effect of behavioral interventions on inappropriate antibiotic prescribing among primary care practices: A randomized clinical trial. *Jama*, 315(6), 562–570. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.0275>
- Mellström, C., & Johannesson, M. (2008). Crowding out in blood donation: Was titmuss right? *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 6(4), 845–863. <https://doi.org/10.1162/jeea.2008.6.4.845>
- Oosterbeek, H., Sloof, R., & Van De Kuilen, G. (2004). Cultural differences in ultimatum game experiments: Evidence from a meta-analysis. *Experimental economics*, 7, 171–188. <https://doi.org/10.1023/b:exec.0000026978.14316.74>

- Ortmann, A. (2005). Field experiments in economics: Some methodological caveats. In *Field experiments in economics* (pp. 51–70). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0193-2306\(04\)10003-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0193-2306(04)10003-3)
- Oswald, M. E., & Grosjean, S. (2004). *Cognitive illusions: A handbook on fallacies and biases in thinking, judgement and memory*. in r. f. pohl (ed.) Psychology Press.
- Payne, J. G. (2010). The bradley effect: Mediated reality of race and politics in the 2008 us presidential election. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 54(4), 417–435. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764210381713>
- Posner, R. A. (1997). Rational choice, behavioral economics, and the law. *StAn. l. reV.*, 50, 1551. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1229305>
- Roe, B. E., & Just, D. R. (2009). Internal and external validity in economics research: Tradeoffs between experiments, field experiments, natural experiments, and field data. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 91(5), 1266–1271. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20616293>
- Ronay, R., & Hippel, W. v. (2010). The presence of an attractive woman elevates testosterone and physical risk taking in young men. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 1(1), 57–64. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550609352807>
- Roth, A. E., Prasnikar, V., Okuno-Fujiwara, M., & Zamir, S. (1991). Bargaining and market behavior in jerusalem, ljubljana, pittsburgh, and tokyo: An experimental study. *The American economic review*, 1068–1095. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2006907>
- Saretsky, G. (1972). The oeo pc experiment and the john henry effect. *The Phi Delta Kappan*, 53(9), 579–581. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20373317>
- Serdar, C. C., Cihan, M., Yücel, D., & Serdar, M. A. (2021). Sample size, power and effect size revisited: Simplified and practical approaches in pre-clinical, clinical and laboratory studies. *Biochemia medica*, 31(1), 27–53. <https://doi.org/10.11613/bm.2021.010502>
- Simon, H. A. (1990). Bounded rationality. *Utility and probability*, 15–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-20568-4_5
- Smith, V. L. (1962). An experimental study of competitive market behavior. *Journal of political economy*, 70(2), 111–137. <https://doi.org/10.1086/258609>
- Smith, V. L. (1976). Experimental economics: Induced value theory. *The American Economic Review*, 66(2), 274–279. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1817233>
- Smith, V. L. (1982). Microeconomic systems as an experimental science. *The American economic review*, 72(5), 923–955. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1812014>
- Smith, V. L. (2018). *A life of experimental economics, volume i: Forty years of discovery*. Springer.
- Smith, V. L., Suchanek, G. L., & Williams, A. W. (1988). Bubbles, crashes, and endogenous expectations in experimental spot asset markets. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, 1119–1151. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1911361>

- Sonnemans, J., & Offerman, T. (2001). Is the quadratic scoring rule really incentive compatible?
- Strack, F., Martin, L. L., & Schwarz, N. (1988). Priming and communication: Social determinants of information use in judgments of life satisfaction. *European journal of social psychology, 18*(5), 429–442. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2420180505>
- Sullivan, G. M., & Feinn, R. (2012). Using effect size—or why the p value is not enough. *Journal of graduate medical education, 4*(3), 279–282. <https://doi.org/10.4300/jgme-d-12-00156.1>
- Vigen, T. (2022). *Spurious correlations*. Retrieved October 30, 2022, from <https://tylervigen.com/spurious-correlations>
- Weber, S. J., & Cook, T. D. (1972). Subject effects in laboratory research: An examination of subject roles, demand characteristics, and valid inference. *Psychological Bulletin, 77*(4), 273. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0032351>
- Wickström, G., & Bendix, T. (2000). The "hawthorne effect"—what did the original hawthorne studies actually show? *Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health, 363–367*. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/40967074>