

# References

- Achen, Christopher H. (2005). Let's put garbage-can regressions and garbage-can probits where they belong. *Conflict Management and Peace Science*, **22**, 237–339.
- Adcock, Robert and Collier, David (2001). Measurement validity: A shared standard for qualitative and quantitative research. *American Political Science Review*, **95**, 529–46.
- Agresti, Alan (2007). *An Introduction to Categorical Data Analysis* (2nd edn). Wiley-Interscience, New York.
- Agresti, Alan and Finlay, Barbara (2009). *Statistical Methods for the Social Sciences* (4th edn). Prentice Hall: Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Allen, James (1994). *Natural Language Processing* (2nd edn). Addison-Wesley: Redwood City, CA.
- Alm, James, McClelland, Gary H., and Schulze, William D. (1992). Why do people pay taxes? *Journal of Public Economics*, **48**, 21–38.
- Alter, Karen J. (2008). Agents or trustees? International courts in their political context. *European Journal of International Relations*, **14**, 33–63.
- Andrade, Flavia Cristina Drumond, Raffaelli, Marcela, Teran-Garcia, Margarita, Jerman, Jilber A., and Garcia, Celia Aradillas (2012). Weight status misperception among Mexican young adults. *Body Image*, **9**, 184–8.
- Anscombe, F. J. (1973). Graphs in statistical analysis. *The American Statistician*, **27**, 17–21.
- Ansolabehere, Stephen and Hersh, Eitan (2012). Validation: What big data reveal about survey misreporting and the real electorate. *Political Analysis*, **20**, 437–59.
- Armour, John, Deakin, Simon, Lele, Priya, and Siems, Mathias M. (2009). How do legal rules evolve? Evidence from a cross-country comparison of shareholder, creditor and worker protection. *American Journal of Comparative Law*, **57**, 579–629.
- Aspin, Larry T. and Hall, William K. (1994). Retention elections and judicial behavior. *Judicature*, **77**, 306–15.
- Atkins, Burton (1990). Interventions and power in judicial hierarchies: Appellate courts in England and the United States. *Law & Society Review*, **24**, 71–104.
- Austin, Peter C. and Hux, Janet E. (2002). A brief note on overlapping confidence intervals. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*, **36**, 194–5.
- Axinn, William G. and Pearce, Lisa D. (2007). *Mixed Method Data Collection Strategies*. Cambridge University Press: New York, NY.
- Babbie, Earl R. (2009). *The Practice of Social Research*. Wadsworth: Belmont, CA.
- Baird, Vanessa A. and Javeline, Debra (2007). The persuasive power of Russian courts. *Political Research Quarterly*, **60**, 429–42.

- Barro, Robert J. (1996). Democracy and growth. *Journal of Economic Growth*, **1**, 1–27.
- Bates, Robert, Greif, Avner, Levi, Margaret, Laurent Rosenthal, Jean, and Weingast, Barry R. (1998). *Analytic Narratives*. Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ.
- Bayley, David H. and Garofalo, James (1989). The management of violence by police patrol officers. *Criminology*, **27**, 1–26.
- Beck, Colin J., Drori, Gili S., and Meyer, John W. (2012). World influences on human rights language in constitutions: A cross-national study. *International Sociology*, **27**, 483–501.
- Berdejó, Carlos and Yuchtman, Noam M. (2013). Crime, punishment, and politics: An analysis of political cycles in criminal sentencing. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, **95**, 741–56.
- Bertin, Jacques (1981). *Graphics and Graphic Information*. W. de Gruyter: Berlin.
- Bertrand, Marianne and Mullainathan, Sendhil (2001). Do people mean what they say? Implications for subjective survey data. *American Economic Review*, **91**, 67–72.
- Bird, Steven, Klein, Ewan, and Loper, Edward (2009). *Natural Language Processing with Python*. O'Reilly Media: Cambridge, MA.
- Blackstone, William (1769). *Commentaries on the laws of England*. Vol. 4. Clarendon Press: Oxford, UK.
- Bornstein, Brian H. (1999). The ecological validity of jury simulations: Is the jury still out? *Law and Human Behavior*, **23**, 75–91.
- Bourque, Linda B. (2007). Coding. In *Encyclopedia of Social Science Research Methods* (ed. M. S. Lewis-Beck, A. Bryman, and T. F. Liao). Sage: Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Box-Steffensmeier, Janet M. and Jones, Bradford S. (2004). *Event History Modeling: A Guide for Social Scientists*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, MA.
- Boyd, Christina L., Epstein, Lee, and Martin, Andrew D. (2010). Untangling the causal effect of sex on judging. *American Journal of Political Science*, **54**, 389–411.
- Boylan, Richard T. (2012). The effect of punishment severity on plea bargaining. *Journal of Law and Economics*, **55**, 565–91.
- Bradburn, Norman M., Sudman, Seymour, and Wansink, Brian (2004). *Asking Questions: The Definitive Guide to Questionnaire Design—For Market Research, Political Polls, and Social and Health Questionnaires* (revised edn). Jossey-Bass: San Francisco, CA.
- Braman, Eileen (2009). *Law, Politics and Perception: How Policy Preferences Influence Legal Reasoning*. University of Virginia Press: Charlottesville, VA.
- Brewer, Noel T., Gilkey, Melissa B., Lillie, Sarah E., Hesse, Bradford W., and Sheridan, Stacey L. (2012). Tables or bar graphs? Presenting test results in electronic medical records. *Medical Decision Making*, **32**, 545–53.
- Breyer, Stephen (2000). Introduction. In *Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence*. Federal Judicial Center: Washington, D.C.
- Brians, Craig Leonard, Willnat, Lars, Manheim, Jarol B., and Rich, Richard C. (2010). *Empirical Political Analysis*. Pearson: New Jersey.
- Buccafusco, Christopher and Sprigman, Christopher (2010). Valuing intellectual property. *Cornell Law Review*, **96**, 1–45.

