

## References

- Argamon, S., Koppel, M., Fine, J. & Shimoni, A. R. (2003). Gender, genre, and writing style in formal written texts. *Text*, 23(3), 321–46.
- Argamon, S., Koppel, M., Pennebaker, J. W. & Schler, J. (2007). Mining the blogosphere: age, gender and the varieties of self-expression. *First Monday*, 12(9). [http://firstmonday.org/issues/issue12\\_9/argamon/index.html](http://firstmonday.org/issues/issue12_9/argamon/index.html)
- Arppe, A. (2008). Univariate, bivariate, and multivariate methods in corpus-based lexicography: a study of synonymy. Helsinki: University of Helsinki. Available at <https://helda.helsinki.fi/bitstream/handle/10138/19274/univaria.pdf?sequence=2> (accessed 29/12/2015).
- Azen, R. & Walker, C. M. (2011). *Categorical data analysis for the behavioral and social sciences*. London: Routledge.
- Baker, H., Brezina, V. & McEnery, T. (2017). Ireland in British parliamentary debates 1803–2005: plotting changes in discourse in a large volume of time-series corpus data. In T. Säily, A. Nurmi, M. Palander-Collin & A. Auer (eds.), *Exploring future paths for historical sociolinguistics* (Advances in Historical Sociolinguistics), pp. 83–107. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Baker, P. (2009). The BE06 corpus of British English and recent language change. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 14(3), 312–37.
- (2011). Times may change, but we will always have money: diachronic variation in recent British English. *Journal of English Linguistics*, 39(1), 65–88.
- (2014). *Using corpora to analyze gender*. London: Bloomsbury.
- (2017) *American and British English: divided by a common language*. Cambridge University Press.
- Baker, P., Gabrielatos, C. & McEnery, T. (2013). Sketching Muslims: a corpus driven analysis of representations around the word ‘Muslim’ in the British press 1998–2009. *Applied Linguistics*, 34(3), 255–78.
- Baker, P., Gabrielatos, C., Khosravini, M., Krzyżanowski, M., McEnery, T. & Wodak, R. (2008). A useful methodological synergy? Combining critical discourse analysis and corpus linguistics to examine discourses of refugees and asylum seekers in the UK press. *Discourse & Society*, 19(3), 273–306.
- Balakrishnan, N., Voinov, V. & Nikulin, M. S. (2013). *Chi-squared goodness of fit tests with applications*. Waltham, MA: Academic Press.
- Barlow, M. (2013). Individual differences and usage-based grammar. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 18(4), 443–78.
- Baroni, M., Bernardini, S., Ferraresi, A. & Zanchetta, E. (2009). The WaCky Wide Web: a collection of very large linguistically processed web-crawled corpora. *Language Resources and Evaluation*, 43 (3), 209–26.



- Baroni, M. & Ueyama, M. (2006). Building general-and special-purpose corpora by web crawling. In *Proceedings of the 13th NIJL International Symposium, Language corpora: their compilation and application*, pp. 31–40.
- Benzécri, J. P. (1992). *Correspondence analysis handbook*. New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Bestgen, Y. (2014). Inadequacy of the chi-squared test to examine vocabulary differences between corpora. *Literary and Linguistic Computing*, 29(2), 164–70.
- Biber, D. (1988). *Variation across speech and writing*. Cambridge University Press.
- Biber, D. & Conrad, S. (2009). *Register, genre, and style*. Cambridge University Press.
- Biber, D., Johansson, S., Leech, G., Conrad, S. & Finegan, E. (1999). *Longman grammar of spoken and written English*. Harlow: Longman.
- Biber, D. & Jones, K. (2009). Quantitative methods in corpus linguistics. In A. Lüdeling & M. Kytö (eds.), *Corpus linguistics: an international handbook*, vol. 2, pp. 1287–1304. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Biber, D., Reppen, R., Schnur, E. & Ghanem, R. (2016). On the (non) utility of Juilland's D to measure lexical dispersion in large corpora. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 21(4), 439–64.
