

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	v
<i>Contents</i>	vii

CHAPTER I

Introduction

CHAPTER 2

Proportionality Analysis, Pre-balancing and Models of Judicial Review

I	Introduction	13
II	Proportionality Analysis – Its Promises and Pitfalls	14
A	Proportionality Analysis: The Classic, Four-Pronged Structure	15
i.	The pursuit of a legitimate objective	16
a.	The notion of a conflict of values	17
b.	Human rights as legitimate objectives	18
c.	Public interests as legitimate objectives	23
d.	The horizontal dimension of human rights and public interests	25
e.	Conclusion	26
ii.	Suitability	26
iii.	Necessity	29
iv.	Proportionality <i>stricto sensu</i>	31
a.	The Weight Formula	32
b.	The representation of values under proportionality <i>stricto sensu</i>	33
c.	Attributing weight to values	34
d.	Refinements of the Weight Formula in the light of uncertainty	36
e.	Putting the weighed values in comparison	37
v.	Conclusion	39
B	A Broader Understanding of Proportionality Analysis	40
i.	Understanding proportionality analysis beyond the classic conceptualisation	40
ii.	Balancing and other, less formalized versions of proportionality analysis	43
C	Conclusion	45
III	Balancing vs. Subsumption: The Norm-Theoretic Justification for Proportionality Analysis under the Principles Theory	45
A	The Origin and Central Tenets of the Principles Theory: The Rules and Principles Distinction	46
B	Norm-theoretic Criticisms and the Refinement of the Principles Theory	48
C	Distinguishing Rules from Principles in Adjudicative Practice	52
D	Conclusion	53

IV	Balancing vs. Exclusionary Reasons: The Justification of Proportionality Analysis at the Level of Moral Argumentation and Practical Reasoning	54
A	The Principles Theory and the Relationship between Law and Morals	54
B	Exclusionary Reasons	57
C	Sceptical Views on Exclusionary Reasons	59
D	Conclusion	60
V	Proportionality Analysis and Judicial Review: Towards Pre-Balancing and Models of Judicial Review	61
A	Formal Principles, the Principles Theory and the Link between Proportionality Analysis and Judicial Review	63
i.	Formal principles, proportionality analysis and judicial review	63
ii.	Principal-Agent Theory and the concept of 'trustee courts' as the justification for proportionality analysis in judicial review	67
iii.	Proportionality analysis in judicial review as a right to justification.	68
B	Introducing Pre-balancing and Models of Review	68
i.	Splitting up the Law of Balancing	69
ii.	Introducing the idea of pre-balancing	70
iii.	Consequences of pre-balancing for the use of proportionality analysis and models of judicial review	71
iv.	The justification of judicial review	73
a.	Judicial review and the countermajoritarian problem	73
b.	The debate on the institutional qualities of courts and legislators	74
c.	Legitimizing judicial review as dialogue between courts and legislators	75
d.	The procedural democracy doctrine	77
v.	Linking the procedural democracy doctrine, pre-balancing and models of judicial review	79
vi.	Normative arguments and empirical elements and the pre-balancing exercise	80
C	Conclusion	83
VI	The Structure for a Comparative Study on Proportionality Analysis and Judicial Review	84
A	The Choice of the Legal Regimes to be Compared	85
B	The Structure of the Comparative Chapters	86
VII	Conclusion	86

CHAPTER 3	German Constitutional Law	
I	Introduction	91
II	The Broader Context of Judicial Review in German Constitutional Law	92
A	Historical Insights: The Development of Judicial Review and Fundamental Rights in German Constitutional Law	92
	i. The historical development of judicial review	93
	a. Administrative review as a precursor of constitutional review	93
	b. Constitutional Review in the Weimar Republic	94
	c. The Basic Law and the creation of the Federal Constitutional Court	95
	ii. The historical development of fundamental rights	96
	a. Natural law thinking in the 17th century and the objective of the State	96
	b. The <i>Allgemeines Landrecht</i> and the changing perception of the State's objective	97
	c. 19th century legal thinking and the emergence of fundamental rights and traces of proportionality analysis in the case law of the administrative courts	100
	d. From administrative review to constitutional review: The Weimar Republic	102
	e. The coming of age of the principle of proportionality in the case law of the Federal Constitutional Court	104
	iii. Conclusion	105
B	Constitutional Adjudication and The Principle of Proportionality as a Structural Feature of German Constitutional Law	105
	i. The debate on the constitutional rank of the principle of proportionality	106
	a. Deriving the principle of proportionality from equality	106
	b. Deriving the principle of proportionality from fundamental rights	107
	c. Deriving the principle of proportionality from the <i>Rechtsstaat</i>	108
	d. A reconciliatory approach: Simultaneously deriving the principle of proportionality from fundamental rights and the <i>Rechtsstaat</i>	109
	e. Conclusion	110
	ii. Doctrinal criticism of the principle of proportionality	111
	iii. Conclusion	112
C	Institutional Aspects of Judicial Review in German Constitutional Law	112