- Burnham, Kenneth P. and Anderson, David R. (2004). Multimodel inference: Understanding the aic and bic in model selection. *Sociological Research and Methods*, **33**, 261–304.
- Caldeira, Gregory A. (1985). The transmission of legal precedent: A study of state supreme courts. *American Political Science Review*, **79**, 178–94.
- Caldeira, Gregory A., Wright, John R., and Zorn, Christopher J. (1999). Sophisticated voting and gate-keeping in the Supreme Court. *Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization*, **15**, 549–72.
- Cameron, Charles M. and Park, Jee-Kwang (2009). How will they vote? Predicting the future behavior of Supreme Court nominees, 1937–2006. *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*, **6**, 485–511.
- Caminker, Evan H. (1994). Why must inferior courts obey superior court precedents? *Stanford Law Review*, **46**, 817–73.
- Cane, Peter and Kritzer, Herbert M. (2010). Introduction. In *The Oxford Handbook of Empirical Legal Research* (eds. P. Cane and H. M. Kritzer). Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK.
- Canes-Wrone, Brandice, Clark, Tom S., and Park, Jee-Kwang (2012). Judicial independence and retention elections. *Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization*, **28**, 211–34.
- Canon, Bradley C. and Johnson, Charles A. (1999). *Judicial Policies: Implementation and Impact*. CQ Press: Washington, DC.
- Carroll, Royce and Tiede, Lydia (2011). Judicial behavior on the Chilean constitutional tribunal. *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*, **8**, 856–77.
- Casillas, Christopher J., Enns, Peter K., and Wohlfarth, Patrick C. (2011). How public opinion constrains the U.S. Supreme Court. *American Journal of Political Science*, **55**, 74–88.
- Clark, Charles E. and Shulman, Harry (1937). *A Study of Law Administration in Connecticut: A Report of an Investigation of the Activities of Certain Trial Courts of the State*. Yale University Press: New Haven, CT.
- Clark, Linda L. (2008). *Women and Achievement in Nineteenth-Century Europe*. Cambridge University Press: New York, NY.
- Clark, Tom S. (2006). Judicial decision making during wartime. *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*, **3**, 397–419.
- Clark, Tom S. (2011). *The Limits of Judicial Independence*. Cambridge University Press: New York, NY.
- Clark, Tom S. and Whittington, Keith E. (2009). Ideology, partisanship, and judicial review of acts of Congress, 1789–2006, <[http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=1475660](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1475660)>.
- Clarke, Kevin A. (2005). The phantom menace: Omitted variable bias in econometric research. *Conflict Management and Peace Science*, **22**, 341–52.
- Cleveland, William S. (1993). *Visualizing Data*. Hobart Press: Summit, NJ.
- Cleveland, William S. (1994). *The Elements of Graphing Data*. Hobart Press: Summit, NJ.

- Cleveland, William S. and McGill, Robert (1984). Graphical perception: Theory, experimentation, and application to the development of graphical methods. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, **79**, 531–54.
- Coates IV, John C. (2012). Corporate politics, governance, and value before and after *Citizens United*. *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*, **9**, 657–95.
- Cogan, Jacob Katz (2012). The 2011 judicial activity of the International Court of Justice. *American Journal of International Law*, **106**, 586–608.
- Cohen, Jacob (1960). A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, **20**, 37–46.
- Cole, Michael (2004). Ecological validity. In *The SAGE Encyclopedia of Social Science Research Methods* (eds. M. S. Lewis-Beck, A. Bryman, and T. F. Liao). Sage: Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Colker, Ruth and Scott, Kevin M. (2002). Dissing states?: Invalidation of state action during the Rehnquist era. *Virginia Law Review*, **88**, 1301–86.
- Collier, David and Adcock, David (1999). Democracy and dichotomies: A pragmatic approach to choices about concepts. *Annual Review of Political Science*, **2**, 537–65.
- Corley, Pamela C., Steigerwolt, A., and Ward, A. (2013). *The Puzzle of Unanimity*. Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA.
- Costigan-Eaves, Patricia and Macdonald-Ross, Michael (1990). William Playfair (1759–1823). *Statistical Science*, **5**, 318–26.
- Couper, Mick P. (2011). The future of modes of data collection. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, **75**, 889–908.
- Cumming, Geoff and Finch, Sue (2005). Inference by eye: Confidence intervals and how to read pictures of data. *American Psychologist*, **60**, 170–18.
- Davenport, Christian and Armstrong, II, David A. (2004). Democracy and the violation of human rights: A statistical analysis from 1976 to 1996. *American Journal of Political Science*, **48**, 538–54.
- de Bertodano, Sylvia (2002). Judicial independence in the International Criminal Court. *Leiden Journal of International Law*, **15**, 409–30.
- DeGroot, Morris H. and Schervish, Mark J. (2012). *Probability and Statistics* (4th edn). Addison-Wesley: Boston, MA.
- DeVellis, Robert F. (2011). *Scale Development: Theory and Applications* (3rd edn). Sage: Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Dezhbakshs, Hashem and Rubin, Paul H. (1998). Lives saved or lives lost? The effects of concealed-handgun laws on crime. *American Economic Review*, **88**, 468–74.
- Diamond, Shari Seidman (2007). Juror response to scientific and other expert testimony and how judges can help. *Journal of Law & Policy*, **16**, 46–67.
- Diamond, Shari Seidman (2011). Reference guide on survey research. In *Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence* (3rd edn). National Academies Press: Washington, DC.
- Diamond, Shari Seidman, Rose, Mary R., Murphy, Beth, and Meixner, John (2011). Damage anchors on real juries. *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*, **8**, 148–78.

