

Table of Contents

Table of Authorities

xiii

Introduction	1
I. A Brief Introduction to Democratic Dialogue: Panacea or Placebo?	2
II. Prisoner Voting: A Franchise too Far?	11
III. Defining Dialogue—A Distinct Constitutional Model?	16
IV. Inevitable Collapse?	22
A. Unstable democratic dialogue	23
B. Unstable legal and political constitutionalism	27
V. Outline of the Argument	30
1. The Problem with Control	37
I. Parliament or the Courts?	41
II. No Middle Ground?	44
A. Practical impossibility	44
B. Normative impossibility	48
III. Overlap	51
A. Core cases for and against strong judicial protections of human rights	52
B. Legal constitutionalism, political constitutionalism, and control of the executive	56
IV. Conclusion	66
2. Democratic Dialogue and the Dynamic Approach	69
I. Democratic Dialogue is Dynamic	70
II. Dynamic Legal Constitutionalism	74
III. Dynamic Political Constitutionalism	80
IV. Conclusion	82
3. Re-defining Democratic Dialogue	83
I. Constitutional Foundational Assumptions	85
II. Does Democratic Dialogue Rest on a Different Constitutional Foundational Assumption?	90
A. Re-evaluating the difference between legal and political constitutionalism	92
B. Democratic dialogue: occupying the middle ground?	94
III. Re-visiting Inter-institutional Interactions	100
A. Dynamic legal and political constitutionalism re-visited	101
B. Dialogue and the culture of justification: a stable middle ground?	104
C. Is democratic dialogue defunct?	110
IV. Conclusion	115

4. Inter-institutional Interactions	117
I. Mechanisms of Inter-institutional Interaction	118
A. Institutional interactions under the commonwealth model of rights protections	119
B. Refining our understanding of inter-institutional interactions	124
II. Inter-institutional Interactions outside the Commonwealth Model	127
A. Courts	127
B. Law-makers	136
III. Evaluation of Inter-institutional Interactions	139
IV. Conclusion	144
5. From Inter-institutional Interactions to Democratic Dialogue	147
I. The Value of Inter-institutional Interactions	148
A. A better protection of human rights	148
B. Deliberation and engaging citizens	152
C. Checks and balances and the safety valve function	156
II. A Normative Framework for Democratic Dialogue	158
A. Constitutional collaboration or constitutional counter-balancing?	158
B. Mechanisms	160
C. Exercise	161
D. Weaknesses	168
III. Conclusion	171
6. Democratic Dialogue and the UK Constitution	173
I. General Assumptions	175
II. Specific Assumptions	178
A. Constitutional balance	179
B. Distinct and complementary roles	201
III. Conclusion	208
7. Democratic Dialogue and UK Human Rights Law	211
I. Human Rights Protections in the United Kingdom	211
A. Plethora of protection	212
B. A range of inter-institutional interactions	220
II. Inter-institutional Interactions between the Legislature and the Judiciary	221
A. Democratic dialogue and the Human Rights Act 1998	222
B. Illustration	235
C. Dialogue and the common law	245
III. Conclusion	253
8. Dialogue between Courts	255
I. Institutional and Constitutional Differences	257
II. Dialogue between the UK Courts and the European Court of Human Rights	260
A. Constitutional collaboration	262
B. Constitutional counter-balancing	268

III. Dialogue between the UK Courts and the Court of Justice of the European Union	274
A. Democratic dialogue and the EU	276
B. Constitutional collaboration	283
C. Constitutional counter-balancing	288
IV. Conclusion	292

Conclusion	295
I. The British Bill of Rights	296
II. Brexit	301
III. Conclusion	305

<i>Index</i>	307
--------------	-----