

# Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xi
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xii
<b>1 Introduction: human rights theory and the challenge of the ECHR</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 <i>The need for determinacy</i>	2
1.1.1 Griffin and the conception of personhood	4
1.1.2 The moral dimension of human rights	5
1.2 <i>The need for practice-responsiveness</i>	7
1.2.1 Beitz and the rejection of moral reasoning	9
1.2.2 The political dimension of human rights	10
1.3 <i>The main argument</i>	11
1.3.1 Claim one	12
1.3.2 Claim two	14
1.3.3 Claim three	15
1.3.4 Claim four	17
1.4 <i>The neglect of the ECHR in human rights theory</i>	19
1.5 <i>The ECHR: ethical and political?</i>	22
1.6 <i>The justificatory deficit of human rights qua law</i>	23
1.7 <i>The plan of the book</i>	25
<b>2 Ethical theories of human rights and their practice-independence</b>	<b>28</b>
2.1 <i>Griffin's moral conception</i>	29
2.1.1 Griffin's diagnosis of indeterminacy	30
2.1.2 Griffin's practice-independent account	31
2.1.3 Practicalities	34
2.1.4 Equality	34
2.1.5 Personhood and normative ethics	35

2.2	<i>Griffin's normative scope</i>	36
2.2.1	Personhood and international law	38
2.2.2	The external critique	40
2.2.3	The internal critique	41
2.2.4	The rights-based critique	42
2.3	<i>Forst's variant</i>	44
<b>3</b>	<b>Political theories and their practice-dependence</b>	<b>47</b>
3.1	<i>The global perspective</i>	48
3.2	<i>The emerging global practice of human rights</i>	52
3.3	<i>Beitz's analytical account</i>	54
3.3.1	The limits of the global standpoint	54
3.3.2	Beitz's practical inferences	55
3.4	<i>Beitz's normative model</i>	57
3.4.1	The Rawlsian roots of practice-dependence	58
3.4.2	The Rawlsian basis in Beitz and Raz	59
3.5	<i>The practice-based distinction</i>	62
<b>4</b>	<b>Theorizing human rights: a constructivist proposal</b>	<b>64</b>
4.1	<i>Constructivism qua justification</i>	66
4.1.1	The third way of constructivism	66
4.1.2	Constructivism in Rawls	67
4.2	<i>Constructivism qua method of justification</i>	70
4.3	<i>Theorizing human rights: a constructivist proposal</i>	72
<b>5</b>	<b>The ECHR in historical perspective</b>	<b>76</b>
5.1	<i>The "alarm bell" against totalitarianism</i>	77
5.2	<i>The Convention proposal at the legislative and intergovernmental levels</i>	79
5.3	<i>The embryonic practice of the ECtHR</i>	83
5.4	<i>The 1970s: the slow establishment of a supranational judicial authority</i>	85
5.5	<i>The explosion of human rights and the need for structural reform</i>	88
<b>6</b>	<b>The normativity of ECHR law</b>	<b>92</b>
6.1	<i>Normativity and authority</i>	94
6.2	<i>Human rights norms qua international legal norms</i>	96
6.2.1	The normative legitimacy of international law	98
6.2.2	The normative legitimacy of international human rights	100

6.3	<i>The ECHR qua international human rights treaty</i>	102
6.3.1	Monism and dualism	103
6.3.2	Effect, validity and rank	104
6.3.3	The constitutionalist argument	108
6.4	<i>The ECtHR qua international judicial organ</i>	111
6.4.1	The execution of judgments	113
6.4.2	The binding force of judgments	117
6.4.3	Decisional and jurisprudential authority	117
<b>7</b>	<b>Interpretation at the ECtHR: setting the stage</b>	<b>120</b>
7.1	<i>The semantic exercise</i>	121
7.2	<i>From the VCLT principles to the quest for moral truths</i>	124
7.2.1	The rejection of intentionalism in <i>Golder</i>	125
7.2.2	The rejection of textualism in <i>Engel</i>	128
7.2.3	The ambivalent role of consensualism	130
7.3	<i>The “teleological” doctrine: a quest for moral truths?</i>	133
<b>8</b>	<b>Balancing and justification at the ECtHR: the pivotal concept of “democratic necessity”</b>	<b>139</b>
8.1	<i>The balancing test and the margin of appreciation doctrine</i>	140
8.1.1	The margin of appreciation doctrine	143
8.1.2	The <i>ad hoc</i> balancing	148
8.1.3	The pivotal concept of “democratic society”	151
8.1.4	The practical reason of the ECtHR	153
8.2	<i>“Democratic society” and the internal concept of sovereignty: Article 10 (expression)</i>	154
8.2.1	Why pluralism?	156
8.2.2	The normative basis	158
8.2.3	The prominent rights-holders of Article 10	159
8.2.4	The limits of Article 10	167
8.3	<i>Article 11 (assembly and association)</i>	174
8.3.1	The normative basis restated	175
8.3.2	The prominent rights-holders of Article 11	177
8.3.3	The limits of Article 11	178
8.4	<i>Article 3 Protocol 1 (free elections)</i>	180
8.4.1	The specification of “legislative body”	181
8.4.2	The interest protected	183
8.4.3	The limits of Article 3 Protocol 1	186
8.5	<i>“Democratic society” and the external concept of sovereignty: Article 9 (freedom of thought and religion)</i>	189
8.5.1	The interest protected	192
8.5.2	The limits of Article 9	194

x *Contents*

8.6 *Article 8 (Privacy)* 199

8.6.1 The interest protected 199

8.6.2 The limits of Article 8 202

**9 Conclusion: constructing the normative foundations of  
the ECHR** 207

9.1 *Three steps towards reconciliation* 209

9.2 *Step one: the resources of the political conception* 211

9.2.1 The limits of Beitz's global standpoint 212

9.2.2 The relevance of Beitz's three-pronged model 213

9.3 *Step two: the resources of the moral conception* 215

9.3.1 Equality in deliberation 218

9.3.2 The scope of disagreement 219

9.3.3 The normative claim in the face of disagreement 219

9.3.4 Equality in representation 229

9.4 *Step three: the reconciliation thesis* 236

*Bibliography*

241

*Index*

251