
TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	vii
<i>Introduction</i>	xiii

Part I: Political Discourse

1. Language Manufactures Truth: The Power of Labels	3
I. The Beginning of the Conversation: Narratives Wield Great Power	3
II. Whoever Prevails with Duelling Labels Wins the Day: ‘Muslim Ban’ or ‘Extreme Vetting’?	5
A. Terrorism or ‘Radical Islamic Terrorism’?	7
B. A Ban or Not a Ban?	24
III. Motive and Omar Mateen: Immutable Characteristics Can Lead to a Label that Could Obscure True Motive and Undermine Systemic Responses	25
A. Mateen’s Citizenship Status and Allegations of Islamic Terrorism Ties	27
B. Allegations that the Shootings were an Act of Homophobic Rage	32
C. Allegations that Mateen was Angry Over Being Gay	33
D. Allegations that Mateen was an Unstable, Violent Substance Abuser	34
E. Allegations that Mateen was Bullied	34
F. The Narrative Matters	36
IV. ‘A Rose by Any Other Name ...’: Strategically Naming an International ‘Terrorist’ Group is Key to the Narrative	37
A. ISIS or ISIL or IS or Islamic State or Daesh or ...?	38
B. Terrorism or Militants or Religion or Government or ...?	40
V. Conclusion	42
2. Breaking Down and Reconstructing Discourse Can Reveal New Realities	45
I. Introduction: Fractured Narratives and Manufactured Confusion	45
II. The Same Scenario, or the Same Words, with Different Meanings for Different People	49

III.	An Example: Kafka and Shifting the Kaleidoscope.....	50
A.	Kafka's Parable and 'The Law'.....	52
B.	Kafka, the Law and Anti-terrorism.....	56
IV.	'Deconstructing' Anti-terrorism Detention Discourse.....	59
V.	Deconstructing and Reconstructing the Post-9/11 Discourse Using Argumentation Theory	62
A.	Speaker as Audience: The Post-9/11 Audience was Especially Receptive to the Persuasion Used	68
B.	Post-9/11 Political Speech was Focused More on 'Persuading' Rather than on 'Convincing' in Seeking Buy-in after the Attacks	73
C.	'Inertia' or 'Normalisation' or 'Path Dependency' Set in Once Enough People were Persuaded to Bring about Legal Changes in Detention Standards, Especially in the US	76
VI.	Against this Backdrop, Post-9/11 Terrorism Detention Discourse Successfully Involved the Use of Effective Argumentation Tools	79
VII.	Conclusion	82
3.	Layers of Argumentation Tools and a Fractured Post-911 Narrative	83
I.	Introduction: How Threads of Perception Developed after 9/11	83
II.	Manufacturing Confusion: Euphemisms Played a Key Role in Influencing Public Perception.....	84
III.	Forward-Looking Threat-Based Discourse Perpetuated Already Rattled Nerves and Made Governmental Initiatives More Persuasive in the US	89
IV.	A Fractured Narrative Arose After 9/11, as Demonstrated by One Conversation between Representatives of Branches of the US Government.....	90
A.	A Conversation: The Legislative Branch	93
B.	The Executive Branch	95
C.	The Judicial Branch.....	100
D.	The Formalising of Parallel Processes.....	101
V.	Conclusion	102

Part II: Fragmented Practices

4.	Hasty Inductive Generalisation: The Problem with the Claim that the 9/11 Attacks Exposed a Need for New Detention Paradigms	109
I.	Crisis Shifts in Long-Standing Detention Paradigms Occurred after the 9/11 Attacks.....	109
II.	The 'Unprecedented' Nature of the 9/11 Attacks	111
III.	The 9/11 Attacks Led to a Claim of Presumptive Inadequacy of National Criminal Justice Systems in Certain Cases.....	116
A.	Characteristics of 'Extraordinary Detention' Practices Included Changes Radiating Out from the US.....	121

