

## Contents

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	viii
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	ix
Introduction	i
1 Kant's methods: transcendental and epistemic reflection	12
1 Epistemic reflection in Kant's <i>Critique of Pure Reason</i>	12
2 Epistemic reflection in Kant's transcendental thought experiments	18
3 Epistemic reflection and the integrity of these four thought experiments	32
2 The metaphysics of Kant's transcendental idealism	36
4 Introduction	36
5 Noumenal causal affection of human sensibility	38
6 Some challenging passages	41
7 Verificationist interpretations of Kant and the transcendental significance of concepts	42
8 Transcendental reflection and the transcendental significance of concepts	47
9 Kant's transcendental reflection on sensibility	52
10 Noumenal and atemporal causality	55
11 Kant's metaphysical "dual aspect" idealism	56
12 Noumenal causality and rational agency	61
13 A positive view of noumenal causality	63
14 Conclusion	67
3 Transcendental affinity	68
15 Introduction	68
16 Is there a link between transcendental arguments and transcendental idealism?	69

17	Plan of discussion	71
18	The Humean background	72
19	Transcendental arguments with, and without, transcendental idealism	76
20	Void space and the possibility of experience	80
21	Kant: transcendental proofs require transcendental idealism	82
22	Transcendental affinity and the possibility of experience	87
23	Transcendental affinity in the A Deduction	101
24	Kant: transcendental idealism is the only explanation of transcendental affinity	107
25	Transcendental idealism cannot explain transcendental affinity	110
26	The material basis of transcendental affinity is independent of disputes about sensory affection	116
27	The prospect of nonsubjective formal transcendental conditions of possible experience undermines Kant's arguments for transcendental idealism, on grounds internal to the first <i>Critique</i>	118
28	Critique of Allison's methodology	123
29	Conclusions	125
4	The gap in Kant's <i>Critique of Pure Reason</i>	127
30	Introduction	127
31	Two accounts of the importance of the <i>Foundations</i> for the first <i>Critique</i>	129
32	Plan of discussion	131
33	Transcendental and metaphysical analysis	131
34	The role of outer intuition and its objects in the first edition	134
35	Three critical innovations in Kant's <i>Foundations</i>	137
36	The Analogies of Experience and external causation	146
37	A metaphysical presupposition of the Analogies of Experience	157
38	A second metaphysical presupposition of the Analogies of Experience	160
39	Systematic ramifications	166
40	A possible source of Kant's difficulty	171
5	Kant's dynamic misconstructions	173
41	Introduction	173
42	Kant's admission that matter is unconstructable	175
43	Kant's aim and method in the <i>Foundations</i>	176

44	Kant's phoronomic basis for dynamics	181
45	Phoronomy	182
46	Kant's fallacious use of the Proposition of Phoronomy	185
47	Kant's dawning recognition of the fallacy	189
48	Kant's dynamic theory of matter	190
49	Identifying Kant's circle	191
50	Kant's problems with cohesion	197
51	A further circularity in Kant's argument	198
52	Systematic ramifications of Kant's problems in the <i>Foundations</i>	199
6	Kant's metaphysical proof of the Law of Inertia	205
53	Introduction	205
54	Kant's plan for metaphysical foundations for Newtonian physics	205
55	Kant's Second Law of Mechanics	206
56	Kant's proof that physical causality is external	208
57	The empirical basis of Kant's refutations of vitalism and monadism	214
58	Systematic shortcomings of transcendental idealism	221
59	Kant's response to these critical problems	223
7	Three Kantian insights	228
60	Introduction	228
61	Kant's critique of determinism in empirical psychology	229
62	Justifying the metaphysical causal principle transcendently	244
63	Kant's critique of global perceptual skepticism	250
64	Conclusion	266
	Appendix	269
65	Summary of Kant's transcendental proof of the legitimacy of causal judgments	269
	<i>Bibliography</i>	275
	<i>Index of names</i>	291
	<i>Index of subjects</i>	293