

# Contents

## Introduction 1

- 1 The Deficit Hypothesis: Bernstein's assumptions on the correlation between speech and socialization 4**
  - 1.1 Groundwork and orientation 4
  - 1.2 Theoretical conception 8
    - 1.2.1 Basic idea 8
    - 1.2.2 Basic concepts 9
    - 1.2.3 Three levels of analysis 11
    - 1.2.4 Bernstein's sources 13
  - 1.3 Origin of the speech codes and their social background 15
    - 1.3.1 The process of socialization 15
    - 1.3.2 Language and social role 18
    - 1.3.3 Language and school 19
  - 1.4 Characteristics and predictability of the speech codes 19
    - 1.4.1 Linguistic characteristics 20  
*Excursus:* Lexical and syntactic predictability of the speech codes 22
    - 1.4.2 Psychological correlates of the speech codes 24
    - 1.4.3 Social correlates 25
  - 1.5 Catalogue of speech-code determinants 26
  - 1.6 Summary 27
  
- 2 Empirical verification of the Deficit Hypothesis: normative investigations into the correlation between speech and socialization 29**
  - 2.1 Correlation between social class, intelligence and speech behaviour 30
  - 2.2 Investigations into the intellectual capabilities of lower-class children 34
    - 2.2.1 The work of Gray and Klaus 34
    - 2.2.2 The investigations of Robinson and Creed 35
    - 2.2.3 Deutsch's investigation 38
  - 2.3 Analyses of class-specific speech behaviour 42
    - 2.3.1 Lower-class speech as discussed by Schatzmann and Strauss 42
    - 2.3.2 Templin's investigation 43
    - 2.3.3 Loban's long-term survey 46
    - 2.3.4 Bernstein's investigation 49



- 2.3.5 Hawkins's pronoun analysis 54
  - Excursus: The nominal group in Halliday* 54
  - 2.3.6 Oevermann's investigation 58
  - 2.3.7 Robinson's investigation 64
  - 2.4 Empirical material on socialization processes specific to social class 66
    - 2.4.1 The analysis of Bernstein and Henderson 67
    - 2.4.2 The empirical data of Hess and Shipman 68
  - 2.5 Further development of Bernstein's theoretical conception 75
  - 2.6 Summary 77
- 3 Social consequences of the Deficit Hypothesis: function and evaluation 79**
- 3.1 An example of compensatory education: the speech programme of Bereiter and Engelmann 79
  - 3.2 Reasons for the controversy about the Deficit Hypothesis 85
  - 3.3 Criticism of the measurements of intellectual ability 88
    - 3.3.1 Four myths concerning the intelligence test 88
    - 3.3.2 Self-teaching activity of the child and cognitive universals 90
  - 3.4 Linguistic critique 91
    - 3.4.1 Code definition 91
    - 3.4.2 Linguistic parameters 92
    - 3.4.3 Theoretical bias and effects of the Deficit Hypothesis 93
  - 3.5 Marxist critique 96
    - 3.5.1 Sociolinguistics as bourgeois elitist knowledge 96
    - 3.5.2 The social stratification model and role theory 97
    - 3.5.3 The function of compensatory education 99
  - 3.6 Summary 100
- 4 The Variability Concept: basic ideas and tradition 102**
- 4.1 Deficit Hypothesis v. Variability Concept 102
  - 4.2 Some basic concepts 105
  - 4.3 The linguistic tradition 111
    - 4.3.1 Structuralism 112
    - 4.3.2 Dialectology 115
    - 4.3.3 Languages in contact 117
  - 4.4 The anthropological tradition 121
  - 4.5 Four areas of research relevant to sociolinguistics 123
    - 4.5.1 Speech variation 124
    - 4.5.2 Linguistic change 124
    - 4.5.3 Language acquisition 125
    - 4.5.4 Social communication 125
  - 4.6 Summary 125



- 5 Theoretical concepts of speech variation in the framework of the Variability Concept 127**
- 5.1 Sociolinguistics—the genesis of a new branch of science 127
  - 5.2 Sociolinguistic data within a theory of grammar 132
    - 5.2.1 Variable rules 134
    - 5.2.2 Contingency grammar 143
    - 5.2.3 Coexistent grammars 147
    - 5.2.4 Implicational analysis 151
    - 5.2.5 Speech acts 159
    - 5.2.6 Marxist sociolinguistics 160
  - 5.3 Functional and interactional approach 161
    - 5.3.1 Communicative competence 162
    - 5.3.2 Components of situational and interactional speech behaviour 165
    - 5.3.3 Sociolinguistic rules 168
  - 5.4 Special descriptive problems: bilingualism and multilingualism 170
    - 5.4.1 Isolated disciplinary approach v. interdisciplinary theory 171
    - 5.4.2 Bilingualism and diglossia 176
    - 5.4.3 Language retention v. language loss 178
    - 5.4.4 Language attitudes 181
    - 5.4.5 Multilingualism 183
  - 5.5 Summary 184
- 6 Sociolinguistic competence of speakers: correlative and functional studies 187**
- 6.1 Methodology of empirical linguistic investigations 187
    - 6.1.1 Relation between theory and methodology in linguistics 188
    - 6.1.2 Social categories and collection of linguistic data 189
    - 6.1.3 The Observer's Paradox and its solution 191
  - 6.2 Correlative studies 195
    - 6.2.1 First empirical investigations into speech variation 195
    - 6.2.2 Class-specific speech forms in New York City 197
    - 6.2.3 Phonological variation of bilingual Puerto Ricans 203
    - 6.2.4 Speech behaviour of Blacks in Detroit 205
    - 6.2.5 Standard/Non-Standard dimension of English-speaking Texans 207
    - 6.2.6 Non-standard English of Blacks and Puerto Ricans in New York 210
  - 6.3 Functional studies 224
    - 6.3.1 Group interactions of Norwegian speakers 224
    - 6.3.2 Code shifting of bilingual speakers 225
    - 6.3.3 Ritual insults 226
    - 6.3.4 Stories of personal experiences 229
  - 6.4 Language and social structure 235
    - 6.4.1 Reflection of social structure in speech behaviour 235
    - 6.4.2 Determination of social behaviour by speech behaviour 237



6.4.3	Determination of speech behaviour by social structure	237
6.4.4	Interaction of speech behaviour and social behaviour	238
6.5	Summary	238
<b>7</b>	<b>Applied sociolinguistics in the USA: the Variability Concept and its ghetto specialist</b>	<b>240</b>
7.1	Sociopolitical background to educational programmes in Black ghettos	241
7.2	New strategies for training pacification specialists	244
7.2.1	Structural conflict	245
7.2.2	Functional conflict	246
7.3	Ideology and symptom correction	248
	Annotated bibliography	251
	Index	295