

CONTENTS

<i>About the Author</i>	v
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xvii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xix
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1.1. Background to this Study	1
1.1.1. Two Supranational Courts in Europe Deciding on Fundamental Rights Cases	1
1.1.2. The Concept of Positive Obligations	4
1.1.3. The Debate about Positive Obligations within the Context of EU Law	5
1.1.4. The Main Research Question of this Study	8
1.2. Aims and Objectives	8
1.3. Methods and Approach	9
1.3.1. Defining the Concept of Positive Obligations and its Implications	10
1.3.2. Identifying the Scope and Limits for the Development of Positive Obligations under EU Law	10
1.3.3. Studying Specific Case-law Examples	11
1.4. Working Definition of Positive Obligations within the Context of EU Law	12
1.5. Outline of this Study	15
1.5.1. Part I: The Concept of Positive Obligations within the Context of the European Convention on Human Rights	15
1.5.2. Part II: The Scope for a Development of Positive Obligations within the Context of EU Law – An Analysis of the Specific Parameters of the EU Legal Order and the EU System of Fundamental Rights Protection	16
1.5.3. Part III: Synthesis	16

PART I: THE CONCEPT OF POSITIVE OBLIGATIONS WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE EUROPEAN CONVENTION ON HUMAN RIGHTS

Chapter 2. The System of Fundamental Rights Protection Under the European Convention on Human Rights	19
2.1. Introduction	19
2.2. Background and Purpose of the European Convention on Human Rights	20
2.3. Enforcement Mechanisms	21
2.4. Subsidiary System of Protection of Fundamental Rights	22
2.5. The Role of the ECtHR	24
2.6. Tools of Interpretation of the European Court of Human Rights	26
2.6.1. The Principle of Effectiveness	27
2.6.2. The Principle of Dynamic or Evolutive Interpretation	29
2.6.3. The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine	30
2.7. The Effects of the European Convention on Human Rights in the National Legal Order	31
2.8. Horizontal Effect of Convention Provisions	34
2.9. Conclusions	35
Chapter 3. The Development of Positive Obligations Under the European Convention on Human Rights	37
3.1. Introduction	37
3.2. The Development of Positive Obligations by the ECtHR	38
3.2.1. The Belgian Linguistic Case: Introducing Positive Obligations	38
3.2.2. Defining the Concept of Positive Obligations	41
3.2.3. The Distinction between Negative and Positive Obligations	42
3.2.3.1. Traditional versus Implied Obligations	42
3.2.3.2. State Action versus State Omission	44
3.2.3.3. Conjunctive versus Alternative Structure	46
3.2.4. Rationales for Recognising Positive Obligations	46
3.2.5. Explicit Legal Bases	50
3.2.6. Methodology for Determining the Existence of Positive Obligations	52
3.3. Typologies of Positive Obligations	54
3.3.1. Typology Developed by the ECtHR	55
3.3.2. Typologies Developed in Academic Literature	57
3.4. Implications of Positive Obligations for the Protection of Convention Rights	60
3.4.1. The Position of States Parties	60
3.4.2. The Position of Individuals	62

3.5.	Limitations on the Scope of Positive Obligations.....	63
3.5.1.	Knowledge of Fundamental Rights Violations.....	65
3.5.2.	Direct and Immediate Link/Minimum Level of Severity.....	67
3.5.3.	No Impossible or Disproportionate Burdens.....	68
3.6.	Conclusions.....	69

Chapter 4. A Critical Appraisal of the Development of Positive Obligations Under the European Convention on Human Rights..... 71

4.1.	Introduction.....	71
4.2.	Are Positive Obligations <i>Inherent</i> in Fundamental Rights Protection?.....	72
4.2.1.	Criticism Based on Originalism.....	72
4.2.2.	Contemporary Debates on the Nature of Fundamental Rights.....	73
4.2.3.	The Concern over Human Rights Inflation.....	76
4.3.	Horizontal Positive Obligations.....	77
4.3.1.	The Limited Personal Scope of Fundamental Rights.....	77
4.3.2.	The Determination of State Responsibility for Private Acts.....	79
4.4.	The Political Character of Positive Obligations.....	80
4.4.1.	Lack of Democratic Legitimacy.....	81
4.4.2.	Empowerment of Individuals.....	83
4.5.	The Supranational Position of the ECtHR.....	84
4.6.	Legal Uncertainty Regarding the Scope of Positive Obligations.....	86
4.7.	Ambiguous Methods of the ECtHR for Determining the Existence of Positive Obligations.....	88
4.7.1.	Critical Evaluation of the Fair Balance Test.....	88
4.7.1.1.	Lack of Clarity, Structure and Fairness.....	88
4.7.1.2.	The Incorrect Use of the Margin of Appreciation Doctrine.....	90
4.7.1.3.	Confused Approaches to Positive and Negative Obligations.....	91
4.7.2.	Proposals to Improve the Structure of the Court's Approach.....	94
4.8.	Conclusions.....	96