B.	Trends for Detentions Inside National Borders versus Outside National Borders	122
C.	The Crisis Atmosphere Shortly after the Attacks Led to Some Permanent Structural Changes	123
IV.	Certain Commonalities Emerged across Jurisdictions Regarding Extraordinary Detention Practices	128
A.	A Shifting away from the Presumption of Innocence: Moving away from the Magna Carta	129
B.	Secret Detentions	133
C.	Secret Evidence and Secret Allegations	137
V.	Special Mechanisms that Bypassed the Criminal Justice System Entirely	141
A.	The US: Unlawful Enemy Combatants—Example: The Case of Jose Padilla	141
B.	The UK and Australia: Control Orders—Example: The Case of ‘Jihad Jack’	145
VI.	Other Examples of Changes: Torture and Targeted Killings	149
A.	Torture Became a Tool of Extraordinary Detention Practices	149
B.	The Evolution Continues: Targeted Killings	151
VII.	Conclusion	154
5.	False Premise: Non-citizens as the Terrorist ‘Other’	157
I.	Many Post-9/11 Detention Changes Sprang from the False and Simplistic Idea that Terrorists were Non-citizens	157
A.	Particular Characteristics of this Point of Departure: The Terrorist ‘Threat’ from Non-citizens and ‘Second-Class’ Citizens	159
B.	The Other: Stereotypes and Lack of Nuance	164
II.	The Claimed Need to Protect ‘Us’ from ‘the Other’	165
III.	The Othering before and after 9/11 was Directed between Organisations Like Al-Qaeda and those Claiming to Represent the West, Each Accelerating it against the Other	167
IV.	The Cycle of Othering that Led to the 9/11 Attacks Continued in Some of the National Responses to the Attacks	171
A.	11 September 2001	171
B.	The Early Internal Reaction to 9/11	174
C.	‘Nous sommes tous Américains’	175
D.	‘They Hate Our Freedoms’	176
V.	The ‘Other’ Moves Forward as a Foundation for Extraordinary Terrorism Detention Practices	179
A.	A ‘War on Terror’	181
VI.	Conclusion: The False Premise of the Non-citizen Terrorist Other, which was Dominant in Post-9/11 Responses, Remains Foundational in Different Ways in Different Countries	203

6.	False Dichotomies in the Narrative: The ‘Either/or’ Dilemma.....	207
I.	Binary Language in the Post-9/11 Narrative and How it Precluded Nuance that Might Have Led to Better Responses.....	207
II.	Incommensurability in Binary-Based Discourse: The False Dichotomy of Balancing Liberty and Security Dominated after 9/11	211
A.	‘Balance?’	211
B.	Liberty versus Security: The Assumption of Commensurability	214
C.	‘Balancing’ Security against a Range of Individual Rights: The Problem of Sweeping Generalisations	216
III.	The Paradigm Fractures: The Misleading American Crime-or-War Detentions Debate.....	220
A.	Declaration of War, Abandonment of War Rhetoric and Resurrecting the War Rhetoric	226
B.	War versus Crime or a Third, Hybrid Paradigm?	229
IV.	Terrorism Detentions: ‘Everything Old is New Again’.....	232
A.	Extraordinary Detentions	234
V.	Conclusion: Deconstructing the Binaries	241
	Conclusion: Turning the Kaleidoscope.....	245
I.	The Conversation Continues: Can, or Should, the Fracturing in the Post-9/11 Terrorism Detention Narrative be Repaired?	245
A.	Going Back in Time to Change a Narrative	245
II.	Changes to Political Discourse	251
III.	Fragmented Practices and Regrets.....	253
IV.	A Way Forward?.....	258
	<i>Appendix: A Full Transcript of the Remarks of New Orleans Mayor Mitch Landrieu, in May 2017, on the Removal of Confederate Monuments in New Orleans</i>	<i>263</i>
	<i>Index</i>	<i>269</i>