

BIBLIOGRAPHY

BOOKS

- Akandji-Kombe, J.-F., *Positive obligations under the European Convention on Human Rights – A guide to the implementation of the European Convention on Human Rights* (Human rights handbooks, No. 7, Strasbourg, Council of Europe, 2007).
- Alexy, R., *A Theory of Constitutional Rights* (Oxford University Press, 2002).
- Arai-Takahashi, Y., *The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine and the Principle of Proportionality in the Jurisprudence of the ECHR* (Oxford, Intersentia, 2002).
- Arosemena, G., *Rights, Scarcity, and Justice* (Cambridge, Intersentia, 2014).
- Ashworth, A., *Positive Obligations in Criminal Law* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2013).
- Barak, A., *Proportionality* (Cambridge University Press, 2012).
- Bayles, M., *Procedural Justice* (Dordrecht, Kluwer, 1990).
- Becht, A., and Millar, F., *The Test of Factual Causation in Negligence and Strict Liability* (Saint Louis, Washington University, 1961).
- Berlin, I., *Four essays on liberty* (Oxford University Press, 1970).
- Bilchitz, D., *Poverty and Fundamental Rights* (Oxford University Press, 2012).
- Brems, E. (ed.), *Diversity and European Human Rights* (Cambridge University Press, 2013).
- Brems, E., and Gerards, J. (eds.), *Shaping Rights in the ECHR* (Cambridge University Press, 2013).
- Christoffersen, J., *Fair Balance: Proportionality, Subsidiarity and Primarity in the European Convention on Human Rights* (Leiden, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2009).
- Clapham, A., *Human Rights Obligations of Non-State Actors* (Oxford University Press, 2006).
- De Schutter, O., *International Human Rights Law* (Cambridge University Press, 2010).
- Dorssemont, F., Lörcher, K., and Schömann, I., (eds.), *The European Convention on Human Rights and the Employment Relation* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2013).
- Dröge, C., *Positive Verpflichtungen der Staaten in der Europäischen Menschenrechtskonvention* (Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 2003).
- Dworkin, R., *Taking Rights Seriously* (Cambridge, Harvard University Press, 1977).
- Edmundson, W., *An Introduction to Rights* (2nd edn, Cambridge University Press, 2012).
- Ely, J., *Democracy and Distrust, a theory of judicial review* (Cambridge, Harvard University Press, 1980).
- Flogaitis, S., Zwart, T., and Fraser, J., (eds.), *The European Court of Human Rights and its Discontents* (Northampton, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2013).
- Fredman, S., *Human Rights Transformed* (Oxford University Press, 2008).

- Greer, S., *The Margin of Appreciation: Interpretation and Discretion under the European Convention on Human Rights* (Human rights files, No. 17, Strasbourg, Council of Europe Publishing, 2000).
- Greer, S., *The European Convention on Human Rights – Achievements, Problems and Prospects* (Cambridge University Press, 2006).
- Harris, D., O’Boyle, M., Bates, E., and Buckley, C., *Law of the European Convention on Human Rights* (2nd edn, Oxford University Press, 2009).
- Hart, H., *The Concept of Law* (Oxford University Press, 1997).
- Holmes, S., and Sunstein, C., *The Cost of Rights. Why Liberty Depends on Taxes* (W.W. Norton, New York, 1999).
- Honoré, T., *Responsibility and Fault* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 1999).
- Huscroft, G., Miller, B., and Webber, G. (eds.), *Proportionality and the Rule of Law* (Cambridge University Press), 2014.
- Klatt, M. (ed.), *Institutionalized Reason. The Jurisprudence of Robert Alexy* (Oxford University Press, 2012).
- Klatt, M., and Meister, M., *The Constitutional Structure of Proportionality* (Oxford University Press, 2012).
- Koch, I., *Human Rights as Indivisible Rights – the Protection of Socio-Economic Demands under the European Convention on Human Rights* (Leiden, Martinus Nijhoff, 2009).
- Letsas, G., *A Theory of Interpretation of the European Convention on Human Rights* (Oxford University Press, 2007).
- Marshall, J., *Personal Freedom through Human Rights Law?* (Leiden, Brill, 2009).
- May, L., *Global Justice and Due Process* (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
- Medina Quiroga, C., *La Convención Americana: teoría y jurisprudencia* (Santiago, Centro de Derechos Humanos de la Facultad de Derecho de la Universidad de Chile, 2003).
- Möller, K., *The Global Model of Constitutional Law* (Oxford University Press, 2012).
- Mowbray, A., *The Development of Positive Obligations under the European Convention on Human Rights by the European Court of Human Rights* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2004).
- Nozick, R., *Anarchy, State and Utopia* (New York, Basic Books, 1974).
- Ouald Chaib, S., *Belief in Justice: Towards more inclusivity in and through the Freedom of Religion Case law of the European Court of Human Rights* (PhD dissertation, Ghent University, 2015).
- Oliver, D., and Fedtke, J. (eds.), *Human Rights and the Private Sphere* (London, Routledge, 2007).
- Peroni, L., *Opening Up the European Convention Human Rights Subject: An Inclusive Multilayered Framework for Adjudicating Religious and Cultural Claims* (PhD dissertation, Ghent University, 2014).
- Pirker, B., *Proportionality Analysis and Models of Judicial Review* (Groningen, European Law Publishing, 2013).
- Rawls, J., *Justice as Fairness. A Restatement* (Cambridge, Harvard University Press, 2003).
- Raz, J., *The Authority of the Law* (Oxford University Press, 1979).
- Raz, J., *The Morality of Freedom* (Oxford University Press, 1986).
- Sajó, A., and Uitz, R. (eds.), *The Constitution in Private Relations: Expanding Constitutionalism* (Utrecht, Eleven International Publishing, 2005).

