

# Table of Contents

<i>List of Figures and Tables</i>	xv
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xvii
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xxvii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxxii
<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Setting the Scene: Frontex, Multi-Actor Situations, and Responsibility	1
1.2 Research Question and Scope	5
1.2.1 Main research question	5
1.2.2 Scope of the research	6
1.2.2.1 The operations and actors	6
1.2.2.2 The legal framework	6
1.2.3 The research question in detail	10
1.3 Research Design	10
1.3.1 General approach	10
1.3.2 Attribution and causation	15
1.3.3 Bases for responsibility: primary and associated responsibility	16
1.4 Scientific Relevance	19
1.5 An Outline of the Study	20
<b>2. Frontex-Coordinated Joint Operations</b>	<b>22</b>
2.1 Frontex: An Overview	23
2.1.1 Origin, establishment, and tasks	23
2.1.1.1 The ‘Schengen area’: common rules governing external border control	23
2.1.1.2 Operational implementation of the common rules	25
2.1.1.3 Establishment and development of Frontex	26
2.1.1.4 Tasks of Frontex	28
2.1.2 Legal personality	29
2.1.3 Internal organisation	32
2.1.3.1 Governing bodies	32
2.1.3.2 Staff	33
2.1.3.3 Fundamental rights bodies	34
2.2 Joint Operations	35
2.2.1 Joint border control operations	35
2.2.1.1 Activities during operations	35
2.2.1.2 Types of operation	38
2.2.1.3 Examples of joint border control operations implemented by Frontex	39
2.2.2 Joint return operations	41
2.2.3 Joint operations hosted by third states	42

2.3	Operational Resources for Joint Operations	45
2.3.1	Financial resources	45
2.3.2	Pooling of human and technical resources	47
2.3.2.1	Human resources	47
2.3.2.2	Technical resources	49
2.3.2.3	Availability of pooled resources	51
2.3.3	Deployment of human and technical resources	52
2.3.3.1	Deployment of human resources	52
2.3.3.2	Deployment of technical resources	55
2.3.3.3	Operational Resources Management System (OPERA)	56
2.3.3.4	Overview: personnel deployed during operations	57
2.4	Implementing Joint Operations	58
2.4.1	Applicable rules during joint operations	58
2.4.1.1	Generally applicable rules	58
2.4.1.2	Operation-specific rules: the Operational Plan	59
2.4.1.3	Human rights	61
2.4.2	Coordination structures during joint operations	62
2.4.2.1	Coordination structures located in the host state	62
2.4.2.2	Coordination instruments provided by Frontex	64
2.4.3	Authority over deployed resources	66
2.4.3.1	Command and control arrangements	66
2.4.3.2	Disciplinary authority, criminal jurisdiction, and civil liability	71
2.4.4	Responding to fundamental rights-related incidents	72
2.4.4.1	General rules on incident reporting	72
2.4.4.2	Dealing with fundamental rights-related incidents	73
2.4.4.3	Suspension, termination, or withdrawal of financial support	76
2.5	Conclusion	78
<b>3.</b>	<b>Responsibility under the ECHR</b>	<b>80</b>
3.1	Responsibility of EU Member States for Breaches of the ECHR	81
3.1.1	Responsibility for breaches of the ECHR	81
3.1.1.1	Conditions for responsibility under the law of international responsibility	81
3.1.1.2	Sources of the law of international responsibility	83
3.1.1.3	The law of international responsibility and the ECHR	85
3.1.2	Responsibility for conduct relating to EU law	86
3.1.2.1	Responsibility for conduct of the EU	86
3.1.2.2	Responsibility for conduct of EU member states	90
3.1.2.3	Responsibility for conduct during Frontex operations	96
3.2	Attribution of Conduct: Identifying the Relevant Rules	97
3.2.1	The rules on attribution of conduct	98
3.2.1.1	Attribution of conduct to states	98
3.2.1.2	Attribution of conduct to international organisations	100
3.2.1.3	Illustration	104
3.2.1.4	Possibilities of attribution to multiple entities	104
3.2.2	A special rule for Frontex operations?	105
3.2.2.1	A special rule for the European Union?	105
3.2.2.2	Responsibility allocation arrangements in the EBCG Regulation	109

