

Contents

<i>Series Editors' Preface</i>	<i>page</i> xiii
<i>Preface</i>	xv
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xix
1 Introduction and overview - interpretation and the European Court of Justice	1
Introduction	1
Methodological framework	5
The constitutional context and case selection	5
The universalisability of legal reasoning	9
The nature of interpretation	12
A note on terminology	17
'Activism', 'restraint', 'deference'	17
Terminology relating to interpretation	19
An overview of the court's case law - a short genealogy of judicial creativity	21
Introduction	21
'Constitutionalising' the Community	26
Free movement	40
Human rights	44
Criminal law	46
'Social Europe'	48
Conclusion	49
2 Reading the Court of Justice	52
Introduction	52
The early years, benign neglect and Rasmussen's critique	59
Bredimas	60
Rasmussen	60

Reaction to Rasmussen	64
Postscript to Rasmussen: Weiler on a normative theory	67
Institutional legal positivism and beyond	69
Bengoetxea	69
Maduro	74
Debating the Court	77
A dangerous institution? – Sir Patrick Neill and Judge David Edward	77
Hartley–Arnulf exchange	79
The intervention of Herzog and Gerken	83
Conclusion	84
3 Reconceptualising the legal reasoning of the European Court of Justice – interpretation and its constraints	86
Introduction	86
Democracy and the rule of law as meta-principles of political morality in the EU	89
Legitimacy	94
Evolutive versus static interpretation of constitutions	97
General comments	97
Constituent power in the EU	100
Originalism contrasted with constitutional transformation or ‘juridical <i>coup d’état</i> ’	104
Some objections to originalist interpretation	107
The case for interpretative restraint in adjudication by the European Court of Justice	114
Linking democracy and the rule of law	114
Interpretation, abstraction and Waldron’s objection from democracy to constitutional review	117
Dworkinian interpretivism	120
A shared interpretative or hermeneutic framework of law	129
Texts, rules, principles and policies	139
Gaps and the completeness of the law	166
Conclusion	170
4 Retrieving a separation of powers in the European Union	172
Introduction	172
Definition and context of the separation of powers	175

Institutional configuration of the EU in light of a tripartite separation of powers	178
The legislature	178
The executive	182
The judiciary	186
The federal analogy	188
Final reflections on the separations of power in the Union	192
Some general comments	192
The concept of institutional balance	194
The Lisbon Treaty	198
Conclusion	199
5 EU law and a hierarchy of interpretative techniques	201
Introduction	201
Case studies from EU law	202
Criminal law and due process	202
Equality and citizenship	209
The interaction of the Common Foreign and Security Policy and common market competence: <i>Kadi</i> and smart sanctions	220
Conclusion	224
6 Levels of generality and originalist interpretation in the legal reasoning of the ECJ	225
Introduction	225
Two alternative approaches	227
The most specific relevant tradition and levels of generality	227
Coherence in determining levels of generality	229
The practicality of common principles of the Member States as a relevant tradition	232
Three case studies	232
State liability	233
Criminal law	237
The general law of external relations	240
Levels of generality and other interpretative considerations	242
Precedent in EU law	244
Conclusion	245

7 Subjective originalist interpretation in the legal reasoning of the ECJ	247
Introduction	247
Specific justification of subjective originalist interpretation	248
Direct v. indirect subjective originalist interpretation	248
Legal texts and corporate or collective intention	250
Overview of practice of the ECJ on evolutive interpretation	255
Two case studies	258
The supremacy doctrine	258
Case law doctrine on non-discriminatory obstacles	266
Conclusion	272
8 Conclusion	273
<i>Bibliography</i>	284
<i>Index</i>	310