

# Contents

## Book Three

### TRINE BEING

(contd.)

#### *SECTION FIVE*

#### The ontological order of abstract concepts

CHAPTER 1. Philosophy must make known the relationships between the abstract concepts it uses	3
CHAPTER 2. The distinction between what is logically first and theosophically first	8
CHAPTER 3. Theosophical abstraction	12
CHAPTER 4. The ontological nature of ideal being, and the ontological origin of human reasoning	19
CHAPTER 5. The ontological limitation of human knowledge	26
CHAPTER 6. Abstracts	30
CHAPTER 7. The two supreme genera of abstracts extracted from an ens when it is not broken down into its parts	31
CHAPTER 8. The three supreme genera of abstracts that result when an ens is broken down into its parts	33
Article 1. Aristotle did not attain the true classification of abstracts	33
Article 2. The four abstracts that are most universal predicates of every entity, and of each other	35



Article 3. The free mind's faculty for vesting abstracts with abstract forms is the principle of the vast multiplication of abstracts	37
Article 4. The four maximum abstracts, predicates and predicables compared	38
Article 5. The four abstracts are maximum containers	41
Article 6. Comparison between the forms of being and the four supreme genera of abstracts, when both the forms and the supreme genera are considered as maximum containers	42
TABLE OF THE FIRST ABSTRACTS	46
TABLE OF THE PRIMAL ABSTRACTS	49
Article 7. Is the light of reason given by God to man an abstract, and what kind of abstract	51
Article 8. The three forms of abstracts compared with the three supreme genera of relationships	53
<b>CHAPTER 9. The abstract subject and the three genera of dialectical subjects, in addition to the dialectical subjects of negatives</b>	55
Article 1. The difference between real subject, abstract subject and purely dialectical subject	55
Article 2. The three genera of dialectical subjects, in addition to the dialectical subjects of negatives	57
<b>CHAPTER 10. The abstract ens and the six genera of dialectical entia, in addition to the negatives</b>	58
<b>CHAPTER 11. The seven genera of primal, abstract acts</b>	59
<b>CHAPTER 12. The terminative essence, and the lower genera into which it divides</b>	62
Article 1. Negative essences and their genera	62
Article 2. Positive essences and their genera	69
§1. <i>Entity</i>	69
§2. <i>Actuality</i>	71
§3. <i>Subjectivity</i>	77
§4. <i>Objectivity</i>	85
§5. <i>Morality</i>	91



## SECTION SIX

### Causes 95

#### CHAPTER 1. Multiplicity in God 97

Article 1. The double multiplicity we conceive in God: one is dialectical, the other truly exists in him 97

Article 2. The trinity of divine persons is described by their relationships of origin 97

Article 3. The dialectical distinction between the concepts *being*, *act*, *subject*, *volitive intellection*, *production*; their theosophical deduction 100

Article 4. The concepts, *activity*, *principle*, *cause* and *potency*, and whether and in what way they are suitable for God 111

Article 5. Solution to the antinomy presented by the concept of cause 118

Article 6. How the processions of the divine persons are the cause of the creation of finite entia 124

#### CHAPTER 2. The principle by which we judge what the first cause confers upon second causes 128

Article 1. Summary and confirmation that many terms, one of which is the world different from God, can correspond without contradiction to the oneness of the act of divine essence 128

Article 2. In the world there are principles and causes called second, relative to the first cause 130

Article 3. The principle according to which we can define what the first cause confers on the world, and the actions carried out by the second causes 132

#### CHAPTER 3. An explanation of eternal tipification prepares the way for applying the principle 134

Article 1. The starting point must be the first cause considered as the cause that creates the person-principles present in the world 134

Article 2. The nature of being implies a trinity of persons because it is the nature of an infinite, intellectual act 134

Article 3. The nature of being, because it is the nature of an infinite, intellectual act, implies that it can have finite objects 136