- Diamond, Shari Seidman, Vidmar, Neil, Rose, Mary, Ellis, Leslie, and Murphy, Beth (2003). Inside the jury room: Evaluating juror discussions during trial. *Judicature*, **87**, 54–8.
- Djankov, Simeon, Glaeser, Edward, La Porta, Rafael, de Silanes, Florencio López, and Shleifer, Andrei (2003). The new comparative economics. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, **31**, 595–619.
- Djankov, Simeon, Porta, Rafael La, de Silanes, Florencio López, and Shleifer, Andrei (2002). The regulation of entry. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, **117**, 1–37.
- Dodge, Yadolah (2006). *The Oxford Dictionary of Statistical Terms* (6th edn). Oxford University Press: Oxford.
- Dolan, Paul and Peasgood, Tessa (2008). Legal implications of the new research on happiness. *Journal of Legal Studies*, **37**, 5–31.
- Dougherty, Chrys and Jorgenson, Dale W. (1996). International comparisons of the sources of economic growth. *American Economic Review*, **86**, 25–9.
- Dowling, Tracy Solomon (2008). Mandating a human papillomavirus vaccine: An investigation into whether such legislation is constitutional and prudent. *American Journal of Law & Medicine*, **34**, 65–84.
- Drago, Francesco, Galbiati, Roberto, and Vertova, Pietro (2009). The deterrent effects of prison: Evidence from a natural experiment. *Journal of Political Economy*, **117**, 257–80.
- Driscoll, Amanda and Nelson, Michael J. (2012). The 2011 judicial elections in Bolivia. *Electoral Studies*, **31**, 628–32.
- D'Souza, Dinesh (1995). *The End of Racism*. Free Press: New York, NY.
- Duggan, Mark, Hjalmarsson, Randi, and Jacob, Brian A. (2011). The short-term and localized effect of gun shows: Evidence from California and Texas. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, **93**, 786–99.
- Dunning, Thad (2012). *Natural Experiments in the Social Sciences: A Design-Based Approach*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, MA.
- Eekelaar, John, Maclean, Mavis, and Beinart, Sarah (2000). *Family Lawyers: How Solicitors Deal with Divorcing Clients*. Hart Publishing: Oxford, UK.
- Efron, Bradley (1981). Nonparametric estimates of the standard error: The jackknife, the bootstrap, and other methods. *Biometrika*, **68**, 589–99.
- Eigen, Zev J. (2012). When and why individuals obey contracts: Experimental evidence of consent, compliance, promise, and performance. *Journal of Legal Studies*, **41**, 67–93.
- Elkins, Zachary, Ginsburg, Tom, and Melton, James (2009). *The Endurance of National Constitutions*. Cambridge University Press: New York, NY.
- Epstein, Cynthia Fuchs (1981). *Women in Law*. Basic Books: New York, NY.
- Epstein, Lee (1995). Studying law and courts. In *Contemplating Courts* (ed. L. Epstein). CQ Press: Washington, DC.
- Epstein, Lee and Clarke, Charles (2010). Academic integrity and legal scholarship in the wake of *Exxon Shipping*, Footnote 17. *Stanford Law & Policy Review*, **21**, 33–50.

- Epstein, Lee and King, Gary (2002). The rules of inference. *University of Chicago Law Review*, **69**, 1–133.
- Epstein, Lee and Knight, Jack (1998). *The Choices Justices Make*. CQ Press: Washington, DC.
- Epstein, Lee and Landes, William M. (2012). Was there ever such a thing as judicial self-restraint? *California Law Review*, **100**, 557–78.
- Epstein, Lee and Martin, Andrew D. (2005). Coding variables. In *Handbook of Social Measurement* (ed. K. Kempf-Leonard). Academic Press: Boston, MA.
- Epstein, Lee and Martin, Andrew D. (2010). Quantitative approaches to empirical legal research. In *Oxford Handbook on Empirical Legal Studies* (eds. P. Cane and H. Kritzer). Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK.
- Epstein, Lee, Ho, Daniel E., King, Gary, and Segal, Jeffrey A. (2005). The Supreme Court during crisis. *NYU Law Review*, **80**, 1–116.
- Epstein, Lee, Landes, William M., and Posner, Richard A. (2013). *The Behavior of Federal Judges*. Harvard University Press: Cambridge, MA.
- Epstein, Lee, Martin, Andrew D., and Boyd, Christina L. (2007). On the effective communication of the results of empirical studies, Part II. *Vanderbilt Law Review*, **60**, 801–46.
- Epstein, Lee, Martin, Andrew D., and Schneider, Matthew M. (2006). On the effective communication of the results of empirical studies, Part I. *Vanderbilt Law Review*, **59**, 1811–71.
- Epstein, Lee, Segal, Jeffrey A., Spaeth, Harold J., and Walker, Thomas G. (2012). *The Supreme Court Compendium*. Congressional Quarterly Press: Washington, DC.
- Erickson, Rosemary J. and Simon, Rita J. (1998). *The Use of Social Science Data in Supreme Court Decisions*. University of Illinois Press: Urbana, IL.
- Eskridge, William N. (1991). Reneging on history? Playing the Court/Congress/President civil rights game. *California Law Review*, **79**, 613–84.
- Farber, Daniel A. and Frickey, Philip P. (1992). Foreword: Positive political theory in the nineties. *Georgetown Law Journal*, **80**, 457–76.
- Feld, Lars P. and Voigt, Stefan (2003). Economic growth and judicial independence: Cross-country evidence using a new set of indicators. *European Journal of Political Economy*, **19**, 497–523.
- Finkelstein, Michael O. (2009). *Basic Concepts of Probability and Statistics in the Law*. Springer: New York, NY.
- Finkelstein, Michael O. and Levin, Bruce (2001). *Statistics for Lawyers*. Springer: New York, NY.
- Fischer, Martin H. (2000). Do irrelevant depth cues affect the comprehension of bar graphs? *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, **14**, 151–62.
- Flemming, Roy B. (2004). *Tournament of Appeals: Granting Judicial Review in Canada*. UBC Press: Vancouver, BC.
- Fowler, Floyd Jackson (1992). How unclear terms affect survey data. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, **36**, 218–31.