- Blythe, R. A. & Croft, W. (2012). S-curves and the mechanisms of propagation in language change. *Language*, 88(2), 269–304.
- Boneau, C. A. (1960). The effects of violations of assumptions underlying the t test. *Psychological Bulletin*, 57(1), 49.
- Borenstein, M. (2009). Effect sizes for continuous data. In H. Cooper, L. Hedges & J. Valentine (eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis and meta-analysis*, pp. 221–35. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Brezina, V. (2013). BNC64 Search & Compare. Available at: <http://corpora.lancs.ac.uk/bnc64> (accessed 20/08/2016).
- (2014). Effect sizes in corpus linguistics: keywords, collocations and diachronic comparison. Presented at the ICAME 2014 conference, University of Nottingham.
- Brezina, V. & Gablasova, D. (2015). Is there a core general vocabulary? Introducing the New General Service List. *Applied Linguistics*, 36(1), 1–22.
- Brezina, V., McEnery, T. & Baker, H. (in prep.) Usage fluctuation analysis: a new way of analysing shifts in historical discourse.
- Brezina, V., McEnery, T. & Wattam, S. (2015). Collocations in context. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 20(2), 139–73.
- Brezina, V. & Meyerhoff, M. (2014). Significant or random? A critical review of socio-linguistic generalisations based on large corpora. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 19(1), 1–28.
- Brezina, V. & Timperley, M. (2017). How large is the BNC? A proposal for standardized tokenization and word counting. CL2017, Birmingham. Available at: [www.birmingham.ac.uk/Documents/college-artslaw/corpus/conference-archives/2017/general/paper303.pdf](http://www.birmingham.ac.uk/Documents/college-artslaw/corpus/conference-archives/2017/general/paper303.pdf) (accessed 08/03/18).
- Brezina, V., Timperley, M., Gablasova, D. & McEnery, T. (in prep.). #LancsBox: a new generation corpus tool for researchers, students and teachers.
- Cabin, R. J. & Mitchell, R. J. (2000). To Bonferroni or not to Bonferroni: when and how are the questions. *Bulletin of the Ecological Society of America*, 81(3), 246–8.
- Chernick, M. R. & LaBudde, R. A. (2014). *An introduction to bootstrap methods with applications to R*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.



- Chomsky, N. (2000). *New horizons in the study of language and mind*. Cambridge University Press.
- Clausen, S. E. (1998). *Applied correspondence analysis: an introduction*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Cleveland, W. S. (1994). *The elements of graphing data*. Summit, NJ: Hobart Press.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Cohen, M. P. (2000). Note on the odds ratio and the probability ratio. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, 25(2), 249–52.
- Colley, A. & Todd, Z. (2002). Gender-linked differences in the style and content of e-mails to friends. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 21(4), 380–92.
- Conrad, S. & Biber, D. (2001). Multidimensional methodology and the dimensions of register variation in English. In S. Conrad & D. Biber (eds.), *Variation in English: multidimensional studies*, pp. 18–19. Harlow: Pearson Education.
- Coupland, N. (2007). *Style: language variation and identity*. Cambridge University Press.
- Covington, M. A. & McFall, J. D. (2010). Cutting the Gordian knot: the moving-average type–token ratio (MATTR). *Journal of Quantitative Linguistics*, 17(2), 94–100.
- Crystal, D. (2003). *English as a global language*. Cambridge University Press.
- Cumming, G. (2012). *Understanding the new statistics*. New York: Routledge.
- Cumming, G., Fidler, F. & Vaux, D. L. (2007). Error bars in experimental biology. *Journal of Cell Biology*, 177(1), 7–11.
- Davies, H. T. O., Crombie, I. K. & Tavakoli, M. (1998). When can odds ratios mislead? *British Medical Journal*, 316(7136), 989–91.
