

DETAILED CONTENTS

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxi
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	lxxiii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	lxxxii
1. References for Preliminary Rulings	
1. Introduction	1
1.1. Preliminary Rulings in the European Union's Judicial System	1
1.2. The structure of this book	3
2. History and Development of the Preliminary Reference Procedure	4
3. Possibilities of Making References to the Court of Justice Other than on the Basis of the Treaties	8
4. References to the EFTA Court	10
4.1. The Procedure for Making a Preliminary Reference to the EFTA Court	10
4.2. Competence and Procedure	13
5. Other Ways of Obtaining Legal Guidance from European Union Bodies	16
5.1. Asking the European Commission for Guidance on the Interpretation of EU Law	16
5.1.1. Questions on competition and State aid law	16
5.1.2. Questions that do not concern competition or State aid law	18
5.2. Asking the European Ombudsman for Guidance on the Interpretation of EU Law	20
6. The Preliminary Reference System in the Future	23
6.1. Overview	23
6.2. Transfer of Preliminary Cases to the General Court	24
6.3. Green Light Procedures	28
6.4. Docket Control	29
6.5. Limiting the Right to Refer to Courts of Last Instance	31
6.6. Decentralized European Union Courts	32
6.7. The Best Way Forward?	33

2. Variations in Member State Use of Preliminary References	34
1. Overview	34
2. The Distribution of Preliminary References among the Member States	34
3. Why Do Courts in Some Member States Refer More than Others?	35
3.1. Overview	35
3.2. Structural Factors Possibly Determining National Courts' Abilities to Make Preliminary References	37
3.2.1. Introduction	37
3.2.2. Differences in population size	37
3.2.3. Litigation patterns	39
3.2.4. Level of compliance with EU law	44
3.2.5. Predicting the number of preliminary references	46
3.2.6. Special factors in the national judicial system	47
3.2.7. Conclusion regarding structural factors	49
3.3. Behavioural Factors Influencing National Courts' Willingness to Refer	49
3.3.1. Constitutional traditions	50
3.3.2. The national court's policy preferences	54
3.3.3. Specialized judiciary vs non-specialized judiciary	55
3.3.4. Inter-court competition: circumventing the practice of higher courts	56
3.3.5. Special factors in the national judicial system	58
4. Conclusion	58
3. Which Bodies May Refer?	60
1. Who May Refer Preliminary Questions?	60
2. Criteria for Determining whether there is a 'Court or Tribunal' Entitled to Make a Reference	61
2.1. Is the Body Established by Law?	61
2.2. Does the Body Have a Permanent Character?	62
2.3. Is the Body Independent?	63
2.4. Is the Jurisdiction of the Body Compulsory?	66
2.5. Does the Body Use an Adversary Procedure?	67
2.6. Does the Body Make Its Decisions on the Basis of Legal Rules?	67
2.7. Can the Body Issue Decisions of a Judicial Nature in the Context of the Preliminary Reference?	69
2.8. Must the Members of the Referring Body Include Lawyers?	70
2.9. Does the Question Arise in Connection with the Settlement of a Dispute?	71

2.10. Are There Other Judicial Solutions to the Conflict in Question?	71
2.11. The Court's Weighting of the Different Criteria	72
3. Which Bodies Qualify as a 'Court or Tribunal'?	74
3.1. Judicial Bodies	74
3.1.1. Ordinary national courts	74
3.1.2. International courts and courts with jurisdiction in more Member States	75
3.1.3. Activities which do not lead to decisions of a judicial nature	77
3.1.4. The function as a judicial body is combined with other functions	82
3.1.5. Summary proceedings	83
3.1.6. Arbitration tribunals	84
3.1.7. Courts that do not have jurisdiction to decide on the main action	86
3.2. Administrative Authorities and Ombudsmen	88
3.2.1. General observations	88
3.2.2. Administrative appeal boards	91
3.2.3. Advisory bodies	92
3.2.4. Ombudsmen	94
3.3. Private Bodies	95
3.3.1. Private disciplinary bodies	95
3.3.2. Private professional bodies	96
3.3.3. Management and labour (social partners)	97
4. The Term 'of a Member State'	97
5. Other Forms of Preliminary References	101
6. References from a National Court that May not Make a Reference under National Law	102
6.1. The National Court Is Prevented from Making a Reference under National Law	103
6.2. A Superior Court Has Declared the Contested National Provision to Be Compatible with EU Law	105
4. What Questions Can Be Referred?	107
1. Introduction	107
2. Article 267(1)(a) on 'the Interpretation of the Treaties'	107
2.1. Questions on Validity of the Treaties	107
2.2. What Is Covered by 'the Treaties'?	109
2.2.1. The main rule	109
2.2.2. Police and judicial cooperation	110
2.2.3. Common foreign and security policy	112
2.2.4. Euratom Treaty and ECSC Treaty	114