- Fox, John (2008). *Applied Regression Analysis and Generalized Linear Models* (2nd edn). Sage: Los Angeles, CA.
- Franck, Raphaël (2009). Judicial independence under a divided polity: A study of the rulings of the French Constitutional Court, 1959–2006. *Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization*, **25**, 262–84.
- Franck, Thomas (1995). *Fairness in International Law and Institutions*. Oxford University Press: New York, NY.
- Frankel, Jeffrey A. and Romer, David (1999). Does trade cause growth? *American Economic Review*, **89**, 379–99.
- Frankfort-Nachmias, Chava and Nachmias, David (2008). *Research Methods in the Social Sciences* (7th edn). Worth Publishers: New York, NY.
- Frankfurter, Felix and Landis, James M. (1928). *The Business of the Supreme Court: A Study in the Federal Judicial System*. Macmillan: New York, NY.
- Freedman, David, Pisani, Robert, and Purves, Roger (2007). *Statistics* (4th edn). Norton: New York, NY.
- Friedman, Daniel and Sunder, Shyam (1994). *Experimental Methods: A Primer for Economists*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK.
- Friedman, Lawrence M. (1998). Law reviews and legal scholarship: Some comments. *Denver University Law Review*, **75**, 661–8.
- Friendly, Michael (1994). Mosaic displays for multi-way contingency tables. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, **89**, 190–200.
- Friendly, Michael and Wainer, Howard (2004). Nobody's perfect. *Chance*, **17**, 51–4.
- Galton, Francis (1885). Presidential address, Section H, Anthropology. *Report of the British Association for the Advancement of Science*, **55**, 1206–14.
- Garoupa, Nuno M., Gomez-Pomar, Fernando, and Grembi, Veronica (2013). Judging under political pressure: An empirical analysis of constitutional review voting in the Spanish Constitutional Court. *Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization*, **29**, 513–4.
- Garoupa, Nuno M., Grembi, Veronica, and Lin, Shirley Ching Ping (2011). Explaining constitutional review in new democracies: The case of Taiwan. *Pacific Rim Law & Policy Journal*, **20**, 1–40.
- Garrett, Geoffrey and Weingast, Barry (1993). Ideas, interests and institutions: Constructing the EC's internal market. In *Ideas and Foreign Policy: Beliefs, Institutions, and Political Change* (eds. J. Goldstein and R. O. Keohane). Cornell University Press: Ithaca, NY.
- Gelman, Andrew (2011). Why tables are really much better than graphs. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, **20**, 3–7.
- Gelman, Andrew and Hill, Jennifer (2007). *Data Analysis Using Regression and Multilevel/Hierarchical Models*. Cambridge University Press: New York, NY.
- Gelman, Andrew, Pasarica, Cristian, and Dodhia, Rahul (2002). Let's practice what we preach: Turning tables into graphs. *American Statistician*, **56**, 121–30.
- Gennaioli, Nicola and Shleifer, Andrei (2007). Overruling and the instability of law. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, **35**, 309–28.

- George, Tracey E. (2005). An empirical study of empirical legal scholarship. *Indiana Law Journal*, **81**, 141–61.
- Gerber, Alan S. and Green, Donald P. (2012). *Field Experiments: Design, Analysis, and Interpretation*. W.W. Norton & Company: New York, NY.
- Gibson, James L. (2008). Challenges to the impartiality of state supreme courts: Legitimacy theory and “new style” judicial campaigns. *American Political Science Review*, **102**, 59–75.
- Gibson, James L. (2012). *Electing Judges: The Surprising Effects of Campaigning on Judicial Legitimacy*. University of Chicago Press: Chicago, IL.
- Gibson, James L., Caldeira, Gregory A., and Baird, Vanessa (1998). On the legitimacy of national high courts. *American Political Science Review*, **92**, 343–58.
- Gill, Jeff (1999). The insignificance of null hypothesis significance testing. *Political Research Quarterly*, **65**, 647–74.
- Gillan, Douglas J., Wickens, Christopher D., Hollands, J. G., and Carswell, C. Melody (1998). Guidelines for presenting quantitative data in HFES publications. *Human Factors*, **40**, 28–41.
- Gilliam, Franklin D. and Iyengar, Shanto (2000). Prime suspects: The influence of local television news on the viewing public. *American Journal of Political Science*, **44**, 560–73.
- Ginsburg, Tom, Chernykh, Svitlana, and Elkins, Zachary (2008). Commitment and diffusion: Why constitutions incorporate international law. *University of Illinois Law Review*, **2008**, 101–37.
- Glick, Henry R. (1992). *The Right to Die: Policy Innovation and its Consequences*. Columbia University Press: New York, NY.
- Good, Philip I. (2001). *Applying Statistics in the Courtroom*. Chapman & Hall/CRC: Boca Raton, FL.
- Gordon, Sanford C. and Huber, Gregory A. (2007). The effect of electoral competitiveness on incumbent behavior. *Quarterly Journal of Political Science*, **2**, 107–38.
- Gould, Jon B. and Mastrofski, Stephen D. (2004). Suspect searches: Assessing police behavior under the U.S. Constitution. *Criminology & Public Policy*, **3**, 315–62.
- Grabowski, Henry and Wang, Y. Richard (2008). Do faster Food and Drug Administration drug reviews adversely affect patient safety? An analysis of the 1992 Prescription Drug User Fee Act. *Journal of Law and Economics*, **51**, 377–406.
- Gravetter, Frederick J and Forzano, Lori-Ann B. (2012). *Research Methods for the Behavioral Sciences*. Wadsworth: Belmont, CA.
- Greene, William H. (2011). *Econometric Analysis* (7th edn). Prentice Hall: Boston, MA.
- Greenland, Sander (2003). Quantifying Biases in Causal Models: Classical Confounding vs Collider-Stratification Bias. *Epidemiology*, **14**, 300–6.
- Greiner, D. James and Pattanayak, Cassandra Wolos (2012). Evaluation in legal assistance: What difference does representation (offer and actual use) make? *Yale Law Journal*, **121**, 2118–214.



