

CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	v
<i>Table of Cases of the European Court of Justice</i>	xvii

Chapter 1. Introduction

1

1. Problem Definition: Discrimination and Reverse Discrimination	1
1.1. Equality and Non-Discrimination	1
1.2. Reverse Discrimination	3
1.2.1. The Concept of Reverse Discrimination	3
1.2.1.1. Unexpected Group is Discriminated Against	3
1.2.1.2. The Origins of Reverse Discrimination	4
1.2.1.3. Purely Internal Situations versus Situations with a Connection with Union Law	5
1.2.1.4. Examples of Reverse Discrimination	6
1.2.2. Terminology	8
1.2.2.1. Reverse Discrimination and Positive Action	9
1.2.2.2. Renaming Reverse Discrimination?	10
2. Research Aim	10
2.1. Research Questions	10
2.2. Delineating the Scope of Research	11
2.3. Added Value to Previous Literature	12
3. Methodology	13
4. Structure	15
4.1. Reverse Discrimination from a Union Law Perspective (Part I)	15
4.2. Reverse Discrimination from a Variety of National Perspectives (Part II)	16
4.3. Reverse Discrimination in a Federal State Context (Part III)	16

PART I. REVERSE DISCRIMINATION FROM A UNION PERSPECTIVE

Chapter 2. The European Court of Justice's Approach to Reverse Discrimination

21

1. ECJ's Traditional Approach: Reverse Discrimination is Not Prohibited by Union Law	21
1.1. The Early Case Law on Purely Internal Situations	21
1.1.1. The Notion of a 'Purely Internal Situation'	21

1.1.2.	Definition of a 'Purely Internal Situation'	22
1.1.3.	Justification Put Forward by the ECJ for the Purely Internal Situation Rule	23
1.2.	Reverse Discrimination is not Prohibited by Union Law	25
1.2.1.	Purely Internal Situations Outside the Scope of Union Law – Reverse Discrimination Not Prohibited by Union Law	25
1.2.2.	Reverse Discrimination Should be Addressed at the National Level	27
1.2.3.	Confirmation of the ECJ's Traditional Approach on Reverse Discrimination Following the Introduction of Union Citizenship	28
2.	Proposals to Address Reverse Discrimination at Union Level	30
2.1.	In the Light of the Common and Internal Market	30
2.2.	In the Light of Freedom of Movement for Persons and Union Citizenship	32
2.2.1.	In the Light of Union Citizenship and EU Fundamental Rights Protection	32
2.2.2.	Reverse Discrimination Incompatible with the Union Principle of Equality	33
3.	The ECJ's Traditional Approach Towards Reverse Discrimination Remains Valid	38
3.1.	Reverse Discrimination as a Side Effect of the Limited Scope of Application of Union Law	39
3.2.	A General Prohibition on Reverse Discrimination at the Union Level is Unnecessary and Undesirable	43
3.3.	Interpretation of the Purely Internal Situation Rule	46
 Chapter 3. Applicability and Interpretation of the Purely Internal Situation Doctrine.		47
1.	Applicability of the Purely Internal Situation Doctrine	48
1.1.	The Purely Internal Situation Doctrine is Applicable to Treaty Provisions on Free Movement and Citizenship	48
1.2.	Refinements of, and Exceptions to, the Purely Internal Situation Doctrine	48
1.2.1.	Harmonisation of National Laws: No Need for the Purely Internal Situation Doctrine	49
1.2.1.1.	No Application of the Purely Internal Situation Doctrine in the Case of Harmonisation of National Laws	49

1.2.1.2.	Not all Forms of Harmonisation Exclude Application of the Purely Internal Situation Doctrine: Minimum Harmonisation with a Market Access Clause	51
1.2.2.	Internal Tariff Barriers: An Exception to the Purely Internal Situation Rule.	53
1.2.2.1.	An Overview of the ECJ's Case Law on Internal Tariff Barriers.	53
1.2.2.2.	Divergent Opinions on the ECJ's Cases on Internal Tariff Barriers.	56
1.2.3.	<i>Guimont</i> Line of Cases: Indirect Exceptions to the Purely Internal Situation Rule via Article 267 TFEU	60
1.2.3.1.	<i>Dzodzi</i> and <i>Guimont</i> Line of Cases.	60
1.2.3.2.	Criticising the Justifications Put Forward in the <i>Dzodzi</i> and <i>Guimont</i> Line of Cases	63
1.2.3.3.	ECJ Puts 'Limited' Limits on the <i>Guimont</i> Line of Cases	65
2.	The Purely Internal Situation versus Sufficient Connection with Union Law.	68
2.1.	Traditional Interpretation of Sufficient Link with Union Law: Cross-Border Element as Actual Movement between Member States	69
2.1.1.	Treaty Provisions on the Freedom of Movement.	69
2.1.1.1.	Treaty Provisions on Freedom of Movement: Cross-Border Element and Economic Activity	69
2.1.1.2.	Having Exercised His or Her Right of Free Movement.	70
2.1.2.	Treaty Provisions on Union Citizenship	71
2.1.2.1.	Treaty Provisions on Union Citizenship: Cross-Border Element	71
2.1.2.2.	Justifications for the ECJ's Application of the Purely Internal Situation Doctrine to the Treaty Provisions on Union Citizenship.	75
2.2.	Ever More Generous Interpretation of a Sufficient Connection with Union Law by the ECJ?	79
2.2.1.	As a Preliminary Remark: Criteria of Evaluation	80
2.2.2.	Precise Indications on How Much Movement and (Re)introduction of Causal Link.	81
2.2.2.1.	Sufficient Connection with Union Law: How Much 'Movement' is Necessary?	82