3.3	Responsibility for the Primary Breach	111
3.3.1	Attribution of conduct during Frontex operations: the starting point	112
3.3.2	Attribution of conduct to the host state	114
3.3.2.1	Article 6 ASR	114
3.3.2.2	Article 6 ASR in the case law of the ECtHR	118
3.3.2.3	Article 6 ASR in the context of Frontex operations	122
3.3.3	Attribution of conduct to the EU	127
3.3.3.1	Article 7 ARIO	127
3.3.3.2	Article 7 ARIO in the case law of the ECtHR	132
3.3.3.3	Article 7 ARIO in the context of Frontex operations	136
3.3.4	Interim conclusion	138
3.4	Responsibility for Associated Conduct	141
3.4.1	Responsibility for violations of obligations to protect	142
3.4.1.1	Positive obligations under the ECHR: an overview	143
3.4.1.2	Protecting individuals from interference by third parties	145
3.4.1.3	Responsibility for failures to protect during Frontex operations	153
3.4.2	Responsibility for rendering aid or assistance	160
3.4.2.1	Derivative responsibility under international law	160
3.4.2.2	Responsibility for rendering aid or assistance	162
3.4.2.3	Aid or assistance in the context of Frontex operations	164
3.4.3	Interim conclusion	167
3.5	Conclusion	171
4.	<b>Liability under EU Law</b>	180
4.1	Introduction to EU Public Liability Law	182
4.1.1	What is public liability?	182
4.1.2	Liability of the European Union and Union bodies	183
4.1.2.1	Legal basis	183
4.1.2.2	Judicial competence and admissibility	184
4.1.2.3	Conditions for liability of the European Union	185
4.1.3	Liability of EU Member States	189
4.1.3.1	Legal basis	189
4.1.3.2	Judicial competence and admissibility	190
4.1.3.3	Conditions for liability of EU member states	190
4.1.4	Analysing public liability law	192
4.1.4.1	Union and member state liability as a single system of public liability	192
4.1.4.2	Case law in the area of public liability	193
4.2	Liability for Fundamental Rights Violations	196
4.2.1	Unlawfulness: the character of the rule infringed	197
4.2.1.1	Individual rights, direct effect, and public liability	198
4.2.1.2	Individual rights in public liability law	200
4.2.1.3	Fundamental rights as sources of 'individual rights'	203
4.2.2	Unlawfulness: the nature of the breach	207
4.2.2.1	Discretion and its limits	208
4.2.2.2	The obviousness and reprehensibility of the breach	209
4.2.2.3	The interplay between the factors determining seriousness	216



4.2.2.4	Interim conclusion: the ‘reasonable unlawful interpretation’	221
4.2.2.5	The seriousness of fundamental rights violations	222
4.2.3	Damage and causal link	228
4.2.3.1	Damage	228
4.2.3.2	Causation	231
4.3	Liability for the Primary Breach	232
4.3.1	How to approach questions of allocation of liability	233
4.3.1.1	Attribution, causation, and allocation of liability in EU law	233
4.3.1.2	The approach of the CJEU	234
4.3.1.3	The approach adopted for the purposes of this study	236
4.3.2	Towards a categorisation of multi-actor situations in EU law	237
4.3.2.1	The starting point	237
4.3.2.2	A tentative categorisation of multi-actor situations in EU law	241
4.3.3	Independent application of Union legislation by member states	245
4.3.3.1	Liability of the Union for unlawful Union legislation	245
4.3.3.2	No liability of member states for application of unlawful Union legislation	247
4.3.3.3	Liability of member states for unlawful application of Union legislation	249
4.3.4	Cooperative application of Union law	250
4.3.4.1	Non-binding advice, recommendations, and opinions	251
4.3.4.2	Legally binding instructions	253
4.3.4.3	The pivotal role of the legal room for manoeuvre	256
4.3.5	Finding the competent court	258
4.3.5.1	The starting point: procedure follows substance	258
4.3.5.2	Exhaustion of local remedies	261
4.3.6	Interim findings: identifying the rules on allocation of liability	263
4.3.6.1	Allocation of liability between the Union and its member states	263
4.3.6.2	Allocation of liability between member states	265
4.3.6.3	Possibilities of joint or concurrent liability	265
4.3.6.4	Overview	266
4.3.7	Allocation of liability during Frontex operations	266
4.3.7.1	Frontex: normative control over conduct during joint operations?	268
4.3.7.2	Member states: normative control over conduct during joint operations?	270
4.3.8	Interim conclusion	271
4.4	Liability for Associated Conduct	274
4.4.1	Associated obligations under EU law	275
4.4.1.1	Obligations to supervise	276
4.4.1.2	Obligations to protect	277
4.4.2	Conditions for liability for associated conduct	280
4.4.2.1	Conferring rights on individuals	281
4.4.2.2	Sufficiently serious breach	284
4.4.2.3	Causal link	289
4.4.3	Joint or concurrent liability	290
4.4.3.1	Court competence	291
4.4.3.2	The impact of parallel proceedings	292

4.4.4	Liability for associated conduct in the context of joint operations	293
4.4.4.1	Associated liability of Frontex	294
4.4.4.2	Associated liability of participating states	297
4.4.4.3	Associated liability of the host state	300
4.4.5	Interim conclusion	303
4.5	Conclusion	306
<b>5.</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>317</b>
5.1	Joint Operations and Legal Responsibility under the ECHR and EU Public Liability Law	318
5.1.1	Joint operations, deployed resources, and transfer of authority	318
5.1.2	Preconditions for legal responsibility under ECHR and EU law	320
5.2	Allocation of Responsibility in Multi-Actor Situations: The General Rules	321
5.2.1	Allocation of primary responsibility under ECHR and EU law	321
5.2.2	Allocation of associated responsibility under ECHR and EU law	323
5.3	Allocation of Responsibility in Multi-Actor Situations: The Case of Frontex	326
5.3.1	Primary responsibility of host states, participating states, and Frontex	327
5.3.2	Associated responsibility of host states, participating states, and Frontex	329
5.3.3	Summary of findings	332
5.3.4	Responsibility in Examples 1–4	334
5.4	A Way Forward: Obstacles and Recommendations	339
5.4.1	Determining responsibility	339
5.4.1.1	The authority regime	339
5.4.1.2	EU public liability law	341
5.4.2	Incurring responsibility	344
5.4.2.1	General aspects	344
5.4.2.2	Addressing multi-actor situations	345
5.4.3	Implementing responsibility	347
5.5	Epilogue	349
	<i>Bibliography</i>	351
	<i>Index</i>	369