- Seidman, L., and Tushnet, M., *Remnants of Belief* (Oxford University Press, 1996).
- Sen, A., *Inequality Reexamined* (Cambridge, Harvard University Press, 1995).
- Shue, H., *Basic Rights* (2nd edn, Princeton University Press, 1996).
- Smet, S., *Resolving Conflicts between Human Rights: a Legal Theoretical Analysis in the Context of the ECHR* (PhD dissertation, Ghent University, 2014).
- Stoyanova, V., *Human Trafficking and Slavery Reconsidered* (PhD dissertation, Lund University, 2015).
- Sunstein, C., *The Partial Constitution* (Cambridge, Harvard University Press, 1993).
- Timmer, A., *Strengthening the Equality Analysis of the European Court of Human Rights: The Potential of the Concepts of Stereotyping and Vulnerability* (PhD dissertation, Ghent University, 2013).
- Tyler, T., *Why People Obey the Law* (Princeton University Press, 2006).
- van der Schyff, G., *Limitation of Rights – A Study of the European Convention and the South African Bill of Rights* (Nijmegen, Wolf Legal Publishers, 2005).
- van Dijk, P., van Hoof, F., van Rijn, A., and Zwaak, L., *Theory and Practice of the European Convention on Human Rights* (4th edn, Antwerp, Intersentia, 2006).
- Van Drooghenbroeck, S., *La proportionnalité dans le droit de la convention européenne des droits de l'homme* (Brussels, Bruylant, 2001).
- Van Kempen, P., *Repressie door mensenrechten* (Nijmegen, Wolf Legal Publishers, 2008).
- Van Leuven, N., *Contracten en mensenrechten* (Antwerpen, Intersentia, 2009).
- Viljanen, J., *The European Court of Human Rights as a Developer of the General Doctrines of Human Rights Law* (PhD dissertation, University of Tampere, 2003).
- Vizard, P., *Poverty and Human Rights* (Oxford University Press, 2006).
- Xenos, D., *The Positive Obligations of the State under the European Convention on Human Rights* (Abingdon, Routledge, 2012).

BOOK CHAPTERS

- Arnardóttir, O., “Discrimination as a magnifying lens: Scope and ambit under Article 14 and Protocol No. 12” in E. Brems and J. Gerards (eds.), *Shaping Rights in the ECHR* (Cambridge University Press, 2013), 330–349.
- Bell, J., “Legal Research and the Distinctiveness of Comparative Law” in M. Van Hoecke (ed.), *Methodologies of Legal Research* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2011), 155–176.
- Bodnar, A., “Res Interpretata: Legal Effect of the European Court of Human Rights’ Judgments for other States Than Those Which Were Party to the Proceedings” in Y. Haeck and E. Brems, *Human Rights and Civil Liberties in the 21st Century* (Dordrecht, Springer, 2014), 223–262.
- Bossuyt, M., “Judicial Activism in Strasbourg” in K. Wellens (ed.), *International Law in Silver Perspective: Challenges Ahead* (Leiden, Brill, 2015), 31–56.
- Brems, E., “Indirect Protection of Social Rights by the European Court of Human Rights” in Barak-Erez, D., and Gross, A. (eds.), *Exploring Social Rights – Between History and Practice* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2007), 135–167.
- Brems, E., “Procedural protection – An examination of procedural safeguards read into substantive Convention Rights” in E. Brems and J. Gerards (eds.), *Shaping Rights in the ECHR* (Cambridge University Press, 2013), 137–161.

- Brownsword, R., “Freedom of Contract, Human Rights and Human Dignity”, in D. Friedmann and D. Barak-Erez (eds.), *Human Rights in Private Law* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2001), 181–199.
- Clements, L., and Simmons, A., “European Court of Human Rights – Sympathetic Unease”, in M. Langford (ed.), *Social Rights Jurisprudence – Emerging Trends in International and Comparative Law* (Cambridge University Press, 2008), 409–427.
- Conforti, B., “Exploring the Strasbourg Case-Law: Reflections on State Responsibility for the Breach of Positive Obligations” in M. Fitzmaurice and D. Sarooshi (eds.), *Issues of State Responsibility before International Judicial Institutions* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2004), 129–137.
- Darrow, M., and Alston, P., “Bills of Rights in Comparative Perspective” in P. Alston (ed.), *Promoting Human Rights Through Bills of Rights* (Oxford University Press, 1999), 465–524.
- De Hert, P., “Systeemverantwoordelijkheid voor de informatiemaatschappij als positieve mensenrechtenverplichting” in D. Broeders, C. Cuijpers and C. Prins (eds.), *De staat van informatie* (Amsterdam University Press, 2011), 33–96.
- De Schutter, O., “Reasonable Accommodations and Positive Obligations in the European Convention on Human Rights” in Lawson, A and Gooding, C. (eds.), *Disability Rights in Europe. From Theory to Practice* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2005), 35–63.
- De Schutter, O., and Tulkens, T., “Rights in Conflict: the European Court of Human Rights as a Pragmatic Institution” in E. Brems (ed.), *Conflicts Between Fundamental Rights* (Antwerp, Intersentia, 2008), 169–216.
- De Schutter, O., “Human Rights in Employment Relationships: Contracts as Power” in F. Dorssemont, K. Lörcher and I. Schömann (eds.), *The European Convention on Human Rights and the Employment Relation* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2013), 105–139.
- Drupsteen, T., “Environmental Protection under Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights” in M. van Roosmalen, B. Vermeulen, F. van Hoof and M. Oosting (eds.), *Fundamental Rights and Principles – Liber amicorum Pieter van Dijk* (Cambridge, Intersentia, 2013), 115–129.
- Dumont, H., and Hachez, I., “Les obligations positives déduites du droit international des droits de l’homme: dans quelles limites?” in Y. Cartuyvels, H. Dumont, F. Ost, M. van de Kerchove and S. Van Drooghenbroeck, *Les droits de l’homme, bouclier ou épée du droit pénal?* (Bruxelles, Bruylant, 2007), 45–73.
- Dworkin, R., “Rights as Trumps” in J. Waldron (ed.), *Theories of Rights* (Oxford University Press, 1984), 153–167.
- Garlicki, L., “Relations between Private Actors and the European Convention on Human Rights” in A. Sajó and R. Uitz (eds.), *The Constitution in Private Relations: Expanding Constitutionalism* (Utrecht, Eleven International Publishing, 2005), 129–143.
- Gerards, J., “Fundamental Rights and Others Interests: Should It Really Make a Difference?” in E. Brems (ed.), *Conflicts between Fundamental Rights* (Antwerp, Intersentia, 2008), 655–690.
- Gerards, J., and Brems, E., “Introduction” in E. Brems and J. Gerards (eds.), *Shaping Rights in the ECHR* (Cambridge University Press, 2013), 1–14.