3.	Article 267(1)(b) on 'the Validity and Interpretation of Acts of the Institutions, Bodies, Offices or Agencies of the Union'	114
3.1.	The Meaning of 'the Institutions, Bodies, Offices or Agencies of the Union'	114
3.2.	The Meaning of 'Acts'	116
3.2.1.	Acts adopted on the basis of the Treaties	116
3.2.2.	EU acts which refer to non-EU acts	117
3.2.3.	The act must have been adopted, but not necessarily have entered into force	119
3.2.4.	The act does not have direct effect	119
3.2.5.	The act has previously been the subject of a preliminary ruling	120
3.3.	The Distinction between 'Acts of the Institutions, Bodies, Offices or Agencies of the Union' and Agreements under International Law outside the Jurisdiction of the Court of Justice	120
3.3.1.	Overview	120
3.3.2.	Agreements between the European Union and third countries	121
3.3.3.	Mixed agreements	122
3.3.3.1.	Overview—the four situations	122
3.3.3.2.	Provisions covered by exclusive competence	123
3.3.3.3.	Provisions covered by shared competence and where the European Union has legislated	124
3.3.3.4.	Provisions covered by shared competence and where the European Union has not legislated	125
3.3.3.5.	Provisions not covered by the European Union's competence	127
3.3.4.	Acts of organs set up by an international agreement to which the European Union is party	128
3.3.5.	International agreements to which the European Union is not party, but which nevertheless are an integral part of EU law	129
3.3.6.	International law that does not qualify as EU law, but that may affect the validity of EU law	130
3.3.7.	International agreements to which the European Union is not a party	132
3.3.8.	Other international agreements which give the Court of Justice jurisdiction to interpret	135
3.4.	The Meaning of 'Validity'	135
4.	Questions which Fall Outside the Jurisdiction of the Court of Justice	137
4.1.	Overview	137
4.2.	National Law	137
4.3.	Interpretation of EU Acts which Do Not Apply in the Main Proceedings	139
4.3.1.	Overview	139

4.3.2. Preliminary rulings on procedural questions in the main proceedings	139
4.3.3. Application of EU law outside the scope of EU law	140
4.3.4. EU law has been the model for national law	143
4.3.5. Internal situations	149
4.4. Circumstances Arising before Entry into the European Union	151
4.5. The Facts in the Main Proceedings	153
4.6. Application of EU Law in the Main Proceedings	154
5. When Can a Reference for a Preliminary Ruling be Made?	156
1. Overview	156
2. General Considerations	157
2.1. Who Has Jurisdiction to Decide the Relevance of a Preliminary Question?	157
2.2. The Margin of Discretion of the National Courts	158
3. The Referring Court Must Be Able to Apply the Court of Justice's Ruling to the Main Proceedings	161
4. Questions Not Related to the Facts and Circumstances of the Main Proceedings	171
4.1. The Court's Answer Will Only Be Relevant for Future Cases	171
4.2. Questions Concerning Factual Circumstances which Differ from those in the Main Proceedings	174
4.3. The Question Is Based on Unverified Alternative Views of the Facts	177
4.4. The Question Concerns Legal Questions which Cannot Be Relevant to the Main Proceedings	179
4.5. The Referring Court's Interpretation of the Facts or National Law Is Being Disputed	181
4.6. The Answer Can Already Be Given on the Basis of National Law	184
4.7. The Question Has Already Been Answered	187
4.8. The Relationship between Issues of Admissibility and Issues of Substance	189
4.9. The Question Concerns an EU Act which Was Not in Force at the Relevant Time	192
4.10. Relevance <i>Ratione Temporis</i> and National Law	196
4.11. The Question Concerns the Legal Status of the Referring Court	198
4.12. Questions Concerning Legislation of Other Member States	200
5. Contrived Cases	203
6. The Relationship between the Preliminary Procedure and the Infringement Procedure in Articles 258 and 259 TFEU	211