	D	Conclusion	114
III		The Justification of Judicial Review in German Constitutional Law	115
	A	General Discussion on the Appropriate Standard of Review	115
	B	Respecification of Review and Proportionality Analysis	116
	i.	The procedural democracy doctrine and the variable standard of review under the principle of equality	117
	ii.	The case of positive obligations for the State derived from fundamental and socioeconomic rights: The procedural democracy doctrine and the <i>Untermassverbot</i>	120
	iii.	The procedural democracy doctrine, judicial review and the effects of fundamental rights in private law	122
	a.	Theories of horizontal effect in German constitutional law	123
	b.	The procedural democracy doctrine, fundamental rights and private law	125
	C	Conclusion	126
IV		The Principle of Proportionality	127
	A	Fundamental Rights in the Basic Law	127
	B	The classic form of the principle of proportionality	129
	i.	Determining legitimate objectives	129
	ii.	Suitability	130
	iii.	Necessity	130
	iv.	Proportionality <i>stricto sensu</i>	131
	C	Exclusion of the principle of proportionality	132
	D	Conclusion	132
V		Evaluation and Conclusion	132
CHAPTER 4		United States Constitutional Law	
	I	Introduction	137
	II	The Broader Context of Judicial Review in United States Constitutional Law	138
	A	The Emergence of Judicial Review in United States' Constitutional History	138
	i.	The early advent of judicial review	138
	ii.	Continuous contestation of the Supreme Court's review function	139
	iii.	Conclusion	140
	B	Completing the Constitution: Interpretative Strategies towards a More Inclusive Model of Equal Representation Review	141
	i.	Unenumerated rights	141
	a.	Substantive due process and the protection of non-written interests as rights	142

	b.	Contestation of the results of the doctrine of substantive due process	144
	ii.	The doctrine of incorporation	145
		a. The narrow interpretation of the Privileges and Immunities Clause	145
		b. The Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment	146
	iii.	Conclusion	147
C		The Continuous Debate on Judicial Review and the Appropriate Institutional Balance under the Constitution	147
	i.	The text of the Constitution and the arguments in <i>Madison v Marbury</i>	147
	ii.	Conceptual contestation of judicial review	149
	iii.	The procedural democracy doctrine as a middle ground	150
	iv.	Conclusion	151
D		Institutional Aspects of Judicial Review and the Supreme Court in United States Constitutional Law	152
E		Conclusion	154
III		The Justification of Judicial Review in United States Constitutional Law	155
A		The Procedural Democracy Doctrine and Fundamental Rights Review: The Development of Tiers of Scrutiny	155
	i.	Equal protection in United States constitutional law	156
	ii.	<i>Carolene Products</i> , procedural democracy and the system of tiers of scrutiny	156
	iii.	The proliferation of the system of tiers of review	158
	iv.	Deferent review of fundamental rights in the dimensions of positive obligations and horizontal effect	160
	v.	Conclusion	162
B		Elements of The Procedural Democracy Doctrine and Judicial Review under the Dormant Commerce Clause	162
	i.	The Dormant Commerce Clause as a competence norm	162
	ii.	Competing conceptual readings of the Dormant Commerce Clause	163
	iii.	Conclusion	165
C		Conclusion	165
IV		'Balancing' in United States Constitutional Law	166
A		Balancing and Fundamental Rights in the United States Constitution	167
	i.	Changes in Legal Thinking in the Early 20th Century	167
	ii.	From <i>Lochner</i> to <i>Carolene Products</i> : the persistence of categorisation and definitional approaches	169
	iii.	The emergence of balancing	173
	iv.	Conclusion	174

