

# CONTENTS

Table of Cases	xix
Table of Legislation	xxvii
Abbreviations	xxxiii
<b>1. Description of the Problem: Uniform Laws and Damages</b>	
OVERVIEW	1.01
I. INTRODUCTION	1.02
II. HARMONIZATION OF CONFLICT OF LAWS RULES	1.16
III. GLOBAL COMMUNITY OF COURTS	1.26
IV. HARMONIZATION OF PROCEDURAL LAWS	1.34
V. UNIFICATION OF SUBSTANTIVE LAW	1.38
VI. CONCLUDING ARGUMENT	1.54
<b>2. The Methodology for Interpretation of the CISG</b>	
OVERVIEW	2.01
I. INTERPRETATION	2.02
II. RULES AS TO INTERPRETATION	2.33
II.1 General Rule as to Interpretation	2.36
II.2 A Rule Regarding the Purpose of Good Faith	2.41
II.3 A Rule Regarding the Intent of Parties	2.48
II.4 A Rule Regarding Filling of Gaps	2.55
II.5 A Rule Regarding the Relationship between the CISG and National Law	2.70
III. CONCLUDING ARGUMENT	2.74
<b>3. Breach of Contract: An Overview</b>	
OVERVIEW	3.01
I. INTRODUCTION	3.02
II. CATEGORIES OF LOSS	3.16
III. RELIANCE INTEREST AND EXPECTATION INTEREST: AN OVERVIEW	3.21

III.1 Reliance Interest	3.24
III.2 Expectation Interest	3.26
IV. SETTING THE LIMIT OF RECOVERY	3.36
V. LOST VOLUME	3.38
VI. FAULT	3.44
VI.1 Introduction	3.44
VI.2 The Fault Principle	3.46
VII. CAUSATION	3.54
VIII. CONCLUDING ARGUMENT	3.83
<b>4. Breach of Contract: The CISG</b>	
OVERVIEW	4.01
I. INTRODUCTION	4.02
II. THE REMEDIAL PROVISIONS OF THE CISG	4.09
III. ARTICLE 45	4.18
IV. CONCLUDING ARGUMENT	4.34
<b>5. Article 74: A General Overview</b>	
OVERVIEW	5.01
I. INTRODUCTION	5.02
II. AUTONOMOUS INTERPRETATION	5.10
III. SPHERE OF APPLICATION OF ARTICLE 74	5.16
III.1 Introduction	5.16
III.2 Extent of Damages	5.19
III.3 Subject Matter of Damages	5.22
IV. BURDEN OF PROOF	5.35
V. PLACE AND TIME OF CALCULATING DAMAGES	5.44
V.1 Place of Calculating Damages	5.44
V.2 Time of Calculating Damages	5.45
VI. CONCLUDING ARGUMENT	5.49
<b>6. Limitation on Claims within Article 74</b>	
OVERVIEW	6.01
I. INTRODUCTION	6.02
II. THE FORESEEABILITY RULE	6.10
II.1 Introduction	6.10
II.2 <i>Hadley v Baxendale</i> and the Foreseeability Rule	6.16
II.3 Article 74 and the Foreseeability Principle	6.30

II.4 Subject Matter of Foreseeability	6.34
II.5 Foreseeability and the Terms of the Contract	6.35
II.6 Trade Usage	6.42
II.7 Type of Knowledge	6.46
II.8 Relevant Time to Evaluate Foreseeability	6.49
III. CAUSATION AND ARTICLE 74	6.55
III.1 The 'But For' Test and Foreseeability	6.63
III.2 <i>Hadley v Baxendale</i> and the Concept of Causation	6.73
IV. FURTHER OBSERVATIONS ON DAMAGES	6.79
IV.1 Limitation to Full Compensation	6.79
IV.2 Extension of Damages	6.81
V. CONCLUDING ARGUMENT	6.84
<b>7. Mitigation of Losses</b>	
OVERVIEW	7.01
I. GENERAL COMMENTS	7.02
I.1 Question of Reasonableness	7.10
I.2 Interaction between Articles 77 and 80	7.26
II. THE COMMON LAW VIEW	7.32
III. CONCLUDING ARGUMENT	7.57
<b>8. Calculation of Losses under Article 74</b>	
OVERVIEW	8.01
I. INTRODUCTION	8.02
II. DIRECT LOSSES	8.14
III. INCIDENTAL LOSSES	8.18
IV. CONSEQUENTIAL LOSSES	8.22
V. LOSS OF PROFITS	8.30
V.1 Future Profits	8.34
V.2 Compensation for Loss of Goodwill and Reputation	8.38
V.3 Loss of Chance	8.46
VI. CONCLUDING ARGUMENT	8.52
<b>9. Special Damages: Interest Rates</b>	
OVERVIEW	9.01
I. INTRODUCTION	9.02
II. HISTORY OF ARTICLE 78	9.08
III. ARTICLE 78: A DISCUSSION	9.10