- Davies, M. & Gardner, D. (2010). *A frequency dictionary of contemporary American English: word sketches, collocates and thematic lists*. London: Routledge.
- de Winter, J. C. (2013). Using the Student's t-test with extremely small sample sizes. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 18(10), 1–12.
- Diggle, P. J. & Chetwynd, A. G. (2011). *Statistics and scientific method: an introduction for students and researchers*. Oxford University Press.
- Divjak, D. & Gries, S. Th. (2006). Ways of trying in Russian: clustering behavioral profiles. *Corpus Linguistics and Linguistic Theory*, 2(1), 23–60.
- Dodge, Y. (2008). *The concise encyclopedia of statistics*. New York: Springer.
- Edgell, S. E. & Noon, S. M. (1984). Effect of violation of normality on the t test of the correlation coefficient. *Psychological Bulletin*, 95(3), 576.
- Efron, B. (1979). Computers and the theory of statistics: thinking the unthinkable. *SIAM Review*, 21(4), 460–80.
- Efron, B. & Tibshirani, R. J. (1994). *An introduction to the bootstrap*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Erceg-Hurn, D. M. & Mirosevich, V. M. (2008). Modern robust statistical methods: an easy way to maximize the accuracy and power of your research. *American Psychologist*, 63(7), 591.
- Everitt, B. S., Landau, S., Leese, M. & Stahl, D. (2011). *Cluster analysis*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Evert, S. (2008). Corpora and collocations. In A. Lüdeling & M. Kytö (eds.), *Corpus linguistics: an international handbook*, vol. 1, pp. 223–33. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Field, A., Miles, J. & Field, Z. (2012). *Discovering statistics using R*. London: Sage.
- Firth, J. (1957). *Papers in linguistics*. Oxford University Press.



- Francis, W. N. & Kučera, H. (1979). *Brown Corpus manual: manual of information to accompany a standard corpus of present-day edited American English for use with digital computers*. Brown University, Providence, RI. Available at <http://clu.uni.no/icame/brown/bcm.html>
- Friendly, M. (2002). A brief history of the mosaic display. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 11(1), 89–107.
- Friginal, E. & Hardy, J. (2014). Conducting multi-dimensional analysis using SPSS. In T. B. Sardinha & M. V. Pinto (eds.), *Multi-dimensional analysis, 25 years on: a tribute to Douglas Biber*, pp. 297–316. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Fritz, C. O., Morris, P. E. & Richler, J. J. (2012). Effect size estimates: current use, calculations, and interpretation. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 141(1), 2–18.
- Gablasova, D., Brezina, V. & McEnery, A. M. (2017a). Exploring learner language through corpora: comparing and interpreting corpus frequency information. *Language Learning*, 67(S1), 130–54.
- (2017b). Collocations in corpus-based language learning research: identifying, comparing and interpreting the evidence. *Language Learning*, 67(S1), 155–79.
- Gablasova, D., Brezina, V., McEnery, T. & Boyd, E. (2017). Epistemic stance in spoken L2 English: the effect of task and speaker style. *Applied Linguistics*, 38(5), 613–37.
- Gabrielatos, C. & Marchi, A. (2012) Keyness: appropriate metrics and practical issues. Presented at CADS International Conference 2012, Corpus-assisted Discourse Studies: More than the sum of Discourse Analysis and computing? University of Bologna, Italy.
- Glass, G. V. (1965) A ranking variable analogue of biserial correlation: implications for short-cut item analysis. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 2(1), 91–5.
- Greenacre, M. (2007). *Correspondence analysis in practice*. Boca Raton: Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- Gries, S. Th. (2008). Dispersions and adjusted frequencies in corpora. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 13(4), 403–37.
- (2010). Dispersions and adjusted frequencies in corpora: further explorations. In S. Th. Gries, S. Wulff & M. Davies, *Corpus linguistic applications: current studies*, pp. 197–212. Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- (2013a). *Statistics for linguistics with R: a practical introduction*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- (2013b). 50-something years of work on collocations: what is or should be next ... *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 18(1), 137–66.