B	Balancing and the Dormant Commerce Clause	175
i.	Early developments before the two-tier framework	175
ii.	Discriminatory measures under the two-tier framework	178
iii.	Non-discriminatory measures under the two-tier framework	181
iv.	Conclusion	182
V	Evaluation and Conclusion	183
CHAPTER 5	The Law of the European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms	
I	Introduction	187
II	The Broader Context of Judicial Review under the European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms	188
A	The Origins of a Permanent Court of Human Rights	189
i.	Slow beginnings and conceptual disagreements on the ECHR	189
ii.	The coming of age of a European Bill of Rights	190
iii.	An overburdened Court and efforts of reform	191
B	The Debate on the Role of the Court as a Provider of Individual or Constitutional Justice	192
i.	The competing positions	193
ii.	Arguments in favour of individual justice	194
iii.	Arguments in favour of constitutional justice	194
C	Institutional Aspects of Judicial Review under the European Convention on Human Rights	196
i.	The European Court of Human Rights as a representative court	196
ii.	Sanctions under the Convention	198
D	Conclusion	199
III	The Justification of Judicial Review under the European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms	200
A	Conceptualizing the Justification of Judicial Review under the European Convention on Human Rights for the European Court of Human Rights	200
B	The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine	202
i.	The substantive dimension of the margin of appreciation doctrine	203
ii.	The institutional side of the margin of appreciation doctrine	204
iii.	Conclusion	205
C	Judicial Review under the Convention and Positive Obligations	205
i.	The changing doctrinal perception of Convention rights: towards positive obligations	206
ii.	The emergence of positive obligations in the case law	207
iii.	The standard of review and proportionality analysis in the case law on positive obligations	208

CONTENTS

	iv.	Conclusion	210
D		Judicial Review and Convention Rights in the Private Sphere	210
	i.	The justification of review in light of indirect horizontal effect of Convention rights	211
	ii.	The standard of review and proportionality analysis in the case law on conflicts between private parties	213
	iii.	Conclusion	215
E		Conclusion	215
IV		The 'Fair Balance' Test in the Case Law under the European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms	216
A		The Interpretation of the Convention: Between Deontological and Balancing Approaches	216
	i.	Weighing interpretative principles and doctrines	217
	ii.	Deontological approaches to rights and excluded reasons	218
B		The 'Fair Balance' Test	220
	i.	The structure of the rights norms of the Convention	221
	ii.	The individual prongs of the 'fair balance' test	222
		a. Identifying legitimate aims	222
		b. Sceptical voices on the delimitation of rights and public interests	223
		c. Suitability	225
		d. Necessity	226
		e. Proportionality <i>stricto sensu</i>	228
C		Conclusion	230
V		Evaluation and Conclusion	231
CHAPTER 6		European Union Law	
I		Introduction	235
II		The Broader Context of Judicial Review in European Union Law	236
A		The History of Judicial Review in European Union Law	236
	i.	Construing an autonomous legal order – The early days	236
	ii.	The Court's relationship with other courts	237
B		The Court of Justice of the European Union as a Constitutional Court	240
	i.	'Constitutional' elements of the Court and its review function	240
	ii.	The adjudication of fundamental rights	242
C		Institutional Aspects of Judicial Review by the Court of Justice of the European Union	244
D		Conclusion	245
III		The Justification of Judicial Review in European Union Law	246
A		Judicial Review and the Exercise of EU Competences	247

	i.	The system of competences	247
	ii.	The standard of review in the case law on the competences of the European Union	249
	iii.	Conclusion	250
B		Judicial Review of EU Measures and Fundamental Rights in EU Law	251
	i.	Fundamental rights in the EU legal order	251
	ii.	The standard of review in the case law reviewing European Union measures against fundamental rights	253
	iii.	Conclusion	255
C		Judicial Review of Member States' Measures and the Internal Market Freedoms	255
	i.	The appropriate scope of the internal market freedoms and EU citizenship	256
	a.	The example of the free movement of goods	256
	b.	The other internal market freedoms and EU citizenship	260
	ii.	Varying standards of review in the case law	262
	iii.	The procedural democracy doctrine and the scope of the free movement of goods	263
	iv.	Conclusion	265
D		Horizontal Effect of Fundamental Freedoms and Fundamental Rights	265
	i.	Horizontal effect and the internal market freedoms	266
	ii.	Horizontal effect of fundamental rights in EU law	267
	iii.	Conclusion	268
E		Conclusion	268
IV		The Principle of Proportionality in European Union Law	269
A		The Necessity Approach of the Court Towards Review of Member States' Measures Derogating from the Market Freedoms	269
B		The Use of Proportionality <i>Stricto Sensu</i>	271
C		Increasing Complexity under the 'Principle of Proportionality' and the Case of Extraterritorial Measures	273
D		Conclusion	275
V		Evaluation and Conclusion	275
CHAPTER 7		WTO Law	
I		Introduction	279
II		The Broader Context of Judicial Review in WTO Law	279
A		A Historical Overview of the Development of the WTO Dispute Settlement System	280
B		The WTO Institutional System and Constitutional Adjudication	281
C		The Rationale of the WTO Dispute Settlement System	283