IV. ARTICLES 78 AND 74	9.18
V. CONCLUDING ARGUMENT	9.26
<b>10. Attorneys' Fees</b>	
OVERVIEW	10.01
I. INTRODUCTION	10.02
II. <i>ZAPATA</i>	10.10
II.1 The Federal District Court	10.13
II.2 Circuit Court of Appeal	10.19
II.3 The Issues	10.23
II.4 Conclusion	10.32
III. ISSUES OF TERMINOLOGY	10.36
III.1 Introduction	10.36
III.2 Definition of Losses	10.43
III.3 Anomalies	10.47
III.4 Substantive versus Procedural Issues	10.50
IV. CASE LAW	10.62
V. THE ARGUMENT OF CONSEQUENCES	10.72
VI. THE LAST-DITCH STAND	10.77
VII. CONCLUDING ARGUMENT	10.82
<b>11. Penalty Clauses and the CISG</b>	
OVERVIEW	11.01
I. INTRODUCTION	11.03
II. PENALTY CLAUSES—THE COMMON LAW VIEW	11.07
II.1 Introduction	11.07
II.2 Penalty Clauses—Defined	11.13
II.3 The Australian Position	11.17
II.4 The English Position	11.22
II.5 The Discussion	11.24
III. THE POSITION UNDER THE CISG	11.27
III.1 Is the Sum Penal?	11.30
III.2 The Sum Is Penal	11.37
IV. CONCLUDING ARGUMENT	11.43
<b>12. Exemptions</b>	
OVERVIEW	12.01
I. INTRODUCTION	12.02
II. THE CONCEPTS OF <i>FORCE MAJEURE</i> AND <i>HARDSHIP</i>	12.08

III. PROBLEM OF APPROACHES TO THE INTERPRETATION OF ARTICLE 79	12.23
IV. REASONABLE STEPS TO AVOID AN IMPEDIMENT	12.45
IV.1 Beyond Control	12.46
IV.2 Unforeseen	12.52
IV.3 Unavoidable	12.58
IV.4 Responsibility for Third Parties	12.63
IV.5 Temporary Impediment	12.71
IV.6 Duty to Notify	12.73
V. EFFECT OF ARTICLE 79	12.75
V.1 Problem of Damages	12.77
V.2 Problem of Performance	12.79
VI. INTRODUCTION TO ARTICLES 79 AND 80	12.83
VII. CONCLUDING ARGUMENT	12.89
<b>13. Fundamental Breach and the CISG</b>	
OVERVIEW	13.01
I. INTRODUCTION	13.02
II. THE COMMON LAW EXPERIENCE	13.13
III. THE CISG AND FUNDAMENTAL BREACH	13.21
III.1 Introduction	13.21
III.2 Interpretation of Article 25	13.22
III.3 Function of Article 25	13.36
IV. ARTICLE 49	13.43
IV.1 Where the Goods Have Not Been Delivered	13.43
IV.2 Where the Goods Have Been Delivered	13.46
V. ARTICLE 75	13.52
VI. ARTICLE 76	13.58
VI.1 Calculation of Damages	13.62
VI.2 Timing of Calculation of Damages	13.67
VI.3 Current Price	13.71
VI.4 Conclusion	13.72
VII. AVOIDANCE AND ARTICLES 46 AND 50	13.74
VIII. EFFECTS OF AVOIDANCE: THE PROBLEM OF RESTITUTION	13.77
IX. CONCLUDING ARGUMENT	13.84
<b>14. The UNIDROIT Principles and the CISG</b>	
OVERVIEW	14.01

I. INTRODUCTION	14.02
II. THE UNIDROIT PRINCIPLES AND THE CISG	14.18
II.1 The UNIDROIT Principles as a Contractual Clause	14.23
II.2 The UNIDROIT Principles as a Gap Filler	14.24
II.3 The UNIDROIT Principles and the Interpretation of the CISG	14.34
III. THE UNIDROIT PRINCIPLES AND DAMAGES	14.42
III.1 Article 74 Compared with Section 4 (Damages) of the UNIDROIT Principles	14.43
III.2 Measurement of Damages When the Contract Is Avoided	14.49
III.3 Mitigation of Losses	14.53
IV. CONCLUDING ARGUMENT	14.54
<b>15. Regional Developments and the CISG</b>	
OVERVIEW	15.01
I. INTRODUCTION	15.02
II. THE PRINCIPLES OF EUROPEAN CONTRACT LAW	15.04
II.1 Article 74 CISG and the PECL	15.12
II.2 Matters Not Expressly Settled in the CISG	15.16
II.3 Measurement of Damages When the Contract Is Avoided	15.19
II.4 Conclusion	15.21
III. REGIONAL DEVELOPMENTS	15.24
III.1 Regional Harmonization Attempts	15.28
III.2 Why Gaps in the CISG Must Still Be Left to Domestic Law	15.34
IV. CONCLUDING ARGUMENT	15.39
<b>16. The Doctrine of Anticipatory Repudiation</b>	
OVERVIEW	16.01
I. INTRODUCTION	16.02
II Anticipatory Breach and The CISG	16.09
II.1 Article 71	16.11
II.2 Article 72	16.23
II.3 Article 73	16.31
III. THE ISSUE OF DAMAGES	16.33
IV. ANTICIPATORY BREACH—THE DISCUSSION	16.38
V. CONCLUSION	16.50
<b>17. Conclusion and Observations</b>	
OVERVIEW	17.01
I. INTRODUCTION	17.02

II. THE CISG AS AN INTERNATIONAL CODE	17.13
III. BREACH OF CONTRACT	17.15
IV. ARTICLE 74	17.19
V. LIMITATION ON CLAIMS	17.36
VI. ARTICLE 79: EXEMPTION	17.41
VII. THE UNIDROIT PRINCIPLES AND REGIONAL DEVELOPMENTS	17.42
VIII. CONCLUDING ARGUMENT	17.46
<i>Bibliography</i>	331
<i>Case Law and Arbitral Awards</i>	341
<i>Index</i>	347