

# CONTENTS

<b>The interaction between European and national courts as to human rights protection: The editors' introduction</b> .....	1
1. Human rights protection by the European Court of Justice in the shadow of the European Convention on Human Rights .....	3
2. The attitude of the European Court of Human Rights <i>vis-à-vis</i> European Union law .....	6
3. Interaction between the European Court of Justice and the European Court of Human Rights .....	7
4. Impact of the co-existence of European protection mechanisms on the national constitutional courts .....	8
5. Impact of the ECHR on national constitutional courts .....	10
6. Impact of EU fundamental rights on national constitutional courts .....	11
7. Preserving human rights or preserving protection mechanisms? .....	12
<b>I. THE INTERACTION BETWEEN THE EUROPEAN COURT OF JUSTICE AND THE EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS</b> .....	15
<b>Chapter 1. The use of the ECHR and Convention case law by the European Court of Justice</b>	
Bruno DE WITTE .....	17
1. Introduction .....	17
2. The Convention: A source of special guidelines or of binding norms? .....	19
3. Systematic or eclectic use of the Convention? .....	24
4. Consequences of the Lisbon Treaty .....	33
<b>Chapter 2. The EU as a party to the European Convention of Human Rights: EU law and the European Court of Justice case law as inspiration and challenge to the European Court of Human Rights jurisprudence</b>	
Catherine VAN DE HEYNING and Rick LAWSON .....	35
1. Introduction .....	35
2. Inspiration and challenge .....	39
2.1. The Luxembourg case law as inspiration for the European Court of Human Rights jurisprudence – and more .....	39

2.1.1.	The jurisprudence of the EU courts as inspiration for the Strasbourg jurisdiction .....	39
2.1.2.	Taking into account EU law as ‘law of the land’ .....	42
2.1.3.	The ECtHR as a means to enforce compliance with EU law ...	45
2.1.4.	Regard of the Luxembourg case law, not reliance? .....	46
2.2.	European Union law as challenge for the European Court of Human Rights’ jurisprudence .....	49
2.2.1.	The <i>Bosphorus</i> doctrine and its application .....	49
2.2.2.	The doctrine after accession to the ECHR .....	56
3.	Future prospects of the relationship between the Strasbourg and Luxembourg courts .....	61
4.	Concluding remarks .....	64

**Chapter 3. No place like home: Discretionary space for the domestic protection of fundamental rights**

Catherine VAN DE HEYNING .....

1.	Legitimate reasons for constitutional discretionary space: Diversity and subsidiarity .....	67
2.	Maximisation clauses: Application and critique .....	71
2.1.	Article 53 ECHR in the Strasbourg case law .....	71
2.2.	The rebirth of the maximisation clause? .....	73
2.2.1.	The maximisation clause in the EU Charter .....	73
2.2.2.	A new direction for the maximisation clause in the Strasbourg case law .....	74
2.3.	Limits of a maximisation clause .....	78
3.	Alternative approaches providing discretionary space .....	82
3.1.	Margin of appreciation and the domestic legal order .....	83
3.1.1.	Margin of appreciation doctrine .....	83
3.1.2.	A limited discretionary space .....	86
3.2.	A doctrine not without flaws .....	87
3.3.	Restraint towards domestic judgments .....	91
4.	No place like home: Promising new direction? .....	94

**Chapter 4. European human rights, supranational judicial review and democracy. Thinking outside the judicial box**

Samantha BESSON .....

1.	Introduction .....	97
2.	The notion of supranational judicial review .....	102
3.	Supranational judicial review in Europe .....	104
3.1.	Supranational judicial review by the ECtHR .....	105
3.1.1.	The status of ECHR rights in domestic law .....	105

3.1.2.	The jurisdiction of the ECtHR .....	106
3.1.3.	The effects of a ECtHR's judgement in domestic law .....	108
3.2.	Supranational judicial review by the ECJ .....	112
3.2.1.	The status of EU fundamental rights in domestic law .....	112
3.2.2.	The jurisdiction of the ECJ on fundamental rights.....	116
3.2.3.	The effects of an ECJ's judgement in domestic law .....	118
3.3.	European supranational judicial review compared.....	120
4.	The democratic legitimacy of supranational judicial review .....	123
4.1.	The democratic legitimacy of judicial review <i>tout court</i> .....	123
4.2.	The democratic legitimacy of judicial review in the supranational context .....	126
4.2.1.	Reassessing the issue .....	126
4.2.2.	Different questions.....	128
4.2.3.	Different answers .....	133
5.	European legal pluralism and the relationship between European courts.....	138
5.1.	The role of European human rights review in circumstances of legal pluralism.....	138
5.2.	The consequences for the relationships between European courts...	140
6.	Conclusion .....	143

