

Full-Length Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	xix
FOREWORD.....	xxi
Chief Judge Frank H. Easterbrook	
PREFACE.....	xxvii
INTRODUCTION.....	1
A. THE WHY OF THIS BOOK	
The Flood-Control Case.....	1
The Need for a Sound Approach.....	3
The Prevailing Confusion.....	9
Textualism and Its Challengers.....	15
B. THE HOW OF THIS BOOK	
Some Fundamental Issues.....	29
Permissible Meanings.....	31
The “Fair Reading” Method.....	33
Scope and Organization of What Follows.....	41
The Flood-Control Case Resolved.....	44
SOUND PRINCIPLES OF INTERPRETATION.....	47
Principles Applicable to All Texts.....	49
PREFATORY REMARKS.....	51
FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES	
1. Interpretation Principle. Every application of a text to particular circumstances entails interpretation.	53
2. Supremacy-of-Text Principle. The words of a governing text are of paramount concern, and what they convey, in their context, is what the text means.	56
3. Principle of Interrelating Canons. No canon of interpretation is absolute. Each may be overcome by the strength of differing principles that point in other directions.	59
4. Presumption Against Ineffectiveness. A textually permissible interpretation that furthers rather than obstructs the document’s purpose should be favored.	63



5. **Presumption of Validity.** An interpretation that validates outweighs one that invalidates (*ut res magis valeat quam pereat*). 66

SEMANTIC CANONS

6. **Ordinary-Meaning Canon.** Words are to be understood in their ordinary, everyday meanings—unless the context indicates that they bear a technical sense. 69
7. **Fixed-Meaning Canon.** Words must be given the meaning they had when the text was adopted. 78
8. **Omitted-Case Canon.** Nothing is to be added to what the text states or reasonably implies (*casus omissus pro omisso habendus est*). That is, a matter not covered is to be treated as not covered. 93
9. **General-Terms Canon.** General terms are to be given their general meaning (*generalia verba sunt generaliter intelligenda*). 101
10. **Negative-Implication Canon.** The expression of one thing implies the exclusion of others (*expressio unius est exclusio alterius*). 107
11. **Mandatory/Permissive Canon.** Mandatory words impose a duty; permissive words grant discretion. 112
12. **Conjunctive/Disjunctive Canon.** *And* joins a conjunctive list, *or* a disjunctive list—but with negatives, plurals, and various specific wordings there are nuances. 116
13. **Subordinating/Superordinating Canon.** Subordinating language (signaled by *subject to*) or superordinating language (signaled by *notwithstanding* or *despite*) merely shows which provision prevails in the event of a clash—but does not necessarily denote a clash of provisions. 126
14. **Gender/Number Canon.** In the absence of a contrary indication, the masculine includes the feminine (and vice versa) and the singular includes the plural (and vice versa). . . 129
15. **Presumption of Nonexclusive “Include.”** The verb *to include* introduces examples, not an exhaustive list. 132
16. **Unintelligibility Canon.** An unintelligible text is inoperative. 134

SYNTACTIC CANONS

17. **Grammar Canon.** Words are to be given the meaning that proper grammar and usage would assign them. 140
18. **Last-Antecedent Canon.** A pronoun, relative pronoun, or demonstrative adjective generally refers to the nearest reasonable antecedent. 144
19. **Series-Qualifier Canon.** When there is a straightforward, parallel construction that involves all nouns or verbs in a series, a prepositive or postpositive modifier normally applies to the entire series. 147
20. **Nearest-Reasonable-Referent Canon.** When the syntax involves something other than a parallel series of nouns or verbs, a prepositive or postpositive modifier normally applies only to the nearest reasonable referent. 152
21. **Proviso Canon.** A proviso conditions the principal matter that it qualifies—almost always the matter immediately preceding. 154
22. **Scope-of-Subparts Canon.** Material within an indented subpart relates only to that subpart; material contained in unindented text relates to all the following or preceding indented subparts. 156
23. **Punctuation Canon.** Punctuation is a permissible indicator of meaning. 161

CONTEXTUAL CANONS

24. **Whole-Text Canon.** The text must be construed as a whole. 167
25. **Presumption of Consistent Usage.** A word or phrase is presumed to bear the same meaning throughout a text; a material variation in terms suggests a variation in meaning. 170
26. **Surplusage Canon.** If possible, every word and every provision is to be given effect (*verba cum effectu sunt accipienda*). None should be ignored. None should needlessly be given an interpretation that causes it to duplicate another provision or to have no consequence. 174

27. Harmonious-Reading Canon. The provisions of a text should be interpreted in a way that renders them compatible, not contradictory.	180
28. General/Specific Canon. If there is a conflict between a general provision and a specific provision, the specific provision prevails (<i>generalia specialibus non derogant</i>).	183
29. Irreconcilability Canon. If a text contains truly irreconcilable provisions at the same level of generality, and they have been simultaneously adopted, neither provision should be given effect.	189
30. Predicate-Act Canon. Authorization of an act also authorizes a necessary predicate act.	192
31. Associated-Words Canon. Associated words bear on one another's meaning (<i>noscitur a sociis</i>).	195
32. Ejusdem Generis Canon. Where general words follow an enumeration of two or more things, they apply only to persons or things of the same general kind or class specifically mentioned (<i>ejusdem generis</i>).	199
33. Distributive-Phrasing Canon. Distributive phrasing applies each expression to its appropriate referent (<i>reddendo singula singulis</i>).	214
34. Prefatory-Materials Canon. A preamble, purpose clause, or recital is a permissible indicator of meaning.	217
35. Title-and-Headings Canon. The title and headings are permissible indicators of meaning.	221
36. Interpretive-Direction Canon. Definition sections and interpretation clauses are to be carefully followed.	225
37. Absurdity Doctrine. A provision may be either disregarded or judicially corrected as an error (when the correction is textually simple) if failing to do so would result in a disposition that no reasonable person could approve.....	234
Principles Applicable Specifically to Governmental Prescriptions	241
PREFATORY REMARKS	243