- Gross, Samuel R. and Barnes, Katherine Y. (2002). Road work: Racial profiling and drug interdiction on the highway. *Michigan Law Review*, **101**, 651–754.
- Groves, Robert M., Jr., Fowler, Floyd J., Couper, Mick P., Lepkowski, James M., Singer, Eleanor, and Tourangeau, Roger (2009). *Survey Methodology* (2nd edn). John Wiley: Hoboken, NJ.
- Gujarati, Damodar and Porter, Dawn (2008). *Basic Econometrics* (5th edn). McGraw-Hill/Irwin: New York, NY.
- Guthrie, Chris, Rachlinski, Jeffrey J., and Wistrich, Andrew J. (2007). Blinking on the bench: How judges decide cases. *Cornell Law Review*, **93**, 1–43.
- Hall, Robert E. and Jones, Charles I. (1999). Why do some countries produce so much more output per worker than others? *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, **114**, 83–116.
- Harrison, Glenn W. and List, John A. (2004). Field experiments. *Journal of Economic Literature*, **42**, 1009–55.
- Hartigan, J. A. and Kleiner, Beat (1981). Mosaics for contingency tables. In *Computer Science and Statistics: Proceedings of the 13th Symposium on Interface* (ed. W. Eddy). Springer-Verlag: New York, NY.
- Hayek, Frederick A. (1960). *The Constitution of Liberty*. University of Chicago Press: Chicago, IL.
- Hazelton, Morgan L. W., Hinkle, Rachael K., and Martin, Andrew D. (2010). On replication and the study of the Louisiana Supreme Court. *Global Jurist*, **10**, 85–91.
- Hefler, Laurence and Slaughter, Anne-Marie (1997). Toward a theory of effective supranational adjudication. *Yale Law Journal*, **107**, 273–391.
- Helmke, Gretchen (2005). *Courts under Constraints: Judges, Generals, and Presidents in Argentina*. Cambridge University Press: New York, NY.
- Hensley, Thomas R. (1968). National bias and the International Court of Justice. *Midwest Journal of Political Science*, **12**, 568–86.
- Herrona, Erik S. and Randazzo, Kirk A. (2003). The relationship between independence and judicial review in post-communist courts. *Journal of Politics*, **65**, 422–38.
- Hilbink, Lisa (2007). *Judges beyond Politics in Democracy and Dictatorship: Lessons from Chile*. Cambridge University Press: New York, NY.
- Hintze, Jerry L. and Nelson, Ray D. (1998). Violin plots: A box plot-density trace synergism. *American Statistician*, **52**, 181–4.
- Ho, Daniel E., Imai, Kosuke, King, Gary, and Stuart, Elizabeth A. (2007). Matching as nonparametric preprocessing for reducing model dependence in parametric causal inference. *Political Analysis*, **15**, 199–236.
- Holland, Paul W. (1986). Statistics and causal inference. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, **81**, 945–70.
- Holmes Jr., Oliver Wendell (1897). The path of the law. *Harvard Law Review*, **10**, 457–78.

- Howard, Robert M. and Carey, Henry F. (2004). Is an independent judiciary necessary for democracy? *Judicature*, **87**, 284–90.
- Howell, William G. and Ahmed, Faisal Z. (2014). Voting for the president: The Supreme Court during war. *Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization*, **30**, 39–71.
- Huang, Kuo-Chang, Chen, Kong-Pin, and Lin, Chang-Ching (2010). An empirical investigation of settlement and litigation: The case of Taiwanese labor disputes. *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*, **7**, 786–810.
- Huff, Darrell (1954). *How to Lie with Statistics*. Norton: New York, NY.
- Inter-University Consortium for Political and Social Research (2012). *Guide to Social Science Data Preparation and Archiving*. ICPSR: Ann Arbor, MI.
- Jackson, Howell E., Kaplow, Louis, and Shavel, Steven M. (2011). *Analytic Methods for Lawyers*. Foundation Press: New York, NY.
- Jacoby, William G. (1997). *Statistical Graphics for Univariate and Bivariate Data*. Sage: Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Johnson, Janet Buttolph, Reynolds, H. T., and Mycoff, Jason D. (2007a). *Political Science Research Methods*. CQ Press: Washington, DC.
- Johnson, R. Burke, Onwuegbuzie, Anthony J., and Turner, Lisa A. (2007b). Toward a definition of mixed methods research. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, **1**, 112–33.
- Jurafsky, Daniel and Martin, James H. (2008). *Speech and Language Processing* (2nd edn). Pearson Prentice Hall: Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Kagel, John H. and Roth, Alvin E. (1997). *The Handbook of Experimental Economics*. Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ.
- Kahneman, Daniel and Deaton, Angus (2010). High income improves evaluation of life but not emotional well-being. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **107**, 16489–93.
- Kalven, Harry and Zeisel, Hans (1966). *The American Jury*. Little, Brown: Boston, MA.
- Kang, Jerry (2005). Trojan horses of race. *Harvard Law Review*, **118**, 1489–593.
- Kastellec, Jonathan P. and Leoni, Eduardo L. (2007). Using graphs instead of tables in political science. *Perspectives on Politics*, **5**, 755–71.
- Kates, Donald B. and Mauser, Gary (2007). Would banning firearms reduce murder and suicide? A review of international and some domestic evidence. *Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy*, **30**, 649–94.
- Kay, Fiona and Gorman, Elizabeth (2008). Women in the legal profession. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, **4**, 299–332.
- Kaye, David H. and Freedman, David A. (2000). *Reference Guide on Statistics*. Federal Judicial Center: Washington, DC.
- Keith, Linda Camp (2002). Constitutional provisions for individual human rights (1977–1996): Are they more than mere ‘window dressing’? *Political Research Quarterly*, **55**, 111–43.
- Keith, Linda Camp (2008). *The U.S. Supreme Court and the Judicial Review of Congress*. Peter Lang: New York, NY.

- Keith, Linda Camp, Tate, C. Neal, and Poe, Steven C. (2009). Is the law a mere parchment barrier to human rights abuse? *Journal of Politics*, **71**, 644–60.
- Kellstedt, Paul M. and Whitten, Guy D. (2009). *The Fundamentals of Political Science Research*. Cambridge University Press: New York, NY.
- Kennedy, Peter (2008). *A Guide to Econometrics* (6th edn). Wiley-Blackwell: Malden, MA.
- Kinder, Donald R. and Palfrey, Thomas R. (1993). *Experimental Foundations of Political Science*. University of Michigan Press: Ann Arbor, MI.
- King, Gary (1995). Replication, replication. *P.S.: Political Science and Politics*, **28**, 443–99.
- King, Gary, Honaker, James, Joseph, Anne, and Scheve, Kenneth (2001). Analyzing incomplete political science data: An alternative algorithm for multiple imputation. *American Political Science Review*, **91**, 49–69.
- King, Gary, Keohane, Robert O., and Verba, Sidney (1994). *Designing Social Inquiry*. Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ.
- King, Gary, Tomz, Michael, and Wittenberg, Jason (2000). Making the most of statistical analyses: Improving interpretation and presentation. *American Journal of Political Science*, **44**, 341–55.
- Klerman, Daniel M and Mahoney, Paul G. (2005). The value of judicial independence: Evidence from eighteenth century England. *American Law and Economics Review*, **7**, 1–27.
- Kornhauser, Lewis (1995). Adjudication by a resource-constrained team: Hierarchy and precedent in a judicial system. *Southern California Law Review*, **68**, 1605–29.
- Kosslyn, Stephen M. (1994). *Elements of Graphic Design*. W. H. Freeman: New York, NY.
- Kritzer, Herbert M. (2009). Empirical legal studies before 1940: A bibliographic essay. *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*, **6**, 925–68.
- La Porta, Rafael, de Silanes, Florencio López, Pop-Eleches, Cristian, and Schleifer, Andrei (2004). Judicial checks and balances. *Journal of Political Economy*, **112**, 445–70.
- Landes, William M. and Posner, Richard A. (1976). Legal precedent: A theoretical and empirical analysis. *Journal of Law and Economics*, **19**, 249–307.
- Landis, J. Richard and Koch, Gary G. (1977). The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics*, **33**, 159–74.
- Latourette, Audrey Wolfson (2005). Sex discrimination in the legal profession: Historical and contemporary perspectives. *Valparaiso University Law Review*, **39**, 859–909.
- Lawless, Robert M., Robbennolt, Jennifer K., and Ulen, Thomas S. (2010). *Empirical Methods in Law*. Wolters Kluwer: New York, NY.
- Le Roy, Michael K. and Corbett, Michael (2009). *Research Methods in Political Science*. Thomson Higher Education: Boston, MA.
- Lewandowsky, Stephan and Spence, Ian (1989). Discriminating strata in scatterplots. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, **84**, 682–8.

