

Contents

Introduction	xvii
1 Judicial Reforms in SEE Democratising Countries. Towards a Contextualized Framework for the Analysis	1
1.1 Judicial Reforms in Transition: Main Theoretical Approaches and Concerns	1
1.2 The EU Policy Promoting Judicial Reforms in the Enlargement Framework	5
1.2.1 The EU Political Conditionality and Socialization	7
1.2.2 The EU and the 'Potential Candidates': Any Lessons Learned?	10
1.2.3 Monitoring Countries Progress Towards the Accession	13
1.3 Beyond the Domestic Level: The Interaction Between International and National Actors	16
1.3.1 The Enlargement (East) Europeanization.	17
1.3.2 Towards a Contextualized Domestic Influence.	20
1.4 Research Framework and Methodology.	21
References	25
2 Smoother Judicial Reforms in Slovenia and Croatia: Does the Legacy of the Past Matter?	31
2.1 Background Conditions: Justice System During the Socialist Yugoslavia.	31
2.2 Slovenian Pre-accession	34
2.3 Judicial Reform in Slovenia	36
2.4 Croatia and the EU: The Long, But Successful, Pre-accession Path.	41
2.5 Judicial Reforms in Croatia.	44
2.5.1 From Politicization of the Judiciary to the First Reforms (1990–2004)	44
2.5.2 The Real Push Towards Judicial Reform (2004–2013).	48
2.6 Conclusions.	50
References	53

3	The Successful Laggard in Judicial Reform: Romania Before and After the Accession	57
3.1	Romania's Path Towards the EU.	57
3.2	Background Conditions: The Judiciary Before and During the Ceausescu 'Sultanate'.	60
3.3	Judicial Reforms in the Pre-accession Phase (2000–2007).	62
3.4	Non-judicial Reforms After the Accession (2007–2010).	66
3.5	An Enduring Institutional Crisis (2010–2012)	70
3.6	How Can the Failure Be Explained? Relevant Factors and Conditions.	72
3.6.1	Credible Conditionality and Change Agents/Veto Players in the Pre-accession Phase	72
3.6.2	Weak Conditionality and 'Politics Above All' in the Post-accession Phase	74
3.7	Conclusions.	76
	References	77
4	The Long and Disputed Judicial Reform Process in Serbia: Legacies of the Past and Predominant National Actors	81
4.1	Serbia and the EU	82
4.1.1	The Reformist Honeymoon (2000–2003).	82
4.1.2	The Fragile Democracy and the Questioned EU Integration (2004–2008)	83
4.1.3	Tadić and Improvements in the Brussels-Serbia Dialogue (2008–2012)	85
4.1.4	Social Crisis and the Return of Nationalist Parties: A Peculiar Path Towards the EU? (2012–2013).	87
4.2	Background Conditions: The Judiciary Under Milošević.	89
4.3	Judicial Reforms from 2001 to 2011: Political Parties as Dominant Political Actors.	91
4.4	A Shallow European Judicial Reform	97
4.4.1	2000–2008: Legacies, Political Parties and the Fake-Reform	98
4.4.2	2008–2012: Massive Donors' Assistance, Tadić as Change Agent and Some Results.	101
4.5	Conclusions.	101
	References	104
5	EU-Driven Judicial Reforms: Any Lessons Learned?	107
5.1	The Credibility and Determinacy of the EU Conditionality.	109
5.2	National Mediating Factors and Constrains	110
5.2.1	Change Agents Versus Veto Players.	111
5.2.2	Conflict on EU Accession and Requirements.	112
5.2.3	Legacies of the Past and Structural Constraints	113

5.3 Lessons Drawn and Future Challenges: Beyond Conditionality? . . . 116

5.4 Judicial Networks as the Missing Link in Promoting EU-Driven
Judicial Reforms? 119

References 122