- Gerards, J., “The scope of ECHR rights and institutional concerns: the relationship between proliferation of rights and the case load of the ECtHR” in E. Brems and J. Gerards (eds.), *Shaping Rights in the ECHR* (Cambridge University Press, 2013), 84–105.
- Gerry, A., “Obligation to Prevent Crime and to Protect and Provide Redress to Victims of Crime” in M. Colvin and J. Cooper (eds.), *Human Rights in the Investigation and Prosecution of Crime* (Oxford University Press, 2009), 423–446.
- Gerry, A., “Victims of Crime and the Criminal Justice System” in M. Colvin and J. Cooper (eds.), *Human Rights in the Investigation and Prosecution of Crime* (Oxford University Press, 2009), 447–468.
- Grimm, D., “The protective function of the state” in G. Nolte (ed.), *European and US Constitutionalism* (Cambridge University Press, 2005), 137–155.
- Hajiyev, K., “The evolution of positive obligations under the European Convention on Human Rights – by the European Court of Human Rights”, in D. Spielmann, M. Tsirli and P. Voyatzis (eds.), *La Convention européenne des droits de l’homme, un instrument vivant / The European Convention on Human Rights, a living instrument* (Brussels, Bruylant, 2011), 207–218.
- Ibbetson, D., “Historical Research in Law” in P. Cane and M. Tushnet (eds.), *Oxford Handbook of Legal Studies* (Oxford University Press, 2003), 863–879.
- Kempees, P., “‘Legitimate aims’ in the case-law of the European Court of Human Rights” in P. Mahoney, F. Matscher, H. Petzold and L. Wildhaber (eds.), *Protection des Droits de l’Homme: la Perspective Européenne/Protecting Human Rights: The European Perspective* (Cologne, Carl Heymanns Verlag, 2000), 659–675.
- Klein, E., “The Duty to Protect and to Ensure Human Rights Under the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights” in E. Klein (ed.), *The Duty to Protect and to Ensure Human Rights* (Berlin, Verlag Arno Spitz, 2000), 295–325.
- Kumm, M., “Political liberalism and the structure of rights: on the place and limits of the proportionality requirement” in G. Pavlakos (ed.), *Law, Rights and Discourse – The Legal Philosophy of Robert Alexy* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2007), 131–166.
- Langford, M., “The Justiciability of Social Rights: From Practice to Theory” in M. Langford (ed.), *Social Rights Jurisprudence – Emerging Trends in International and Comparative Law* (Cambridge University Press, 2008), 3–45.
- Lavrysen, L., “The scope of rights and the scope of obligations: positive obligations”, in E. Brems and J. Gerards (eds.), *Shaping rights in the ECHR: the role of the European Court of Human Rights in determining the scope of human rights* (Cambridge University Press, 2013), 162–182.
- Lavrysen, L., “Protection by the law: the positive obligation to develop a legal framework to adequately protect ECHR rights” in Y. Haeck and E. Brems (eds.), *Human Rights and Civil Liberties in the 21st Century* (Dordrecht, Springer, 2014), 69–129.
- Lavrysen, L., “Poverty and human rights: a European perspective” in E. Brems, C. Van der Beken and S. Abay Yimer, *Human Rights and Development: legal perspectives from and for Ethiopia* (Leiden, Brill, 2015), 303–328.
- Lazarus, L., “Positive Obligations and Criminal Justice: Duties to Protect or Coerce” in L. Zedner and J. Roberts (eds.), *Principles and Values in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice* (Oxford University Press, 2012), 135–155.

- Letsas, G., “The scope and balancing of rights: diagnostic or constitutive?” in E. Brems and J. Gerards (eds.), *Shaping Rights in the ECHR* (Cambridge University Press, 2013), 38–64.
- McBride, J., “Proportionality and the European Convention on Human Rights” in E. Ellis (ed.), *Proportionality in the Laws of Europe* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 1999), 23–35.
- Michelman, F., “The protective function of the state in the United States and Europe: the constitutional question” in G. Nolte (ed.), *European and US Constitutionalism* (Cambridge University Press, 2005), 156–180.
- Mundlak, G., “Human Rights and the Employment Relationship: A Look Through the Prism of Juridification” in D. Friedmann and D. Barak-Erez (eds.), *Human Rights in Private Law* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2001), 297–328.
- Ouald Chaib, S., “Religious accommodation in the workplace: improving the legal reasoning of the European Court of Human Rights” in K. Alidadi, M.-C. Foblets and J. Vrielink (eds.), *A Test of Faith? Religious Diversity and Accommodation in the European Workplace* (Farnham, Ashgate, 2012), 33–58.
- Ost, F., and Van Drooghenbroeck, S., “La responsabilité face cachée des droits de l’homme” in H. Dumont, F. Ost and S. Van Drooghenbroeck (eds.), *La Responsabilité face cachée des droits de l’homme* (Brussels, Bruylant, 2005), 2–49.
- Popelier, P., “The Court as Regulatory Watchdog. The procedural approach in the case law of the European Court of Human Rights” in P. Popelier, A. Mazmanyán, W. Vandenbruwaene (eds.), *The Role of Constitutional Courts in Multilevel Governance* (Cambridge, Intersentia, 2013), 249–267.
- Raz, J., “Right-Based Moralities” in J. Waldron (ed.), *Theories of Rights* (Oxford University Press, 1984), 182–200.
- Rehm, G., “Privacy in the Digital Age: Vanishing into Cyberspace?” in D. Friedmann and D. Barak-Erez (eds.), *Human Rights in Private Law* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2001), 373–387.
- Ress, G., “The Duty to Protect and to Ensure Human Rights under the European Convention on Human Rights” in E. Klein (ed.), *The Duty to Protect and to Ensure Human Rights* (Berlin, Verlag Arno Spitz, 2000), 165–205.
- Samuel, G., “Does One Need an Understanding of Methodology in Law Before One Can Understand Methodology in Comparative Law?” in M. Van Hoecke (ed.), *Methodologies of Legal Research* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2011), 177–208.
- Smet, S., “The ‘absolute’ prohibition of torture and inhuman or degrading treatment in Article 3 ECHR: Truly a question of scope only?” in E. Brems and J. Gerards (eds.), *Shaping Rights in the ECHR* (Cambridge University Press, 2013), 273–293.
- Spielmann, D., “Obligations Positives et Effet Horizontal des Dispositions de la Convention” in F. Sudre (ed.), *L’interprétation de la Convention européenne des droits de l’homme* (Brussels, Bruylant, 1998), 133–174.
- Spielmann, D., “The European Convention on Human Rights. The European Court of Human Rights” in Oliver, D. and Fedtke, J. (eds.), *Human Rights and the Private Sphere* (London, Routledge, 2007), 427–464.
- Starmer, K., “Positive Obligations under the Convention” in J. Lowell and J. Cooper (eds.), *Understanding Human Rights Principles* (Portland, Hart Publishing, 2001), 139–159.

