

CONTENTS

<i>Foreword</i>	vii
<i>Preface</i>	xi
<i>List of Cases</i>	xvii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxxi
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1. The Neglected Comparative Constitutional Law Perspective	3
2. Key Findings	10
2.1. Conventional Judicial Law-Making	12
2.2. Rights-Consistent Judicial Law-Making	15
2.3. The European Legal Duty of Conforming Interpretation	20
2.4. The New Legal Duty of Conforming Interpretation after Brexit	26
2.5. Trends and Convergence in Judicial Law-Making	26
3. (Ir)relevance of Limits and Techniques of Interpretation	28
4. Method and Scope of the Book	41
Chapter 2. Conventional Canons of Statutory Interpretation	49
1. Aim of Statutory Interpretation	49
2. The Interpretative Criteria and Their Weighing	54
2.1. Interpretation in a Narrow Sense (<i>Auslegung</i>)	54
2.2. Canons of Interpretation in England	58
2.3. Comparative Analysis	66
3. Gap-Filling in Germany	68
3.1. Judicial Law-Making <i>Praeter Legem</i>	72
3.2. Judicial Law-Making <i>Extra Legem</i>	78
3.3. Constitutionalising the Limits of Statutory Interpretation	86
3.3.1. Common Limits, Different Application	87
3.3.2. The Recognised Methods of Statutory Interpretation	91
3.3.3. The Standard of Constitutional Review: Reasonableness	94
3.3.4. Towards a Stricter Understanding of the Constitutional Limits of Interpretation	96
4. Reading In, Out or Down of Words in Legislation in England	102
4.1. Literal Rule and the Doctrine of Parliamentary Sovereignty	103
4.2. From Literalism to Purposivism	106

4.3.	Saving Statutes from Absurdity	114
4.4.	Correcting Obvious Drafting Errors	115
4.5.	Updating Interpretation	119
5.	Comparative Analysis	125
Chapter 3. Rights-Consistent Interpretation		139
1.	Introduction	142
1.1.	Constitution-Consistent Interpretation	142
1.2.	Section 3(1) Human Rights Act	144
2.	Aim of Rights-Consistent Interpretation	151
3.	Relationship with Conventional Canons of Interpretation	154
4.	Interpretative Priority	158
5.	Presumption of Compliance	159
6.	Techniques of Judicial Law-Making	165
6.1.	Gap-Filling	165
6.2.	Reading In, Out or Down of Words in Legislation	166
6.3.	Comparative Analysis	173
7.	Outer Interpretative Limits	179
7.1.	Constitution-Consistent Interpretation	179
7.2.	Section 3(1) Human Rights Act	188
7.2.1.	Statutory Language	189
7.2.2.	Intention of Parliament and Purpose of the Legislation	197
7.2.3.	Fundamental Features of the Legislation	208
7.2.4.	Scheme, Grain and Thrust of the Legislation	213
7.2.5.	Policy Choices and Issues Calling for Legislative Deliberation	216
7.3.	Comparative Analysis	223
7.3.1.	Wide Powers of Rights-Consistent Judicial Law-Making	225
7.3.2.	High Level of Congruence	228
7.3.3.	The Constitutional Law Perspective	234
7.3.4.	Formalism	235
7.3.5.	Evaluative Arguments	237
7.3.6.	Legal (Un)certainty	242
7.3.7.	Convergence of Judicial Reasoning	245
8.	A Look into the Future: A UK Bill of Rights?	246
Chapter 4. The European Legal Duty of Conforming Interpretation		257
1.	The European Dimension	260
1.1.	Scope of the EU Legal Duty of Conforming Interpretation	261
1.2.	Functions of Conforming Interpretation	265
1.3.	Principle of Equivalence	269

1.4.	European Methodological Rules	270
1.4.1.	Interpretative Priority of the Conforming Meaning	272
1.4.2.	Presumption of Compliance	272
1.4.3.	Relationship with National Legal Methodologies.	275
1.5.	The <i>Contra Legem</i> Limit	277
2.	Aim of Conforming Interpretation	279
3.	Relationship with Other National Canons of Interpretation	288
3.1.	Interpretative Structure	288
3.2.	Conventional Limits of Judicial Law-Making	291
3.2.1.	Rejection of a “Europeanisation from the Inside” in Germany	292
3.2.2.	Endorsement of a “Europeanisation from the Inside” in England	295
3.2.3.	Comparative Analysis.	309
3.3.	Analogy to Rights-Consistent Interpretation.	311
3.3.1.	Constitution-Consistent Interpretation in Germany.	311
3.3.2.	Section 3(1) Human Rights Act in England	313
3.3.3.	Comparative Analysis.	316
4.	Interpretative Priority in National Courts.	318
5.	Presumption of Compliance in National Courts	319
5.1.	The Presumption Rule in German Courts	319
5.2.	The Presumption Rule in English Courts	322
5.3.	Comparative Analysis	331
6.	Techniques of Judicial Law-Making.	332
6.1.	Gap-Filling	332
6.1.1.	Legislation Adopted for the Purpose of Transposing a Directive	332
6.1.2.	Non-Implementing Legislation that Precedes a Directive	338
6.2.	Reading In, Out or Down of Words in Legislation	343
6.3.	Comparative Analysis	345
7.	Outer Interpretative Limits	347
7.1.	Limits of Conforming Judicial Law-Making in Germany	348
7.2.	Limits of Conforming Judicial Law-Making in England	358
7.2.1.	Intention of the Enacting Parliament.	359
7.2.2.	Statutory Language	368
7.2.3.	Scheme and Fundamental Features of the Legislation.	372
7.2.4.	Unsettled Outer Limits of Conforming Interpretation	374
7.3.	Comparative Analysis	380
7.3.1.	Development Towards Converging Outer Limits of Conforming Judicial Law-Making	381
7.3.2.	Evaluative Arguments and Judicial Discretion	385
7.3.3.	Judicial Attitudes and the Stretching of the Outer Interpretative Limits	387

7.3.4. Adaptability of the Outer Interpretative Limits	392
7.3.5. Legal (Un)certainty.....	393
8. A Look into the Future: Brexit	396
8.1. Retained EU Law	398
8.2. The Principle of the Supremacy of EU Law	401
8.3. The New Legal Duty of Conforming Interpretation	406
8.4. Interpretation of Retained EU Law	413
8.5. Transition Period	420
Chapter 5. Conclusion	423
<i>Index.....</i>	<i>433</i>
<i>About the Author.....</i>	<i>437</i>