

Bibliography

1. American College of Radiology. *MRI Terminology Glossary*. Reston, VA: American College of Radiology; 2012.
2. American College of Radiology. *ACR Manual on Contrast Media Version 10.2*. Reston, VA: American College of Radiology; 2016.
3. Bittersohl B, Hosalkar HS, Hughes T, et al. Feasibility of T2* mapping for the evaluation of hip joint cartilage at 1.5 T using a three-dimensional (3D), gradient-echo (GRE) sequence: a prospective study. *Magn Reson Med*. 2009;62(4):896–901.
4. Bradley WG. Optimizing lesion contrast without using contrast agents. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 1999;10:442–449.
5. Bradley WG, Waluch V, Lai KS, et al. The appearance of rapidly flowing blood on magnetic resonance images. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 1984;143:1167–1174.
6. Brown TT, Kuperman JM, Erhart M, et al. Prospective motion correction of high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging data in children. *Neuroimage*. 2010;53(1):139–145.
7. Bushberg JT, Seibert JA, Leidholdt EM, et al. *The Essential Physics of Medical Imaging*. 2nd ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2002.
8. Chun Y, Schmiedl UP, Weinberger E, et al. Three-dimensional fast spin-echo imaging: pulse sequence and in vivo image evaluation. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 1993;3:894–899.
9. Cowper SE, Robin HS, Steinberg HM, et al. Scleromyxedema-like cutaneous disease in renal-dialysis patients. *Lancet*. 2000;356:1000–1001.
10. Cowper SE. Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis: an overview. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2008;5(1):23–28.
11. Dixon WT. Simple proton spectroscopic imaging. *Radiology*. 1984;153:189–194.
12. Edelman RR, Wielopolski P, Schmitt F, et al. Echo-planar MR imaging. *Radiology*. 1994;192:600–612.
13. Erickson SJ, Cox IH, Hyde JS, et al. Effect of tendon orientation on MR imaging signal intensity: a manifestation of the “magic angle” phenomenon. *Radiology*. 1991;181:389–392.
14. U.S. Food & Drug Administration. FDA Drug Safety Communication: FDA identifies no harmful effects to date with brain retention of gadolinium-based contrast agents for MRIs; review to continue. <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drugsafety/ucm559007.htm>. Accessed August 3, 2017.
15. Foo TK, Bernstein MA, Aisen AM, et al. Improved ejection fraction and flow velocity estimates with use of view sharing and uniform repetition time excitation with fast cardiac techniques. *Radiology*. 1995;195:471.
16. Forbes KP, Pipe JG, Bird CR, et al. PROPELLER MRI: clinical testing of a novel technique for quantification and compensation of head motion. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2001;14(3):215–222.
17. Gibby WA, Gibby KA, Gibby WA. Comparison of Gd DTPA-BMA (Omniscan) versus Gd HP-DO3A (ProHance) retention in human bone tissue by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy. *Invest Radiol*. 2004;39(3):138–142.
18. Giri S, Chung YC, Merchant A, et al. T2 quantification for improved detection of myocardial edema. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2009;11(1):56.
19. Grobner T. Gadolinium—a specific trigger for the development of nephrogenic fibrosing dermopathy and nephrogenic systemic fibrosis? *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2006;21:1104–1108.
20. Haacke EM, Xu Y, Cheng YC, et al. Susceptibility weighted imaging (SWI). *Magn Reson Med*. 2004;52(3):612–618.
21. Hashemi RH, Bradley WG, Chen D-Y, et al. Suspected multiple sclerosis: MR imaging with a thin-section fast FLAIR pulse sequence. *Radiology*. 1995;196:505–510.
22. Henkelman RM, Hardy PA, Bishop JE, et al. Why fat is bright in RARE and fast spin-echo imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 1992;2:533–540.

