

Contents

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 1 | Introduction: An Evolutionary Riddle | 3 |
| 1.1. | Why Is Religion an Evolutionary Dilemma? | 4 |
| 1.2. | Why Are Religions and Cultures Not Entities or Things? | 7 |
| 1.3. | What Is an Evolutionary Landscape? A Conduit Metaphor | 10 |
| 1.4. | Why Are Mickey Mouse and Marx Different from God? | |
| | Limitations of Cognitive and Commitment Theories of Religion | 13 |
| 1.5. | Overview | 15 |

PART I: EVOLUTIONARY SOURCES

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 2 | The Mindless Agent: Evolutionary Adaptations and By-products | 21 |
| 2.1. | Introduction: The Nature of Biological Adaptation | 22 |
| 2.2. | Evidence for Adaptation: Analogy, Homology, Functional Trade-off, Ontogeny | 25 |
| 2.3. | Sui Generis Human Cognition | 26 |
| 2.4. | Adaptations as Solutions to Ancestral Tasks | 32 |
| 2.5. | Reverse Engineering and Its Limits | 35 |
| 2.6. | The "Just-So" Story of the Self | 37 |
| 2.7. | The Mystery Tale of Language | 39 |
| 2.8. | Evolutionary "By-products" | 43 |
| 2.9. | Is the Big Brain Just a Spandrel Maker? | 45 |
| 2.10. | Evolutionary Psychology: A Tentative Research Paradigm | 47 |
| 2.11. | Summary: The Mindless Agent | 49 |

- 3 God's Creation: Evolutionary Origins of the Supernatural 51
 - 3.1. Souls and Spirits in Dreams and Shadows 51
 - 3.2. Modularity and Domain Specificity 57
 - 3.3. Agency 59
 - 3.4. The Natural Domain of Agency: Evidence from Infant Development 62
 - 3.5. Telic Structures and the Tragedy of Cognition 64
 - 3.6. The Supernatural: Agency's Cultural Domain 67
 - 3.7. Attachment Theory: Are Deities but Parental Surrogates?
The Devil They Are 71
 - 3.8. Summary: Supernatural Agency Is an Evolutionary By-product,
Trip-Wired by Predator-Protector-Prey Detection Schema 78

PART II: ABSURD COMMITMENTS

- 4 Counterintuitive Worlds: The Mostly Mundane
Nature of Religious Belief 83
 - 4.1. Natural and Supernatural Causality 83
 - 4.2. Mythical Episodes 88
 - 4.3. Cultural Representations 89
 - 4.4. Relevance and Truth: Why God's Word Cannot Be Disconfirmed 91
 - 4.5. Quasi Propositions 93
 - 4.6. Counterintuitions 95
 - 4.7. Memorability for Minimally Counterintuitive Beliefs and Belief Sets 100
 - 4.8. Displaying Truth: Metarepresenting Supernatural Worlds 107
 - 4.9. Summary: Making Possible Logically Impossible Worlds 112
- 5 The Sense of Sacrifice: Culture, Communication,
and Commitment 114
 - 5.1. Sacrifice: A Nonrecuperable Cost 114
 - 5.2. Altruism: Cooperating to Compete 117
 - 5.3. Fundamentalist Intolerance of Other "Species" 120
 - 5.4. Syncretism, Social Competition, and Symbolic Inversion 123
 - 5.5. Social and Supernatural Hierarchy 126
 - 5.6. The Evolutionary Rationality of Unreasonable Self-Sacrifice 130
 - 5.7. Sincere Self-Deception: Vengeance and Love 136
 - 5.8. Ceremonial Mediation, Magic, and Divination 140
 - 5.9. Summary: Religion's Enduring Embrace 144

PART III: RITUAL PASSIONS

- 6 Ritual and Revelation: The Emotional Mind 149
 - 6.1. Remembering Rituals: Doctrines and Images 149
 - 6.2. Some Problems: Liturgy Isn't Logical, Frequent Arousal Isn't Rare 155
 - 6.3. Schemas and Encoding Specificity: Episodic versus
Semantic Memory 159
 - 6.4. Affecting Memories 161

