

<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xv
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xix
1. Introduction	1
2. State Immunity	10
3. Functional Immunity	103
4. Personal Immunity	158
5. The Immunity of State Officials in the Light of Obligations of Individuals under International Law	200
6. The Immunity of States and Their Officials in the Light of the Fundamental Rights of Individuals Under International Law	301
7. Conclusion	418
<i>Bibliography</i>	427
<i>Index</i>	447

<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xv
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xix
1. Introduction	1
2. State Immunity	10
1. Introduction	10
2. The Rule of Restrictive State Immunity	12
2.1 Introduction	12
2.2 Development of the Rule of Restrictive State Immunity	14
2.2.1 Early Restrictive Tendencies	14
2.2.2 Prevalence of the Restrictive Doctrine	16
2.2.3 A Closer Look at Early Absolute Tendencies	21
2.2.3.1 The United States	21
2.2.3.2 The United Kingdom	35
2.2.4 Conclusion	46
2.3 A Poorly Articulated Theory	47
2.3.1 Explanation over Justification	47
2.3.2 Regime over Rationales	53
3. The Independence and Equality of States as Limits on the Essential Competence of National Courts	65
3.1 Introduction	65
3.2 The Independence and Equality of States	66
3.2.1 Non-interference in the Exercise of Sovereign Authority	66
3.2.2 Rights and Obligations under International Law	73
3.2.3 <i>Acta Jure Imperii</i> : A Coherent Concept After All	79
3.3 The Nature of the Law of State Immunity: A Qualitative Difference Between the Absolute and the Restrictive Approach	83
3.3.1 The Essential Competence of National Courts	83
3.3.2 Why the Distinction between Immunity from Jurisdiction and Lack of Essential Competence is Relevant	88
3.3.2.1 The <i>form</i> of coherent argument	89
3.3.2.2 The <i>substance</i> of coherent argument	99
3.3.2.3 Conclusion	101
4. Conclusions	101
3. Functional Immunity	103
1. Introduction	103

2. Which Came First – The Chicken or the Egg? State Immunity as a Consequence of Functional Immunity Rather than Functional Immunity as a Corollary of State Immunity	105
2.1 Kelsen's Act of State Immunity Argument	105
2.2 Non-Personal Responsibility as an Autonomous Principle <i>Preceding State</i> Immunity	106
2.2.1 Functional Immunity as the Non-Personal Responsibility for Official Acts	107
2.2.1.1 The principle identified	107
2.2.1.2 The principle explained in terms of international law: presumption of authority	112
2.2.1.3 Inherent limits of the presumption of authority	116
2.2.1.4 Defeat of presumption	130
2.2.1.5 Conclusion and final observations	132
2.2.2 The Application of the Law of State Immunity as a Consequence of the Implication of the State	135
2.3 Final Observations	139
3. The Influence of the 'Act of State' Confusion in Contemporary Functional Immunity Thinking on the Debate on the Human Rights Exception	143
3.1 Introduction	143
3.2 'Act of State' as 'Act Attributable to the State'	144
3.3 'Act of State' as 'Sovereign Act': A Contemporary Version of Kelsen's Act of State Immunity Argument	147
3.4 Concluding Observation	156
4. Conclusions	157
4. Personal Immunity	158
1. Introduction	158
2. The Scope of Diplomatic and Head of State Immunity	159
2.1 Diplomatic Agents	159
2.1.1 Material Scope of the Immunity	159
2.1.2 Formal Scope of the Immunity	164
2.1.2.1 Diplomatic agents during their term of office	164
2.1.2.2 <i>Ad hoc</i> diplomatic missions	167
2.2 Heads of State	169
2.2.1 Material Scope of the Immunity	169
2.2.2 Formal Scope of the Immunity	182
2.2.2.1 Heads of state in office	182
2.2.2.2 Family members	184
2.2.2.3 Heads of government and ministers of foreign affairs?	186
2.3 The <i>Arrest Warrant Case</i> : Obligations of Third States under Personal Immunity Rules	195
3. Concluding Remarks	197

5. The Immunity of State Officials in the Light of Obligations of Individuals under International Law	200
1. Introduction	200
2. State of the Law Arguments	219
2.1 Introduction	219
2.2 The Normative Hierarchy Theory: Voidance of the Rules in View of International Criminal Law?	219
2.3 The Scope of the Immunity of State Officials in View of Individual Responsibility for Crimes against International Law	222
2.3.1 Functional Immunity	222
2.3.1.1 Introduction	222
2.3.1.2 An exception for crimes against international law <i>in spite</i> of the rationale of the rule	223
2.3.1.3 An exception <i>within</i> the rationale of the rule	239
2.3.1.4 ... That may not conclusively settle the competence of the <i>court</i>	257
2.3.2 Personal Immunity	265
2.3.2.1 Customary international law	265
2.3.2.2 A critical intermezzo: Personal immunities before international courts	275
2.3.2.3 Conventional law	293
3. Policy Arguments: The (In)Compatibility of the Rule of Personal Immunity and the Obligation to Prosecute	295
4. Conclusions	296
6. The Immunity of States and Their Officials in the Light of the Fundamental Rights of Individuals under International Law	301
1. Introduction	301
2. State of the Law Arguments	312
2.1 Introduction	312
2.2 The Normative Hierarchy Theory: Voidance of the Rule of State Immunity in View of Human Rights Law?	316
2.3 The Scope of the Rule of State Immunity in View of Human Rights Law	320
2.3.1 A Human Rights Exception <i>within</i> the Rationale of the Rule of State Immunity?	320
2.3.1.1 Introduction	320
2.3.1.2 Brohmer: A proposal based on the functional sovereignty rationale	320
2.3.1.3 Caplan: A proposal based on the rationale of collective benefit of state relations	323
2.3.1.4 A proposal based on the <i>acta jure imperii-acta jure gestionis</i> distinction	326

2.3.2 A Human Rights Exception <i>in Spite of the</i> Rationale of the Rule of State Immunity?	339
2.3.2.1 Introduction	339
2.3.2.2 That vain thing of rights of individuals under international law?	340
2.3.2.3 <i>Jus cogens</i> rules, <i>erga omnes</i> obligations, and the secondary rules of international law	342
2.3.2.4 Conventional law	359
2.4 Concluding Observations	363
3. Policy Arguments: The (In)Compatibility of Immunity Rules and the Fundamental Rights of Individuals	365
3.1 Introduction	365
3.2 The Right to a Fair Trial	366
3.2.1 Introduction	366
3.2.2 The Strasbourg Framework for Access to Court Complaints	370
3.2.2.1 Introduction	370
3.2.2.2 Applicability	370
3.2.2.3 Compliance	377
3.2.3 <i>McElhinney v Ireland, Al-Adsani v United Kingdom, and Fogarty v United Kingdom</i>	381
3.2.3.1 Introduction	381
3.2.3.2 Applicability	382
3.2.3.3 Compliance	384
3.2.4 The Right of Access to Court and State Immunity and Personal Immunity: A Critical Discussion of the <i>McElhinney, Al-Adsani</i> and <i>Fogarty</i> Cases	390
3.2.4.1 Introduction	390
3.2.4.2 Applicability	390
3.2.4.3 Compliance	397
3.2.5 Conclusions and Final Observations	406
3.3 The Right to a Remedy	408
3.4 The Right to Effective Protection	410
3.5 Conclusion	413
4. Conclusions	415
7. Conclusion	418
<i>Bibliography</i>	427
<i>Index</i>	447