

Contents

A. Human Rights Considerations in Commercial and Investment Arbitration	1
A.I. Commercial Arbitration.....	1
A.I.1. Commercial Arbitration in the Context of Human Rights	1
A.I.2. Scope of Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights	2
A.I.3. Waiving Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights through Arbitration Agreement	3
A.I.3.1. Distinction between Compulsory and Voluntary Arbitration	3
A.I.3.2. Compulsory Arbitration.....	3
A.I.3.3. Voluntary Arbitration.....	5
A.I.3.4. State Responsibility for Violation of Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights.....	6
A.I.3.5. Can Parties Waive Recourse to State Courts?	7
A.II. Investment Arbitration.....	8
A.II.1. Investment Protection through International Treaties as Instruments of Public International Law.....	8
A.II.2. Types of Situations Where Human Rights Are Relevant in Investment Arbitration.....	9
A.II.3. Investor as Victim of a Human Rights Violation	9
A.II.4. State Relies on Human Rights Obligations to Justify Taking Certain Measures	11
A.II.5. Reference by States to Principles Enshrined in the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)	12
A.II.6. Investor Commits Violations in the Host State	13
A.II.6.1. New Investment Treaties and Proposed Arbitration Court	16
A.III. Conclusion	17
B. Law applicable to the arbitration agreement and the arbitrability of a dispute	18
B.I. International dimension in arbitration	18
B.I.1. The importance of distinguishing between the law applicable to the arbitration agreement, the arbitrability of a dispute, the proceedings and the merits of the dispute	18
B.I.2. The law applicable to the arbitration agreement as an autonomous category between <i>lex arbitri</i> and <i>lex causae</i>	21
B.II. Correlation between the law applicable to the proceedings and the law applicable to the arbitration agreement.....	21
B.II.1. Difference between the applicable procedural law and the law applicable to the arbitration agreement.....	21
B.II.2. Interrelation between the applicable procedural law and the law applicable to the arbitration agreement.....	22
B.III. Arbitrability from the conflict-of-laws perspective	23
B.III.1. Approaches to the interpretation of arbitrability in arbitration agreements with an international dimension	23
B.III.2. Approaches to the assessment of arbitrability in international arbitration.....	27
B.III.2.1. The conflict-of-laws method(s).....	27
B.III.2.2. Procedural approach	29
B.III.2.3. Internationally mandatory approach	29
B.III.3. Law applicable to arbitrability under international treaties	31
B.III.3.1. The concept of international treaties and the approach to their interpretation (the <i>New York Convention</i> and the <i>European Convention</i> on International Commercial Arbitration).....	31
B.III.3.2. Absence of arbitrability under Article V(2)(a) of the <i>New York Convention</i>	33
B.IV. Exclusion of the law applicable to an arbitration agreement from the scope of the <i>Rome I Regulation</i> and the <i>Rome Convention</i>	38
C. The Definition of procedural agreements and importance to define the contractual nature of	

