

Contents

Part I Introduction – Developing Principles of Contemporary Judging

1	The Development of Principles of Contemporary Judging	3
1.1	The Challenge of Articulating the Role of Courts	5
1.2	Judicial Theory and the Broader Judicial Studies Context	7
1.3	The Scope and Limit of the Inquiry	11
1.4	The Structure of the Book	14
	References	17

Part II The Nature of the Judicial Function

2	Introduction to the Judicial Function	23
2.1	The Limits of the Inquiry	27
2.2	The Basic Parameters of the Judicial Function	28
	References	30
3	The Judicial Form of Dispute Resolution	33
3.1	A Taxonomy of Dispute Resolution Methods	35
3.1.1	Resolution by Reference to Might – The Battle	37
3.1.2	Resolution by Reference to Merit – The Claim of Right	39
3.1.3	Resolution by Reference to Chance – The Toss of the Coin	42
3.2	The Judicial Form of Third-Party Merit-Based Dispute Resolution	44
	References	47
4	The Judicial Form of Social Governance	49
4.1	Dispute-Resolution and Social Governance	50
4.1.1	Dispute-Resolution and Governance through ‘Power’	52
4.1.2	Dispute-Resolution and Governance through ‘Rules’	54

4.2	The Judicial Function and Social Governance.	56
4.2.1	The Judicial Function and Governmental Power.	57
4.2.2	The Judicial Function and Governance Through Rules.	58
	References.	66
5	Articulating the Judicial Function	69
5.1	The Inherent Duality of the Judicial Function.	71
	References.	75
 Part III The Judicial Decision-Making Method		
6	The Judicial Form of Decision-Making	79
6.1	A Potted History of Judicial Methodology: <i>Of Testaments and Reformations</i>	81
6.1.1	The Old Testament – <i>A Declaratory Role</i>	82
6.1.2	The Reformation: <i>Orthodoxy Challenged</i>	83
6.1.3	The Counter-Reformation	86
6.2	The Archetypal Judge – Re-conceiving the Judicial Ideal.	87
6.2.1	Developing a New Archetype: Accepting Irresolvable Tension.	89
6.3	Guiding Principles for Contemporary Judicial Decision-Making	92
6.3.1	The Judicial Method as a Balance of Freedom and Constraint	94
	References.	96
7	Law, Merit and the Development of a Governing Dispute-Norm	99
7.1	The Judicial Reasoning Process and the Development of the Dispute-Norm	100
7.2	Existing Legal Normative Statements and ‘Source-Based’ Reasoning.	102
7.2.1	Source as a Constraint	102
7.2.2	Source as Guide: Source-Based Aspects of Judicial Reasoning.	104
7.3	Consistency and Analogy Reasoning.	108
7.3.1	Consistency as a Constraint.	109
7.3.2	Consistency as Guide – <i>Analogical Reasoning in Judicial Decision-Making</i>	112
7.4	Coherence, Legal Principles and Inductive Reasoning	119
7.4.1	Coherence as a Constraint	120
7.4.2	Coherence as a Guide: ‘Principle-Based’ Judicial Reasoning	120
7.5	Conclusion Regarding Legal Reasoning	123
	References.	124

8	The Role and Assessment of the Factual Circumstances	127
8.1	The Nature of the Factual Circumstances	128
8.1.1	The Problem of Relevance	129
8.1.2	The Problem of Frame of Reference	132
8.1.3	The Problem of 'Truth' and Sufficiency of Proof	134
8.1.4	The Problem of Practical Process – The Methods of Factual Assessment	137
8.2	Conclusions Regarding the Assessment of Fact	139
	References	139
9	Evaluation and the Application of Law to Fact	141
9.1	Judicial Choice and the Limits of Logic	142
9.2	Influences in Judicial Evaluation, Choice and Justification	145
9.2.1	Influences Regarding Dispute-Resolution	146
9.2.2	Influences Regarding Social (Normative) Governance	147
9.3	Application and Final Determination	149
9.3.1	The Final Act of Application and Resolution	150
9.4	Correctness, Justification and the Importance of Process in Judicial Decision-Making	151
	References	154
 Part IV Judicial Impartiality, Deviations and Threats to Judicial Method		
10	Principles of Judicial Impartiality: Threats to the Independence and Impartiality of Judges	159
10.1	The Relationship Between Judicial Independence and Judicial Impartiality	161
10.1.1	The Origins of Independence and Impartiality	162
10.1.2	The Interrelationship Between the Concepts: <i>International Statements and Declarations</i>	163
10.1.3	Competing Conceptions of Judicial Independence	166
10.1.4	Independence as Impartiality	168
10.2	The Nature of Judicial Impartiality: <i>Improper and Unacceptable Threats to Judicial Decision-Making</i>	170
10.3	Identifying Threats to Judicial Impartiality	172
10.3.1	'Influence' on Judicial Decision-Making	172
10.3.2	'Improper Influence' and the Judicial Method	173
10.3.3	'Unacceptable Influence' and the Judicial Function	173
10.3.4	Conclusions Regarding the <i>Identification</i> of Threats to Judicial Impartiality	175
10.4	Characterising Threats to Judicial Impartiality	176
10.4.1	Implications from the Flexible and Dynamic Nature of the Concept	177
	References	179