- Sudre, F., “Les ‘obligations positives’ dans la jurisprudence européenne des droits de l’homme” in P. Mahoney, F. Matscher, H. Petzold and L. Wildhaber (eds.), *Protection des Droits de l’Homme: la Perspective Européenne/Protecting Human Rights: The European Perspective* (Cologne, Carl Heymanns Verlag, 2000), 1359–1376.
- Timmer, A., “A Quiet Revolution: Vulnerability in the European Court of Human Rights” in M. Fineman and A. Grear (eds.), *Vulnerability: Reflections on a New Ethical Foundation for Law and Politics* (Farnham, Ashgate, 2013), 147–170.
- van Dijk, P., “‘Positive Obligations’ Implied in the European Convention on Human Rights: Are the States Still the ‘Masters’ of the Convention?” in M. Castermans, F. van Hoof and J. Smith (eds.), *The Role of the Nation-State in the 21st Century* (The Hague, Kluwer Law International, 1998), 17–33.
- Van Drooghenbroeck, S., “Conflits entre droits fondamentaux, pondération des interest: fausses pistes (?) et vrais problèmes” in J.-L. Renchon (ed.), *Les droits de la personnalité* (Brussels, Bruylant, 2009), 299–346.
- Van Hoecke, M., “Legal Doctrine: Which Method(s) for What Kind of Discipline?” in M. Van Hoecke (ed.), *Methodologies of Legal Research* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2011), 1–18.
- van Hoof, F., “The legal nature of economic, social and cultural rights: a rebuttal of some traditional views” in P. Alston and K. Tomaševski (eds.), *The Right to Food* (The Hague, Martinus Nijhoff, 1984), 97–110.
- Viljanen, J., “Comparative Approach to Limitations: From European Standard to International Trend” in P. Wahlgren (ed.), *Human Rights: Their Limitations and Proliferation* (Stockholm Institute for Scandinavian Law, 2010), 285–306.
- Weber, M., “Politics as a Vocation” in H. Gerth and C. Wright Mills (eds.), *From Max Weber: Essays in Sociology* (2nd edn, Abingdon, Routledge, 1991), 77–128.
- Weinrib, E., “Legal Formalism” in D. Patterson (ed.), *A Companion to Philosophy of Law and Legal Theory* (2nd edn, Malden, Blackwell Publishing, 2010), 327–338.
- Weintraub, J., “The Theory and Politics of the Public/Private Distinction” in J. Weintraub and K. Kumar (eds.), *Public and Private in Thought and Practice* (Chicago, The University of Chicago Press, 1997), 1–42.

JOURNAL ARTICLES

- Açikalin, T., “Debunking the dichotomy of non-intervention: the role of the State in regulating domestic violence”, 74 *Tulane Law Review* (2000), 1045–1065.
- Adler, J., “The sublime and the beautiful: incommensurability and human rights”, *Public Law* (2006), 697–721.
- Arnardóttir, O., “The Differences that Make a Difference: Recent Developments on the Discrimination Grounds and the Margin of Appreciation under Article 14 of the European Convention on Human Rights”, 647–670.
- Austin, R., “Human Rights, the Private Sector and New Public Management”, 1 *UCL Human Rights Review* (2008), 17–31.

- Badar, M., "Basic Principles Governing Limitations on Individual Rights and Freedoms in Human Rights Instruments", 7 *The International Journal of Human Rights* (2003), 63–92.
- Balkin, J., "Deconstructive Practice and Legal Theory", 96 *Yale Law Journal* (1986–1987), 743–786.
- Balkin, J., "Deconstruction's Legal Career", 27 *Cardozo Law Review* (2005–2006), 719–740.
- Bandes, S., "The Negative Constitution: A Critique", 88 *Michigan Law Review* (1989–1990), 2271–2347.
- Bartlett, K., "Feminist Legal Methods", 103 *Harvard Law Review* (1990), 829–888.
- Basch, F., "The Doctrine of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights regarding State's Duty to Punish Human Rights Violations and its Dangers", 23 *American University International Law Review* (2007), 195–229.
- Besson, S., "Evolutions in Non-Discrimination Law within the Echr and ESC Systems: It Takes Two to Tango in the Council of Europe", 60 *American Journal of Comparative Law* (2012), 147–180.
- Bossuyt, M., "Belgium condemned for inhuman or degrading treatment due to violations by Greece of EU asylum law: MSS v. Belgium and Greece", *European Human Rights Law Review* (2011), 582–597.
- Brauch, J., "The Margin of Appreciation and the Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights: Threat to the Rule of Law", 11 *Columbia Journal of European Law* (2005), 113–150.
- Brems, E., "The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine in the Case-Law of the European Court of Human Rights", 56 *Zeitschrift für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht* (1996), 240–314.
- Brems, E., "Conflicting Human Rights: An Exploration in the Context of the Right to a Fair Trial in the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms", 27 *Human Rights Quarterly* (2005), 294–326.
- Brems, E., "Human rights: minimum and maximum perspectives", 9 *Human Rights Law Review* (2009), 349–372.
- Brems, E., "Should Pluriform Human Rights Become One? Exploring the Benefits of Human Rights Integration", *Journal européen des droits de l'homme / European Journal of Human Rights* (2014), 447–470.
- Brems, E., and Lavrysen, L., "'Don't Use a Sledgehammer to Crack a Nut': Less Restrictive Means in the Case Law of the European Court of Human Rights", 15 *Human Rights Law Review* (2015), 139–168.
- Brems, E., and Lavrysen, L., "Procedural Justice in Human Rights Adjudication: the European Court of Human Rights" 35 *Human Rights Quarterly* (2013), 176–200.
- Brodsky, G., and Day, S., "Beyond the Social and Economic Rights Debate: Substantive Equality Speaks to Poverty", 14 *Canadian Journal of Women and the Law* (2002), 185–220.
- Çali, B., "Balancing Human Rights? Methodological Problems with Weights, Scales and Proportions", 29 *Human Rights Quarterly* (2007), 251–270.
- Campbell, A., "Positive Obligations under the ECHR: Deprivation of Liberty by Private Actors", 10 *The Edinburgh Law Review* (2006), 399–412.