7. The Relationship between the Preliminary Procedure and the Procedure in Article 263 TFEU concerning Actions for Annulment before the Court of Justice	212
7.1. The <i>TWD</i> Doctrine	212
7.2. Discussion of the <i>TWD</i> Doctrine	218
6. When Are National Courts Obligated to Refer Questions?	222
1. The Right to Refer and the Obligation to Refer	222
2. National Courts Covered by Article 267(3)	223
3. Exceptions to the Obligation to Refer	230
3.1. The Question Is Not Relevant	231
3.2. More Courts Handling the Case Qualify as ‘Court of Last Instance’	232
3.3. <i>Acte Éclairé</i> —a Materially Identical Question Has Already Been the Subject of a Preliminary Ruling	233
3.4. <i>Acte Clair</i> —the Correct Application Leaves No Scope for any Reasonable Doubt	235
3.4.1. The <i>CILFIT</i> criteria	235
3.4.2. What does the requirement that the national court must be convinced that the matter is equally obvious to the courts of the other Member States entail?	237
3.4.2.1. Introduction	237
3.4.2.2. Other national rulings exist regarding the EU rule that is held to be <i>acte clair</i>	239
3.4.2.3. Divergent views amongst the judges deciding the main action in question	240
3.4.2.3.1. Introduction	240
3.4.2.3.2. Different views on the abstract interpretation of EU law	241
3.4.2.3.3. Different views on EU rules that do not regulate the relationship between the parties	243
3.4.2.3.4. Different views on issues that fall outside the competence of the Court of Justice	244
3.4.2.3.5. Agreement as to the outcome, but based upon diverging reasoning	245
3.4.2.4. Cases pending before EU institutions	246
3.4.2.4.1. Cases pending before the Court of Justice	246
3.4.2.4.2. Pending infringement proceedings	247
3.4.2.5. Administrative practice and legal literature	249
3.4.3. <i>CILFIT</i> and the multilingual character of EU law	249
3.4.4. The wishes of the parties	252
3.4.5. Rethinking the <i>acte clair</i> doctrine	254

3.5. Other Forms of Preliminary References	256
3.5.1. Police and judicial cooperation	256
3.5.2. Conventions	257
3.6. Cases on Interim Measures	257
3.7. Application of EU Law outside the Scope of EU law	259
4. The Obligation of Courts Other than Those of Last Instance to Make a Reference	259
4.1. Overview	259
4.2. References Concerning Invalidity	260
4.2.1. The duty to refer prior to a finding of invalidity	260
4.2.2. References on Validity where Access to Refer Is Restricted	261
4.2.3. Findings of invalidity without (prior) preliminary reference	263
4.2.4. Evaluating the <i>Foto-Frost</i> doctrine	264
4.3. Deviating from the Court of Justice's Interpretation of an EU Legal Act	265
4.4. The Duty of Loyal Cooperation and Preliminary References	266
5. Consequences of a National Court Disregarding its Obligation to Make a Reference	266
5.1. Overview	266
5.2. Failure to Refer, Validity of National Judgments, and Obligation to Reopen the Case File	267
5.3. Damages for Failure to Refer	269
5.4. Infringement Proceedings for Failure to Refer	270
5.5. Failure to Refer and Article 6 of the European Convention of Human Rights	271
7. When Ought a Reference for a Preliminary Ruling be Made?	274
1. Overview	274
2. Determining the Relevant Criteria	275
2.1. The Need for Judicial Assistance	275
2.2. Delay and Cost	278
2.3. The Wishes of the Parties	279
2.4. The Wish Not to Overload the Court of Justice	283
2.5. Similar Cases Are Pending	284
3. From which Court Should a Reference Be Made?	289
4. At What Stage in the Main Proceedings Should a Reference Be Made?	291
8. The Form and Content of a Reference	296
1. Introduction	296
2. The Form of the Reference	298

3. The Content of a Reference	299
3.1. The Need for a Thorough Order for Reference	299
3.2. Guidelines for the Drafting of a Reference for a Preliminary Ruling	305
3.2.1. Introduction	305
3.2.2. Identification of the question referred	305
3.2.3. Information about the facts	309
3.2.4. Information about national law	314
3.2.5. The order for reference must be understandable without the need to refer to other documents	315
3.2.6. Requesting the application of the urgent preliminary ruling procedure in the area of freedom, security, and justice	322
9. Proceedings before the Referring Court after a Reference has been Made	324
1. The Case Remains Pending before the National Court	324
2. Appeal against a Decision to Make a Preliminary Reference	327
2.1. Court's Case Law	327
2.2. In What Situations May a National Appeal Procedure Be Binding on the Lower Court?	330
2.2.1. Appeals on matters falling outside the Court's jurisdiction under Article 267 TFEU	331
2.2.2. Appeal on the ground that the referring court does not have jurisdiction under national law to rule on the matters in the preliminary reference	332
2.2.3. Where the main proceedings are finalized in connection with the appeal of a decision to make a preliminary reference	333
2.2.4. Where a referring court loses jurisdiction to decide the main proceedings after making a preliminary reference	333
2.2.5. Appeal against a refusal either to make a preliminary reference or to include certain questions in a preliminary reference	334
3. Interim Relief	336
3.1. Overview	336
3.2. The Competence of National Courts to Order Interim Relief	336
3.2.1. Possible conflicts between national law and EU law	336
3.2.2. Possible conflicts between different EU rules	337
3.3. The Conditions for Granting Interim Relief	340
3.3.1. Overview	340
3.3.2. Doubt as to validity	341
3.3.3. Urgency	343
3.3.4. Balancing the interests	344

