

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xii
<i>Table of cases</i>	xiv
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xvii
1 General introduction	1
1.1 <i>Scope of the book</i>	4
1.2 <i>Methodological approach</i>	8
1.3 <i>Definitional challenges</i>	11
1.3.1 <i>Right to access the internet and digital divide</i>	11
1.3.2 <i>'Access to the internet' vs 'right to access the internet'</i>	13
1.4 <i>Key themes of the book</i>	16
1.4.1 <i>Access to content and access to infrastructure</i>	16
1.4.2 <i>Categorizing speech online</i>	19
1.5 <i>Structure of the book</i>	19
2 The historical context of international debates on a right to access to information technology and digital divide guarantees	23
2.1 <i>Phase I: the early years of the UN</i>	25
2.1.1 <i>Communication scholars and NWICO</i>	31
2.2 <i>Phase II: New World Information and Communication Order and the MacBride Report</i>	33
2.2.1 <i>UNESCO's Mass Media Declaration</i>	34
2.2.2 <i>The path to broadening the scope of freedom of expression guarantees: key elements and findings of the MacBride Commission Report</i>	36
2.2.2.1 <i>Medium and content matter, but social context of communication is key</i>	37

2.2.2.2	<i>Inequalities of access to infrastructure necessitate broader human rights protections</i>	39
2.3	<i>Phase III: the 2003 and 2005 World Summit on the Information Society (WSIS)</i>	43
2.3.1	<i>2003 Summit: differing visions and diverging approaches between NWICO and WSIS</i>	45
2.3.1.1	<i>The WSIS mission and participants</i>	45
2.3.1.2	<i>Geneva 2003 Declaration of Principles</i>	47
2.3.1.3	<i>Geneva Plan of Action</i>	48
2.3.1.4	<i>2003 Civil Society Declaration at the WSIS</i>	49
2.3.2	<i>2005 Summit: setting the agenda for implementation</i>	50
2.4	<i>Phase IV: the Internet Governance Forum and the WSIS implementation process</i>	52
2.4.1	<i>The IGF's incorporation of a rights-based perspective to address the digital divide</i>	52
2.4.2	<i>The WSIS process emphasizes access to the internet as a development challenge over a human rights challenge</i>	55
2.5	<i>Conclusion: the need to assess the digital divide phenomenon through a human rights legal framework</i>	60
3	Negative obligations	62
3.1	<i>Brief introduction to freedom of expression: Article 10 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)</i>	64
3.1.1	<i>Restrictions clause</i>	67
3.1.2	<i>Relationship between the margin of appreciation and proportionality</i>	72
3.2	<i>Yildirim v. Turkey</i>	74
3.2.1	<i>Facts of the case and the decision</i>	75
3.2.2	<i>Collateral censorship: evolving criteria for evaluation of interferences with access to the Internet</i>	76
3.2.2.1	<i>Key elements of freedom of expression used to establish interference</i>	77
3.2.2.1.1	<i>Prior restraint and role of the press</i>	77
3.2.2.1.2	<i>Importance of the Internet</i>	78
3.2.2.1.3	<i>Universal application, protection of means of dissemination, and right to impart and receive</i>	79