- Carruthers, J., "Substance and Procedure in the Conflict of Laws: A Continuing Debate in Relation to Damages", 53 *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* (2004), 691–711.
- Chinkin, C., "A Critique of the Public/Private Dimension", 10 *European Journal of International Law* (1999), 387–395.
- Cherednychenko, O., "Towards the Control of Private Acts by the European Court of Human Rights?", 13 *Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law* (2006), 195–218.
- Collins, H., "Discrimination, Equality and Social Inclusion", 66 *Modern Law Review* (2003), 16–43.
- Conaghan, J., "Extending the reach of human rights to encompass victims of rape: M.C. v. Bulgaria", 13 *Feminist Legal Studies* (2005), 145–157.
- Conforti, B., "Reflections on state responsibility for the breach of positive obligations: the case-law of the European Court of Human Rights," *Italian Yearbook of International Law* (2003), 3–10.
- Cook, W., "'Substance' and 'Procedure' in the Conflict of Laws", 42 *Yale Law Journal* (1932–1933), 333–358.
- Costa, J.-P., "The European Court of Human Rights: consistency of its case-law and positive obligations", 26 *Netherlands Quarterly of Human Rights* (2008), 449–454.
- Cross, F., "The Error of Positive Rights", 48 *UCLA Law Review* (2001), 857–924.
- Cullen, H., "Siliadin v. France: Positive Obligations under Article 4 of the European Convention on Human Rights", 6 *Human Rights Law Review* (2006), 585–592.
- Currie, D., "Positive and Negative Constitutional Rights", 53 *University of Chicago Law Review* (1986), 864–890.
- de Than, C., "Positive Obligations under the European Convention on Human Rights: Towards the Human Rights of Victims and Vulnerable Witnesses?", 67 *The Journal of Criminal Law* (2003), 165–182.
- Dickson, B., "Positive obligations and the European Convention of Human Rights", 61 *Northern Ireland Legal Quarterly* (2010), 203–208.
- Doak, J., "The victim and the criminal process: an analysis of recent trends in regional and international tribunals", 23 *Legal Studies* (2003), 1–32.
- Donnelly, C., "Positive obligations and privatisation", 61 *Northern Ireland Legal Quarterly* (2010), 209–223.
- Dupuy, P., "Reviewing the Difficulties of Codification: On Ago's Classification of Obligations of Means and Obligations of Result in Relation to State Responsibility", 10 *European Journal of International Law* (1999), 371–385.
- Erdal, U., "Burden and standard of proof in proceedings under the European Convention", 26 *European Law Review* (2001), 68–85.
- Fabre, C., "Constitutionalising Social Rights", 6 *The Journal of Political Philosophy* (1998), 263–284.
- Faigman, D., "Reconciling Individual Rights and Government Interests: Madisonian Principles versus Supreme Court Practice", 78 *Virginia Law Review* (1992), 1521–1580.
- Fallon, R., "Individual Rights and the Powers of Government", 27 *Georgia Law Review* (1992–1993), 343–390.

- Ferrer Mac-Gregor, E., "La obligación de 'respetar' y 'garantizar' los derechos humanos a la luz de la jurisprudencia de la Corte Interamericana", 10 *Estudios Constitucionales* (2012), 141–192.
- Fischer, D., "Causation in Fact in Omission Cases", *Utah Law Review* (1992), 1335–1383.
- Forder, C., "Legal Protection under Article 8 ECHR: Marckx and Beyond", 37 *Netherlands International Law Review* (1990), 162–181.
- Fox-Decent, E., and Criddle, E., "The Fiduciary Constitution of Human Rights", 15 *Legal Theory* (2009), 301–336.
- Fredman, S., "Providing Equality: Substantive Equality and the Positive Duty to Provide", 21 *South African Journal on Human Rights* (2005), 163–190.
- Fredman, S., and Spencer, S., "Beyond discrimination: it's time for enforceable duties on public bodies to promote equality outcomes", *European Human Rights Law Review* (2006), 598–606.
- Fredman, S., "The Potential and Limits of an Equal Rights Paradigm in Addressing Poverty", 22 *Stellenbosch Law Review* (2011), 566–590.
- Fuller, L., "The Forms and Limits of Adjudication", 92 *Harvard Law Review* (1978–79), 353–409.
- Gardbaum, S., "The 'Horizontal Effect' of Constitutional Rights", 102 *Michigan Law Review* (2003–2004), 387–459.
- Gerards, J., and Senden, H., "The Structure of Fundamental Rights and the European Court of Human Rights", 7 *International Journal of Constitutional Law* (2009), 619–653.
- Gerards, J., "Pluralism, Deference and the Margin of Appreciation Doctrine", 17 *European Law Journal* (2011), 80–120.
- Gerards, J., "The prism of fundamental rights", 8 *European Constitutional Law Review* (2012), 173–202.
- Gerards, J., "The Positive Obligations of the State under the European Convention of Human Rights (book review)", *Tijdschrift voor Constitutioneel Recht* (2012), 128–129.
- Gerards, J., "How to improve the necessity test of the European Court of Human Rights", 11 *International Journal of Constitutional Law* (2013), 466–490.
- Gerhardt, M., "The Ripple Effects of Slaughterhouse: A Critique of a Negative Rights View of the Constitution", 43 *Vanderbilt Law Review* (1990), 409–450.
- Gewirth, A., "Are All Rights Positive?", 30 *Philosophy & Public Affairs* (2001), 321–333.
- Graber, M., "The Clintonification of American Law: Abortion, Welfare and Liberal Constitutional Theory", 58 *Ohio State Law Journal* (1997), 731–818.
- Green, L., "The Causal Relation Issue in Negligence Law", 60 *Michigan Law Review* (1962), 543–576.
- Greer, S., "Constitutionalizing Adjudication under the European Convention on Human Rights", 23 *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* (2003), 405–433.
- Greer, S., "'Balancing' and the European Court of Human Rights: a Contribution to the Habermas-Alexy Debate", 63 *Cambridge Law Journal* (2004), 412–434.
- Greer, S., "What's Wrong with the European Convention on Human Rights?", 30 *Human Rights Quarterly* (2008), 680–702.
- Gunn, T., "Deconstructing Proportionality in Limitations Analysis", 19 *Emory International Law Review* (2005), 465–498.

