

# CONTENTS

*Preface* xi

*Acknowledgments* xix

## 1. Introduction

A Prolegomenon to Privacy: On the Potential Virtues and Benefits  
of a Comparative Legal Analysis of the Right to Be Left Alone 1

Introduction 1

I. The Problem of Defining Privacy 2

II. The Salience of Privacy in the United States 5

III. The Need for a Global Perspective on Privacy: Greater  
Transnational Agreement on the Meaning and Scope of  
Privacy Would Help to Better Secure Both Privacy Interests  
and Commonly Conflicting Values Such as Free Speech and  
Press Rights 9

IV. A Brief Explanation of the Comparative Legal Analysis of Privacy  
That Follows 12

Conclusion 14

## 2. The United States

The Polysemy of Privacy: An Analysis of the Many Faces and Facets  
of the Right of Privacy in the Contemporary United States 15

Introduction 15

I. Privacy as Nondisclosure, Autonomy, and Dignity 19

II. Privacy in the United States and the Troublesome Public/Private  
Dichotomy 22

III. U.S. Free Speech Exceptionalism: Fundamental Rights Giving Rise  
to Fundamental Disagreements 25

IV. Privacy's Nomenclature in the United States: "Privacy" Rather  
than "Dignity" 30

Conclusion 36

## 3. Canada

Privacy in Canada: Taming a Notoriously Protean Legal Concept with  
a Coherent and Purposive Approach 39

Introduction 39

I. Privacy and the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms	41
A. Canadian Courts and the Power of Judicial Review	42
B. Privacy and the Charter: The Relevant Charter Provisions	44
C. Limitations on Charter Rights (Including Privacy)	45
II. Constitutional Privacy Law Under the Charter	48
A. The Doctrinal Framework Governing Canada's Right of Privacy	48
B. The Theoretical Contours of Canadian Privacy Jurisprudence	60
1. Normative Values, Not Objective Values, Control the Scope of Privacy	60
2. Property Ownership Is Not a Prerequisite to Possessing a Reasonable Expectation of Privacy	61
3. An Openness to Considering the Relevance of Technological Change	62
4. Reconsidering the Viability of Privacy as Useful Legal Concept	64
III. Reconciling Privacy Interests with Speech and Press Freedoms	65
IV. Resistance, Convergence, and Engagement in the Canadian Law of Privacy	69
A. Defining Resistance, Convergence, and Engagement	69
B. The Theory Applied: Resistance, Convergence, and Engagement in Canadian Constitutional Privacy Law	70
Conclusion: The Centrality of Privacy in Canadian Constitutional Jurisprudence	71
4. The Republic of South Africa	
Privacy in South Africa: Deploying Dignity, Equality, and Freedom to Safeguard the Process of Democratic Self-Government	75
Introduction	75
I. South Africa's Peaceful Constitutional Revolution: From Herrenvolk Democracy to Constitutional Democracy	80
A. Apartheid, Parliamentary Sovereignty, and South Africa's First Three Constitutions: The Constitutions of 1910, 1961, and 1983	80
B. The Break with Parliamentary Sovereignty in Favor of Judicial Review and Entrenched Human Rights: The 1993 Interim Constitution and the 1996 Constitution	85
C. The Values Animating the 1996 Constitution: Dignity, Equality, and Freedom	87
D. The Constitution's Protection of Privacy Rights	88
II. Privacy as Dignity in the Jurisprudence of the Constitutional Court of South Africa	93

III. South Africa's Prioritizing of Constitutional Privacy Values Constitutes an Intentional and Entirely Understandable Effort to Break Effectively and Cleanly with the Apartheid Era and Its Deeply Flawed Racialist Constitutionalism	110
Conclusion	112
5. The United Kingdom	
Privacy in the United Kingdom: On the Perils and Promise of Weak-Form Judicial Review in Securing Privacy Rights	115
Introduction	115
I. The Unwritten Constitution and the Doctrine of Parliamentary Sovereignty	117
II. The Absence of Any Generalized Right of Privacy in Contemporary British Law	120
A. Parliament's Rejection of a Statutory Right of Privacy	121
B. The Human Rights Act 1998 and the Right of Privacy	123
C. The Judiciary's Continuing Rejection of a Generalized Right of Privacy in the Post-HRA Era	125
III. The Underlying Reality: Privacy in Some Contexts, But Not Others	131
IV. Reconsidering the Proper Role of the Judiciary in Recognizing and Enforcing Fundamental Rights	132
Conclusion	141
6. The European Court of Human Rights	
Privacy Rights in Europe: Reconciling Privacy and Speech in the Era of Big Data	143
Introduction	143
I. The European Court of Human Rights and Transatlantic Conflicts About the Meaning and Scope of Privacy	145
II. Europe and Privacy: Exploring Salient Points of Conflict with the United States	147
A. Balancing and Proportionality	148
B. Privacy as a Positive Right	150
C. The Scope of Privacy Rights and Mandatory Civility Norms	151
1. Privacy and Place	152
2. Privacy and Persons	154
3. Privacy and the Potential Scope of Protected Materials	156
a. The Right to Control One's Image and Personal Data	156
b. Mandatory Civility Norms Limiting the Use of Opprobrious or Insulting Language in Public	157
III. Important Shared Values Exist Between the United States and Europe Concerning Both Privacy and Speech	160
IV. The Right of Privacy Going Forward: Challenges and Issues in the Era of Big Data	166
Conclusion	171

7. Conclusion

Bringing Meiklejohn to Privacy: On the Essential Complementarity  
of Privacy and Speech 173

Introduction 173

- I. Meiklejohn's Democratic Self-Government Theory of Freedom  
of Expression 175
    - A. Exploring Meiklejohn's Theory of Freedom of Expression 176
    - B. Robert Bork's Narrow Interpretation of Meiklejohn's Theory 177
    - C. The Necessary Relationship of Intellectual Freedom and  
Democracy: From Jefferson to Meiklejohn 178
  - II. Privacy, Like Speech, Relates to the Process of Democratic  
Self-Government 180
    - A. Speech, Cognition, and Thought 180
    - B. The Necessary and Essential Relationship Among Privacy, Speech,  
and Democracy 181
  - III. Privacy and Speech: Both Conflicting and Complementary Human  
Rights 182
  - IV. On the Potential Relevance of Comparative Law to Reconciling  
Privacy and Speech 183
- Conclusion 187

Notes 189

Index 285