## II. THE IMPACT OF THE COEXISTENCE OF THE EUROPEAN COURTS ON THE NATIONAL CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS .....

147

### **Chapter 5. Belgium. The supremacy dilemma: The Belgian Constitutional Court caught between the European Court of Human Rights and the European Court of Justice**

Patricia POPELIER .....	149	
1.	The protection of fundamental rights by the Belgian courts .....	149
1.1.	First track: Case law of the Court of Cassation (Supreme Court)....	149
1.2.	Second track: The establishment of the Belgian Constitutional Court.....	150
2.	The relationship between national law and international law according to Belgian law.....	151
2.1.	The primacy of international law: A (moderated) monist view.....	151
2.2.	EU law.....	153
3.	The influence of ECHR and EU law on the national protection of human rights .....	154
3.1.	The influence of the ECHR on the national protection of human rights.....	154
3.2.	The influence of EU law on the national protection of human rights.....	156

4. The relation between the national and the European protection of human rights .....	158
4.1. The position of the Constitutional Court in human rights proceedings .....	158
4.1.1. An exhaustive remedy? .....	158
4.1.2. Reference to the Constitutional Court in the case of analogous human rights .....	159
4.2. Consequences of the <i>Simmenthal</i> case .....	161
4.3. Preliminary references to the European Court of Justice .....	164
4.4. The maximisation clause .....	166
5. The protection of human rights in converging legal orders: The <i>Money Laundering Case</i> .....	168
6. Conclusion .....	170

**Chapter 6. East European Countries. On secret legislation, blanket data recording, arrest warrants and property rights: Questions on the rule of law and judicial review in the EU in the light of post-communist constitutions**

Anneli ALBI .....	173
1. The centrality of the rule of law in the post-communist constitutional reforms and the commitment to the ECHR .....	173
2. Past criticisms regarding judicial review and rights protection by the ECJ .....	177
3. Recent questions on the review of EU measures .....	181
3.1. On secret legislation: <i>Heinrich</i> case .....	181
3.2. On blanket electronic surveillance: The EU Electronic Data Retention Directive 2006/24 .....	184
3.3. The European Arrest Warrant: An erosion of <i>nulla poena sine lege</i> ? ..	185
3.4. On property rights and legal certainty: From the ‘Banana saga’ to a ‘Sugar saga’ .....	189
3.4.1. Retroactive fines on undertakings: Overview of direct actions and domestic cases .....	190
3.4.2. Impossibility of removing stocks held by private individuals and households .....	191
4. Supremacy of EU law: A case for rigorous rather than minimal judicial review? .....	195
5. Teleological interpretation and effectiveness of EU law: Questions regarding balancing, limits, fines and double standards .....	199
6. Revisiting the role of constitutions and constitutional courts in EU scholarly discourse: Beyond the lens of sovereignty and euro-friendliness .....	204