2.2.2.2.	Causal Link Between the Exercise of Free Movement and the Right Protected by Union Law	84
2.2.3.	The ECJ's Restrictions Approach	86
2.2.3.1.	<i>Dassonville</i> Case Law in the Area of Free Movement of Goods	86
2.2.3.2.	Extension of Restrictions Approach to Free Movement of Workers, Services and Establishment and to Union Citizenship	88
2.2.3.3.	Risks Implied in the Restrictions Approach – Confining the Concept of Restriction	93
2.2.4.	Stretching the Notion of Movement	99
2.2.4.1.	'Returning Citizens': Moving Back to Home Member State after Residing and Working in Another Member State	100
2.2.4.2.	Residing in Another Member State	106
2.2.4.3.	Exercise of Free Movement without Moving Residence	114
2.2.4.4.	Abuse of Union Law: A Stricter Notion of Movement and Real Causal Link	124
2.2.5.	Reducing the Importance of Movement in the Light of Union Citizenship	128
2.2.5.1.	External Element Other than Movement between Member States: Nationality of One Member State and Resident in Another Member State	128
2.2.5.2.	Intrinsic Connection with Union Law Introduced in <i>Rottmann</i> and <i>Ruiz Zambrano</i>	135
2.2.5.3.	In the Aftermath of <i>Ruiz Zambrano</i>	138
2.2.5.4.	The Clear Impact of Union Citizenship on the Connection Required with Union Law – Recent Limits	153
Conclusion Part I		157
1.	Reverse Discrimination as a Side Effect of the Scope of Application of Union Law	157
2.	The ECJ's Interpretation of a Purely Internal Situation	158
3.	Distinguishing Problematic and Unproblematic Cases of Reverse Discrimination – Redefining the Scope of Application of Union Law	160
3.1.	Proposals in Literature Distinguishing Problematic Cases from Unproblematic Cases of Reverse Discrimination	160

3.2.	Redefining the Scope of Application of the Treaty Provisions on Free Movement and Citizenship	164
3.3.	Working Hypothesis Based on Compensation/Overcompensation by Union Law	166
3.3.1.	The Distinction between Compensation and Overcompensation by Union Law	166
3.3.2.	Interpretation of '(Over)Compensation' and 'Disadvantage Resulting from Exercise of Free Movement'	168
3.3.3.	Illustration: Reverse Discrimination in the Area of Family Reunification	170
3.3.3.1.	Family Reunification Directive 2003/86 and Citizenship Directive 2004/38	170
3.3.3.2.	The Evolution from Compensation to Overcompensation by Union Law in the Area of Family Reunification	171

PART II. REVERSE DISCRIMINATION FROM NATIONAL PERSPECTIVES

Chapter 4. Reverse Discrimination with Respect to Goods	183
1. Assessment by National Courts of Reverse Discrimination with Respect to Goods	184
1.1. The French Council of State on Ethyl Alcohol	184
1.2. The French Court of Cassation on Emmenthal	186
1.3. The German Federal Administrative Court on the Purity Requirement for Beer	188
1.4. The Italian Constitutional Court on Dried Pasta	191
2. Reverse Discrimination of Goods: Rare Cases?	194
Chapter 5. Reverse Discrimination of Persons	197
1. Classic Economic Free Movement Rights of Persons	197
1.1. Professional Qualifications	198
1.1.1. The Austrian Constitutional Court on the Exemption from Proof of Qualification on the Basis of Previous Professional Activity in Another EEA Member State	198
1.1.2. The French Council of State on Tourist Guides and Architects	200
1.1.2.1. The French Council of State on Proof of Good Behaviour for Tourist Guides	200