- 6.5. Ceremonially Manipulating Memory's Evolutionary Imperatives 163
- 6.6. Spirit Possession, Sudden Conversion, Mystical Experience:
Ritual Resolution without Rehearsal 165
- 6.7. Routine Ritual: Rehearsal with Only the Promise of Resolution 170
- 6.8. Summary: Ritual and Revelation: Extraordinary Displays
of Ordinary Means 173

7 Waves of Passion: The Neuropsychology of Religion 174

- 7.1. Neurobiological Evidence: The Amygdala and Stress Modulation 174
- 7.2. Adrenaline-Activating Death Scenes Heighten Religiosity:
An Experiment 177
- 7.3. Neurotheology: Science and Moonshine 178
- 7.4. A "God Module" in the Temporal Lobe? Not Likely 184
- 7.5. Religion and Psychopathology: Epilepsy, Schizophrenia, Autism 186
- 7.6. Summary: Mystical Episodes Inspire New Religions, but
Don't Make Religion 193

PART IV: MINDBLIND THEORIES

8 Culture without Mind: Sociobiology and Group Selection 199

- 8.1. Sociobiology, or Mystical Materialism 200
- 8.2. Are Norms Units of Cultural Evolution? 203
- 8.3. Emulation, Display, and Social Stabilization 204
- 8.4. Functionalism Rules Group Selection 207
- 8.5. Leapfrogging the Mind 210
- 8.6. Nebulous Norms 212
- 8.7. Group Selection in Biology: A Notational Variant
of Inclusive Fitness 215
- 8.8. Case Studies of Human Group Selection? Hardly 216
- 8.9. Cultural Epidemiology: A Garden Experiment in the Maya Lowlands 219
- 8.10. The Spirit of the Commons 224
- 8.11. Belief Systems as Group Evolutionary Strategies? Don't Believe It 227
- 8.12. Summary: Norms and Group-Level Traits Are Notional,
Not Natural, Kinds 234

9 The Trouble with Memes: Inference versus Imitation in Cultural Creation 236

- 9.1. Memes Are Nonbiological but Strictly Darwinian 236
- 9.2. What Is Unique about Memes? 238
- 9.3. Brain and Mind Building 239
- 9.4. Good and Bad Memes 240
- 9.5. Mindblind Memetics 241
- 9.6. The Multimodular Mind: Evidence for an Alternative 243
- 9.7. No Replication without Imitation, Therefore No Replication 248
- 9.8. Commandments Don't Command and Religion
Doesn't Reproduce 251

- 9.9. Imitation versus Inference 255
- 9.10. Summary: Cognitive Constraints on Culture 261

10 Conclusion: Why Religion Seems Here to Stay 263

- 10.1. Religions are costly, hard-to-fake commitments to counterintuitive worlds 264
- 10.2. Religions aren't adaptations but do conform to an evolutionary landscape 264
- 10.3. Supernatural agents arise by cultural manipulation of stimuli in the natural domain of folkpsychology, which evolved trip-wired to detect animate agents 266
- 10.4. Metarepresentation allows moral deception but also enables one to imagine supernatural worlds that finesse modular expectations so as to parry the problem 267
- 10.5. Emotionally motivated self-sacrifice to the supernatural stabilizes in-group moral order, inspiring competition with out-groups and so creating new religious forms 268
- 10.6. Existential anxieties (e.g., death) motivate religious belief and practice, so only emotional assuaging of such anxieties—never reason alone—validates religion 269
- 10.7. Neurobiological comparisons of mystical states (e.g., trance) to pathological states (schizophrenia, epilepsy) underplay agency and prefrontal cortical activity 270
- 10.8. Sociobiology (unknown genes direct religious behaviors) and group selection theory (religious cultures are superorganisms) ignore minds as causes of religion 271
- 10.9. Religious notions don't replicate as memes imitated in host minds but recreate across minds through inferences and evocations driven by modular constraints 273
- 10.10. Secular Science and Religion: Coexistence or a Zero-Sum Game? 274

Notes 281

References 301

Index 337

A photogallery appears after page 146.