Article 4. It is proper to intelligence to multiply its objects without multiplying itself	137
Article 5. The fact that the mind multiplies its own objects by means of limitations presupposes 1. the concept of matter in its widest sense, 2. the concept of limits and 3. a concept which serves as a rule. Moreover, multiplication presupposes a virtuality in the infinite essence, seen in the concept	140
Article 6. The four primal genera of matter given by theological abstraction	142
Article 7. The matter of the World	144
Article 8. A digression concerning a question raised by Aristotle against the Platonists concerning the nature of universals	148
Article 9. Typification and typical concept	155
Article 10. The rule according to which the eternal mind composed the finite types of world entia from the two elements, matter and limit	156
Article 11. Summing up, we can conclude that the multiplicity of types does not remove the unity and perfect simplicity of the eternal, intellectual act which is God	159
<b>CHAPTER 4. The nature of the first cause; important corollaries resulting from it</b>	164
Article 1. The truth and energy of the divine intellectual act	164
Article 2. The first and universal efficient cause is an intellect	191
Article 3. How it can be said, without falling into pantheism, that all finite things are in God	195
Article 4. The false systems that resulted from the failure to see perfectly that the first cause had to be an intellect	219
Article 5. The principle of the dianoeticity of ens	230
Article 6. The ontological cause by which anything not involving contradiction is thought <i>possible</i>	230
Article 7. Solution to the ontological problem under the third form: 'To find an equation between intuitive knowledge and predicated knowledge'	232
Article 8. Why the human mind thinks that it cannot know things fully unless it knows their cause	233
Article 9. Why supreme value is attributed to theoretical knowledge	236
Article 10. The principle that gives rise to the traces of intelligence present in everything in the universe	246



<b>CHAPTER 5. The teaching about cause considered in all its universality can be deduced as a corollary from the nature of the first cause</b>	248
Article 1. Universal teachings can be obtained in two ways: ideologically, through the analysis of ideas, and ontologically, through theosophical abstraction	248
Article 2. Cause, in its proper sense, is always intellective and therefore free	249
Article 3. Cause in itself is one, but trine in its modes, called 'efficient', 'exemplar' and 'final'	263
Article 4. The origin of entic force	266
Article 5. Final cause, or cause that has the mode of end	273
Article 6. The order of the three modes of cause	298
Article 7. The twelve links in the ontological chain which bind together the whole of ens, both finite and infinite, in its order	301
Article 8. The influence of cause on effect	304
Article 9. The ontological explanation of 1. the opposites of activity and passivity, and giving and receiving, and 2. of the synthesis in the subjective order of finite natures, and of their placement	331
§1. <i>The ontological explanation of the opposites of giving and receiving, and of activity and passivity, in finite ens</i>	332
A. <i>Sentimentation of corporeal reality</i>	347
B. <i>Mobilisation</i>	365
THEOREM 1. <i>An absolute quantity does not exist in corporeal extension</i>	365
THEOREM 2. <i>An absolute location does not exist in space</i>	368
PROBLEM 1. <i>To determine why a body has in space the relative location it has rather than another location</i>	370
THEOREM 3. <i>The cause of the motion of simple transference must be attributed to the activity proper to the corporeal principle</i>	374
THEOREM 4. <i>The inertia attributed to bodies is by itself insufficient to explain why a body moving in a straight line continues uniformly in its movement until a cause either stops or slows or diverts it</i>	376
PROBLEM 2. <i>How does a body communicate movement to another by impulse, that is, how do we explain the phenomena presented by the clash of two solid bodies, relative to the communication of motion?</i>	377



THEOREM 5. <i>The animating principle of bodies possesses a motor capability which originates from the sentimentation of the body, that is, from animation itself</i>	391
THEOREM 6. <i>The motor power of the feeling principle or soul cannot produce absolute motion, that is, simple translation</i>	405
<b>Appendix</b>	
1. The common element in God and creatures, according to St. Thomas and the author	408
2. Divine essence and creatures	409
3. Aristotle and the double meaning of 'feelable'	410
4. Ideal relationships according to Cajetan and St. Thomas	412
5. St. Thomas and the concept of the intellect	413
6. St. Thomas and the relationship between ideas, divine skill and exemplar in creation	415
<b>Original Language References</b>	418
<b>Index of Biblical References</b>	431
<b>Index of Persons</b>	432
<b>General Index</b>	434