11	Dispute-Specific Threats to Impartiality	181
11.1	The Nature and Form of Dispute-Specific Threats to Impartiality	182
11.1.1	Appearance and Perception of Threat	184
11.2	Particular Dispute-Specific Threats to Impartiality	184
11.2.1	Material Threats to Impartiality	185
11.2.2	Relationship Threats to Impartiality	186
11.2.3	Issue-Based Threats to Impartiality	192
	References	195
12	Structural Threats to Impartiality	197
12.1	The Nature and Form of Structural Threats to Impartiality	198
12.1.1	Characterising of Threats to Structural Impartiality	199
12.2	Particular Threats to & Responses of Structural Impartiality	200
12.2.1	Threats to the Judge as a Person	200
12.2.2	Threats to the Judicial 'Job'	206
12.2.3	Threats to the Judicial Institution	213
12.2.4	Threats Internal to the Judicial Institution	217
12.3	Conclusions Regarding Judicial Impartiality	219
	References	221
 Part V Judicial Integrity and Accountability		
13	Principles of Judicial Integrity and Accountability	227
13.1	The Active Promotion of Adherence to the Principles of Judging	228
13.1.1	A Concept Often Acclaimed, But Too Rarely Considered	231
13.2	The Scope of Judicial Accountability	233
13.2.1	Defining the Concept of 'Accountability'	234
13.3	The Nature and Purpose of Judicial Accountability	235
13.3.1	The Relationship Between the Judicial Function and Judicial Accountability	236
13.3.2	The 'External Objective' and 'Internal Subjective' Aspects of Accountability	237
13.3.3	The Limits of Judicial Accountability	241
13.3.4	The Relationship Between Judicial Accountability and Judicial Impartiality	242
13.4	Final Observation of the Foundational Principles of Judicial Accountability	244
	References	246

14	Mechanisms of Accountability	249
14.1	Characterising Mechanisms of Accountability	250
14.1.1	Existing Taxonomies for Characterising Judicial Accountability Mechanisms.	250
14.1.2	A Responsive Taxonomy for Characterising Judicial Accountability Mechanisms.	252
14.1.3	Defining the Families of Mechanisms	252
14.2	Personal Conduct and Behaviour of the Individual Judge	253
14.2.1	The Professional Disciplining and Sanctioning of Judges	255
14.2.2	Immunity, Civil and Criminal Liability	263
14.2.3	Informal Social Mechanisms of Accountability	267
14.3	The Substantive Performance of the Judicial Role	269
14.3.1	'Open Justice' – <i>Accountability Through Process</i>	270
14.3.2	Judicial Reasons – <i>Accountability Through Justification</i>	272
14.3.3	Judicial Review and Appeal – <i>Consistency, Correctness and Accountability</i>	275
14.3.4	Internal Processes – <i>Accountability Through Internal Mechanisms</i>	277
14.3.5	Criticism and Critique – <i>Testing the Merit of Judicial Determinations</i>	279
14.4	Accountability for the Administration and Operation of the Judicial Institution	282
14.4.1	Financial and Economic Accountability	283
14.4.2	Judicial Management, Performance Standards and Accountability	284
14.4.3	Institutional Reporting Mechanisms and Accountability	287
14.5	Concluding Remarks on Judicial Accountability.	288
	References.	289

Part VI Conclusions – The Idea of the Court

15	The Idea of a Court.	295
15.1	Defending the <i>Idea</i> of Courts.	296
15.2	Understanding Function Requires a Broad Framework.	298
15.3	Moving Beyond the Status Quo – Re-imagining the Future of Courts.	300
	References.	302