

Table of Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	v
<i>List of Contributors</i>	xiii

1. Introduction	1
<i>John D Jackson and Sarah J Summers</i>	
I. Fair Trial Standards	1
II. Obstacles to Fairness	4
III. Individual Rights and Institutional Forms	10
2. The Character of the Right to a Fair Trial	19
<i>Stefan Trechsel</i>	
I. Introduction	19
II. The Rank of the Right to a Fair Trial	20
A. Within the Hierarchy of International Human Rights	20
B. Within the Framework of the ECHR.....	21
III. The Scope of the Right to a Fair Trial in Article 6	22
A. Various Ambits of the Right to a Fair Trial	22
B. The Relationship between the Right to a Fair Trial under Article 6(1) ECHR and the Specific Rights of the Accused under Article 6(3) ECHR	23
C. The Relationship between the Right to a Fair Trial and the Other Convention Guarantees Connected to Criminal Proceedings	26
i. The Specific Rights.....	26
ii. Fair Trial and the Right to an Independent and Impartial Tribunal	26
iii. Fair Trial and the Requirement of ‘Adversarial’ Proceedings.....	28
iv. Fair Trial and Equality of Arms	28
v. Fair Trial and the Right to a Reasoned Judgment.....	29
vi. Fair Trial and the Right to a Public Hearing	30
vii. Fair Trial and the Right to a Judgment within Reasonable Time.....	30
viii. Fair Trial and the Presumption of Innocence.....	30
ix. Fair Trial and the Protection of the Privilege against Self-Incrimination	31
IV. Is the Right to a Fair Trial a Straightforward Individual Human Right?	32
V. Conclusions.....	35

3. Autonomy and Agency in American Criminal Process	37
<i>David Alan Sklansky</i>	
I. Autonomy	37
II. Agency	42
III. Waiver	46
A. Waiving Post-Conviction Review.....	46
B. Waiving Confrontation.....	49
C. Waiving Non-Discriminatory Jury Selection	50
D. Waiving Discovery.....	51
E. Waiver and Effective Assistance of Counsel.....	53
IV. Reform	54
4. Innocence, the Burden of Proof and Fairness in the Criminal Trial: <i>Revisiting Woolmington v DPP (1935)</i>	57
<i>Lindsay Farmer</i>	
I. Introduction	57
II. <i>Woolmington v DPP (1935)</i>	58
III. <i>Woolmington</i> in Context.....	61
IV. The Scope of the Presumption of Innocence	66
V. Conclusion	72
5. The Right of Silence in England and Wales: Sacred Cow, Sacrificial Lamb or Trojan Horse?	75
<i>Hannah Quirk</i>	
I. A Sacred Cow?	77
II. A Sacrificial Lamb?.....	81
III. A Trojan Horse?	86
A. Section 35 of the CJPOA.....	87
B. Section 34, 36 and 37 of the CJPOA	90
C. ECtHR Jurisprudence.....	94
D. Criminal Justice Policy	95
IV. Conclusion	97
6. Seeking Core Fair Trial Standards across National Boundaries: Judicial Impartiality, the Prosecutorial Role and the Right to Counsel.....	99
<i>John D Jackson and Sarah J Summers</i>	
I. Introduction	99
II. Searching for Core Values.....	101
A. Individual Interests: Dignity, Autonomy and Liberty	101
B. Accuracy	104
C. Judicial Impartiality and the Prosecutorial Role	106
III. The Right to Counsel	109
A. Waiver.....	111
B. Self-Representation	113
C. Acting on Instructions	115
IV. Meeting Challenges to the Fair Trial Standards	117
A. Trial Avoidance	117