- Hakimi, M., "State bystander responsibility", 21 *European Journal of International Law* (2010), 341–385.
- Hale, B., "Common Law and Convention Law: The Limits to Interpretation", *European Human Rights Law Review* (2011), 534–543.
- Hasselbacher, L., "State Obligations Regarding Domestic Violence: the European Court of Human Rights, Due Diligence, and International Legal Minimum Norms of Protection", *Northwestern University Journal of International Human Rights Law* (2010), 190–215.
- Hofstötter, B., "European Court of Human Rights: Positive obligations in E. and others v. United Kingdom", 2 *International Journal of Constitutional Law* (2004), 525–534.
- Hohfeld, W., "Some Fundamental Legal Conceptions as Applied in Judicial Reasoning", 23 *Yale Law Journal* (1913–1914), 16–59.
- Howard, J., "Rearguing DeShaney", 18 *Thomas M. Cooley Law Review* (2001), 381–408.
- Husak, D., "Omissions, Causation and Liability", 30 *The Philosophical Quarterly* (1980), 318–326.
- Kilkelly, U., "Protecting children's rights under the ECHR: the role of positive obligations", 61 *Northern Ireland Legal Quarterly* (2010), 245–261.
- King, J., "The Pervasiveness of Polycentricity", *Public Law* (2008), 101–124.
- Koch, I., "Dichotomies, Trichotomies or Waves of Duties?", 5 *Human Rights Law Review* (2005), 81–103.
- Kratochvíl, J., "The Inflation of the Margin of Appreciation by the European Court of Human Rights", 29 *Netherlands Quarterly of Human Rights* (2011), 324–357.
- Kreimer, S., "Allocational Sanctions: the Problem of Negative Rights in a Positive State", 132 *University of Pennsylvania Law Review* (1983–1984), 1293–1397.
- Kumm, M., "The Idea of Socratic Contestation and the Right to Justification: The Point of Rights-Based Proportionality Review", 4 *Law & Ethics fo Human Rights* (2010), 140–175.
- Lambooy, T., "Corporate Due Diligence as a Tool to Respect Human Rights", 28 *Netherlands Quarterly of Human Rights* (2010), 404–448.
- Lavrysen, L., "No 'Significant Flaws' in the regulatory framework: E.S. v. Sweden and the lowering of standards in the positive obligations case-law of the European Court of Human Rights", 7 *Human Rights & International Legal Discourse* (2013), 145–164.
- Lavrysen, L., "Positive Obligations in the Jurisprudence of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights", 7 *Inter-American and European Human Rights Journal* (2014), 94–115.
- Lavrysen, L., "Strengthening the protection of human rights of persons living in poverty under the ECHR", 33 *Netherlands Quarterly of Human Rights* (2015), 293–325.
- Lawson, R., "Positieve verplichtingen onder het EVRM: opkomst en ondergang van de 'fair balance' test – deel I", 20 *NJCM-Bulletin* (1995), 558–573.
- Lawson, R., "Positieve verplichtingen onder het EVRM: opkomst en ondergang van de 'fair balance' test – deel II", 20 *NJCM-Bulletin* (1995), 727–750.
- Letsas, G., "Two Concepts of the Margin of Appreciation", 26 *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* (2006), 705–732.
- Linderfalk, U., "Towards a more constructive analysis of the identity of special regimes in international law – the case of proportionality", 2 *Cambridge Journal of International and Comparative Law* (2013), 850–878.

- Londono, P., "Positive obligations, criminal procedure and rape cases", *European Human Rights Law Review* (2007), 158–171.
- Mahoney, P., "Judicial Activism and Judicial Self-Restraint in the European Court of Human Rights: Two Sides of the Same Coin", 11 *Human Rights Law Journal* (1990), 57–88.
- Malone, W., "Ruminations on Cause-In-Fact", 9 *Stanford Law Review* (1956), 60–99.
- Mares, R., "Defining the limits of corporate responsibilities against the concept of legal positive obligations", 40 *George Washington International Law Review* (2008–2009), 1157–1217.
- McBride, J., "Protecting life: a positive obligation to help", 24 *European Law Review* (1999), 43–54.
- McCamphill, L., "The right to life shall be secured to everyone by law: The extent to which the European Court of Human Rights has developed the concept of positive obligations in relation to Article 2", 2 *King's Student Law Review* (2010), 103–115.
- McCrudden, C., "Legal Research and the Social Sciences", 122 *Law Quarterly Review* (2006), 632–650.
- McGlynn, C., "Rape, torture and the European Convention on Human Rights", 58 *International & Comparative Law Quarterly* (2009), 565–595.
- McGrath, S., "Causation by Omission: A Dilemma", 123 *Philosophical Studies* (2005), 125–148.
- McHarg, A., "Reconciling Human Rights and the Public Interest: Conceptual Problems and Doctrinal Uncertainty in the Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights", 62 *Modern Law Review* (1999), 671–696.
- Melish, T., and Aliverti, A., "Positive Obligations in the Inter-American Human Rights System", 15 *INTERRIGHTS Bulletin* (2006), 101–103.
- Meyerson, D., "Why courts should not balance rights against the public interest", 31 *Melbourne University Law Review* (2007), 873–902.
- Möller, K., "Two Conceptions of Positive Liberty: Towards an Autonomy-based Theory of Constitutional Rights", 29 *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* (2009), 757–786.
- Mowbray, A., "Duties of Investigation under the European Convention on Human Rights", 51 *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* (2002), 437–448.
- Mowbray, A., "The Creativity of the European Court of Human Rights", 5 *Human Rights Law Review* (2005), 57–79.
- Mowbray, A., "A Study of the Principle of Fair Balance in the Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights", 10 *Human Rights Law Review* (2010), 289–317.
- Nickel, J., "How Human Rights Generate Duties to Protect and Provide", 15 *Human Rights Quarterly* (1993), 77–86.
- O'Conneide, C., "A Modest Proposal: Destitution, State Responsibility and the European Convention on Human Rights", *European Human Rights Law Review* (2008), 583–605.
- O'Conneide, C., "The Right to Equality: A Substantive Legal Norm or Vacuous Rhetoric?", 1 *UCL Human Rights Review* (2008), 80–102.
- O'Connell, R., "Cinderella comes to the Ball: Art 14 and the right to non-discrimination in the ECHR", 29 *Legal Studies* (2009), 211–229.