- Gries, S. Th. & Hilpert, M. (2008). The identification of stages in diachronic data: variability-based neighbour clustering. *Corpora*, 3(1), 59–81.
- (2010). Modeling diachronic change in the third person singular: a multifactorial, verb- and author-specific exploratory approach. *English Language and Linguistics*, 14(03), 293–320.
- Gries, S. Th., Newman, J., Shaoul, C. & Dilts, P. (2009). N-grams and the clustering of genres. Presented at workshop on Corpus, Colligation, Register Variation at the 31st Annual Meeting of the Deutsche Gesellschaft für Sprachwissenschaft, March.
- Grieve-Smith, A. (2007). The envelope of variation in multidimensional register and genre analyses. In E. Fitzpatrick (ed.), *Corpus linguistics beyond the word: corpus research from phrase to discourse*, pp. 21–42. Amsterdam: Rodopi.



- Gwet, K. (2002). Inter-rater reliability: dependency on trait prevalence and marginal homogeneity. *Statistical Methods for Inter-Rater Reliability Assessment Series*, 2, 1–9.
- Hand, D. J. (2010). Evaluating diagnostic tests: the area under the ROC curve and the balance of errors. *Statistics in Medicine*, 29(14), 1502–10.
- Hardie, A. (2014) Log ratio – an informal introduction. <http://cass.lancs.ac.uk/?p=1133>
- Harrington, J., Palethorpe, S. & Watson, C. I. (2000). Does the Queen speak the Queen's English? *Nature*, 408(6815), 927–8.
- Hayton, J. C., Allen, D. G. & Scarpello, V. (2004). Factor retention decisions in exploratory factor analysis: a tutorial on parallel analysis. *Organizational Research Methods*, 7(2), 191–205.
- Healey, A. diPaolo (ed.) (2004). *The Complete Corpus of Old English in Electronic Form*. Dictionary of Old English Project. Centre for Medieval Studies, University of Toronto.
- Hill, T., Lewicki, P. & Lewicki, P. (2006). *Statistics: methods and applications: a comprehensive reference for science, industry, and data mining*. Tulsa, OK: StatSoft.
- Hilpert, M. (2011). Dynamic visualizations of language change: motion charts on the basis of bivariate and multivariate data from diachronic corpora. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 16(4), 435–61.
- Hilpert, M. & Gries, S. Th. (2009). Assessing frequency changes in multistage diachronic corpora: applications for historical corpus linguistics and the study of language acquisition. *Literary and Linguistic Computing*, 24(4), 385–401.
- Hosmer, D. W., Lemeshow, S. & Sturdivant, R. X. (2013). *Applied logistic regression*, 3rd edn. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Hudson, T. (2015). Presenting quantitative data visually. In L. Plonsky (ed.), *Advancing quantitative methods in second language research*, pp. 78–105. London: Routledge.
- Ito, R. & Tagliamonte, S. (2003). Well weird, right dodgy, very strange, really cool: layering and recycling in English intensifiers. *Language in Society*, 32(02), 257–79.
- Jakubiček, M., Kilgarriff, A., Kovář, V., Rychlý, P. & Suchomel, V. (2013). The TenTen corpus family. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Corpus Linguistics 2013*, pp. 125–7. Lancaster University.
- Jarvis, S. (2013). Capturing the diversity in lexical diversity. *Language Learning*, 63(s1), 87–106.
- Johnson, D. E. (2009). Getting off the GoldVarb standard: introducing Rbrul for mixed-effects variable rule analysis. *Language and Linguistics Compass*, 3(1), 359–83.
- Juilland, A. G., Brodin, D. R. & Davidovitch, C. (1970). *Frequency dictionary of French words*. The Hague: Mouton.
- Juilland, A. G. & Chang-Rodríguez, E. (1964). *Frequency dictionary of Spanish words*. The Hague: Mouton.