B.	Sensitive Information.....	120
C.	The Need to Protect Witnesses and Victims	122
V.	Conclusion: Towards a Shared Understanding of Fairness	123
7.	The Role of Counsel in Criminal Proceedings.....	127
	<i>Wolfgang Wohlers</i>	
I.	The Role of Counsel in Criminal Proceedings: Differences between Common Law and Civil Law Systems.....	127
II.	Thinking outside the Box: The Challenges and Promises of a Transnational View of Criminal Proceedings	129
A.	The Practice of the International Criminal Tribunals: Common Law, Civil Law, or Something in Between?.....	129
B.	The Case Law of the ECtHR: Is There Common Ground between Common Law and Civil Law Systems?	131
III.	Effects of the Exercise of the Right to Legal Assistance on the Procedural Role of the Accused.....	134
A.	English Law.....	134
i.	The Origins of the Counsel-Driven Adversarial Trial.....	134
ii.	The Role of Counsel in the Modern Criminal Trial	135
B.	US Law.....	138
i.	The Bifurcated Model of Full Representation and Self-Representation as Mutually Exclusive Rights	138
ii.	Hybrid Representation	142
C.	German Law.....	144
i.	German Law as an Example of Hybrid Representation.....	144
ii.	Is Full Representation Possible under German Law?	147
D.	Swiss Law.....	149
E.	Some Conclusions from the Comparative Analysis	151
8.	'Falling on Deaf Ears': Looking for the <i>Salduz</i> Jurisprudence in Greece	155
	<i>Dimitrios Giannoulopoulos</i>	
I.	The Seminal <i>Salduz</i> Jurisprudence.....	157
II.	Court-Centred and Contracting Party-Centred Explanations of Acceptance of, or Resistance to, ECHR Jurisprudence.....	159
III.	Custodial Legal Assistance in Greece: A Liberal Legislative Framework	162
IV.	Suspects' Rights in Practice	164
V.	<i>Salduz</i> 's Negligible Effect in Greece: 'Paying Lip Service' and Avoiding the Cost of Putting up Resistance	166
VI.	Greek Responses to International Pressures for Human Rights Reform: The Wider Context	169
VII.	The Role of Effective National Implementation of ECHR Rights	174
VIII.	Concluding Observations.....	176
9.	Fairness and Expediency in International Criminal Procedure	179
	<i>Kai Ambos</i>	

10. International Criminal Procedure and the False Promise of an Ideal Model of Fairness	191
<i>Yvonne McDermott</i>	
I. Introduction	191
II. International Criminal Procedure's Potential as an Ideal Model	193
III. Some Aspects of International Criminal Procedure that Fall Short of an Ideal Model of Fairness.....	196
A. Judicial Notice	196
B. Extension of Fair Trial Rights to Actors other than the Accused.....	197
IV. International Criminal Law's Inability to Create a Universally Acceptable Procedural Model.....	199
V. Conclusion	203
11. Written Records of Statements and Fairness	205
<i>Nadja Capus</i>	
I. Introduction	205
II. Is Direct Knowledge Required Instead of Reading Authority-Generated Written Records?	206
A. Written Records and the Principles of Orality and Immediacy.....	206
B. Written Records in the Light of Article 6 ECHR	210
III. Written Records as a Guarantee of Fairness	210
A. Written Records as a Means of Ensuring Judicial Control.....	210
B. Written Records and the Right to be Heard	211
IV. Turning Points: Under which Circumstances do the Production or Reception of Written Reports Constitute Obstacles to Fairness?	213
A. Obstacles to Fairness within the Production of the Written Record	213
B. Obstacles to Fairness in the Use of the Written Record (as Evidence): Signs of Hazards to Fairness	214
i. Modified Presentation of the Interaction.....	214
ii. Language Issues	215
V. The Use of Written Records	217
VI. Conclusion	219
12. Regulating and Limiting Plea Concessions: Towards Fairness in Charge Adjudication.....	221
<i>Richard L Lippke</i>	
I. The Problems with Unfettered Plea Bargaining.....	224
II. Constraining and Regulating Plea Concessions	228
III. Back to the Misdemeanour Case-Processing World	232
IV. Concluding Remarks.....	236
13. A Fair Cop and a Fair Trial	239
<i>Eric J Miller</i>	
I. Introduction	239
II. What Police Do	244

III.	The Police Role	250
A.	Values and Duties.....	254
B.	Duties to Investigate and Intervene.....	258
C.	Moral Dangers of Policing	260
D.	Separating Duties	261
IV.	Mass Policing and Mass Adjudication.....	263
A.	Policing, Public Welfare, and Criminal Trials	265
B.	The Police Permission to Decline Trial Punishment	270
V.	Conclusion	271
14.	Rights-Analysis in Addressing Pre-Trial Impropriety: An Obstacle to Fairness?.....	273
	<i>Kelly M Pitcher</i>	
I.	Introduction	273
II.	The Reductionist Nature of Rights-Analysis.....	276
III.	The Malleability of Rights-Analysis	284
IV.	Rights-Analysis as an Obstacle to Fairness	292
V.	The Proper Role of ‘Rights’ in Addressing Pre-Trial Impropriety.....	298
15.	Fairness in Criminal Proceedings: Concluding Thoughts and Further Questions.....	301
	<i>RA Duff</i>	
I.	Fairness in Criminal Proceedings.....	301
II.	The Aims of the Criminal Process	303
III.	‘Criminal Proceedings’	305
IV.	Fairness to Whom?	307
V.	Institutions and Roles.....	309
	<i>Index.....</i>	315