- O'Connell, R., "Realising political equality: the European Court of Human Rights and positive obligations in a democracy", 61 *Northern Ireland Legal Quarterly* (2010), 263–279.
- Oliver, D., "Functions of a public nature under the Human Rights Act", *Public Law* (2004), 329–351.
- Oliver, D., "Human Rights and the Private Sphere", 1 *UCL Human Rights Review* (2008), 8–16.
- Palmer, E., "Protecting Socio-Economic Rights Through the European Convention on Human Rights: Trends and Developments in the European Court of Human Rights", 2 *Erasmus Law Review* (2009), 397–425.
- Palmer, E., "Beyond arbitrary interference: the right to a home? Developing socio-economic duties in the European Convention on Human Rights", 61 *Northern Ireland Legal Quarterly* (2010), 225–243.
- Palmer, S., "A Wrong Turning: Article 3 ECHR and Proportionality", 65 *Cambridge Law Journal* (2006), 438–452.
- Pati, R., "States' Positive Obligations with Respect to Human Trafficking: the European Court of Human Rights Breaks New Ground in Rantsev v. Cyprus and Russia", 29 *Boston University International Law Journal* (2011), 79–142.
- Pavlakos, G., "Constitutional Rights, Balancing and the Structure of Autonomy", 24 *Canadian Journal of Law and Jurisprudence* (2011), 129–153.
- Peroni, L., and Timmer, A., "Vulnerable groups: The promise of an emerging concept in European Human Rights Convention Law", 11 *ICON – International Journal of Constitutional Law* (2013), 1056–1085.
- Pildes, R., "Avoiding Balancing: The Role of Exclusionary Reasons in Constitutional Law", 45 *Hastings Law Journal* (1993–1994), 711–751.
- Pitkänen, M., "Fair and balanced positive obligations – do they exist?", *European Human Rights Law Review* (2012), 538–550.
- Popelier, P., and Van De Heyning, C., "Procedural Rationality: Giving Teeth to the Proportionality Analysis", 9 *European Constitutional Law Review* (2013), 230–262.
- Quane, H., "The Strasbourg jurisprudence and the meaning of 'public authority' under the Human Rights Act", *Public Law* (2006), 106–123.
- Raday, F., "Privatising Human Rights and the Abuse of Power", 13 *Canadian Journal of Law and Jurisprudence* (2000), 103–134.
- Reich, C., "The New Property", 73 *Yale Law Journal* (1963–1964), 733–787.
- Remiche, A., "Yordanova and Others v. Bulgaria: The Influence of the Social Right to Adequate Housing on the Interpretation of the Civil Right to Respect for One's Home", 12 *Human Rights Law Review* (2012), 787–800.
- Resnik, J., "Globalization(s), privatization(s), constitutionalization, and statization: Icons and experiences of sovereignty in the 21st century", 11 *International Journal of Constitutional Law* (2013), 162–199.
- Rietiker, D., "The Principle of 'Effectiveness' in the Recent Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights: Its Different Dimensions and Its Consistency with Public International Law – No Need for the Concept of Treaty Sui Generis", 79 *Nordic Journal of International Law* (2010), 245–277.
- Rivers, J., "Proportionality and Variable Intensity of Review", 65 *Cambridge Law Journal* (2006), 174–207.

- Rogers, J., "Applying the doctrine of positive obligations in the European Convention on Human Rights to domestic substantive criminal law in domestic proceedings", *Criminal Law Review* (2003), 690–708.
- Russell, D., "Supplementing the European Convention on Human Rights: legislating for positive obligations", 61 *Northern Ireland Legal Quarterly* (2010), 281–294.
- Sales, P., and Hooper, B., "Proportionality and the form of law", *Law Quarterly Review* (2003), 426–454.
- Schauer, F., "A Comment on the Structure of Rights", 27 *Georgia Law Review* (1992–1993), 415–431.
- Schokkenbroek, J., "The Basis, Nature and Application of the Margin-of-Appreciation Doctrine in the Case-Law of the European Court of Human Rights", 19 *Human Rights Law Journal* (1998), 30–36.
- Scott, J., "Deconstructing Equality-versus-Difference: Or, the Uses of Post-structuralist Theory for Feminism", 14 *Feminist Studies* (1988), 33–50.
- Sharpe, A., "Transgender Marriage and the Legal Obligation to Disclose Gender History", 75 *Modern Law Review* (2012), 33–53.
- Singh, R., "Using Positive Obligations in Enforcing Convention Rights", 13 *Judicial Review* (2008), 94–100.
- Smet, S., "Freedom of Expression and the Right to Reputation: Human Rights in Conflict", 26 *American University International Law Review* (2010), 183–236.
- Sottiaux, S., and van der Schyff, G., "Methods of International Human Rights Adjudication: Towards a More Structured Decision-Making Process for the European Court of Human Rights", 31 *Hastings International and Comparative Law Review* (2008), 115–156.
- Sorochinsky, M., "Prosecuting torturers, protecting 'child molesters': toward a power balance model of criminal process for international human rights law", 31 *Michigan Journal of International Law* (2009), 157–229.
- Stone Sweet, A., and Mathews, J., "Proportionality Balancing and Global Constitutionalism", 47 *Columbia Journal of Transnational Law* (2008–09), 72–164.
- Stürner, R., "Das Zivilrecht der Moderne und die Bedeutung der Rechtsdogmatik", *Juristenzeitung* (2012), 10–24.
- Thielbörger, P., "Positive Obligations in the ECHR after the Stoicescu Case", *European Yearbook on Human Rights* (2012), 259–268.
- Thienel, T., "The Burden and Standard of Proof in the European Court of Human Rights", 50 *German Yearbook of International Law* (2007), 543–588.
- Timmer, A., "Toward an Anti-Stereotyping Approach for the European Court of Human Rights", 11 *Human Rights Law Review* (2011), 707–738.
- Trechsel, S., "Comparative Observations on Human Rights Law and Criminal Law", *Saint Louis-Warsaw Transatlantic Law Journal* (2000), 1–27.
- Tribe, L., "Unraveling *National League of Cities*: The New Federalism and Affirmative Rights to Essential Government Services", 90 *Harvard Law Review* (1976–1977), 1065–1104.
- Tulkens, F., "The Paradoxical Relationship between Criminal Law and Human Rights", 9 *Journal of International Criminal Justice* (2011), 577–595.
- Tushnet, M., "The issue of state action/horizontal effect in comparative constitutional law", 79 *International Journal of Constitutional Law* (2003), 79–98.