10. The Procedure before the Court of Justice	346
1. Overview	346
2. Notification of the Decision to Make a Reference	347
3. Observations on the Preliminary Reference	349
3.1. Those Entitled to Submit Observations	349
3.2. Observations by EU Institutions and Member States	354
3.3. Influencing the Scope of the Preliminary Ruling through the Presentation of Observations	359
3.3.1. The preliminary ruling procedure does not have any parties <i>stricto sensu</i>	359
3.3.2. The subject matter of the preliminary question	359
3.3.3. Supplementing or departing from the facts of the reference	362
3.3.3.1. The main rule	362
3.3.3.2. Supplementing the referring court's description of the facts	364
3.3.3.3. The facts presented in the reference are being disputed	365
3.3.3.3.1. The degree of fact-finding performed by the referring court	365
3.3.3.3.2. Undisputed facts that arise after the reference is made	366
3.3.3.3.3. A party corrects information on the facts against his own interest	367
3.3.3.3.4. Those entitled to present observations disagree on the correction of a fact	368
3.3.3.3.5. Cases concerning the validity of EU rules	370
3.3.3.3.6. Rules of evidence	371
3.3.3.4. Departing from the referring court's interpretation of national law	372
3.3.3.5. The Court of Justice's access to information on the facts and on national law	374
4. The Procedure before the Court of Justice	376
4.1. Representation	377
4.2. Language	378
4.3. The Written Proceedings	381
4.3.1. Introduction	381
4.3.2. Deadlines and requirements regarding submission of observations	381
4.3.3. Content and structure of written observations	382
4.3.4. Length of the written observations	385

4.4.	The Oral Procedure	386
4.4.1.	Not all cases are heard orally	386
4.4.2.	Setting the date for the oral hearing	387
4.4.3.	Time allowed for addressing the Court	388
4.4.4.	Content and conduct of the oral hearing	389
4.4.5.	Considerations pertaining to interpretation	391
4.4.6.	Closure of the oral procedure	393
5.	Expedited Procedures	394
5.1.	Overview	394
5.2.	The Expedited Procedure Laid Down in Article 105 of the Court's Rules of Procedure	395
5.2.1.	Procedure	395
5.2.2.	Application of the expedited preliminary ruling procedure	396
5.3.	The Urgent Preliminary Ruling Procedure in the Area of Freedom, Security, and Justice	399
5.3.1.	Overview of the urgent procedure	399
5.3.2.	Conditions for the application of the urgent preliminary ruling procedure	400
5.3.3.	The decision whether or not to apply the urgent procedure	402
5.3.4.	The written procedure	403
5.3.5.	The oral observations in the urgent procedure	404
6.	Gathering of Further Evidence and Reopening the Oral Proceedings	405
11.	The Preliminary Ruling	410
1.	Judgment or Order?	410
2.	Reformulating the Question Referred	412
2.1.	Overview	412
2.2.	The Preliminary Question Is Narrowed Down	414
2.3.	Inclusion of Other EU Law Provisions	417
2.4.	Alternative Answers	420
2.5.	Potentially Relevant Issues that Do Not Form Part of the Preliminary Reference	422
2.6.	Questions on the Validity of an EU Provision	426
3.	Tailoring the Preliminary Ruling to the Facts of the Case	428
3.1.	The Extent to which the Court of Justice Itself Makes the Legal Classification of the Facts	428
3.2.	The Nature of the Question	431
3.3.	The Content and Formulation of the Preliminary Reference	432
3.4.	Policy Considerations	434
3.5.	The Work-load of the Court of Justice	436
3.6.	New Legal Principles	439

12. The Effects of the Preliminary Ruling	441
1. Introduction	441
2. The Effects of a Preliminary Ruling for the Main Proceedings	442
2.1. National Courts Dealing with the Case	442
2.2. The Parties to the Main Proceedings	449
3. The Effect of a Preliminary Ruling in Other Cases	450
3.1. Other National Courts	450
3.2. Parties in Other National Proceedings, EU Institutions, and Member States	453
3.3. The Court of Justice	453
4. The Legal Significance of the Opinions of the Advocates General	454
5. The Temporal Effect of a Preliminary Ruling	455
5.1. The Main Rule	455
5.2. Circumstances which Justify Limiting the Temporal Effect	456
5.2.1. Overview	456
5.2.2. Lack of legal clarity and good faith	457
5.2.2.1. General considerations	457
5.2.2.2. The behaviour of EU institutions	459
5.2.2.3. Legitimate expectations for Member States?	463
5.2.3. Serious financial consequences	464
5.2.4. Relationship to pending proceedings	466
5.2.5. Temporal limitation in cases concerning the validity of EU measures	466
6. Interpretation and Revision of a Preliminary Ruling	467
13. Litigation Costs and Legal Aid	470
1. Litigation Costs	470
2. Legal Aid	471
<i>Bibliography</i>	473
<i>Index</i>	485