- Kaiser, H. F. (1960). The application of electronic computers to factor analysis. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 20(1), 141–51.
- Kepes, S., Banks, G. C. & Oh, I. S. (2014). Avoiding bias in publication bias research: the value of 'null' findings. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 29(2), 183–203.
- Kerby, D. S. (2014). The simple difference formula: an approach to teaching non-parametric correlation. *Innovative Teaching*, 3, 1–9.
- Kilgarriff, A. (1997). Putting frequencies in the dictionary. *International Journal of Lexicography*, 10(2), 135–55.
- (2005). Language is never, ever, ever, random. *Corpus Linguistics and Linguistic Theory*, 1(2), 263–76.



- (2009). Simple maths for keywords. In *Proceedings of the Corpus Linguistics Conference*, Liverpool, July.
- (2012). Getting to know your corpus. In *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Text, Speech and Dialogue*, pp. 3–15. Berlin: Springer.
- Kirk, R. E. (1996). Practical significance: a concept whose time has come. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 56(5), 746–59.
- (2005). Effect size measures. *Wiley StatsRef: Statistics Reference Online*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781118445112.stat06242.pub2>
- Krippendorff, K. (2012 [1980]). *Content analysis: an introduction to its methodology*. London: Sage.
- Kruskal, W. H. & Wallis, W. A. (1952). Use of ranks in one-criterion variance analysis. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 47(260), 583–621.
- Kučera, H. & Francis, W. N. (1967). *Computational analysis of Present-Day American English*. Providence, RI: Brown University Press.
- Labov, W. (1966). *The social stratification of English in New York City*. Washington, DC: Center for Applied Linguistics.
- (1972). *Sociolinguistic patterns*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- (2010). *Principles of linguistic change*, vol. 3: *Cognitive and cultural factors*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Lakoff, G. & Johnson, M. (1980). *Metaphors we live by*. University of Chicago Press.
- Lakoff, R. T. (1975). *Language and woman's place*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Lavandera, B. R. (1978). Where does the sociolinguistic variable stop? *Language in Society*, 7(02), 171–82.
- Ledesma, R. D. & Valero-Mora, P. (2007). Determining the number of factors to retain in EFA: an easy-to-use computer program for carrying out parallel analysis. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 12(2), 1–11.
- Leech, G. (1992). Corpora and theories of linguistic performance. In J. Svartvik (ed.), *Directions in corpus linguistics*, pp. 105–22. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- (2003). Modals on the move: the English modal auxiliaries 1961–1992. In R. Facchinetti, F. R. Palmer & M. Krug (eds.), *Modality in contemporary English*, 223–40. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- (2011). The modals ARE declining. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 16(4), 547–64.
- Leech, G., Garside, R. & Bryant, M. (1994). CLAWS4: the tagging of the British National Corpus. In *Proceedings of the 15th Conference on Computational Linguistics*, Kyoto, vol. 1, pp. 622–8.
- Leech, G., Rayson, P. & Wilson, A. (2001). *Word frequencies in written and spoken English: based on the British National Corpus*. London: Routledge.
- Leek, J. T. & Peng, R. D. (2015). Statistics: p values are just the tip of the iceberg. *Nature*, 520(7549), 612.
- Lijffijt, J., Nevalainen, T., Säily, T., Papapetrou, P., Puolamäki, K. & Mannila, H. (2016). Significance testing of word frequencies in corpora. *Literary and Linguistic Computing*, 31(2), 374–97.
- Lijffijt, J., Säily, T. & Nevalainen, T. (2012). CEECing the baseline: lexical stability and significant change in a historical corpus. In *Studies in Variation, Contacts and Change in English*, vol. 10. Helsinki: Research Unit for Variation, Contacts and Change in English (VARIENG).



- Love, R., Dembry, C., Hardie, A., Brezina, V. & McEnery, T. (2017). The Spoken BNC2014: designing and building a spoken corpus of everyday conversations. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 22 (3).