CONTENTS

	i.	The text of the DSU and doctrinal discussion on the policy goals of the DSU	283
	ii.	The consequences of a breach of WTO law	284
D		Conclusion	285
III		The Justification of Judicial Review in WTO Law	286
A		Introductory Remarks on The Standard of Review in WTO Dispute Settlement	287
B		A Rights-Based Conception of the GATT as the Justification for Judicial Review	288
	i.	The controversy on a right to trade under the GATT	289
	ii.	Adapting the standard of review based on the values at stake	290
	iii.	Conclusion	291
C		National Treatment under the GATT	291
	i.	The rationale of GATT	292
	ii.	The debate on the national treatment standard in the case law under Article III GATT	294
	iii.	Conclusion	296
D		The TRIPS Agreement and the Problem of Intellectual Property Rights as Human Rights	296
E		Conclusion	298
IV		'Weighing and Balancing' and Similar Necessity-Based Tests in WTO Law	298
A		Article XX GATT and Article XIV GATS	299
	i.	Article XX GATT	300
	ii.	Article XIV GATS	302
	iii.	The 'weighing and balancing' test for measures 'necessary' to achieve a public interest	303
	a.	The restrictive necessity test of the GATT 1947 era	303
	b.	The new 'weighing and balancing' test introduced in <i>Korea - Beef</i>	304
	c.	Subsequent refinement of the 'weighing and balancing' test	306
	d.	Conclusion	309
	iv.	The test for measures 'relating to' a public interest	309
	a.	The development of a lenient test under Article XX (g) GATT	310
	b.	The case of process and production methods	312
	c.	The case of measures with extraterritorial effects	313
	d.	Conclusion	315
	v.	The chapeau of Articles XX GATT and XIV GATS	315
	vi.	Conclusion	317
B		Article 2.2 TBT Agreement	318
	i.	The debate in the doctrine	318
	ii.	The case law	319

	iii.	Conclusion	323
C		Article 5.6 SPS Agreement	324
D		The Exceptions to the TRIPS Agreement	325
	i.	The test under the exceptions to the TRIPS Agreement	325
	ii.	Debate on a less rigid test under the exceptions provisions	327
E		Conclusion	329
V		Evaluation and Conclusion	330
CHAPTER 8		International Investment Law	
I		Introduction	335
II		The Broader Context of Judicial Review in International Investment Law	336
	A	The History of Judicial Review in International Investment Arbitration – Two Conflicting Narratives	336
	i.	The progressive narrative	336
	ii.	A less linear account	340
	B	The Institutional Design of Judicial Review in Investment Arbitration	341
	C	The Political Economy of International Investment Law and Judicial Review	344
	D	Conclusion	348
III		The Justification of Judicial Review in International Investment Law	348
	A	Investment as a Public Interest	349
	B	Investment Law as a Regime Protecting Property Rights	350
	C	Conclusion	352
IV		‘Proportionality’ and Similar Tests in International Investment Law	353
	A	Expropriation	353
	i.	Norms on expropriation and the concepts of direct and indirect expropriation	353
	ii.	Legal tests developed under expropriation provisions	355
		a. The ‘sole effects’ doctrine	355
		b. The ‘police powers’ exception	357
		c. The <i>Tecmed</i> decision and the emergence of proportionality analysis	359
	iii.	Conclusion	361
	B	Fair and Equitable Treatment	361
	i.	General tendencies in the case law	362
	ii.	Legal tests under the fair and equitable treatment standard	363
	iii.	Conclusion	364
	C	National Treatment	364
	i.	Regulatory purpose and national treatment in international investment law	365

CONTENTS

	ii.	Legal tests under the national treatment obligation	366
	iii.	Conclusion	369
D		Non-Precluded Measures Clauses and the Defence of Necessity	369
	i.	The norms allowing the invocation of a state of necessity	370
	ii.	Legal tests under the conditions of Article 25 of the International Law Commission's Draft Articles	371
	iii.	Legal tests in the interpretation of treaty provisions	373
	i.	Conclusion	376
E		General Exceptions Clauses	376
F		Conclusion	378
V		Evaluation and Conclusion	378
CHAPTER 9		Conclusion	
		<i>Bibliography</i>	390
		<i>Case Law and Other Documents Cited</i>	422