

References

- Altman, D., K. Schulz, et al. (2001). "The Revised CONSORT Statement for Reporting Randomized Trials: Explanation and Elaboration." *Annals of Internal Medicine* 134(8): 663-694.
- Aslam, R., Ed. (2000). *Research and evidence in Midwifery*. Linking Research and Practice in Midwifery. Edinburgh, Baillière Tindall.
- Babbie, E. (1992). *The Practice of Social Research*. Belmont, Wadsworth Publishing Company.
- Bastin, H. (2004). "Personal Views: learning from evidence based mistakes." *British Medical Journal* 329: 7473.
- Borenstein, M., L. Hedges, et al. (2009). *Computing effect sizes for meta-analysis*. Chichester, John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Casebeer, A. and M. Verhoef (1997). "Combining qualitative and quantitative methods: considering the possibilities for enhancing the study of chronic diseases." *Chronic Diseases in Canada* 183.
- Cluett, E. "Evidence Based Practice." 24.
- Cooper, H., L. Hedges, et al., Eds. (2009). *The handbook of research synthesis and meta-analysis*. New York, Russell Sage Foundation.
- Crotty, M. (1998). *The Foundations of Social Research: Meaning and Perspective in the research process*. Singapore, Allen&Unwin.
- Deeks, J., J. Higgins, et al., Eds. (2001). *Effect measures for meta-analysis of trials with binary outcomes*. Systematic Reviews in Health Care: Meta analysis in context. London, BMJ Publication Group.
- Deeks, J., J. Higgins, et al. (2001). Statistical Methods for examining heterogeneity and combining results from several studies in meta-analysis. *Systematic reviews in health care: meta analysis in context*. G. D.-S. M. Egger, and D. Altman. London, BMJ Publication Group.
- Deeks, J., J. Higgins, et al., Eds. (2006). *Analysing and presenting results*. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. Chichester, John Wiley & Sons.
- Dixon-Woods, M., A. Booth, et al. (1997). "Synthesizing qualitative research: a review of published reports." *Qualitative Research* 7: 375.
- Fay, B. (1975). *Social Theory and Political Practice*. London, Allen & Unwin.
- Gray, M. (2004). "Evidence based policy making." *British Medical Journal* 329(7473): 988.
- Greenhalgh, T. and R. Taylor (1995). "How to read a paper: papers that go beyond numbers (qualitative research)." *British Medical Journal* 315: 7110.
- Gutatt, G. (1995). "A method of grading health care recommendations." *Journal of the American Medical Association* 274: 1800-1804.
- Hardy, R. and S. Thompson (1998). "Detecting and describing heterogeneity in meta-analysis." *Statistics in Medicine* 17(8): 841-856.
- Hedges, L., Ed. (1994). *Statistical Considerations*. The Handbook of Research Synthesis. New York, Russell Sage Foundation.
- Higgins, J. (2002). "Statistical heterogeneity in systematic reviews of clinical trials: a critical appraisal of guidelines and prac." *Journal of Health Services and Reserch Policy* 7(1): 51-61.
- Higgins, J. and S. Green, Eds. (2008). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.0.1*
- Joanna Briggs Institute (2007). *Sumari User Guide Version 4.0*. Adelaide, Joanna Briggs Institute.
- Joanna Briggs Institute (2008). *Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewers Manual*. Adelaide, Joanna Briggs Institute.
- Kao, L., J. Tyson, et al. (2008). "Clinical research methodology I: Introduction to randomized trials." *Journal of the American College of Surgeons* 2: 361-369.
- Kjaergard, L., J. Vilumsen, et al. (1999). Quality of randomized clinical trials affects estimates of intervention efficacy. *7th Cochrane Colloquium*. Italy, Rome.
- Kotaska, A. (2004). "Inappropriate use of randomized trials to evaluate complex phenomena: case study of vaginal breech delivery." *BMC Medical Research* 329(7473): 1039-1042.
- Krainovich-Miller, B., J. Haber, et al. (2009). "Evidence-based practice challenge: teaching critical appraisal of systematic reviews and clinical practice guidelines to graduate students." *Journal of Nursing Education* 48(4): 186-195.
- Mamdani, M. (2005). "Readers guide to critical appraisal of cohort studies: 2. Assessing potential for confounding." *British Medical Journal* 330: 960-962.
- Manchikanti, E., J. Hirsch, et al. (2008). "Evidence-based Medicine, systematic reviews and guidelines in interventional pain management: Part 2:

- Randomized Controlled Trials." *Pain Physician* 11: 717-773.
- Mays, N. and C. Pope, Eds. (1996). *Qualitative Research in health care*. London, BM Publishing Group.
- Moher, D. (2003). "The inclusion of reports of randomized controlled trials published in languages other than english." *Journal of the American Medical Association* 268: 2420-2425.
- Moher, D., K. Schulz, et al. (2001). "The CONSORT statement: Revized recommendations for improving the quality of reports of parallel-group randomized trials." *Journal of the American Medical Association* 285: 1987-1991.
- Munhall, P. and B. Oiler (1993). *Nursing Research. A Qualitative Perspective*. New York, National League for Nursing.
- Murphy, B. (1975). *Social Theory and Political Practice*. London, Allen & Unwin.
- Normand, S.-L. (2005). "Readers guide to critical appraisal of cohort studies: 3. Analytical strategies to reduce confounding." *British Medical Journal* 330: 2101-2103.
- Pearson, A., J. Field, et al. (2007). *Evidence Based Practice in Nursing and Health Care: Assimilating research, experience and expertise*. Oxford, Blackwell Publishing.
- Pearson, A. and Z. Jordan (2007). *The Joanna Briggs Institute Approach to "Evidence"*. Adelaide.
- Rochon, P. (2005). "Readers guide to critical appraisal of cohort studies: 1. Role and design." *British Medical Journal* 330: 895-897.
- Sutton, A., K. Abrams, et al. (2000). *Methods for Meta-Analysis in Medical Research*. New York, Wiley.
- Tricco, A., J. Tetzlaff, et al. (2008). "Few systematic reviews exist documenting the extent of bias: a systematic review." *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 61: 422-434.
- Trohler, U. (2000). *To improve the evidence of medicine': The 18th century British Origins of a Critical Approach*. Edinburgh, Royal College of Physicians.