Chapter 5. Part I – Conclusions..... 99

5.1.	The Main Features Relating to the Development of Positive Obligations by the ECtHR.....	99
5.2.	The Definition of Positive Obligations and the Distinction between Positive and Negative Obligations.....	99

5.3.	Typologies of Positive Obligations	101
5.4.	Rationale and Effects of Recognising Positive Obligations.....	102
5.5.	Critical Evaluation of the Development of Positive Obligations	104
5.6.	Concluding Remarks Looking Forward to the Analysis in Part II	106
PART II: THE SCOPE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF POSITIVE OBLIGATIONS WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF EU LAW – AN ANALYSIS OF THE SPECIFIC PARAMETERS OF THE EU LEGAL ORDER AND THE EU SYSTEM OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS PROTECTION		
Chapter 6. Main Principles of the EU System of Fundamental Rights Protection ...		109
6.1.	Introduction	109
6.2.	Origins and Historical Development of EU Fundamental Rights Protection	110
6.3.	Rationales of Fundamental Rights Protection within the EU Legal Order.....	113
6.4.	Institutional Developments within the Field of Fundamental Rights Protection	115
6.5.	The Charter	117
6.6.	The General Principles of EU Law	119
6.7.	The Scope of Application of EU Fundamental Rights.....	120
6.7.1.	The Vertical Scope of Application of EU Fundamental Rights	120
6.7.2.	The Horizontal Scope of Application of the Charter	122
6.8.	The Scope for the Protection of National Fundamental Rights under EU law and the Principle of Mutual Recognition.....	124
6.9.	The Relationship between the EU and the European Convention on Human Rights	127
6.9.1.	The Status of the European Convention on Human Rights and Judgments of the ECtHR under EU Law	127
6.9.2.	Overlapping Jurisdiction between the ECtHR and the ECJ	130
6.10.	The Distinction between Rights and Principles under the Charter	132
6.11.	Conclusions	135
Chapter 7. The Institutional Characteristics and Judicial Culture of the ECJ.....		137
7.1.	Introduction	137
7.2.	Doing too Little or Rather too Much?	138
7.2.1.	The <i>Bostock</i> Case	138
7.2.2.	The <i>Chatzi</i> Case.....	139

7.3.	The Role and Judicial Style of the ECJ in General	141
7.4.	The Specific Characteristics of the Procedures before the ECJ	145
7.4.1.	The Preliminary Reference Procedure	146
7.4.1.1.	The Specific Function of the Preliminary Reference Procedure	146
7.4.1.2.	The Dialogue with the National Courts	147
7.4.2.	Direct Actions	149
7.5.	The Institutional Position of the ECJ	150
7.5.1.	Separation of Powers and the Supranational Position of the ECJ	150
7.5.2.	The Role of the ECJ towards the EU Institutions	151
7.5.3.	The Role of the ECJ towards the Member States	156
7.6.	The EU and the ECJ's Role in the Field of Fundamental Rights.	160
7.6.1.	The EU as a Human Rights Organisation?	161
7.6.2.	The ECJ as a Human Rights Court?	165
7.6.2.1.	The Role of the ECJ Pre-Lisbon	165
7.6.2.2.	The Role of the ECJ Post-Lisbon	168
7.6.2.3.	The Development of Fundamental Rights Doctrines by the ECJ	174
7.7.	Conclusions	176

Chapter 8. EU Competences and Subsidiarity in Fundamental

Rights Protection	179	
8.1.	Introduction	179
8.2.	The Principle of Attributed Competences and the Division of Powers between the EU and its Member States	180
8.3.	EU Competences to Protect Fundamental Rights	183
8.3.1.	Silence in the EU Treaties and the Case-law of the ECJ	183
8.3.2.	A General Power to Protect Fundamental Rights.	186
8.3.3.	Specific Power to Protect Fundamental Rights	187
8.3.4.	Indirect Power to Protect Fundamental Rights.	188
8.3.4.1.	An Indirect, Accessory or Functional Rule-making Power	188
8.3.4.2.	Article 4(3) TEU: The Principle of Loyal Cooperation	192
8.3.4.3.	Article 19(1) TEU: Provision of Remedies at National Level	193
8.3.4.4.	Charter Rights Calling for Positive Measures	194
8.3.5.	Remaining Tensions: Competence Creep or Protection Gaps?	197
8.4.	Reasons for Actively Protecting Fundamental Rights at EU Level	200

