

CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	page xiii
<i>Caveats</i>	xv
Introduction	1
I. The Puzzle	9
II. The Approach	14
III. Overview of the Argument	16
IV. Plan of the Book	19

PART ONE **Judicial Accountability: Theoretical Framework**

1 The Concept of Judicial Accountability	25
I. Unpacking the Notion of Accountability	30
II. Specifics of Judicial Accountability	36
III. The Concept of Judicial Accountability	40
IV. Why Judicial Accountability Matters?	59
V. De Jure versus De Facto Judicial Accountability	65
VI. Accountability Perversions	68
2 Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability	73
I. What Do Judges Maximize?	74
II. What Is "In": Taxonomy of Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability	75
III. What Is "Out": Contingent Circumstances of Judicial Accountability	92
IV. Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability in Recognition and Career Judiciaries	113
3 Judicial Accountability and Judicial Councils	121
I. The Rise of Judicial Councils and Their Effects	121
II. The Judicial Council Euro-model of Court Administration	126
III. The Impact of the Judicial Council Euro-model on Judicial Accountability	136

PART TWO Holding Czech and Slovak Judges Accountable

4 Prologue to the Case Studies: Methodology and Data Reporting 145

- I. Research Design of My Case Studies 145
- II. What Is Measured 149
- III. Data Collection 150
- IV. Method and Evaluation 152
- V. Potential Inaccuracies 155

5 The Czech Republic 158

- I. The Czech Judiciary in Context 158
- II. Court Administration after the Split (1993–2010): Two Decades of Calibrating the Ministry of Justice Model 181
- III. Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability from 1993 to 2002 187
- IV. Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability from 2003 to 2010 215
- V. Overall Conclusion on the Czech Case Study 235

6 Slovakia 236

- I. The Slovak Judiciary in Context 236
- II. Court Administration after the Split (1993–2010): The Road from the Ministry of Justice Model to the Judicial Council Euro-model 254
- III. Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability from 1993 to 2002 264
- IV. Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability from 2003 to 2010 299
- V. Overall Conclusion on the Slovak Case Study 333

7 Evaluation: The Czech Republic and Slovakia Compared 334

- I. Comparing Results from Slovakia and the Czech Republic between 1993 and 2002 334
- II. Comparing Results from Slovakia and the Czech Republic between 2003 and 2010 347
- III. Effects of the Judicial Council Euro-model in Slovakia 361
- IV. Alternative Explanations 372

PART THREE **Conclusions and Implications**

8 Perils of Judicial Self-Government	389
I. Court Presidents: Invisible Masters of Central and Eastern European Judiciaries	390
II. The Judicial Leadership Theory of Judicial Councils	398
III. The Judicial Council Euro-model: Toward the System of Dependent Judges within an Independent Judiciary?	406
IV. Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability in Transitional Societies	411
V. Oversight of Judges: Why Fire Alarms Do Not Work?	422
VI. Judicial Virtues Matter	428
<i>Annex A. Court System of the Czech Republic</i>	433
<i>Annex B. Court System of Slovakia</i>	435
<i>Annex C. The Number of Judges in the Czech Republic and Slovakia (1993–2010)</i>	437
<i>Bibliography</i>	439
<i>Index</i>	465