<b>Chapter 7. France. The impact of European fundamental rights on the French Constitutional Court</b>	
Laurence BURGORGUE-LARSEN.....	211
1. Introduction. The ‘Europeanization’ of the French Constitution .....	211
2. The Constitutional Council and European Union Law.....	214
2.1. The Constitutional Council and Primacy.....	214
2.2. The Constitutional Council and the Reference for a Preliminary Ruling (Article 267 TFEU) .....	218
3. The Constitutional Council and the Power of the European Convention on Human Rights .....	225
3.1. The Constitutional Council is controlled by the European Court of Human Rights.....	226
3.1.1. <i>Decision</i> control by the Constitutional Council .....	226
3.1.2. <i>Procedure</i> control before the Constitutional Council.....	229
3.2. The Constitutional Council under the influence of the European Court of Human Rights’ case law.....	234
<b>Chapter 8. Germany. The Federal Constitutional Court of Germany in the context of the European integration</b>	
Rainer ARNOLD .....	237
1. The dual function of the Constitutional Court.....	237
1.1. Constitutional Court and the EU: Some basic observations .....	237
1.2. The Constitutional Court and traditional international law .....	238
1.3. The jurisdictional means of control.....	239
1.4. Approximation of the national and inter/supranational orders by interpretation.....	241
2. The basic order of the European Communities and its acceptance by the Federal Constitutional Court .....	241
2.1. The three elements of the supranational order.....	241
2.2. The EU not a State but a ‘Staatenverbund’ – The vagueness of this term.....	242
3. Constitutional limits of the European integration.....	244
3.1. Formal and substantive limits – Article 23 §1 BL .....	244
3.2. Three substantive integration limits developed by the jurisprudence.....	245
3.2.1. Constitutional parallelism .....	245
3.2.2. The <i>ultra vires</i> concept.....	245
3.2.3. Constitutional identity .....	246
3.3. Values and substitutive parallelism: A functional integration approach.....	246

3.3.1.	The dignity of man as a basis of fundamental rights . . . . .	246
3.3.2.	Supranational fundamental rights for the supranational order . . . . .	246
3.3.3.	From unwritten to written fundamental rights in the EU . . .	246
3.3.4.	Joining the ECHR. . . . .	247
3.4.	National or supranational fundamental rights? – The <i>Solange I</i> and <i>II</i> decisions . . . . .	247
3.5.	The functional-substitutive approach of the Constitutional Court . .	249
3.6.	Rule of law . . . . .	249
3.7.	Limits of integration: <i>Ultra vires</i> and constitutional review . . . . .	250
3.7.1.	The principle of <i>compétences d’attribution</i> and <i>ultra vires</i> actions . . . . .	250
3.7.2.	The obligation to address the ECJ . . . . .	250
3.7.3.	The Maastricht decision and the <i>ultra vires</i> concept . . . . .	251
3.7.4.	The journey back to EU law conformity: The <i>Mangold</i> case . .	251
3.8.	Limits of integration: Constitutional identity . . . . .	252
3.8.1.	Constitutional identity through Article 79 §3 BL? . . . . .	252
3.8.2.	National identity in the sense of Article 4 §2 of the new EU Treaty. . . . .	252
3.8.3.	The term of constitutional identity going back to the <i>Solange</i> jurisprudence . . . . .	253
3.8.4.	A functional approach to identity? . . . . .	253
3.8.5.	The involvement of the ECJ. . . . .	254
3.8.6.	Harmonising the national and supranational perspectives on constitutional identity . . . . .	254
4.	The Constitutional Court as a protector of supranational law. . . . .	255
4.1.	The Constitutional Court as a guardian of the ECJ . . . . .	255
4.2.	What is a violation of this guarantee? . . . . .	255
4.3.	The ‘cooperation’ between the Constitutional Court and the ECJ . . .	256
5.	The Constitutional Court and the European Convention of Human Rights (ECHR) . . . . .	257
5.1.	The ECHR as an international treaty transformed into German law . . . . .	257
5.2.	Constitutionalising the ECHR . . . . .	257
5.3.	The monistic effect of rule of law . . . . .	257
5.4.	The <i>Görgülü</i> decision and its deficiencies . . . . .	258

## Chapter 9. Italy. The impact of the European courts on the Italian Constitutional Court

Giuseppe MARTINICO and Oreste POLLICINO .....	261
1. The protection of fundamental rights by the Italian courts .....	261
2. The relation of national law and international law according to Italian law .....	262
2.1. Italian dualism as a starting point of the national Constitutional Court .....	262
2.2. EU Law .....	264
2.2.1. The Italian Constitutional Court before EU law: The counter-limits doctrine .....	265
2.2.2. Preliminary references to the European Court of Justice ....	266
2.2.3. The impact of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights on Italian case law .....	269
3. The European Convention on Human Rights .....	273
3.1. The Simmenthal doctrine for the ECHR? The viewpoint of the national non-constitutional judges .....	275
3.2. The landmark decisions of 2007 .....	276
3.2.1. The new parameter of Article 117, paragraph 1, of the Constitution .....	277
3.2.2. A possible alternative judicial path for the Constitutional Court? .....	279
3.2.3. A look at the follow up to such decisions .....	282
4. The key role of the ordinary judges: From the risk of a 'double standard' to the reaction of the ordinary judges .....	285

