Table of Contents

Table of Cases		
International Treaties and Conventions		
EU Secondary Legislation		
Table of International Treaties and Conventions		
List of Abbreviations	xxxiii	
1. Introduction	1	
A. Equal Treatment as a Cornerstone of Europ	ean Integration 3	
B. EU Equality Law as a Social Policy with a T		
C. EU Equality Law as an (Autonomous) Fund		
1. EU equality law gives expression to the fund		
treatment	9	
2. Why does understanding EU equality law a		
matter?	12	
3. EU equality law as the 'first' fundamental ri		
D. Equality Law Breaking New Boundaries as		
Fundamental Rights Policy?	17	
1. Approach and structure of the book	18	
2. Relationship to existing literature on EU eq		
3. Equality and non-discrimination in EU law	21	
2. Checks and Balances in the Process of Fundam	nental Rights	
Law-Making in the EU	22	
A. Legislating on Fundamental Rights within	_	
Order	23	
1. Three stages in the regulation of (fundamen		
(a) The protection of civil and social rights	s through ordinary laws in 24	
Europe (b) 'Uploading' fundamental rights protec		
(c) 'Downloading' fundamental rights pro-		
level: legislating to give expression to a	the state of the s	
2. Implications of the 'downloading' of fundar	0	
within the EU legal order	27	
(a) Legislation defines the scope of EU po		
(b) Legislation impacts on the tools availal		
fundamental rights	28	
(c) Legislation crystallizes a mutation in the		
fundamental rights	28	
3. The downloading of fundamental rights pro		
only partial	29	
B. Debating Systems of Fundamental Rights F	The state of the s	
Legal Order: Inter-Institutional Tensions	30	
1. The interplay between constitution and legi		
fundamental rights in domestic legal orders	30	

			(a)	The debate on judicial review: rights as limits versus outcomes of	
				political processes	30
			(b)	1 On of fillingamental rights: legislative	30
				intelligible walks and to encure their realization	22
		2.	Con	istitutional versus legislative guidance on fundamental rights in the EII	33
			(a)	n as inherent in both fundamental rights and	35
					36
			(b)	Intervention by the Hill in matters concerning	
					36
			(C)	Responses to the call for political intervention by the EU in matters concerning fundamental intervention by the EU in matters	
		2		ntol rights	37
		3.	Con	istitutional versus legislative midance on equality law in the EII	39
	C.	* **	LULUAL	o detreeti Dollies - and Hill and Market	
			Oper	distantion of the file adamontal Diabta Diabta	44
		1.		Constitution of the state of th	44
				THE THE WIND WAS A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE	1.1
		2.	The	EU principle of sub- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	44
			inter	rvention in fundamental rights matters	/-
		3.	The	EU is not a subsidiary organ	47
		4.	The	supranationalization C 11 1 T	49
	D	Cor	nclus	supranationalization of equality law-making in the EU	53
	υ.	00.		Dimension of EU Equality Law-Making	55
3.	EU	J Equ	uality	y Law at a Constitutional Crossroads	58
	A.	Plu.	rality	in the Making of Ell Equality I am Margin Dentition	
		The	ir Fu	inctions, and Key Actors	
		1.	The	prohibition of discrete in the state of the	59
			(a)	prohibition of discri mination as a constitutional check The general principle of equality	61
			()	build by the of equality	61
			(2)	Equality in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union	-19
					62
			()	EU membership of select international human rights treaties Fundamental rights protection within the scope of application of	63
				EC IAW	66
			(e)	Fundamental rights, constituent powers, and the Court	67
		2.	The p	prohibition of discri mination as a constitutional check and an EU	0,
			comp	petence	68
			(a)	Treaty provisions performing a dual function: the prohibition of	00
				nationality and sex discrimination in relation to pay	69
			(b)	The dual function: its implications and institutional actors	
		3.		competences to adopt legislation giving effect to the prohibition of imination	70
					71
			(a)	'Old' branches of equality law: ordinary legislative procedure	71
					73
			(c)	The 'invisible' branch of EU equality law	75
	D	4.	COIL	Auston, Constitution of acress matrices	76
	В.	11120	ituui	onal Competition for the Chaping of EII E and it a	77
				ing a tramework for and hindering political	, ,
			debat	re e	79

