

Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	v
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xiii
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xxix

1. Introduction	1
I. The 'Righting' of Administrative Law?.....	1
II. This Book's Contribution	4
III. A Note on Methodology	6
IV. The Scope of this Book.....	7
V. The Structure of this Book.....	12
A. Part I: Constitutional and Statutory Frameworks	12
B. Part II: The Effects of Canada's Rights Framework on Judicial Review	13

Part I: Constitutional and Statutory Frameworks

2. The Framework for Judicial Review of Administrative Action in Australia	19
I. Australia's Constitution.....	20
A. Overview.....	20
B. Supremacy.....	21
II. The Constitutional Status of Judicial Review.....	23
A. The High Court's Jurisdiction Over Constitutional Matters	23
B. The High Court's Jurisdiction to Review Administrative Action	26
i. Only Mandamus, Prohibition and Injunction?.....	28
ii. 'Officers of the Commonwealth'	30
iii. The Role of Jurisdictional Error	32
C. The Entrenched Jurisdiction of State Supreme Courts	39
III. Statutory Judicial Review Frameworks	41
A. The Federal Administrative Decisions (Judicial Review) Act (ADJR Act)	41
i. Establishing a Simplified Review Procedure	43
ii. Providing a Right to Reasons.....	43
iii. Codifying the Grounds of Review.....	43
B. The Federal Court's Other Source of Judicial Review Jurisdiction	44
C. The Effect of the ADJR Act.....	45

D.	Judicial Review Legislation in the Australian States and Territories.....	51
E.	Australia's Generalist Tribunals.....	51
IV.	Australia's Human Rights Framework.....	52
A.	Constitutional Rights	52
i.	Express 'Rights'	52
ii.	Implied Constitutional 'Rights'	53
B.	Statutory Rights Protection Under Commonwealth Law.....	54
C.	State and Territory Charters of Rights.....	55
V.	Conclusions.....	59
3.	The Framework for Judicial Review of Administrative Action in Canada.....	60
I.	Canada's Constitution	61
II.	The Constitutional Status of Judicial Review.....	63
A.	The Constitutional Place of Canadian Courts	63
B.	Judicial Review Jurisdiction Over Constitutional Matters	65
C.	Jurisdiction Over Non-Constitutional Administrative Errors.....	68
D.	Alternative Sources of Constitutional Entrenchment	71
III.	Privative Clauses and the Standard of Review	75
A.	The Early Approach: Preliminary or Collateral Questions	76
B.	Canada's Break from the UK: <i>New Brunswick Liquor</i>	77
C.	A Simpler Approach? <i>Dunsmuir</i>	81
IV.	Statutory Judicial Review Frameworks.....	83
A.	The Federal Courts Act (FCA).....	83
B.	The Effect of the FCA	87
C.	Judicial Review Legislation in the Provinces.....	88
i.	Ontario.....	88
ii.	British Columbia	91
iii.	Prince Edward Island.....	91
V.	Canada's Human Rights Framework.....	92
A.	Overview of the Charter.....	92
B.	Interaction Between the Charter and Administrative Law	93
i.	The Scope of the Charter and Judicial Review of Administrative Action	94
ii.	Administrative Decisions that Impact on a Charter Right.....	95
C.	Other Sources of Rights Under Canadian Law	102
i.	Statutory Charter of Rights	102
ii.	An Implied Bill of Rights?.....	104
VI.	Conclusions.....	106

Part II: The Effects of Canada's Rights Framework on Judicial Review

4. Procedural Fairness.....	111
I. Overview of Rights to Fairness.....	112
A. Rights to Fairness: Canada.....	112
i. Charter.....	112
ii. Canadian Bill of Rights, Sections 1(a) and 2(e).....	115
B. Rights to Fairness: Australia.....	117
II. The Scope of the Common Law Duty to Afford Procedural Fairness.....	117
III. The Content of Fairness.....	123
A. The Content of Procedural Fairness at Common Law.....	123
B. Rejecting Substantive Legitimate Expectations.....	126
C. The Content of 'Fundamental Justice' Under the Charter and Bill of Rights.....	130
D. Fair Hearings Under the Victorian and ACT Charters.....	132
E. Reasons.....	133
F. The Rule Against Bias.....	139
G. Conclusions on the Content of Fairness.....	144
IV. The Rationales for Fairness.....	145
V. Litigants' Use of Fairness.....	148
VI. Entrenchment of Procedural Fairness.....	150
A. The Australian Position.....	151
B. The Canadian Position.....	155
VII. Conclusions.....	158
5. Controlling Discretion.....	161
I. A Brief History of Judicial Attitudes Towards Discretion.....	163
A. The Ultra Vires Doctrine.....	165
B. The Classification of Functions.....	168
C. The Narrowing of Jurisdictional Errors.....	172
II. Controlling Discretion in Australia.....	173
A. The Grounds of Review.....	174
B. The Continuing Role of the Ultra Vires Doctrine.....	177
C. The Central Concept of Jurisdictional Error.....	179
III. Controlling Discretion in Canada.....	181
A. The Impact of <i>Roncarelli v Duplessis</i>	183
B. Grounds and Standards of Review.....	187
C. The Demise and Return (and Demise Again?) of Jurisdictional Error.....	193
IV. Human Rights Controls on Discretion.....	196
A. Charters of Rights.....	197
i. The Canadian Charter.....	198
ii. Statutory Rights Protection in the ACT and Victoria.....	199
iii. Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011 (Cth).....	201

B.	Non-Statutory Sources of Rights as Limits on Discretion	202
i.	Legality and Consistency in Australia	203
ii.	Legality and Conformity in Canada.....	209
V.	Conclusions.....	215
6.	Intensity of Review	217
I.	Intensity Under the Classic Model.....	218
A.	The UK's Departure from the Classic Model	220
II.	Intensity of Review in Australia.....	225
A.	Constitutional Entrenchment of the Legality/Merits Distinction.....	225
B.	Unreasonableness and Proportionality	228
C.	A Possible Shift in Direction?	233
D.	Other Ways in which Australian Courts have Increased Review Intensity	238
III.	Intensity of Review in Canada.....	243
A.	Questions of Law	243
B.	Questions of Fact and Merit.....	249
C.	Procedural Fairness	256
D.	A Culture of Justification	260
E.	Intensity of Review of Decisions Limiting Charter Rights.....	264
F.	Flexibility or a Spectrum?.....	270
IV.	Conclusions.....	273
7.	Conclusions	274
	<i>Index</i>	281