- Urbaite, L., “Judicial Activism in the Approach of the European Court of Human Rights to Positive Obligations of the State”, 11 *Baltic Yearbook of International Law* (2011), 211–238.
- Vranken, J., “Exciting Times for Legal Scholarship”, *Law and Method* (2012), no. 2, 42–62.
- Waldron, J., “Pildes on Dworkin’s Theory of Rights”, 29 *Journal of Legal Studies* (2000), 301–307.
- Wildhaber, L., “A Constitutional Future for the European Court of Human Rights”, 23 *Human Rights Law Journal* (2002), 161–165.
- Williams, A., “A fresh perspective on hybrid public authorities under the Human Rights Act 1998: private contractors, rights-stripping and ‘chameleonic’ horizontal effect”, *Public Law* (2011), 139–163.
- Xenos, D., “The human rights of the vulnerable”, 13 *The International Journal of Human Rights* (2009), 591–614.

UNPUBLISHED PAPERS

- Bossuyt, M., and Verrijdt, W., “Positive Obligations and the Role of the Court of Strasbourg”, paper presented at the 21st annual The Individual vs. the State Conference on Liberty in the Protective State, Budapest, 25–26 October 2013.
- Brems, E., “The ‘Logics’ of Procedural-type Review by the European Court of Human Rights”, paper presented at the Expert Seminar on Procedural Review and the European Court of Human Rights, Ghent, 21–22 May 2015.
- De Pauw, M., and De Hert, P., “Integrating disability rights into the ECHR: re-writing *McDonald v. the United Kingdom*” (manuscript on file with author).
- Lavrysen, L. “Naar een sterkere toetsingsintensiteit bij positieve verplichtingen”, paper present at the Seminar on “De doorwerking van positieve verplichtingen voortvloeiend uit het EVRM”, Utrecht, 23 October 2015.
- Sathanapally, A., “Justifying procedural rights review”, paper presented at the Expert Seminar on Procedural Review and the European Court of Human Rights, Ghent, 21–22 May 2015.

OTHER

- Black’s Law Dictionary* (Abridged 9th edn, Saint Paul, West, 2010).
- CESCR, 12 May 1999, General Comment No. 12 on the right to adequate food, E/C.12/1999/5.
- CESCR, 20 January 2003, General Comment No. 15 on the right to water, E/C.12/2002/11.
- Dowe, P., “Causal Processes” in E. Zalta (ed.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Fall 2008 Edition), <<http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2008/entries/causation-process/>>, accessed 20 August 2015.
- Honoré, T., “Causation in the Law” in E. Zalta (ed.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Winter 2010 edition), <<http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/win2010/entries/causation-law/>>, accessed 20 August 2015.

- HRC, 29 July 1981, General Comment No. 3 on Article 2 (Implementation at the national level), HRI/GEN/1.Rev.1 at 4 (2003).
- HRC, 29 March 2004, General Comment No. 31 on the Nature of the General Legal Obligation Imposed on States Parties to the Covenant, CCPR/C/21/Rev.1/Add.13.
- IACtHR, 29 July 1988, *Velásquez-Rodríguez v. Honduras*, Series C No. 1.
- International Law Commission, “Draft articles on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts, with commentaries”, *Yearbook of the International Law Commission* (2001), vol. II, Part Two.
- Maastricht Guidelines on Violations of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, adopted by a group of academic experts meeting in Maastricht on 22–26 January 2007.
- Menzies, P., “Counterfactual Theories of Causation” in E. Zalta, *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Spring 2014 edition), <<http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2014/entries/causation-counterfactual/>> accessed 20 August 2015.
- Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, Principles and Guidelines for a Human Rights Approach to Poverty Reduction Strategies (2005).
- Pitea, C., “Scoppola v. Italy (no. 3): The Grand Chamber faces the ‘constitutional justice vs. individual justice’ dilemma (but it doesn’t tell)”, 20 June 2012, strasbourgobservers.com.
- Shorter Oxford English Dictionary* (6th edn, Oxford University Press, 2007).
- The Right to Food as a Human Right*, Report prepared by A. Eide, UN Doc E/CN.4/Sub.2/1987/23.
- The Right to Food as a Human Right*, Report prepared by A. Eide, UN Doc E/CN.4/Sub.2/1983/25.
- UK House of Lords, 3 November 2005, *R. (Adam, Limbuela and Tesema) v Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2005] UKHL 66.
- U.S. Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit 23 May 1988, *Archie v. City of Racine*, 847 F.2d 1211.
- U.S. Supreme Court, 22 February 1989, *DeShaney v. Winnebago County*, 489 U.S. 189.
- Voorhoof, D., “On the Road to More Transparency: Access to Information under Article 10 ECHR”, 2 December 2014, journalism.cmpf.eui.eu/category/discussions.