1.1.2.2.	The French Council of State on the Restoration of Classified Historic Monuments by a Specific Type of Architect	202
1.1.2.3.	The French Council of State on Attestation of Professional Qualifications for Tourist Guides	205
1.1.3.	The German Federal Constitutional Court on Skilled Trades Order	206
1.1.4.	<i>Intermezzo</i> : Compensation by Union Law: Assessment of a Purely Internal Situation in the Light of National Law, but Union Rules May be Inspiration.	211
1.2.	Acquiring Immovable Property: The Austrian Constitutional Court	214
1.3.	Employment Conditions	216
1.3.1.	Wage-Setting Mechanisms: Changing Approach of the French Court of Cassation's Social Chamber	216
1.3.2.	Duration of Contracts: Extensive Interpretation of Link with Union Law by the Italian Constitutional Court	219
2.	Family Reunification with a Union Citizen.	223
2.1.	Introduction	223
2.2.	Family Reunification: An Emotive and Politically Sensitive Subject	224
2.3.	Incomparable Situations According to French and German Courts	225
2.3.1.	The French Council of State on Family Reunification.	225
2.3.2.	German Administrative Courts on Family Reunification	226
2.3.2.1.	Administrative Appeal Court of Hesse 2006	226
2.3.2.2.	German Federal Administrative Court 2011	228
2.3.2.3.	Division of Competences Precedes Assessment in the Light of the Principle of Equality.	230
2.4.	A Change of Approach in Austria and Belgium	231
2.4.1.	Developments in Austrian Legislation on Family Reunification.	231
2.4.1.1.	Austrian Constitutional Court in 1997: Difference in Treatment is Discriminatory	231
2.4.1.2.	Austrian Constitutional Court in 2009: Autonomy of National Legislator to Treat Purely Internal Situations Differently.	232
2.4.2.	Developments in Belgian Legislation on Family Reunification.	234
2.4.2.1.	Autonomous Legislative Alignment in the Area of Family Reunification	234

2.4.2.2.	<i>Intermezzo: Problems Concerning the Scope and Interpretation of Autonomously Aligned Legislation.</i>	236
2.4.2.3.	Introduction of Reverse Discrimination in the Field of Family Reunification in 2011	237
2.4.2.4.	The Judgments of the Belgian Constitutional Court of 26 September 2013	239
2.4.2.5.	The Constitutional Court's Judgments of 26 September 2013: Analysis	241
2.5.	The Unique Italian Position: From a Prohibition on Reverse Discrimination in the Area of Family Reunification to a General Prohibition on Reverse Discrimination	251
2.5.1.	The Italian Council of State Regarding Family Reunification	251
2.5.2.	The Italian Legislator: Autonomous Alignment	252
2.5.2.1.	Legislative Autonomous Alignment in Family Reunification	252
2.5.2.2.	General Legislative Autonomous Alignment	253
2.5.2.3.	Extensive Implications of General Legislative Autonomous Alignment	254
	Conclusion Part II	257
1.	Conclusion by Area?	257
2.	Application of the Working Hypothesis to the National Level	258
2.1.	Interpretation of Compensation and Overcompensation at National Level	258
2.2.	National Assessment in Cases of Compensation by Union Law	258
2.3.	National Assessment in Cases of Overcompensation by Union Law	259
3.	Evaluation of the Application of the Working Hypothesis to the National Level	260
3.1.	Putting of Compensation and Overcompensation in Practice at National Level	260
3.2.	Alternatives to the Application of the Working Hypothesis at National Level	262
4.	Some Final Thoughts on Addressing Reverse Discrimination at the National Level	264

PART III. REVERSE DISCRIMINATION IN A FEDERAL STATE
CONTEXT

Chapter 6. Union Perspective on Reverse Discrimination in a Federal State Context	267
1. The Status of Regions from a Union Perspective	267
1.1. The ECJ's Case Law on the Internal Division of Competences within a Member State.	267
1.1.1. The Autonomy of the Member States in the Allocation of Internal Powers	267
1.1.2. The ECJ Does Not Accept Hiding behind Domestic Rules on State Structure.	268
1.2. The Status of Regions in the Treaties	270
2. ECJ's Case Law on Reverse Discrimination in a Federal State Context.	272
2.1. <i>Flemish Care Insurance</i> Case: Specific Situation of Reverse Discrimination as a Direct Consequence of the Flemish Decree.	273
2.1.1. The ECJ's <i>Flemish Care Insurance</i> Judgment.	275
2.1.2. Analysis of the ECJ's <i>Flemish Care Insurance</i> Judgment	276
2.2. Specific Situation of Reverse Discrimination as a Possible Consequence of the ECJ's <i>Las</i> and <i>Libert</i> Judgments	280
2.2.1. <i>Las</i> Case Regarding the Flemish Decree on Use of Languages	280
2.2.1.1. ECJ's <i>Las</i> Judgment	280
2.2.1.2. Analysis of the ECJ's <i>Las</i> Judgment.	282
2.2.2. <i>Libert</i> Case Regarding the Flemish Decree on 'Living in Your Own Region'	283
2.2.2.1. ECJ's <i>Libert</i> Judgment	283
2.2.2.2. Analysis of the ECJ's <i>Libert</i> Judgment	286
2.3. ECJ's Case Law on Specific Situations of Reverse Discrimination: Two Concluding Observations	287
3. Recognition of the Autonomy of Regional Authorities by the ECJ in the Context of State Aid	288
3.1. ECJ's Judgments on State Aid Recognise the Autonomy of Infra-State Bodies	288
3.1.1. ECJ's <i>Azores</i> Judgment	288
3.1.2. ECJ's <i>Trabajadores de la Rioja</i> Judgment.	291
3.1.3. General Court's <i>Gibraltar v Commission</i> judgment	292
3.1.4. A Balancing Act in Cases on State Aid Involving Regional Authorities	293

