

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	5
1 Contradictions in decisions of INTERNATIONAL investment tribunals	10
1.1 "Contradictions <i>stricto sensu</i> "	10
1.2 "Contradictions <i>lato sensu</i> "	10
2 ILLUSTRATIVE CASES	14
2.1 The Argentinian Cases brought under the auspices of ICSID	14
2.2 The Czech Cases brought under the UNCITRAL Rules	22
2.3 The NAFTA Cases brought under the ICSID Additional Facility	28
3 Factors Contributing to the Occurrence of Contradictory Decisions in Investment Arbitration	35
3.1 No rule of precedent	35
3.2 Confidentiality	39
3.3 Occurrence of Parallel Proceedings	44
3.3.1 <i>Limited Applicability of Res Judicata</i>	45
3.3.2 <i>Limited Applicability of Lis Pendens</i>	53
4 Possible Solutions to the issue of CONFLICTING awards in international investment arbitration	58
4.1 Rule of Precedent	58
4.2 Preliminary Rulings	60
4.3 Consolidation	63

4.4 “Consolidation-Like” Proceedings	69
4.5 Annulment proceedings – new scope?	71
4.6 Appellate Mechanism	83
Conclusion	91
Bibliography	96