- Lindquist, Stefanie A. and Cross, Frank B. (2009). *Measuring Judicial Activism*. Oxford University Press: New York, NY.
- Lobao, Linda M., Hooks, Gregory, and Tickamyer, Ann R. (2007). *The Sociology of Spatial Inequality*. SUNY Press: Albany, NY.
- Lofland, John, Snow, David, Anderson, Leon, and Lofland, Lyn (2006). *Analyzing Social Settings: A Guide to Qualitative Observation and Analysis*. Wadsworth: Belmont, CA.
- Loftin, Colin, McDowall, David, Wiersema, Brian, and Cottey, Talbert J. (1991). Effects of restrictive licensing of handguns on homicide and suicide in the District of Columbia. *New England Journal of Medicine*, **325**, 1615–20.
- Loh, Wallace D. (1984). *Social Research in the Judicial Process*. Russell Sage: New York, NY.
- Lombard, Matthew, Snyder-Duch, Jennifer, and Bracken, Cheryl Campanella (2002). Content analysis in mass communication: Assessment and reporting of intercoder reliability. *Human Communication Research*, **28**, 587–604.
- Long, J. Scott (1997). *Regression Models for Categorical and Limited Dependent Variables*. Sage: Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Maddex, Robert L. (2005). *Constitutions of the World*. Congressional Quarterly Press: Washington, DC.
- Manning, Christopher D. and Schuetze, Hinrich (1999). *Foundations of Statistical Natural Language Processing*. The MIT Press: Cambridge, MA.
- Manning, Christopher D., Raghavan, Prabhakar, and Schutze, Hinrich (2008). *Introduction to Information Retrieval*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK.
- Martin, Andrew D. (2008). Bayesian analysis. In *Oxford Handbook of Political Methodology* (eds. J. M. Box-Steffensmeier, H. E. Brady, and D. Collier). Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK.
- Mason, David S. and Kluegel, James R. (2000). *Marketing Democracy: Changing Opinion About Inequality and Politics in East Central Europe*. Rowman & Littlefield: Lanham, MD.
- McCormick, Peter (1992). The supervisory role of the Supreme Court of Canada: Analysis of appeals from provincial courts of appeal, 1949–1990. *Supreme Court Law Review*, **3**, 1–28.
- Meernik, James (2011). Sentencing rationales and judicial decision making at the international criminal tribunals. *Social Science Quarterly*, **92**, 588–608.
- Meernik, James and Aloisi, Rosa (2007). Is justice delayed at the international criminal tribunals? *Judicature*, **91**, 276–87.
- Meernik, James, King, Kimi Lynn, and Dancy, Geoffrey (2005). Judicial decision making and international tribunals: Assessing the impact of individual, national, and international factors. *Social Science Quarterly*, **86**, 683–703.
- Miron, Jeffrey A. (2001). Violence, guns, and drugs: A cross-country analysis. *Journal of Law and Economics*, **44**, 615–33.
- Monahan, John and Walker, Laurens (2010). *Social Science in Law*. Foundation Press: New York, NY.

- Morton, Rebecca B. and Williams, Kenneth C. (2010). *Experimental Political Science and the Study of Causality: From Nature to the Lab*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK.
- Mutz, Diana C. (2011). *Population-Based Survey Experiments*. Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ.
- Nadler, Janice and Diamond, Shari Seidman (2008). Eminent domain and the psychology of property rights: Proposed use, subjective attachment, and taker identity. *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*, **5**, 713–49.
- Nisbett, Richard and Wilson, Tim (1977). Telling more than we know: Verbal reports of mental processes. *Psychological Review*, **84**, 231–59.
- Norris, Barbara A. (1987). Multiple regression analysis in Title VII cases: A structural approach to attacks of “missing factors” and “pre-act discrimination”. *Law and Contemporary Problems*, **49**, 63–96.
- O'Connor, Sandra Day (1991). Portia's progress. *NYU Law Review*, **66**, 1546–58.
- Ostberg, C. L. and Wetstein, Matthew E. (2007). *Attitudinal Decision Making in the Supreme Court of Canada*. UBC Press: Vancouver, Canada.
- Owens, Ryan J. (2010). The separation of powers and Supreme Court agenda setting. *American Journal of Political Science*, **54**, 412–27.
- Payne-Pikus, Monique R., Hagan, John, and Nelson, Robert L. (2010). Experiencing discrimination: Race and retention in America's largest law firms. *Law & Society Review*, **44**, 553–84.
- Pearson, Karl (1900). On the criterion that a given system of deviations from the probable in the case of a correlated system of variables is such that it can be reasonably supposed to have arisen from random sampling. *Philosophical Magazine Series 5*, **50**, 157–75.
- Peresie, Jennifer L. (2005). Female judges matter: Gender and collegial decision-making in the federal appellate courts. *Yale Law Journal*, **114**, 1759–90.
- Pérez-Liñán, Aníbal and Castagnola, Andrea (2009). Presidential control of high courts in Latin America: A long-term view (1904–2006). *Journal of Politics in Latin America*, **1**, 87–114.
- Posner, Eric A. (2005). The International Court of Justice: Voting and usage statistics. In *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting (American Society of International Law)*, **99**, 130–2.
- Posner, Eric A. and de Figueiredo, Miguel F. P. (2005). Is the International Court of Justice biased? *Journal of Legal Studies*, **34**, 599–630.
- Posner, Eric A. and Sunstein, Cass R. (2008). Introduction to the conference on law and happiness. *Journal of Legal Studies*, **37**, 51–4.
- Posner, Richard A. (2012). The rise and fall of judicial self-restraint. *California Law Review*, **100**, 519–56.
- Quinn, Kevin M., Monroe, Burt L., Colaresi, Michael, Crespín, Michael H., and Radev, Dragomir R. (2010). How to analyze political attention with minimal assumptions and costs. *American Journal of Political Science*, **54**, 209–28.
- Rachlinski, Jeffrey J., Guthrie, Chris, and Wistrich, Andrew J. (2006). Inside the bankruptcy judge's mind. *Boston University Law Review*, **86**, 1227–65.

