CONTENTS

Foreword	page xv
Preface	xvii
List of abbreviations	xix
Map of ICC situation countries in July 2012	xxi
Prologue: in the line of fire	1
o sayana ya waranta a wa wanana kama kama ka wa	
1 Complementarity from the line of fire The story of complementarity's catalysing effect in	8
Uganda and Sudan	10
Complementarity's double life	14
The dramatis personae of complementarity's catalysing effect	21
Assumptions underlying the expectation of a catalysing effect	24
Normative, theoretical and methodological perspective	26
The choice of a line-of-fire perspective	30
The road ahead	33
2 The Rome Statute: complementarity in its legal context	34
The key provisions setting forth complementarity	35
Three popular assumptions	36
An obligation to investigate or prosecute pursuant to the	
Rome Statute?	36
An obligation to criminalise in domestic law?	40
A prohibition on amnesties?	41
The substance of complementarity: the criteria for	TOA
inadmissibility	43
The inadequacy of the shorthand description	43
The 'same case' requirement: same person, same conduct,	
same incidents?	45
Reasons to depart from the same-conduct test	51
The requirement of an 'investigation'	59
A decision not to prosecute	61
Where domestic proceedings have been initiated:	
unwillingness and inability	62

CONTENTS

Low punishment or a pardon is not a ground for	
admissibility per se	66
The ICC is not a human rights court overseeing	
compliance with fair trial rights	67
The procedural aspects of complementarity	70
Complementarity contains a primary right for all states	71
The Prosecutor must assess complementarity prior to	
	71
opening an investigation The complementarity assessment is case-specific	72
Complementarity must be assessed irrespective of the	and the
	75
trigger mechanism	D. WILL
A state can directly influence the scope of the ICC's	76
investigation on grounds of complementarity	78
A state cannot force the Prosecutor to end an investigation	10
A state's jurisdiction to adjudicate is unaffected by ICC	78
intervention	79
The complementarity assessment is dynamic	19
The ICC does not have a conditional deferral procedure	02
like the ICTY and ICTR	83
Looking for a catalysing effect: the potentially confounding	0/
and intervening variables	86
Other jurisdictional provisions: the triggers	86
Other jurisdictional provisions: a deferral requested by	0.0
the Security Council	90
Other jurisdictional provisions: the admissibility criterion	
of gravity	90
No ICC proceedings because of the 'interests of justice'	91
The OTP's prosecutorial policy	92
The policy of positive complementarity	97
Conclusion: complementarity and its potential	
catalysing effect	104
passe, right diewn opplat underlieb qu'es lamante et flobalible neus	
3 Uganda: compromising complementarity	111
The context for catalysis	114
The ICC in Uganda: a joint enterprise	114
Uganda and the ICC: a marriage of convenience	116
Compromised complementarity	120
The conflict in northern Uganda – and far beyond	124
Peace-making in the shadow of the ICC	129
Complementarity: the linchpin of the agreement	133
The ICC: sword of Damocles	136
Cracks in the marriage: the opening for complementarity's	
catalysing effect	137
Catalyoning Circut	

	Effects catalysed	14
	Promoting the study of local justice practices	14
	Putting accountability and transitional justice on the	
	peace-talks agenda	159
	Stimulating a debate on transitional justice	162
	Broadening the approach to the conflict to include a legal	
	dimension	171
	Stimulating the establishment of a Ugandan international	- 1
	crimes division	179
	Increasing the attention paid to 'international standards'	187
	Shaping the International Criminal Court Act?	194
	Discouraging amnesties	206
	Effects expected but not catalysed	228
	Encouraging more trials, prosecutions and/or investigations?	228
	Conclusion: complementarity's catalysing effect in Uganda	234
4	Sudan: complementarity in a state of denial	244
	The context for catalysis	245
	Sudan and the ICC: souring relations	247
	Complementarity: less than a secondary response	252
	Complementarity: the views of the ICID and the ICC	258
	The Darfur conflict	261
	Effects catalysed	266
	Fostering interest in transitional justice	266
	Triggering the establishment of domestic accountability	
	mechanisms	279
	Motivating the adoption of laws on international crimes	284
	Putting accountability on the agenda of peace negotiations	291
	Providing a boost for traditional justice	299
	Effects expected but not catalysed	306
	Broadening the approach from the military and	
	political to the legal?	306
	Discouraging immunities and amnesties?	316
	Encouraging more trials, prosecutions and/or investigations?	320
	Conclusion: complementarity's catalysing effect in Sudan	328
5	Paradoxes unravelled: explanations for complementarity's	
	weak catalysing effect on domestic proceedings	337
	Complementarity's normative character	338
	Complementarity as primary right: confusion,	
	ambiguity and misrepresentation	339
	Complementarity as big idea: a responsibility to	
	investigate and prosecute?	344

CONTENTS

The normative paradox of complementarity	345
Pro-ICC ideology countering a political expectation	
on states to conduct proceedings	352
A domestic context inhospitable to a responsibility	
to conduct proceedings	361
Complementarity and the state's cost-benefit analysis	367
High cost of action: obstacles to domestic proceedings	369
ICC involvement has not reduced the costs	
of domestic action	378
Low costs of inaction	385
Costs of inaction can be avoided by means other than	
the invocation of complementarity	389
Paradoxes of complementarity: cost-benefit analyses	
combined	392
Conclusion: unravelling the paradoxes	396
6 Complementarity in the line of fire	406
Epilogue: beyond complementarity in the line of fire	411
Bibliography	415
Literature and documents from states and international	
organisations	415
Cases and procedural documents	465
Cases and procedural accuments	486
Legal instruments	494
Index	Tフサ