the arbitration clause in international arbitration	40
C.I. Significance of nature of arbitration agreements	40
C.II. Procedural contracts.....	41
C.II.1. Procedural contracts as type of contract and effects thereof	41
C.II.2. Procedural contract in the broader sense	42
C.II.2.1. Concept and effects of procedural contract in the broader sense	42
C.II.2.2. Capacity to enter into procedural contract.....	42
C.II.2.3. Arbitration clause as an essential term of the main contract.....	44
C.II.3. Procedural contract in the narrower sense	45
C.II.4. Law applicable to procedural contracts	47
C.II.5. Procedural contract in German law (excursus).....	49
C.III. Nature of arbitration agreements.....	51
C.III.1. Diversity and development of opinions.....	51
C.III.2. ‘Battle of theories’ in Continental law	53
C.III.3. Substantive-law concept of arbitration agreements.....	54
C.III.4. Procedural concept of arbitration agreements	55
C.III.5. Mixed theory	58
C.IV. Approach of the European Union to the definition of arbitration agreements	58
C.V. Certain ramifications of preferring procedural approach to arbitration agreements.....	60
C.VI. Conclusion.....	61
D. Independence and Impartiality in Light of International Standards and Disclosure Duty of the Arbitrator.....	63
D.I. The Requirement of Impartiality and Independence of Arbitrators in Connection with the Essence of Arbitration	63
D.II. The Independence and Impartiality of Arbitrators as an Expression of the Transparency of Arbitration with Specific Features	64
D.III. Contents of Disclosure Duty	65
D.IV. The Increasing Importance of Disclosure.....	66
D.V. International Rules and Standards in Relation to Disclosure Duty	67
D.V.1. Unifying Tendencies in International Practice.....	67
D.V.2. The UNCITRAL Model Law on Arbitration	68
D.V.3. IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration.....	69
D.V.3.1. Importance and Standards of IBA Guidelines	69
D.V.3.2. The Objective Test According to Standards Introduced by IBA Guidelines	69
D.VI. The Duty to Disclose.....	73
D.VI.1. The Duty to Inform Parties, Other Arbitrators and Permanent Arbitral Institutions.....	73
D.VI.2. Standards of International Court of Arbitration at International Chamber of Commerce (ICC).....	74
D.VI.3. LCIA Rules	78
D.VI.4. SCC	79
D.VI.5. Timing of Disclosure.....	80
D.VI.6. Other Applicable Rules and National Practice.....	81
D.VI.7. The Nature of Disclosure Duty and Subjective Circumstances Attending the Absence of Disclosure Consisting in the Person of the Relevant Arbitrator	90
D.VII. Institutional rules	93
D.VIII. Duty to Investigate	95
D.IX. Implications of Non-Disclosure on Arbitrator Independence and Impartiality	96
D.X. Factual Circumstances Giving Rise to Lack of Independence or Impartiality.....	99
D.X.1. Connection with one of the parties or counsel	99
D.X.2. Connection via arbitrator’s law firm	104
D.X.3. Acting as an expert for one of the Parties.....	106
D.X.4. Repeat Appointments	107

D.X.5. Previously acting or ruling against parties.....	112
D.X.6. Relations between arbitrators	112
D.X.7. Same Nationality	113
D.X.8. Issue Conflict.....	114
D.X.9. Academic Writings by Investment Arbitrators	115
D.X.10. Prejudgment.....	117
D.X.11. Arbitrator conduct and animosity towards parties	119
D.XI. New York Convention	122
D.XII. Conclusion	123
E. Rights and Duties of Parties in Connection with Taking of Evidence in Investor-State Arbitration	124
E.I. Taking of Evidence and Burden of Proof	124
E.II. Burden of Proof and Standard of Proof.....	124
E.II.1. Burden of Proof	124
E.II.2. Standard of Proof.....	125
E.III. Production of Documents.....	127
E.III.1. Scope of Production of Documents in Investment Arbitration	127
E.III.2. ICSID and UNCITRAL Rules	127
E.III.3. Scope of Document Requests and Burden Thereby Imposed on Opposing Party ..	129
E.III.4. International Bar Association (IBA) Rules	129
E.III.5. E-discovery.....	130
E.III.6. Adverse Inferences.....	130
E.III.7. Assistance of U.S. Courts in Obtaining Documents	130
E.IV. Experts.....	131
E.V. Translations.....	132
F. Nature and Essence of Annulment of Arbitral Awards against Backdrop of International Standards	134
F.I. Purpose of and Conditions for Application of Annulment of Arbitral Award.....	134
F.II. Scope of Annulment of Arbitral Award: Exclusion of Possibility to Annul Foreign Arbitral Award	136
F.III. Obligation to Supply Relevant Statements of Fact and Burden of Proof.....	136
F.IV. Parties Entitled to Make Motion to Annul Arbitral Award; Parties to Annulment Proceedings.....	136
F.IV.1. Parties Entitled to Make Motion to Annul	136
F.IV.2. Arbitrators and Permanent Arbitral Institutions in Proceedings for Annulment of Arbitral Award (Witness, Intervenor)	137
F.V. Absence of Any Extraterritorial Effects of Annulment of Arbitral Award / Connection between Court Jurisdiction and Place Where Arbitral Award Is Made (Seat of Arbitration). 137	
F.VI. Special Arbitration (State as Party to Arbitration).....	138
F.VII. International Standards regarding Annulment of Arbitral Award: New York Convention, UNCITRAL Model Law (UML), and International Practice.....	138
G. Arbitration Versus Insolvency Proceedings from the Perspective of EU Law	143
G.I. The General Conflict of Interest Between Arbitration and Insolvency Proceedings	143
G.II. General EU Approach and Legislation	147
G.III. The Approach of Regulation 2015/848 to the Relationship Between Arbitration and Insolvency Proceedings.....	151
G.IV. Grounds for the Preferential Application of <i>Lex Fori Concursus</i> in Arbitration, as Opposed to <i>Lex Arbitri</i>	156
G.V. The Scope of Rules Affected by Article 18 of Regulation 2015/848 (Article 15 of Regulation 1346/2000)	158
G.V.1. The Effects of the Opening of Insolvency Proceedings on the Validity of the Arbitration Agreement.....	158

