CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAGE
I. THE NATURE, SCOPE AND IMPORTANCE OF THE SUBJECT	3
II. Precedents of Grant and Refusal of Dissolution	
A. United Kingdom	II
B. Australia (i) New South Wales (ii) Victoria (iii) Queensland (iv) South Australia (v) Tasmania (vi) Western Australia (vii) The Commonwealth	13
C. New Zealand	40
D. Canada (i) Nova Scotia (ii) New Brunswick (iii) Province of Canada (iv) Quebec (v) Manitoba (vi) British Columbia	44
E. Newfoundland	59
F. Union of South Africa	65
G. Summary and Analysis of Precedents	65
H. Forced Dissolution	71
III. Opinions of Constitutional Authorities	72
IV. Critique of the Opinions of Constitutional Authorities xvii	106

CHAPTER	PAGE
V. The Canadian Constitutional Crisis of 1926 (1)	
A. The Events of June 25-July 2	131
B. Constitutional Questions Raised by These Events	139
C. The Refusal of Dissolution in the Light of the Circumstances of June 28	143
D. Refusal of Dissolution to an Undefeated Government	146
E. The Question of the Pending Vote of Censure	162
F. Refusal of Dissolution to a Defeated Government	186
G. The Opinions of Keith and Dawson on the Refusal of Dissolution in Canada, June 28, 1926	199
VI. THE CANADIAN CONSTITUTIONAL CRISIS OF 1926 (11)	
A. The Constitutionality of the Refusal in Relation to Mr. Meighen's Ability to Carry on with the Existing House	204
B. The Constitutionality of the Refusal in Relation to the Constitutionality of the Temporary Government	205
C. The Constitutionality of the Temporary Government	206
D. The Constitutionality of the Grant of Dissolution to Mr. Meighen	232
E. The Constitutionality of the Refusal of June 28 in Relation to the Grant of Disso- lution on July 2	230

CONTENTS	xix
F. The Constitutionality of the Manner of	PAGE
Dissolution on July 2	240
G. The Crisis and Canada's Status	243
H. Conclusion	249
VII. THE SOUTH AFRICAN CRISIS OF 1939	251
VIII. Conclusions	257
A. Grant and Refusal of Dissolution	260
B. Forced Dissolutions	270
APPENDIX A. Grants of Dissolution in Special Circumstances, and Refusals of Dissolution	273
B. Cabinets of Ministers without Portfolio, Cabinets in Which Most of the Mini- sters Held No Portfolio, and Cabinets in Which Most Ministers Held Port- folios but the Premier Did Not	287
C. Granting of Supply to Ministers without Portfolio, or Private Members	295
D. Abolition of Ministerial By-Elections	297
BIBLIOGRAPHY	299
INDEX	305