23. Hennig J, Nauerth A, Friedburg H. RARE imaging: a fast imaging method for clinical MR. *Magn Reson Med*. 1986;3:823–833.
24. Huber AM, Schoenberg SO, Hayes C, et al. Phase-sensitive inversion-recovery MR imaging in the detection of myocardial infarction. *Radiology*. 2005;237:854–860.
25. Huda W, Slone R. *Review of Radiologic Physics*. 2nd ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2003.
26. Kanal E, Barkovich AJ, Bell C, et al. Expert panel on MR safety. ACR guidance document on MR safe practices: 2013. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2013;37(3):501–530.
27. Kanda T, Ishii K, Kawaguchi H, et al. High signal intensity in the dentate nucleus and globus pallidus on unenhanced T1-weighted MR images: relationship with increasing cumulative dose of a gadolinium-based contrast material. *Radiology*. 2014;270(3):834–841.
28. Kapelov SR, Teresi LM, Bradley WG, et al. Bone contusions of the knee: increased lesion detection with fast spin-echo MR imaging with spectroscopic fat saturation. *Radiology*. 1993;189:901–904.
29. Kellman P, Hansen MS. T1-mapping in the heart: accuracy and precision. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2014;16:2.
30. Lotz J, Meier C, Leppert A, et al. Cardiovascular flow measurement with phase-contrast MR imaging: basic facts and implementation. *Radiographics*. 2002;22:651–671.
31. Low G, Kruse SA, Lomas DJ. General review of MR elastography. *World J Radiol*. 2016;8:59–72.
32. McDonald CR, Delfanti RL, Krishnan AP, et al. Restriction spectrum imaging predicts response to bevacizumab in patients with high-grade glioma. *Neuro Oncol*. 2016;18(11):1579–1590.
33. McDonald RJ, McDonald JS, Kallmes DF, et al. Intracranial gadolinium deposition after contrast-enhanced MR imaging. *Radiology*. 2015;275(3):772–782.
34. McEvoy LK, Fennema-Notestine C, Roddey JC, et al; Alzheimer's disease neuroimaging Initiative. Alzheimer disease: quantitative structural neuroimaging for detection and prediction of clinical and structural changes in mild cognitive impairment. *Radiology*. 2009;251(1):195–205.
35. Oppenheim AV. *Signals and Systems*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall; 1983.
36. O'Regan DP, Ahmed R, Karunanithy N, et al. Reperfusion hemorrhage following acute myocardial infarction: assessment with T2* mapping and effect on measuring the area at risk 1. *Radiology*. 2009;250(3):916–922.
37. Pierpaoli C, Jezzard P, Basser PJ, et al. Diffusion tensor MR imaging of the human brain. *Radiology*. 1996;201:637–648.
38. Price RR. MR imaging safety considerations. *Radiographics*. 1999;19:1641–1651.
39. Prince MR, Grist TM, Debatin JF. *3D Contrast MR Angiography*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 1997.
40. Prince MR, Narasimham DL, Stanley JC, et al. Breath-hold gadolinium-enhanced MR angiography of the abdominal aorta and its major branches. *Radiology*. 1995;197:785–792.
41. Rakow-Penner RA, White NS, Margolis DJ, et al. Prostate diffusion imaging with distortion correction. *Magn Reson Imaging*. 2015;33(9):1178–1181.
42. Ray JG, Vermeulen MJ, Bharatha A, et al. Association between MRI exposure during pregnancy and fetal and childhood outcomes. *JAMA*. 2016;316(9):952–961.
43. Saremi F, Grizzard JD, Kim RJ. Optimizing cardiac MR imaging: practical remedies for artifacts. *Radiographics*. 2008;28:1161–1187.
44. Scott AD, Keegan J, Firmin DN. Motion in cardiovascular MR imaging. *Radiology*. 2009;250:331–351.
45. Simonetti OP, Kim RJ, Fieno DS, et al. An improved MR imaging technique for the visualization of myocardial infarction. *Radiology*. 2001;218:215.
46. Sievers B, Addo M, Kirchberg S, et al. Impact of the ECG gating method on ventricular volumes and ejection fractions assessed by cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2005;7:441–446.
47. Sirlin CB, Reeder SB. Magnetic resonance imaging quantification of liver iron. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am*. 2010;18:359–381.
48. Srichai MB, Lim RP, Wong S, et al. Cardiovascular applications of phase-contrast MRI. *Am J Roentgenol*. 2009;192:662–675.
49. Stark DD, Bradley WG, eds. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging*. Vol. 1–3. 3rd ed. St Louis, MO: Mosby; 1999.
50. Taylor AJ, Salerno M, Dharmakumar R, et al. T1 mapping basic techniques and clinical applications. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2016;9:67–81.
51. Tirada N, Dreizin D, Khatri NJ, et al. Imaging pregnant and lactating patients. *Radiographics*. 2015;35(6):1751–1765.
52. Tsai LL, Grant AK, Morteale KF, et al. A practical guide to MR imaging safety: what radiologists need to know. *Radiographics*. 2015;35:1722–1737.
53. Ulug AM, Moore DF, Bojko AS, et al. Clinical use of diffusion tensor imaging for diseases causing neuronal and axonal damage. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 1999;20:1044–1048.