- Lumley, T., Diehr, P., Emerson, S. & Chen, L. (2002). The importance of the normality assumption in large public health data sets. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 23(1), 151–69.
- Malvern, D. & Richards, B. (2002). Investigating accommodation in language proficiency interviews using a new measure of lexical diversity. *Language Testing*, 19, 85–104.
- Mann, H. B. & Whitney, D. R. (1947) On a test of whether one of two random variables is stochastically larger than the other. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 18(1), 50–60.
- Manning, C. D. (2011). Part-of-speech tagging from 97% to 100%: is it time for some linguistics? In A. F. Gelbukh (ed.), *International Conference on Intelligent Text Processing and Computational Linguistics*, pp. 171–89. Berlin: Springer.
- McEnery, T. (2006). *Swearing in English: bad language, purity and power from 1586 to the present*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- McEnery, T. & Baker, H. (2017). *Corpus linguistics and 17th-century prostitution: computational linguistics and history*. London: Bloomsbury.
- McEnery, T. & Hardie, A. (2011). *Corpus linguistics: method, theory and practice*. Cambridge University Press.
- Mehl, M. R., Vazire, S., Ramírez-Esparza, N., Slatcher, R. B. & Pennebaker, J. W. (2007). Are women really more talkative than men? *Science*, 317(5834), 82.
- Michel, J. B., Shen, Y. K., Aiden, A. P., Veres, A., Gray, M. K., Pickett, J. P. ... & Pinker, S. (2011). Quantitative analysis of culture using millions of digitized books. *Science*, 331(6014), 176–82.
- Microsoft (2010). *Microsoft Word* [software].
- Millar, N. (2009). Modal verbs in TIME: frequency changes 1923–2006. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 14 (2), 191–220.
- Nevalainen, T. (1999). Making the best use of ‘bad’ data: evidence for sociolinguistic variation in Early Modern English. *Neuphilologische Mitteilungen*, 499–533.
- Nevalainen, T. & Raumolin-Brunberg, H. (2003). *Historical sociolinguistics: language change in Tudor and Stuart England*. London: Routledge.
- Newman, M. L., Groom, C. J., Handelman, L. D. & Pennebaker, J. W. (2008). Gender differences in language use: an analysis of 14,000 text samples. *Discourse Processes*, 45(3), 211–36.
- Nini, A. (2015) *Multidimensional Analysis Tagger (v. 1.3) – manual*. Available at: <https://sites.google.com/site/multidimensionaltagger/> (accessed 26/08/15).
- Osborne, J. W. (2012). *Best practices in data cleaning: a complete guide to everything you need to do before and after collecting your data*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- (2015). *Best practices in logistic regression*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Pearson, K. (1920). Notes on the history of correlation. *Biometrika*, 13(1), 25–45.
- Pechenick, E. A., Danforth, C. M. & Dodds, P. S. (2015). Characterizing the Google Books corpus: strong limits to inferences of socio-cultural and linguistic evolution. *PloS One*, 10(10), e0137041.
- Phillips, M. (1985). *Aspects of text structure: an investigation of the lexical organisation of text*. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Popper, K. (2005 [1935]). *The logic of scientific discovery*. London: Routledge.



- Rayson, P. (2008). From key words to key semantic domains. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics* 13(4), 519–49.
- Rayson, P., Berridge, D. & Francis, B. (2004). Extending the Cochran rule for the comparison of word frequencies between corpora. *Proceedings from 7th International Conference on Statistical Analysis of Textual Data (JADT 2004)*, pp. 926–36.
- Rayson, P., Leech, G. N. & Hodges, M. (1997). Social differentiation in the use of English vocabulary: some analyses of the conversational component of the British National Corpus. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 2(1), 133–52.
- Richardson, J. T. (2011). Eta squared and partial eta squared as measures of effect size in educational research. *Educational Research Review*, 6(2), 135–47.