## Chapter 10. The Netherlands. A Case of constitutional leapfrog. Fundamental rights protection under the Constitution, the ECHR and the EU Charter in the Netherlands

Monica CLAES and Gert Jan LEENKNEGT .....	287
1. Introduction .....	287
2. Introducing the actors: A brief overview of the Dutch court system .....	289
3. Constitutional review: Not a judicial matter .....	290
4. Dutch law and international law .....	293
5. The Dutch Constitution and the European Union: Don't ask, don't tell ..	295
6. EU law in Dutch courts: Routine, or is it? .....	298
7. The ECtHR: A substitute constitutional court? .....	301
8. Competition from the other Europe? The ECJ and fundamental rights ..	302
9. Caught in the middle? Dutch courts and conflicts between ECtHR and ECJ case law .....	305
10. Talking to the neighbours: On judicial dialogues .....	306

<b>Chapter 11. Spain. The impact of the European Convention of Human Rights and the Charter of Fundamental rights of the European Union on Spanish constitutional law: Make a virtue of necessity</b>	
Enrique GUILLÉN LÓPEZ .....	309
1. Preliminary observations. Law and rights in the formation of a new Europe.....	309
2. The theoretical framework of the impact of the doctrine of the European Court of Human Rights and the European Court of Justice on the fundamental rights expressed in the Spanish constitutional system. The legal instruments for integration.....	313
3. The European Convention on Human Rights and the case law of the European Court of Human Rights, conflict and dialogue .....	319
3.1. The theoretical framework behind the Constitutional Court. Article 10.2 as an interpretative mandate and not as a mechanism for creating new rights (Constitutional Court judgment 236/2007) .	320
3.2. The Constitutional Court is as an instrument for the protection of fundamental rights enshrined in the Spanish Constitution – and not other rights .....	321
3.3. Analysis of the internal constitutional case law cited for various rights under the European Convention on Human Rights. Analysis of the rights that may create a new framework .....	322
3.3.1. Sexual equality (Article 14 of the European Convention on Human Rights) .....	323
3.3.2. Right to a fair trial (Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights) .....	324
3.3.3. Right to respect for private and family life (Article 8.1 European Convention on European Rights) .....	326
3.3.4. Confidential correspondence (Article 8 of the European Convention on European Rights) .....	328
3.3.5. Freedom of expression (Article 10 European Convention on Human Rights) .....	329
3.3.6. Right to free elections (Article 3 of the Additional Protocol) .	330
3.3.7. Protection of property (Article 1 of the Additional Protocol)	330
3.4. Condemnatory judgments made against Spain by the European Court of Human Rights.....	332
4. Fundamental rights within the European Union and the Spanish constitutional system .....	333
5. Conclusions .....	340



**Chapter 12. The United Kingdom. The influence of European law on the protection of fundamental human rights in United Kingdom law**

Brice DICKSON .....	343
1. Introduction .....	343
2. Fundamental human rights protection at the EU level.....	345
3. Pre- and post-2000 approaches to the ECHR .....	350
4. Influences on the UK Parliament .....	352
5. Influences on the relationship between UK judges and the UK Parliament.....	353
6. Influences on the UK's common law per se.....	355
6.1. The right to privacy and refusal to develop the common law .....	356
6.2. Developing the common law beyond the ECHR.....	359
6.3. Hesitation and doubts .....	361
7. Conclusion .....	363

**Chapter 13. Protection of European human rights by highest courts in Europe: The art of triangulation**

Wim VOERMANS .....	365
1. At the heart of the matter: Observing European human rights requires constitutional balancing.....	365
2. The European compound human rights system: Sharing rights and courts.....	367
3. Constitutional calibration of European human rights review.....	370
3.1. The search for coherence .....	370
3.2. The dilemma of autonomy.....	373
4. Coordination and conflict resolution strategies.....	375
5. In conclusion: Adding to Europe's common human rights gene pool .....	378
<i>About the authors and editors .....</i>	<i>379</i>