	Table of Contents	xiii
	(a) Framing the debate in European terms and placing the EU	
	judiciary in a central position: Defrenne II	79
	(b) Consolidating political entrapment: legislative codification of	
	constitutional case law	81
	(c) Bridging the constitutional asymmetry between 'old' and 'new'	
	branches of equality law: Mangold and Kücükdeveci	83
	(d) Incorporating the outcome of the political process back into the	0.5
	constitutional norm?	85
	(e) Conclusions: from pre-empting to hindering political debate	87
	2. Soul-searching: how and where to acknowledge the political dimension	07
	of fundamental rights law-making	87
	(a) Discomfort with addressing disagreement at constitutional level	88
	(i) Reluctance by treaty-makers to reverse the Court's case law	00
	framed in constitutional terms: the Barber saga	89
	(ii) Reluctance by the judiciary to engage with political guidance	0.1
	framed in constitutional terms: the debate on positive action	91
	(iii) Looking for the appropriate tone and level to address the	05
	policy implications of equality law-making	95
	(b) Acknowledging the political limits of principled legislation: lessons	96
	from Test-Achats	90
	(c) A clear line between the roles of political institutions and the	98
	judiciary?	70
	(i) Declining to broaden the scope of EU equality law through	98
	constitutional adjudication: the <i>Grant</i> case	70
	(ii) De-constitutionalizing the perimeters of EU equality law: from <i>Martínez Sala</i> to <i>Brey</i> et al	99
	(iii) Acknowledging the political dimension of equality	,,
	law-making and locating the debate at legislative level	104
	3. Conclusions: constitutional design of a fundamental rights policy and	
	institutional dynamics	105
	4. Epilogue: implications for the edifice of EU equality jurisprudence	106
	2 stemsistio mit wonestedance variencel mannage (2)	
4. Di	stinguishing Legislation giving Expression to Fundamental	
Ri	ghts: Lessons from EU Equality Law	110
A	Resisting Parallelism Based on Overlap between Primary and	
11.	Secondary Rules: (Fundamental) Social Rights	112
	1. From avoidance to demarcation	113
	2. The boundaries of fundamental rights law-making: draft guidelines	117
В.		
D.	Fundamental Right to Equal Treatment?	120
	1. Equal treatment clauses for those involved in flexible forms of	
	employment	121
	(a) Mapping out the equal treatment clauses for the benefit	
	of atypical workers	121
	(b) Equal treatment as a classic legislative choice for the benefit	
	of selected categories of workers	123
	(c) Calls for a coherent interpretation of miscellaneous equal	
	treatment provisions and the risk of constitutionalization	126

	(d) From avoidance to demarcation with reference to 'particularly important principles of EU social law'	130
	2. Equal treatment clauses for third-country nationals legally residing in	100
	the EU	133
	C. EU Equality and Data Protection Law: Parallel Constitutional	126
	Designs and Challenges	136
	D. Conclusion: EU Equality and Data Protection Law at the Forefront	1 / 1
	of EU Law-Making on Fundamental Rights?	141
5.	The Legislative Embedding of the Governance of EU Equality Law	144
	A. The Common Legislative Framework for the Governance of the EU	
	Fundamental Right to Equal Treatment	145
	1. The 'ages' of the proceduralization of EU equality policy: asserting the	
	'transformative' function of this field of EU law	148
	(a) The coming of age of the procedural law of EU equality policy	148
	(b) The common procedural law of EU equality policy	150
	(i) Access to judicial and/or administrative procedures	150
	(ii) Partial shift in the burden of proof	151
	(iii) Victimization	152
	(iv) Specialized bodies and their relationship to courts	152
	(v) Sanctions, penalties, compensation, and reparation	153
	(c) Conclusion: EU procedural equality law as a key component of the 'first' fundamental rights policy of the EU	154
	2. The (still?) ugly duckling: the prohibition of nationality discrimination	155
	(a) 'So the first shall be the last': the proceduralization of EU	1))
	nationality discrimination policy	156
	(i) Access to judicial and/or administrative procedures	157
	(ii) Partial shift in the burden of proof	158
	(iii) Victimization	158
	(iv) Specialized bodies and their relationship to courts	158
	(v) Sanctions, penalties, compensation, and reparation	159
	(b) A significant step towards the assertion of the 'transformative'	
	function of the prohibition of nationality discrimination	159
	(c) Conclusion: the added value of legislative intervention for the	
	enforcement of equal treatment	160
	3. The 'hybridization' of EU equality law for the purpose of fundamental	
	rights policy-making	162
	(a) Hybridization within the anti-discrimination directives	163
	(b) Hybridization as part of a broader policy impetus	164
	(c) Conclusion: EU legislative intervention contributing to a	1//
	methodological shift	166
	B. Anti-Discrimination Law as a Laboratory for EU Governance of	
	Fundamental Rights at the Domestic Level: Collective Actors as	
	Bridging Devices	167
	1. Interdependency between the reception of EU legal concepts and their	160
	enforcement at the domestic level	169
	Infrastructure for change: the central role of collective actors at the domestic level	171
	HOTHCOLIC ICYCI	1 / 1

Table of Contents

			Table of Contents	XV
	3. Complementary tools of EU fundamental rights governance: 'hybridization' in action			
	4.	_	rplay between the domestic sphere and EU law concepts	174
	5. Conclusions: EU legislation shaping the governance of EU fundamental			
			ts at the domestic level	176
C.	Cro	oss-F	ertilization: The Example of Sectoral Fundamental Rights	
	Guardians at the Domestic Level		177	
	 The emergence of independent institutions guarding EU fundamental rights 			
				179
		(a)	EU equality bodies at the domestic level	181
		(b)	EU Data Protection Authorities at the domestic level	182
		(c)	Different origins and designs, but independent fundamental rights	
			guardians in both cases	186
	2.		rards a coherent legal regime for EU fundamental rights guardians?	187
(a) EU fundamental rights guardians as stakeholders supporting				
			policy-making, not judicial actors	187
		(b)	Performing their tasks as guardians of fundamental rights	
			independently	192
			(i) Judicial and administrative versus fundamental rights	100
			authorities	192
			(ii) The criterion of 'independence'	193
			(iii) Lessons for equality law	196

3. Conclusion: EU equality bodies as independent watchdogs at the domestic level

6. Conclusion

Index

205

200

201