Contents

	Acknowledgements	xi
	Foreword by Baroness Hale of Richmond	xiii
	Introduction	1
	Outline of the book 4	
1	Positioning the woman judge	7
	A pen portrait of the judiciary of England and Wales 7	
	A comparative perspective 17	
	Arguments for judicial diversity 23	
	Legitimacy and public confidence 23	
	Equity 26	
	Difference 27	
2	Delivering diversity in the legal profession	31
	Rejecting the trickle-up argument 31	
	Treading water – a statistical profile of women in the legal profession 36	
	Barristers 36	
	Solicitors 40	
	Legal executives 44	
	Stagnating, not trickling-up 45	
	Commitment, choice and other old chestnuts 47	
	Long hours and greedy employers 48	
	Old boys and mini-mes 53	
	Invisible pregnancies and self-raising families 58	
	Moving beyond the trickle-up argument 65	
3	How we appoint judges	69
		05

How we appoint judges

Judicial appointment? Not for the likes of me ... 69 From Lord Chancellor to Judicial Appointments Commission 72 The JAC: new broom, same old cobwebs 76
Setting the ground rules 77
Appointments up to and including the High Court 78
Appointments to the Court of Appeal and leadership positions 80
Appointments of Supreme Court justices (including President and Deputy President) 84
A work in progress – reinventing the new wheel 88
Delivering judicial diversity: high hopes and false expectations 91
Grasping the nettle – quotas, targets and other forms of diversity-engineering 95
Getting off on the wrong foot 100

4 Representations of the woman judge: revisiting the little mermaid

Setting the scene 108 Once upon a time – telling stories of the woman judge 110 Turning the page 120 Opting out – non-fiction accounts of women judges 121 Undressing the judge 125 Coda 128

5 Difference and the default judge

The default judge: superhero, every-judge or fiction? 129 Excluding difference 136 Embracing difference 138 Lawyering and judging in a different voice 138 Searching for judicial difference 142 Reclaiming difference 146 The default judge and the different voice 146 Women judges: making a difference 149 Radmacher (formerly Granatino) v Granatino 151 R v J 158 What difference? 162

6 Making the argument for judicial diversity

Diversity in judging 166 Improving the judicial product 169 Judging gender: K and Fornah in the House of Lords 172 Redressing judicial disadvantage 177 Parkinson v St James and Seacroft Hospital NHS Trust 180 A diverse judiciary is a better judiciary 185 107

165

Maximising diversity, maximising merit 187 Merit, dislodged 189 Merit, distorted 191 Merit in diversity 194 Letting go of the default judge, welcoming diversity 195

Concluding remarks	199
Bibliography	203
Index	221