3.2.	Does the ECJ Recognise the Autonomy of Regional Authorities in Other Areas as Well?	294
3.2.1.	Recognition of Regional Authorities for Implementation of Union Law: <i>Horvath</i>	294
3.2.2.	Recognition of Regional Authorities in the Assessment of Restrictions to Free Movement?	297
3.2.2.1.	AG Sharpston's Proposal in the <i>Flemish Care Insurance Case</i>	297
3.2.2.2.	Possibility of Preventing Specific Situations of Reverse Discrimination at Union Level.....	298
 Chapter 7. National Perspectives on Reverse Discrimination in a Federal State Context		303
1.	National Rules on the Internal Division of Competences.....	304
1.1.	The Belgian Constitutional Court's Judgment on the Flemish Care Insurance	304
1.2.	Analysis of the Belgian Constitutional Court's Judgment on the Basis of the Internal Rules on Division of Competences	306
2.	Internal Free Movement	309
2.1.	Belgian Constitutional Court on the Belgian Economic and Monetary Union	311
2.1.1.	Belgian Constitutional Court's <i>Flemish Care Insurance Judgment</i>	311
2.1.2.	Belgian Constitutional Court on Inheritance Tax	313
2.2.	Belgian Council of State on the Belgian Economic and Monetary Union	315
2.2.1.	Inheritance Tax for Legacies in Favour of the Bilingual Brussels-Capital Region.....	316
2.2.2.	Flemish Integration Policy	317
2.2.3.	Amendment of Decree on Use of Languages after the ECJ's <i>Las Judgment</i>	319
2.2.3.1.	Council of State's Opinion on Amendment of Decree on Use of Languages	319
2.2.3.2.	Comparison with the Belgian Constitutional Court's Judgment on Family Reunification	322
2.2.4.	Freedom of Movement within the EU and Internal Free Movement Not Necessarily Convergent According to Belgian Case Law	324
3.	The Principle of Equality as Enshrined in National Law.....	325
3.1.	Belgian Constitutional Court	325
3.1.1.	<i>Flemish Care Insurance Judgment: No Assessment in the Light of Articles 10 and 11 Belgian Constitution</i>	325

3.1.2. Belgian Constitutional Court Prevents Specific Reverse Discrimination in Land and Real Estate Policy	328
3.2. German Federal Constitutional Court on University Tuition Fees.	329
Conclusion Part III	333
1. Specific Situations of Reverse Discrimination from the Union Perspective	333
2. Specific Situations of Reverse Discrimination from National Perspectives	334
Chapter 8. Conclusion	337
1. Reverse Discrimination as a Side Effect of the Scope of Application of Union Law	337
1.1. Situations of Reverse Discrimination Fall within the Scope of Application of National Law	337
1.2. The ECJ's Interpretation of a Purely Internal Situation	338
2. Diverging National Perspectives on Reverse Discrimination	340
3. Distinguishing Problematic and Unproblematic Cases of Reverse Discrimination	341
3.1. Redefining the Scope of Application of the Treaty Provisions on Free Movement and Citizenship	341
3.2. Working Hypothesis Based on the Concept of (Over)Compensation by Union Law	343
3.2.1. The Distinction Between Compensation and Overcompensation by Union Law	343
3.2.2. Interpretation of '(Over)Compensation' and 'Disadvantage Resulting from Exercise of Free Movement'	344
3.2.3. Reverse Discrimination in Case of Mere Compensation by Union Law	345
3.2.4. Reverse Discrimination in Case of Overcompensation by Union Law	346
3.2.4.1. A Solution at Union Level	346
3.2.4.2. A Solution at National Level	348
<i>Bibliography</i>	351