

Contents

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	ix
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	x
<i>Introduction</i>	xi
1 The Leningrad connection: Oriental projects of source editions	1
<i>Classical Oriental studies and Soviet politics</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>Toward the new scholarship: planning and collective work</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>Dividing sources into national pieces: the Turkmen project</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Semen Volin: Bartol'd's unacknowledged successor</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>Evgenii Bertel's and the crown of source editing:</i>	
<i>Jami' at-tawarikh</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>The Kirgiz group in Leningrad</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>The Kazakh project: completion of the program</i>	<i>19</i>
<i>Conclusion</i>	<i>26</i>
2 Nationalism and regionalism: dividing and integrating Soviet Central Asia in meta-histories	36
<i>Regional and national perspectives in history writing</i>	<i>36</i>
<i>The early Soviet discourse on the nomads</i>	<i>38</i>
<i>The impact of national delimitation and sedentarization</i>	<i>39</i>
<i>Sandzhar Asfendiiarov: the nomadic concept of</i>	
<i>Kazakh history (1920s–30s)</i>	<i>46</i>
<i>The Soviet concept of autochtonism in Central Asia</i>	<i>50</i>
<i>Anna Pankratova and the official Kazakh history of the 1940s</i>	<i>52</i>
<i>Discussions around Kazakh history: the late 1940s–50s</i>	<i>54</i>
<i>The 1954 Tashkent conference: freezing of the dogma?</i>	<i>60</i>
<i>The rehabilitation of "bourgeois" Orientalists:</i>	
<i>Bartol'd reemerging in the 1950s–70s</i>	<i>65</i>

*A great provocation? A tentative switch to the regional concept
of history in the USSR* 70

Conclusion 76

3 The establishment of Kazakh Orientology

89

The Institute of History and its structure 89

The Nusupbekov–Dakhshleiger tandem 90

Reincarnations of Orientology in Kazakhstan 93

Sapar Ibragimov: between Leningrad and Alma-Ata 101

Veniamin Iudin: an oppressed Orientalist 103

Klavdiia Pishchulina: the continuity of Kazakh statehood 106

Sergei Kliashorny: Orientalists in the state service 108

The team of young Orientalists in Alma-Ata 113

*In search of shajaras: genealogical narratives of the
Kazakh tribes, 1970–80* 118

Conclusion 125

4 General conclusion

135

Politicization of philology 136

Regional vs. republican approaches in nation building 137

Triangle: Moscow–Leningrad–Tashkent 138

Power and scholarship 140

Index

143