EXPECTED-MEANING CANONS

38. **Constitutional-Doubt Canon.** A statute should be interpreted in a way that avoids placing its constitutionality in doubt. 247
39. **Related-Statutes Canon.** Statutes *in pari materia* are to be interpreted together, as though they were one law. 252
40. **Reenactment Canon.** If the legislature amends or reenacts a provision other than by way of a consolidating statute or restyling project, a significant change in language is presumed to entail a change in meaning. 256
41. **Presumption Against Retroactivity.** A statute presumptively has no retroactive application. 261
42. **Pending-Action Canon.** When statutory law is altered during the pendency of a lawsuit, the courts at every level must apply the new law unless doing so would violate the presumption against retroactivity. 266
43. **Extraterritoriality Canon.** A statute presumptively has no extraterritorial application (*statuta suo clauduntur territorio, nec ultra territorium disponent*). 268
44. **Artificial-Person Canon.** The word *person* includes corporations and other entities, but not the sovereign. 273

GOVERNMENT-STRUCTURING CANONS

45. **Repealability Canon.** The legislature cannot derogate from its own authority or the authority of its successors. 278
46. **Presumption Against Waiver of Sovereign Immunity.** A statute does not waive sovereign immunity—and a federal statute does not eliminate state sovereign immunity—unless that disposition is unequivocally clear. 281
47. **Presumption Against Federal Preemption.** A federal statute is presumed to supplement rather than displace state law. 290

PRIVATE-RIGHT CANONS

48. **Penalty/Illegality Canon.** A statute that penalizes an act makes it unlawful. 295

49. **Rule of Lenity.** Ambiguity in a statute defining a crime or imposing a penalty should be resolved in the defendant's favor. 296
50. **Mens Rea Canon.** A statute creating a criminal offense whose elements are similar to those of a common-law crime will be presumed to require a culpable state of mind (*mens rea*) in its commission. All statutory offenses imposing substantial punishment will be presumed to require at least awareness of committing the act. 303
51. **Presumption Against Implied Right of Action.** A statute's mere prohibition of a certain act does not imply creation of a private right of action for its violation. The creation of such a right must be either express or clearly implied from the text of the statute. 313

STABILIZING CANONS

52. **Presumption Against Change in Common Law.** A statute will be construed to alter the common law only when that disposition is clear. 318
53. **Canon of Imputed Common-Law Meaning.** A statute that uses a common-law term, without defining it, adopts its common-law meaning. 320
54. **Prior-Construction Canon.** If a statute uses words or phrases that have already received authoritative construction by the jurisdiction's court of last resort, or even uniform construction by inferior courts or a responsible administrative agency, they are to be understood according to that construction. 322
55. **Presumption Against Implied Repeal.** Repeals by implication are disfavored—"very much disfavored." But a provision that flatly contradicts an earlier-enacted provision repeals it. 327
56. **Repeal-of-Repealer Canon.** The repeal or expiration of a repealing statute does not reinstate the original statute. 334
57. **Desuetude Canon.** A statute is not repealed by nonuse or desuetude. 336

THIRTEEN FALSITIES EXPOSED	341
58. The false notion that the spirit of a statute should prevail over its letter.	343
59. The false notion that the quest in statutory interpretation is to do justice.	347
60. The false notion that when a situation is not quite covered by a statute, the court should reconstruct what the legislature would have done had it confronted the issue.	349
61. The half-truth that consequences of a decision provide the key to sound interpretation.	352
62. The false notion that words should be strictly construed.	355
63. The false notion that tax exemptions—or any other exemptions for that matter—should be strictly construed. ...	359
64. The false notion that remedial statutes should be liberally construed.	364
65. The false notion that a statute cannot oust courts of jurisdiction unless it does so expressly.	367
66. The false notion that committee reports and floor speeches are worthwhile aids in statutory construction.	369
67. The false notion that the purpose of interpretation is to discover intent.	391
68. The false notion that the plain language of a statute is the “best evidence” of legislative intent.	397
69. The false notion that lawyers and judges, not being historians, are unqualified to do the historical research that originalism requires.	399
70. The false notion that the Living Constitution is an exception to the rule that legal texts must be given the meaning they bore when adopted.	403
AFTERWORD.....	411
APPENDIX A: A Note on the Use of Dictionaries.....	415
APPENDIX B: A Glossary of Legal Interpretation.....	425
TABLE OF CASES	443
BIBLIOGRAPHY: BOOKS	465
BIBLIOGRAPHY: ARTICLES	480
INDEX	507