- Rachlinski, Jeffrey J., Johnson, Sheri Lynn, Wistrich, Andrew J., and Guthrie, Chris (2008). Does unconscious racial bias affect trial judges? *Notre Dame Law Review*, **84**, 1195–246.
- Rahn, Wendy M., Krosnick, Jon A., and Breuning, Marijke (1994). Rationalization and derivation processes in survey studies of political candidate evaluation. *American Journal of Political Science*, **38**, 582–600.
- Rakove, Jack N. (1985). James Madison and the Bill of Rights. <[http://www.apsanet.org/content\\_8300.cfm](http://www.apsanet.org/content_8300.cfm)>.
- Ramsey, James B. (1969). Tests for specific errors in classical linear least-squares regression analysis. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, **31**, 350–71.
- Ramseyer, J. Mark and Rasmussen, Eric B. (2001). Why are Japanese judges so conservative in politically charged cases? *American Political Science Review*, **95**, 331–44.
- Rea, Louis M. and Parker, Richard A. (2005). *Designing and Conducting Survey Research: A Comprehensive Guide* (3rd edn). Jossey-Bass: San Francisco, CA.
- Retting, Richard A., Williams, Allan F., Farmer, Charles M., and Feldman, Amy F. (1999). Evaluation of red light camera enforcement in Oxnard, California. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, **31**, 169–74.
- Roberts, Alasdair (2001). Structural pluralism and the right to information. *University of Toronto Law Journal*, **51**, 243–71.
- Roe, Mark J. (2000). Political preconditions to separating ownership from corporate control. *Stanford Law Review*, **53**, 539–606.
- Ros-Figueroa, Julio and Staton, Jeffrey K. (2014). An evaluation of cross-national measures of judicial independence. *Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization*, **30**, 104–37.
- Rosenbaum, Paul R. (2010). *Observational studies* (2nd edn). Springer-Verlag: New York, NY.
- Rosenberg, Gerald N. (2008). *The Hollow Hope: Can Courts Bring About Social Change?* (2nd edn). University of Chicago Press: Chicago, IL.
- Rubin, Allen and Babbie, Earl (2011). *Research Methods for Social Work*. Brooks/Cole: Belmont, CA.
- Rubin, Donald B. (1974). Estimating causal effects of treatments in randomized and nonrandomized studies. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, **6**, 688–701.
- Rubin, Donald B. (1977). Assignment to treatment group on the basis of a covariate. *Journal of Educational Statistics*, **2**, 1–26.
- Rubin, Herbert J. and Rubin, Irene S. (2004). *Qualitative Interviewing: The Art of Hearing Data* (2nd edn). Sage: Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Salzberger, Eli and Fenn, Paul (1999). Judicial independence: Some evidence from the English Court of Appeal. *Journal of Law and Economics*, **42**, 831–47.
- Sandholtz, Wayne (2012). Treaties, constitutions, courts, and human rights. *Journal of Human Rights*, **11**, 17–32.

- Satterthwaite, F. E. (1946). An approximate distribution of estimates of variance components. *Biometrics Bulletin*, **2**, 110–14.
- Schkade, David, Sunstein, Cass R., and Kahneman, Daniel (2000). Deliberating about dollars: The severity shift. *Columbia Law Review*, **100**, 1139–75.
- Schlegel, John Henry (1995). *American Legal Realism & Empirical Social Science*. University of North Carolina Press: Chapel Hill, NC.
- Schneider, Martin R. (2005). Judicial career incentives and court performance: An empirical study of the German labour courts of appeal. *European Journal of Law and Economics*, **20**, 127–44.
- Schwab, Stewart J. and Heise, Michael (2011). Splitting logs: An empirical perspective on employment discrimination settlements. *Cornell Law Review*, **96**, 931–56.
- Scott, Kevin M. (2006). Understanding judicial hierarchy: Reversals and the behavior of intermediate appellate judges. *Law & Society Review*, **40**, 163–92.
- Segal, Jeffrey A. and Cover, Albert D. (1989). Ideological values and the votes of U.S. Supreme Court justices. *American Political Science Review*, **83**, 557–65.
- Segal, Jeffrey A. and Spaeth, Harold J. (2002). *The Supreme Court and the Attitudinal Model Revisited*. Cambridge University Press: New York, NY.
- Segal, Jeffrey A., Westerland, Chad, and Lindquist, Stefanie A. (2011). Congress, the Supreme Court, and judicial review: Testing a constitutional separation of powers model. *American Journal of Political Science*, **55**, 89–104.
- Sekhon, Jasjeet and Titiunik, Rocio (2012). When natural experiments are neither natural nor experiments. *American Political Science Review*, **106**, 35–57.
- Shi, Leiya (1997). *Health Services Research Methods*. Delmar: Albany, NY.
- Shugerman, Jed Handelsman (2010). The twist of long terms: Judicial elections, role fidelity, and American tort law. *Georgetown Law Journal*, **98**, 1349–413.
- Shulman, Kate (2012). The case concerning the temple of Preah Vihear (Cambodia v. Thailand): The ICJ orders sweeping provisional measures to prevent armed conflict at the expense of sovereignty. *Tulane Journal of International and Comparative Law*, **20**, 555–70.
- Silver, Nate (2012). *The Signal and the Noise: Why So Many Predictions Fail—but Some Don't*. Penguin Press: New York, NY.
- Silverman, Bernard W. (1986). *Density Estimation for Statistics and Data Analysis*. Chapman & Hall: Boca Raton, FL.
- Simon, Dan (2012). *In Doubt: The Psychology of the Criminal Justice Process*. Harvard University Press: Cambridge, MA.
- Simpson, Edward H. (1951). The interpretation of interaction in contingency tables. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, **13**, 238–41.
- Solberg, Rorie Spill and Lindquist, Stefanie A. (2007). Activism, ideology, and federalism: Judicial behavior in constitutional challenges before the Rehnquist court, 1986–2000. *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*, **3**, 237–61.
- Songer, Donald R., Segal, Jeffrey A., and Cameron, Charles M. (1994). The hierarchy of justice: Testing a principal-agent model of Supreme Court-circuit court interactions. *American Journal of Political Science*, **38**, 673–96.

