

# Contents

<i>Acknowledgments</i> .....	v
<i>Table of Cases</i> .....	xiii
1. Introduction: Highest Courts in Flux .....	1
I. The Trend of Judicial Internationalisation .....	2
II. Why Do Judges Cite Foreign Law? .....	3
III. Learning from the Views of Judges .....	6
IV. Scope of the Research .....	8
V. Outline of the Book .....	10
2. Understanding the Development of Highest Courts' Practices:	
A Constitutional-Theoretical Approach .....	14
I. Constitutional Theory and Legal Evolution .....	16
A. Understanding Legal Evolution through	
Constitutional Theory .....	16
B. Concept of 'Constitutional (In-)Flexibility' .....	18
II. Procedural Aspects of Legal Evolution .....	20
A. Detail of Constitutional Norms .....	20
B. Modalities for Revision of the Constitution .....	21
C. Approach of the Interpreter of the Constitution .....	24
D. Impact of International Law in the Domestic	
Legal System .....	26
III. Substantive Aspects of Legal Evolution .....	28
A. Democratic Justification of Judicial Decisions .....	28
(i) Authority of the Consulted Sources .....	29
(ii) Nature of the Judicial Competence .....	31
B. Legal Tradition .....	32
C. Nature of Cases .....	34
D. Effectiveness and Efficiency of Judicial	
Decision-Making .....	34
IV. Conclusion .....	35
3. Introducing the Comparative and Empirical Analysis .....	36
I. Anglo-Saxon Model: A Single Highest Court	
(United Kingdom, Canada, United States) .....	36
A. Competences of Review .....	37
(i) UK Supreme Court .....	37
(ii) Supreme Court of Canada .....	37
(iii) US Supreme Court .....	38

B.	Composition: Judges and Staff.....	39
(i)	UK Supreme Court.....	39
(ii)	Supreme Court of Canada.....	40
(iii)	US Supreme Court.....	41
C.	Caseload.....	42
(i)	UK Supreme Court.....	42
(ii)	Supreme Court of Canada.....	43
(iii)	US Supreme Court.....	44
II.	French Model: Multiple Highest Courts (France, the Netherlands).....	45
A.	Competences of Review.....	46
(i)	Courts of Cassation.....	46
(ii)	Supreme Administrative Courts.....	47
(iii)	Conseil Constitutionnel.....	49
B.	Composition: Judges and Staff.....	51
(i)	Courts of Cassation.....	51
(ii)	Supreme Administrative Courts.....	52
(iii)	Conseil Constitutionnel.....	54
C.	Caseload.....	55
(i)	Courts of Cassation.....	55
(ii)	Supreme Administrative Courts.....	57
(iii)	Conseil Constitutionnel.....	58
III.	Comparing the Courts.....	59
A.	Competences of Review.....	59
B.	Composition.....	61
C.	Caseload.....	61
IV.	An Empirical Analysis.....	62
A.	Interviewed Judges.....	62
B.	The Interviews.....	64
C.	Other Sources: Case Law, Speeches and Articles.....	64
C.	Other Sources: Case Law, Speeches and Articles.....	66
V.	Conclusion.....	67
4.	Incorporating the Transnational: Judicial Roles, Relations and Working Methods in a Globalised World.....	68
I.	Judicial Roles in a Globalised World.....	69
A.	Guardian of the Law.....	69
(i)	Ensuring the Uniform Application of the Law.....	69
(ii)	Ensuring the Protection of Fundamental Rights.....	76
B.	A Developer of the Law.....	78
(i)	Judicial Authority and Autonomy in a Globalised World.....	78