8.4.1.	Different Approaches for Incorporating a Theory of Positive Obligations within EU Law	200
8.4.2.	An Approach Based on the Division of Powers between the EU and the Member States	204
8.4.3.	Subsidiarity and Proportionality.....	205
8.4.4.	Connections between EU Acts and Fundamental Rights Infringements	209
8.4.5.	Preventing a Race to the Bottom	214
8.4.6.	Ensuring the Primacy, Unity and Effectiveness of EU Law.....	216
8.5.	Providing Room for the Application of National or International Fundamental Rights Standards.....	217
8.6.	Conclusions.....	219
Chapter 9. The Limited Scope of Application of EU Fundamental Rights		221
9.1.	Introduction	221
9.2.	Article 51 of the Charter and its Effects on a Development of Positive Obligations by the ECJ.....	222
9.2.1.	Background to Article 51 of the Charter and its Main Effects on the Development of Positive Obligations	222
9.2.2.	Gaps in Protection or Competence Creep?	224
9.2.3.	Responding to Gaps in the Protection of Fundamental Rights: Flexibility in the Interpretation of the Scope of Application of EU Fundamental Rights Law	227
9.3.	The Interpretation of Article 51 by the ECJ	230
9.3.1.	The Relation between the Limited Scope of Application of EU Fundamental Rights and the Limited Powers of the EU.....	230
9.3.2.	The Specific Situations within the Meaning of 'Implementing Union Law'	232
9.3.2.1.	The Agency Situation.....	232
9.3.2.2.	The Situation of Derogation from the Free Movement Rules	235
9.3.2.3.	The Situation of Optioning Rules	239
9.3.3.	The Sovereign Powers of the Member States.....	241
9.3.4.	The Discretion for the Member States to Apply their own Fundamental Rights Standards.....	243
9.4.	Conclusions.....	244
Chapter 10. Part II – Conclusions		247
10.1.	The Specific Parameters of EU Law Defining the Scope and the Limits for the Development of Positive Obligations.....	247
10.2.	The Development of Positive Obligations under the Preliminary Reference Procedure.....	248

10.3. The Relevant Legal Framework for the Development of Positive Obligations under EU Law	249
10.4. The Limited Competences of the EU in Combination with the Limited Scope of Application of EU Fundamental Rights	251
10.5. Why and When Could the ECJ Accept Positive Obligations?	255
10.5.1. Reasons for Active Protection of Fundamental Rights at the EU level	255
10.5.2. The Role of the ECJ in the Field of Fundamental Rights	257
10.5.3. The Judicial Style of the ECJ	258

PART III: SYNTHESIS

Chapter 11. The Development of Positive Obligations within the Context of EU Law: Overview and Evaluation of the Case-Law of the ECJ	263
11.1. Introduction	263
11.2. The Distinction between Positive and Negative Obligations within the Context of EU Law	263
11.3. Examples of Positive Obligations in the Case-law of the ECJ	267
11.3.1. Positive Obligations for the EU Institutions	267
11.3.2. Positive Obligations for the Member States in the Agency Situation	269
11.3.2.1. Positive Obligations for the Member States' Judiciaries	270
11.3.2.2. Positive Obligations for the Member States' Administrative Authorities	271
11.3.2.3. Positive Obligations for the Member States' Legislatures	272
11.3.3. Positive Obligations for the Member States when Derogating from the Free Movement Rules	272
11.3.4. Positive Obligations for the Member States when Applying Optioning Rules	274
11.4. The Types of Positive Obligation within the Context of EU Law	274
11.5. Respecting the Limits of EU Law?	275
11.5.1. The <i>Grant</i> Case	275
11.5.2. The <i>Chatzi</i> Case	278
11.5.3. The <i>N.S. and others</i> Case	282
11.5.4. The <i>Abdida</i> Case	286
11.5.5. The <i>T. Port</i> Case	289
11.6. Conclusions	292

Chapter 12. Conclusions and Recommendations	295
12.1. Incorporating Positive Obligations to Protect Fundamental Rights in EU Law?	295
12.2. A Limited Scope for the Development of Positive Obligations under EU law.	297
12.2.1. The Relevance of Secondary EU Law as a Framework for the Protection of Fundamental Rights	297
12.2.2. The Development of Positive Obligations on the Basis of an Indirect Power to Protect Fundamental Rights.	299
12.2.3. The Division of Competences between the EU and the Member States.	300
12.2.4. Limited Scope of Application of EU Fundamental Rights	302
12.3. Contrasts with the Development of Positive Obligations by the ECtHR	303
12.3.1. A Wide Variety of Types of Positive Obligations	303
12.3.2. Procedural Limitations.	306
12.3.3. The Political Character of the Development of Positive Obligations	307
12.3.4. The Specific Role and the Judicial Style of the ECJ.	310
12.4. Recommendations for the Development of a Doctrine of Positive Obligations by the ECJ	311
12.5. Expansion of Competences or Gaps in the Protection of Fundamental Rights?	314
<i>Bibliography</i>	319
<i>Index</i>	339