

---

# Contents

---

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	v
<i>Note to the Reader</i>	vii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xiii
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xix
INTRODUCTION	1
I Purpose of the Book	1
II Scope and Outline	4
III Methodology	8
PART I THEORETICAL AND HISTORICAL FOUNDATIONS	9
1 WELFARE AND OBJECTIVES OF COMPETITION LAW AND POLICY	11
I Introduction	11
II Welfare Economics, Different Understandings of Welfare and Efficiency	12
A In General	12
B Different Types of Efficiency and Efficiency Trade-Offs in Competition Policy	19
i Different Types of Efficiency	19
ii Efficiency Trade-Offs in Competition Policy	21
III Objectives of Competition Law and Policy	25
A In General	25
i The Harvard School	26
ii The Chicago School	27
iii The Post-Chicago School	29
B Consumer Welfare and Total Welfare as Standards for Competition Law and Policy	30
C Triangles, Rectangles and Trapezoids: Whose Interest Matters More?	37
D Is Welfare All That Matters?	44
IV Conclusion	47
2 THE HISTORICAL ROOTS OF ARTICLE 102 TFEU	49
I Introduction	49
II Objectives of EU Competition Law and Policy	50
III Ordoliberalism and Ordoliberal Competition Policy	55

IV	Insights from the Period leading to the Treaties of Rome	63
	A Germany	63
	B Europe	69
V	The Highlights of the History of the Competition Rules in the Treaties of Rome	74
	A Back to Basics: From Messina to the ‘Spaak Report’	74
	B The ‘Spaak Report’	75
	i An Overview	75
	ii The Common Market and the Goal of Efficiency	76
	iii The Problem of Monopolies	78
	iv ‘Fair’ Competition	79
	C Drafting of the Competition Rules	80
	D The First Signs of Struggle: Proposal for Regulation 17	85
	E Early Commission Interpretation	90
	F Early Understandings of Dominance and Abuse	93
VI	Implications for Article 102	96
VII	Conclusion	102
	 PART II TWO POTENTIAL OBJECTIVES: ‘WELFARE’ AND ‘FAIRNESS’	107
3	WELFARE IN ARTICLE 102 TFEU	109
I	Introduction	109
II	Article 101(3), the Welfare Standard and the Treatment of Efficiencies under Article 102	112
	A Why is there not an Equivalent of Article 101(3) in Article 102?	115
	B Is there a Pass-On Requirement for Efficiencies in Article 102?	120
	C Does Article 101(3) Set a Standard of ‘Consumer Welfare’?	126
III	The Standard of Harm and the ‘Consumer Welfare’ Standard: A Critical Look at the Application of Article 102 by the Commission and the EU Courts	129
	A Are <i>Effects</i> Necessary for a Finding of Abuse?	130
	B On Whom or What should Harm (or Harmful <i>Effects</i> ) be Demonstrated?	134
IV	Conclusion	143
4	‘FAIRNESS’ IN ARTICLE 102 TFEU	146
I	Introduction	146
II	Fairness and Ordoliberalism	151
III	EU Case Law	153
IV	Notions of ‘Fairness’	157
	A In General	157

B	Contract Law	159
C	The Similarity of Fairness Notions in Contract Law with Article 102 and its Implications	167
	i Inequality of Bargaining Power	167
	ii Duress, Economic Duress and Undue Influence	169
	a Duress and Economic Duress	170
	b Undue Influence	172
D	EU Consumer Law	174
	i Unfair Contract Terms	176
	ii Unfair Commercial Practices	178
V	Conclusion	182
PART III CONFLICTING OBJECTIVES IN PRACTICE: TWO CASE STUDIES		185
5	A CASE STUDY ON 'FAIRNESS' VERSUS 'WELFARE': 'UNFAIR PRICING' AS AN ABUSE	187
I	Introduction	187
II	Scope of Inquiry	191
III	EU Case Law on 'Unfair Pricing'	193
IV	Insights from Behavioural Economics on '(Un)Fair' Prices	197
V	Suggestions from the Literature on Operationalising the 'Unfair Pricing' Prohibition	204
VI	Is the Prohibition of 'Unfair Pricing' Inherently Problematic?	208
	A Problems with Consistency, Certainty and Welfare	208
	B Inherent Irrationality?	213
VII	A New Test	218
	A Business-to-Consumer Transactions	219
	B Business-to-Business Transactions	220
	C The Operation of the New Test	224
VIII	Conclusion	228
6	A CASE STUDY ON 'FAIRNESS' VERSUS 'WELFARE': 'DISCRIMINATION' AS AN ABUSE	231
I	Introduction	231
II	Definitional Issues and the Operation of the Prohibition under Article 102 TFEU	235
	A Definitional Issues	235
	B The 'Competitive Disadvantage' Requirement and the Operation of the Prohibition in Practice	240
III	Should Discrimination be an Abuse under Article 102 TFEU?	245
	A Welfare	246

xii	CONTENTS	
	B Fairness	252
	C Fairness versus Welfare	257
IV	Reconciliation	262
V	Conclusion	265
	PART IV MODERNISING THE APPROACH TO 'ABUSE'	267
7	THE 'REFORMED' APPROACH TO 'ABUSE'	269
I	Introduction	269
II	The Commission's 'Reform' of its Approach to 'Abuse'	270
	A In General	270
	B The Standard for Price-Based Exclusionary Conduct: The 'As Efficient Competitor' Test	274
	C 'Objective Necessity' and 'Efficiencies'	280
III	Is an Economic, Effects-Based Approach Inherently Problematic?	284
IV	Choice: The Problem with the 'Reformed' Approach?	291
V	Conclusion	298
8	A NEW APPROACH TO 'ABUSE'	300
I	Introduction	300
II	Exploitation as a Necessary Condition	301
III	Exclusion as a Necessary Condition	307
IV	A Lack of an Increase in Efficiency as a Necessary Condition	316
V	Evaluation of the New Approach	319
VI	Conclusion	325
	CONCLUSION	328
	<i>Bibliography</i>	331
	<i>Index</i>	347