54. von Knobelsdorff-Brenkenhoff F, Prothmann M, Dieringer MA, et al. Myocardial T1 and T2 mapping at 3 T: reference values, influencing factors and implications. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2013;18;15(1):53.
55. Watanabe A, Boesch C, Siebenrock K, et al. T2 mapping of hip articular cartilage in healthy volunteers at 3 T: a study of topographic variation. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2007;26(1):165-171.

Index

Page numbers followed by *f* indicate figures; those followed by *t* indicate tables.

- A**
- Acceleration compensation, 330f
- Acoustic noise, 413
- Acoustic schwannoma, 243f
- Acquisition time, 173-176, 191-192. *See also* Scan time
- Active time, 132-133, 135
- ADC. *See* Analog-to-digital conversion
- Algebraic manipulation, 183
- Aliasing, 140-144, 140f, 197-201
- as an analogy, 144-146, 146f
- defined, 144
- prevention of, 199-200, 200f
- undersampling and, 144-146
- Alzheimer disease (AD), 402, 402f
- American College of Radiology (ACR), 411
- Amplitude, of signals, 116, 117, 159
- Analog-to-digital conversion (ADC), 138
- defined, 131
- Analog-to-digital converter (ADC), 269
- Angle
- magnitude and, 5-6
- of vector, 6, 6f
- Angular frequency (ω), 6, 26, 18. *See also* Larmor frequency
- Anisotropy, 212
- index, 273
- Apparent diffusion coefficient, 276f
- Applied magnetic field, induced and, relationship between, 21
- Arc, 1
- ARC (autocalibrating reconstruction for Cartesian sampling), 291
- Array spatial and sensitivity encoding technique (ASSET), 291
- Arrhythmia reject window, 341
- Artifacts, 197-224
- central, 216, 216f
- chemical shift, 201-207, 202f, 206f, 273
- CSE flow and, 212, 213f, 223, 224f
- data errors and, 223
- in echo planar imaging technique, 273
- external magnetic field, 217-218, 219f
- free induction decay, 216, 216f
- geometric distortion, 111
- ghost, 209-210, 210f, 211f, 220f
- gradient-related, 219-223, 224f
- image processing, 197-208
- magic angle, 212-213, 213f, 214f
- magnetic susceptibility, 218, 220f, 222f
- motion, 155, 208-213, 210f, 213f
- N/2 ghost, 273
- overshoot, 110. *See also* Ripples effect
- partial volume, 208, 210f
- patient-related, 208-213
- RF-related, 213-217
- feedthrough zipper, 217, 217f
- zipper, 216-217, 216f
- stimulated echo, 216-217
- susceptibility, 273
- truncation, 201f, 207, 209f, 210f
- undershoot, 110. *See also* Ripples effect
- zipper, 216-217, 216f
- feedthrough, 217, 217f
- ASSET (array spatial and sensitivity encoding technique), 291
- Audio frequency, 110-111
- Auto-align (AS) technique, 400-401, 401f
- Auto RF (radio frequency) pulse, 40
- Auto-shimming, 218
- Autocalibrating reconstruction for Cartesian sampling (ARC), 291
- B**
- Back projections, 118-120, 120f
- advantage of, 119
- disadvantage of, 119
- Balanced fast field echo, Philips (b-FFE), 342
- Band-pass filters (BPF), 285
- Bandwidth (BW), 58, 103, 110-111, 112f, 137-138, 138f
- center frequency of, 110-111, 112f
- decreasing, and chemical shift artifact, 204-205, 204f
- field of view and, 177-179, 181
- Gaussian RF pulse, 110-111
- measurement of, 105, 106f
- narrower frequency, 107
- receiver, 147
- and sampling interval, 147-151
- signal-to-noise ratio and, 138-139
- transmission, 147
- Bar magnet, 19, 19f
- Belows device, 319-340
- b-FFE (balanced fast field echo, Philips), 342
- Bi-tubed gradients, 247, 247f-248f
- Binary number, 138