3.2.2.2	<i>Prescribed by law: application of principles of precision and foreseeability</i>	81
3.2.3	<i>Relevant Principles Absent in the Court's Yildirim Assessment but Present in Kalda v. Estonia and Jankovski v. Lithuania</i>	84
3.2.3.1	<i>Alternative means assessment and unique capabilities of the Internet</i>	84
3.2.4	<i>Relevant principles absent in the Court's first assessments</i>	88
3.3	<i>Inter-American system: similarities and differences</i>	92
3.3.1	<i>Scope and content of Article 13 of the American Convention on Human Rights</i>	93
3.3.1.1	<i>Dual dimensions of freedom of expression under Article 13</i>	94
3.3.1.2	<i>Restrictions clause – Article 13(2)</i>	98
3.3.1.3	<i>Limitations of the principles of margin of appreciation outside the European Court of Human Rights</i>	101
3.3.1.4	<i>Role of the Internet</i>	104
3.4	<i>Conclusions: denial of access to the Internet and prevention of collateral censorship on the Internet</i>	106
4	Positive obligations to facilitate access to the Internet	108
4.1	<i>Definition, scope, and how to determine whether there is a positive obligation</i>	112
4.2	<i>Positive obligations to protect against third-party interference</i>	116
4.3	<i>Positive obligations to safeguard pluralism</i>	131
4.3.1	<i>Relevant principles of freedom of expression to safeguard pluralism</i>	134
4.3.1.1	<i>Ensuring effective public access to impartial and accurate information and to a range of opinions</i>	137
4.3.1.2	<i>Positive obligations to put in place effective legislative, regulatory, and administrative structures</i>	145
4.4	<i>Positive obligations to provide access to information</i>	151
4.5	<i>Implications on potential positive obligations to facilitate universal access to the Internet</i>	157
4.5.1	<i>Regulatory mechanisms and direct support to ICT access points</i>	157

4.5.2	<i>Awareness-raising on the Internet's benefits and possible uses and special procedures to ensure access to the Internet for marginalized populations</i>	159
4.5.3	<i>Emphasis on rural or disadvantaged populations</i>	159
4.6	<i>Opportunities and challenges for a freedom of expression framework to address the digital divide</i>	160
5	Looking outside freedom of expression to strengthen digital inclusion guarantees	162
5.1	<i>Rights essential in a democratic society</i>	163
5.1.1	<i>Importance of online exercise of freedom of assembly, association and participation in free elections</i>	164
5.1.2	<i>Legal recognition of intersection between these rights</i>	168
5.2	<i>Role of the Internet in society and the principle of self-fulfilment</i>	172
5.3	<i>Non-discrimination: framing of a 'right to access the internet' in terms of equality</i>	178
5.4	<i>Conclusion: creating a more robust human rights legal framework to bridge the digital divide</i>	183
6	Case study in digital inclusion	185
	<i>Purpose and scope</i>	186
6.1	<i>Jalisco's legal framework for La Agenda Digital Jalisco 2013</i>	187
6.2	<i>JDA's digital inclusion implementation framework</i>	190
6.2.1	<i>Participating institutions</i>	190
6.2.2	<i>Centre budgets</i>	192
6.2.3	<i>Types of facilities</i>	192
6.2.4	<i>Available equipment and software</i>	194
6.3	<i>The Digital Community Centres' improving digital literacy, increasing access to activities and services</i>	194
6.3.1	<i>JDA policy on programme vision to offer a diversity of activities and services available at DCCs</i>	195
6.3.1.1	<i>Centre objectives</i>	196
6.3.1.2	<i>Envisioned services and activities for a DCC</i>	197
6.3.2	<i>Principle user activities and informational needs served</i>	197
6.3.3	<i>Importance of the administrator in building awareness of the Internet and its benefits</i>	200

6.4	<i>Challenges or barriers preventing the effective implementation of the digital inclusion programme</i>	203
6.4.1	<i>Denied or inadequate services</i>	203
6.4.2	<i>Lack of systematic training for administrators</i>	207
6.4.3	<i>Lack of central funding for digital inclusion programmes</i>	208
6.5	<i>Conclusion: toward mutually reinforcing lines of research to support bridging the digital divide</i>	209
7	Conclusions	211
7.1	<i>Access to the infrastructure and access to content</i>	212
7.2	<i>Difficulties in categorizing speech online</i>	216
7.3	<i>Mapping international legal standards</i>	218
7.4	<i>Linking human rights protection to the digital divide: setting an inter-disciplinary agenda to bridge the digital divide</i>	221
	<i>Bibliography</i>	224
	<i>Index</i>	241