

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
	References	6
<b>Part I Applicability of International Human Rights Law to the International Criminal Tribunals</b>		
<b>2</b>	<b>Human Rights Obligations of the ICTs under International Law</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	International Legal Personality of the ICTs	10
2.3	Are International Organizations Bound by International Law?	12
2.3.1	Transfer Thesis	13
2.3.2	Subject Thesis	20
2.4	The Meaning of ‘Being Bound’ by General IHRL	26
2.4.1	Indeterminacy of ‘General International Law’	26
2.4.2	Inherent Flexibility of Human Rights Standards	31
2.4.3	Permissibility of Lex Specialis	38
2.5	Conclusion	41
	References	42
<b>3</b>	<b>Human Rights Obligations of the ICTs Based on their Internal Law and Practice</b>	<b>47</b>
3.1	Introduction	47
3.2	The <i>ad hoc</i> Tribunals	48
3.2.1	Internal Law and Practice	48
3.2.2	Case Law	59
3.3	The ICC	64
3.3.1	Article 21(1): Applicable Law	64
3.3.2	Article 21(3): Consistency with Internationally Recognized Human Rights	73
3.4	Methodological Complications: Defining the Scope of ‘Internationally Recognized Human Rights’	91

3.5 . Contextualization: Adapting Human Rights to the ICTs' Context . . . . .	97
3.6 Conclusion . . . . .	104
References . . . . .	105
<b>Part II The Interpretation and Application of Human Rights Norms by the International Criminal Tribunals</b>	
<b>4 The Right to Privacy and Investigative Measures . . . . .</b>	113
4.1 Introduction . . . . .	113
4.2 IHRL Framework . . . . .	115
4.2.1 The Right to Privacy in the Context of Domestic Criminal Investigations . . . . .	116
4.2.2 The Right to Privacy in the Context of Inter-State Cooperation in Criminal Matters . . . . .	126
4.3 Privacy and Direct Coercive Action by the ICTs . . . . .	139
4.3.1 The ad hoc Tribunals . . . . .	139
4.3.2 The International Criminal Court . . . . .	146
4.4 Privacy and Coercive Measures Executed in Cooperation with States . . . . .	149
4.4.1 The ad hoc Tribunals . . . . .	151
4.4.2 The International Criminal Court . . . . .	166
4.5 Comparison and the ICTs' Use of IHRL . . . . .	179
4.5.1 Comparing the ICTs' Approach to the Right to Privacy to IHRL . . . . .	179
4.5.2 The ICTs' Use of IHRL on the Right to Privacy . . . . .	182
4.6 Conclusion . . . . .	184
References . . . . .	186
<b>5 The Right to Liberty and Provisional Release . . . . .</b>	189
5.1 Introduction . . . . .	189
5.2 IHRL Framework . . . . .	190
5.2.1 General Requirements . . . . .	191
5.2.2 Reasonable Suspicion . . . . .	196
5.2.3 Relevant and Sufficient Reasons for Detention . . . . .	196
5.2.4 Conclusion . . . . .	201
5.3 Provisional Release Before the ad hoc Tribunals . . . . .	201
5.3.1 The Original Requirement of 'Exceptional Circumstances' Justifying Release . . . . .	204
5.3.2 Requirements for Release . . . . .	210
5.3.3 Burden and Standard of Proof . . . . .	237
5.3.4 Comparison and the ad hoc Tribunals' Use of IHRL . . . . .	241
5.4 Interim Release Before the ICC . . . . .	249
5.4.1 Persistence of a Reasonable Suspicion . . . . .	255
5.4.2 Reasons Necessitating Arrest and Detention . . . . .	255
5.4.3 Conditional Release . . . . .	271
5.4.4 Review of the Length of Detention: Article 60(4) . . . . .	273

5.4.5 Burden and Standard of Proof . . . . .	276
5.4.6 Comparison and the ICC's Use of IHRL . . . . .	278
5.5 Conclusion . . . . .	284
References . . . . .	286
<b>6 The Right to Be Tried Without Undue Delay . . . . .</b>	<b>289</b>
6.1 Introduction . . . . .	289
6.2 IHRL Framework . . . . .	290
6.2.1 Scope of the Right to Be Tried Without Undue Delay . . . . .	292
6.2.2 Relevant Parameters to Determine a Violation . . . . .	293
6.2.3 Interim Conclusion . . . . .	301
6.3 Trial Without Undue Delay Before the ad hoc Tribunals . . . . .	302
6.3.1 Scope of the Right to Be Tried Without Undue Delay . . . . .	303
6.3.2 Relevant Parameters to Determine a Violation . . . . .	305
6.3.3 Comparison and the Tribunals' Use of IHRL . . . . .	332
6.4 Trial Without Undue Delay Before the ICC . . . . .	338
6.4.1 The Right to Be Tried Without Undue Delay in the Case Law of the ICC . . . . .	339
6.4.2 Analysis: Comparison and the ICC's Use of IHRL . . . . .	345
6.5 Conclusion . . . . .	348
References . . . . .	350

### Part III Synthesis, Conclusion & Recommendations

<b>7 Adherence and Contextualization: Towards a Methodological Framework for the Interpretation and Application of Human Rights Norms . . . . .</b>	<b>355</b>
7.1 Introduction . . . . .	355
7.2 Adherence to IHRL . . . . .	356
7.2.1 Legislative Influence . . . . .	356
7.2.2 Consistent Interpretation . . . . .	358
7.3 Contextualization . . . . .	361
7.3.1 The Specific Context of International Criminal Justice . . . . .	362
7.3.2 Modalities of Contextualization . . . . .	371
7.4 Proper Contextualization of Human Rights Norms: A Methodology . . . . .	380
7.4.1 Determining the Applicable Human Rights Norm . . . . .	380
7.4.2 Determining the Nature, Scope, and Content of the Applicable Right . . . . .	384
7.4.3 Analyzing the Context in Which the Right Must Be Applied . . . . .	386
7.4.4 Interpreting and Applying the Right in the ICT Context . . . . .	387
7.4.5 The Methodological Framework in Practice . . . . .	388
7.5 Conclusion . . . . .	392
References . . . . .	394

<b>8 Summary and Conclusion .....</b>	397
8.1 Part I: Applicability of IHRL to the ICTs.....	398
8.2 Part II: Interpretation and Application of Human Rights by the ICTs.....	400
8.3 Part III: Towards a Methodological Framework.....	402
References.....	406
<b>Annexes .....</b>	407
<b>Bibliography .....</b>	417
<b>Index.....</b>	433