

Contents

INTRODUCTION	9
1. SMALL STATES AND THE CONTEMPORARY INTERNATIONAL AND SECURITY ENVIRONMENT	13
1.1 The Small states in the contemporary international security environment: filling the niches	14
1.2 The small state as a generator of ideas and an advocate of international security norms	16
1.3 The small state as an unbiased/neutral diplomatic broker in conflicts.....	18
1.4 Active participation of a small state in peacekeeping missions and other military operations	19
1.5 The small state as a host of foreign military bases	21
1.6 A larger role for small states?	22
2. ASYMMETRIC WARFARE: A NEW, RENEWED OR ARCHAIC CONCEPT?	24
2.1 Discussion and conclusion.....	32
3. THE SMALL STATE IN AN ASYMMETRIC CONFLICT: WHAT LESSONS COULD BE LEARNED FROM HISTORY?	35
3.1 Asymmetry in its development stage: the resistance movement in Slovenia, 1941–1945	35
3.1.1 Circumstances.....	35
3.1.2 Formation of a resistance organisation	37

3.2	Engaging in combat with an asymmetric opponent: occupying authorities against the Slovenian resistance movement, 1941–1945.....	43
3.3	Counterinsurgency during World War II on Yugoslav territory.....	52
3.3.1	Involvement of Slovenian anti-partisan units (Village Guards – MVAC) in counterinsurgency activities, 1942–1943	57
3.3.2	Counterinsurgency warfare in the German occupied area – in the civil administration area (1941–1945) and the operative zone “Adriatisches Küstenland” (1943–1945)	61
3.3.3	Slovenian anti-partisan units – Domobranstvo.....	65
3.4	David vs. Goliath version 2.0: Slovenian armed forces resisting the aggression of the Yugoslav People’s Army in the summer of 1991	69
3.4.1	Circumstances.....	69
3.4.2	Defence organisation in Slovenia in the summer of 1990.....	73
3.4.3	Preparations for a potential engagement after the declaration of independence	76
3.4.4	The armed conflict in June and July 1991	80
3.5	Conclusion	84

4. THE OPPOSITE PERSPECTIVE: THE ROLE OF A SMALL STATE IN A “GLOBAL” CONFLICT: THE CASE OF SLOVENIANS IN AFGHANISTAN.....88

4.1	General characteristics of the conflict in Afghanistan	88
4.1.1	The first part of the conflict – a successful combination of special and conventional operations.....	96
4.1.2	Operation Enduring Freedom and Operation Anaconda.....	97
4.1.3	The attempt to stabilise Afghanistan and intensification of violence.....	102
4.1.4	An analysis of the Afghan conflict and its comparison to Iraq.....	104
4.2	An overview of the role of Slovenia in Afghanistan	111
4.3	Slovenian casualty aversion: public perception of IOMs	114
4.4	Experiences from the “asymmetric field”	123

5. CONCLUSION	137
ENDNOTES	140
BIBLIOGRAPHY	147
NOTES ON CONTRIBUTORS	158
Figure 1: Focus/Cost Effectiveness of Asymmetric Actions.....	31
Figure 2: Yugoslav People's Army attack directions in Slovenia	83
Figure 3: A poll carried out by the newspaper Delo (28. 4. 2014): Did the Slovenes reach reconciliation?.....	87
Figure 4: Anaconda – General Petraeus's Strategy.....	106
Figure 5: SAF in Afghanistan (2004–2014).....	114
Figure 6: Public support to SAFs' activities abroad	116
Figure 7: Country's participation in international operations and missions.....	117
Figure 8: Public support to various types of IOMs.....	121
Figure 9: Areas of IOMs	122
Table 1: Galula's differences between insurgents and counterinsurgents (in Tomes, 2004: 21).....	34
Table 2: In your opinion, should Slovenia withdraw members of the SAF in the event of death casualties?	118
Table 3: Withdrawing members of Slovenian Armed Forces in the event of death casualties	119