(ii)	Horizontal Dialogue: Leadership amongst One's Peers .....	80
(iii)	Vertical Dialogue: Leadership vis-à-vis the European Courts .....	80
C.	Conclusion .....	82
II.	International Relations of the Highest Courts .....	83
A.	Judicial Networks and Exchanges .....	83
(i)	UK Supreme Court .....	84
(ii)	Supreme Court of Canada .....	85
(iii)	US Supreme Court .....	88
(iv)	French Highest Courts .....	90
(v)	Dutch Highest Courts .....	95
B.	Individual Views Concerning Globalisation .....	98
(i)	Involvement in Debate About Globalisation .....	99
(ii)	Influence of 'Globalist' and 'Localist' Mind-Sets of Judges .....	102
(iii)	Judicial Politics .....	106
(iv)	Personal Background .....	107
C.	National Judges in International Courts .....	109
D.	Conclusion .....	112
III.	Working Methods in a Globalised World .....	113
A.	Internal Research of International and Comparative Law .....	114
(i)	UK Supreme Court .....	114
(ii)	Supreme Court of Canada .....	115
(iii)	US Supreme Court .....	116
(iv)	French Highest Courts .....	116
(v)	Dutch Highest Courts .....	117
B.	Role of Counsel, <i>Amici Curiae</i> and Intervenors .....	120
(i)	UK Supreme Court .....	120
(ii)	Supreme Court of Canada .....	121
(iii)	US Supreme Court .....	122
(iv)	French Highest Courts .....	123
(v)	Dutch Highest Courts .....	123
C.	Deliberations .....	124
(i)	UK Supreme Court .....	124
(ii)	Supreme Court of Canada .....	125
(iii)	US Supreme Court .....	126
(iv)	French Highest Courts .....	127
(v)	Dutch Highest Courts .....	128
D.	Published Judgments .....	129
(i)	UK Supreme Court .....	129
(ii)	Supreme Court of Canada .....	130
(iii)	US Supreme Court .....	131

(iv) French Highest Courts .....	132
(v) Dutch Highest Courts .....	135
E. Conclusion .....	136
IV. Conclusion .....	137
5. The Use of Foreign Law in Judicial Decision-Making .....	139
I. Status of Foreign Law .....	140
A. Impact of International Law .....	141
(i) UK Supreme Court .....	141
(ii) Supreme Court of Canada .....	144
(iii) US Supreme Court .....	145
(iv) French Highest Courts .....	146
(v) Dutch Highest Courts.....	148
B. Role of Comparative Law .....	150
(i) UK Supreme Court .....	150
(ii) Supreme Court of Canada .....	151
(iii) US Supreme Court .....	154
(iv) French Highest Courts.....	158
(v) Dutch Highest Courts.....	160
C. Conclusion .....	161
II. Use of Foreign Law: Examples from Case Law.....	163
A. Use of International Law .....	163
(i) UK Supreme Court .....	163
(ii) Supreme Court of Canada .....	166
(iii) US Supreme Court.....	169
(iv) French Highest Courts.....	171
(v) Dutch Highest Courts.....	173
B. Use of Comparative Law .....	178
(i) UK Supreme Court .....	178
(ii) Supreme Court of Canada .....	181
(iii) US Supreme Court.....	187
(iv) French Highest Courts.....	190
(v) Dutch Highest Courts .....	194
C. Conclusion .....	197
III. Justification of Developed Practices .....	198
A. Judicial Argumentation and the Style of Judgments .....	198
(i) Citation of Foreign Legal Materials.....	199
(ii) Why Judges Cite Foreign Law .....	200
(iii) Methodological Challenges.....	203
B. Selection of Comparative Legal Materials:	
Tradition, Language and Prestige .....	206
(i) UK Supreme Court .....	206
(ii) Supreme Court of Canada .....	206
(iii) US Supreme Court.....	209

(iv) French Highest Courts.....	211
(v) Dutch Highest Courts .....	212
C. Conclusion.....	213
IV. Conclusion.....	213
6. Conclusion: Assessing the Development of Highest Courts’ Practices .....	217
I. Constitutional (In-)Flexibility in Action: Procedural and Substantive Explanations for the Development of Highest Courts’ Practices.....	217
A. Procedural Explanations .....	218
(i) Accommodating Change Through Constitutional Norms .....	218
(ii) Accommodating Change Through Constitutional Interpretation .....	223
B. Substantive Explanations .....	227
(i) Democratic Justification of Judicial Decisions.....	227
(ii) Legal Tradition and the Nature of Cases: Contextual Factors for the Use of Foreign Law .....	230
(iii) Effectiveness and Efficiency of Judicial Decision-Making .....	232
C. Conclusion.....	233
II. Epilogue: The Future of Judicial Internationalisation.....	234
A. Judges’ View of the Future.....	234
B. Possible Scenarios for the Future.....	237
<i>Annex: Interview Design</i> .....	240
<i>Bibliography</i> .....	243
<i>Index</i> .....	257