- Rosenthal, R. (1995). Writing meta-analytic reviews. *Psychological Bulletin*, 118(2), 183.
- Rothstein, H. & Hopewell, S. (2009). Grey literature. In H. Cooper, L. Hedges & J. Valentine (eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis and meta-analysis*, pp. 103–26. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Savický, P. & Hlaváčová, J. (2002). Measures of word commonness. *Journal of Quantitative Linguistics*, 9(3), 215–31.
- Schmider, E., Ziegler, M., Danay, E., Beyer, L. & M. Bühner. (2010). ‘Is it really robust?’ Reinvestigating the robustness of ANOVA against violations of the normal distribution assumption. *Methodology: European Journal of Research Methods for the Behavioral and Social Sciences*, 6(4), 147–51.
- Schmidt, F. L. & Hunter, J. E. (2015). *Methods of meta-analysis: correcting error and bias in research findings*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Scott, M. (1997). PC analysis of key words – and key key words. *System*, 25(2), 233–45.
- (2004). *WordSmith tools version 4*. Oxford University Press.
- Shadish, W. R. & Haddock, C. K. (2009). Combining estimates of effect size. In H. Cooper, L. Hedges & J. Valentine (eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis and meta-analysis*, pp. 257–78. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Shaffer, J. P. (1995). Multiple hypothesis testing. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 46, 561–84.
- Shakespeare, W. (1992). *The Poems: Venus and Adonis, The Rape of Lucrece, The Phoenix and the Turtle, The Passionate Pilgrim*. Cambridge University Press.
- Sheskin, D. J. (2007). *Handbook of parametric and nonparametric statistical procedures*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- Siegel, S. (1956). *Nonparametric statistics for the behavioral sciences*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Sprent, P. (2011). Fisher Exact Test. In *International encyclopedia of statistical science*, pp. 524–5. Berlin: Springer.
- Stubbs, M. (2001). *Words and phrases: corpus studies of lexical semantics*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Tagliamonte, S. A. (2006). *Analysing sociolinguistic variation*. Cambridge University Press.
- Theus, M. & Urbanek, S. (2008). *Interactive graphics for data analysis: principles and examples*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Toothaker, L. E. (1993). *Multiple comparison procedures*. Sage University Paper Series on Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences, 07–089. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.



- Trafimow, D. & Marks, M. (2015) Editorial. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 37(1), 1–2.
- Tufte, E. (1997). *Visual explanations*. Cheshire, CT: Graphics Press.
- (2001). *Visual display of quantitative information*. Cheshire, CT: Graphics Press.
- (2006). *Beautiful evidence*. Cheshire, CT: Graphics Press.
- Tweedie, F. & Baayen, R. H. (1998). How variable may a constant be? Measures of lexical richness in perspective. *Computers and the Humanities*, 32, 323–52.
- Upton, G. J. (1992). Fisher's exact test. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series A (Statistics in Society)*, 395–402.
- Valentine, J. (2009). Judging the quality of primary research. In H. Cooper, L. Hedges & J. Valentine (eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis and meta-analysis*, pp. 129–46. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Verma, J. P. (2016). *Repeated measures design for empirical researchers*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Vine, B. (1999). *Guide to the New Zealand component of the International Corpus of English (ICE-NZ)*. School of Linguistics and Applied Language Studies, Victoria University of Wellington.
- Vine, E. W. (2011). High frequency multifunctional words: accuracy of word-class tagging. *Te Reo*, 54, 71.
- Williams, G. (1998). Collocational networks: interlocking patterns of lexis in a corpus of plant biology research articles. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 3(1), 151–71.
- Wilson, D. B. (2009). Systematic coding. In H. Cooper, L. Hedges & J. Valentine (eds.), *The handbook of research synthesis and meta-analysis*, pp. 159–76. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Xiao, R. (2009). Multidimensional analysis and the study of world Englishes. *World Englishes*, 28(4), 421–50.