- Sorenson, H. W. (1970). Least-squares estimation: From Gauss to Kalman. *IEEE Spectrum*, **7**, 63–8.
- Spence, Ian and Garrison, Robert F. (1993). A remarkable scatterplot. *American Statistician*, **47**, 12–19.
- Spence, Ian and Lewandowsky, Stephan (1991). Displaying proportions and percentages. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, **5**, 61–77.
- Spriggs, II, James F. (1996). The Supreme Court and federal administrative agencies: A resource-based theory and analysis of judicial impact. *American Journal of Political Science*, **40**, 1122–51.
- Staton, Jeffrey K. (2010). *Judicial Power and Strategic Communication in Mexico*. Cambridge University Press: New York, NY.
- Staton, Jeffrey K. and Vanberg, Georg (2008). The value of vagueness: Delegation, defiance, and judicial opinions. *American Journal of Political Science*, **58**, 504–19.
- Staudt, Nancy (2011). *The Judicial Power of the Purse: How Courts Fund National Defense in Times of Crisis*. University of Chicago Press: Chicago, IL.
- Stigler, Stephen M. (1986). *The History of Statistics: The Measurement of Uncertainty before 1900*. Harvard University Press: Cambridge, MA.
- Stone, Alec (1992). *The Birth of Judicial Politics in France: The Constitutional Council in Comparative Perspective*. Oxford University Press: New York, NY.
- Strunk, Jr., William and White, E. B. (1959). *The Elements of Style*. Macmillan: New York, NY.
- Student (1908). The probable error of a mean. *Biometrika*, **6**, 1–25.
- Sullivan, Kathleen M. (2002). Constitutionalizing women's equality. *California Law Review*, **90**, 735–64.
- Sunstein, Cass R., Hastie, Reid, Payne, John W., Schkade, David A., and Viscusi, W. Kip (2002). *Punitive Damages: How Juries Decide*. University of Chicago Press: Chicago, IL.
- Sunstein, Cass R., Schkade, David, and Ellman, Lisa (2006). Ideological voting on federal courts of appeals: A preliminary investigation. *Virginia Law Review*, **90**, 301–54.
- Tabarrok, Alexander and Helland, Eric (1999). Court politics: The political economy of tort awards. *Journal of Law and Economics*, **42**, 157–88.
- Thernstrom, Stephan and Thernstrom, Abigail (1999). *America in Black and White*. Simon & Schuster: New York, NY.
- Tourangeau, Roger, Rips, Lance J., and Rasinski, Kenneth (2000). *The Psychology of Survey Response*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK.
- Traut, Carol Ann and Emmert, Craig F. (1998). Expanding the integrated model of judicial decision making: The California justices and capital punishment. *Journal of Politics*, **60**, 1166–80.
- Tufte, Edward R. (1983). *The Visual Display of Quantitative Information*. Graphics Press: Cheshire, CT.



- Tufte, Edward R. (2001). *The Visual Display of Quantitative Information* (2nd edn). Graphics Press: Cheshire, CT.
- Tukey, John W. (1977). *Exploratory Data Analysis*. Addison-Wesley: Reading, MA.
- Tukey, John W. (1990). Data-based graphics: Visual display in the decades to come. *Statistical Science*, **5**, 327–39.
- Twining, William (2005). Social science and diffusion of law. *Journal of Law and Society*, **32**, 203–40.
- Tyler, Tom R. (2006). *Why People Obey the Law*. Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ.
- Vanberg, Georg (1998). Abstract judicial review, legislative bargaining, and policy compromise. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*, **10**, 299–326.
- Viscusi, W. Kip (2006). Monetizing the benefits of risk and environmental regulation. *Fordham Urban Law Journal*, **33**, 1003–42.
- Wainer, Howard (1990). Graphical visions from William Playfair to John Tukey. *Statistical Science*, **5**, 340–6.
- Walker, Thomas, Epstein, Lee, and Dixon, William J. (1988). On the mysterious demise of consensual norms in the United States Supreme Court. *Journal of Politics*, **50**, 361–89.
- Weiden, David L. (2011). Judicial politicization, ideology, and activism at the high courts of the United States, Canada, and Australia. *Political Research Quarterly*, **64**, 335–47.
- Weiss, Robert S. (1995). *Learning from Strangers: The Art and Method of Qualitative Interview Studies*. Free Press: New York, NY.
- Welch, B. L. (1947). The generalization of “Student’s” problem when several different population variances is involved. *Biometrika*, **34**, 28–35.
- Wickham, Hadley (2009). *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer: New York, NY.
- Wilson, Betha (1990). Will women judges really make a difference? *Osgoode Hall Law Journal*, **28**, 507–22.
- Wooldridge, Jeffrey M. (2010). *Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data*. The MIT Press: Cambridge, MA.
- Wright, Daniel B. (2003). Making friends with your data. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, **73**, 123–36.
- Zikopoulos, Paul C., deRoos, Dirk, Parasuraman, Krishnan, Deutsch, Thomas, Corrigan, David, and Giles, James (2013). *Harness the Power of Big Data: The IBM Big Data Platform*. McGraw-Hill: New York, NY.
- Zink, James R., II, Spriggs, James F., and Scott, John T. (2009). Courting the public: The influence of decision attributes on individuals’ views of court opinions. *Journal of Politics*, **71**, 909–25.
- Zorn, Christopher (2005). A solution to separation in binary response models. *Political Analysis*, **13**, 157–70.