G.V.2. The Influence of Opening of Insolvency Proceedings on the Procedural Capacity of the Debtor.....	163
G.V.3. The Influence of the Opening of Insolvency Proceedings on Arbitral Procedure ..	165
G.VI. The Consequences of the Opening of Insolvency Proceedings for the Validity of Arbitration Agreements and Choice-of-court Agreements in Light of the Report Evaluating Application of Regulation 1346/2000 with Recommendations for New EU Insolvency Law (HLV Report)	170
G.VII The Significance of <i>Lex Arbitri</i> in the Context of Insolvency Proceedings Across Borders	172
G.VIII. The Powers of the Liquidator in Relation to Arbitration under EU Law	173
G.IX. The Subject Matter of Arbitration in Relation to Insolvency Proceedings Conducted Against a Party to Proceedings	174
G.X. The Necessary Connection Between the Subject Matter of Arbitral Proceedings and Insolvency Proceedings.....	178
G.XI. The Influence of Insolvency Proceedings on Arbitration in the Case Law of Courts .	182
G.XII. The Scope and Manner of Application of Article 18 of Regulation 2015/848, or, as Applicable, Article 15 of Regulation 1346/2000, to Arbitral Proceedings	187
G.XIII. Article 18 of Regulation 2015/848 and Changes <i>Vis-à-vis</i> Article 15 of Regulation 1346/2010.....	189
G.XIII.1. Preparatory Works and Assessment of Application of Article 15 of Regulation 1346/2000 in Relation to Arbitration	189
G.XIII.2. The Impact of Expanded Law Compared to Article 15 of Regulation 1346/2000 on the Future Application Practice Concerning Article 18 of Regulation 2015/848 in Relation to Arbitration	193
G.XIV. The Importance of Place or Seat of Arbitration.....	194
G.XV. The Manner of Application of Article 18 of Regulation 2015/848.....	196
G.XV.1. The Mechanical Expansion of the Scope of Provision Without Due Regard for its Specific Features of Arbitration and Instruments.....	196
G.XV.2. The Place in Which the Arbitral Tribunal Has its Seat	196
G.XVI. The Subjective Scope of the Application of Article 18 of Regulation 2015/848 in Relation to Arbitration in a Member State Where Parties Have No Relevant Relation to Any EU Member State.....	202
H. The Role and Significance of Multilateral and Bilateral international treaties in and for Arbitration.....	204
H.I. Scope of the sources of international origin applicable under Section 47 of the ArbAct	204
H.II. Arbitration / recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards under bilateral legal assistance treaties	206
H.II.1. Provisions of legal assistance other than in the form of the recognition and enforcement of decisions (arbitral awards)	206
H.II.2. Recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards.....	207
H.II.2.1. Scope of legal assistance treaties with respect to arbitration (arbitral awards)...	207
H.II.2.2. Conditions for recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards.....	209
H.II.2.3. Connection to domestic arbitration laws	210
H.III. Relationship between the regime under the legal assistance treaties and the New York Convention 1958	210
H.III.1. Conflict of sources under the New York Convention 1958 (<i>NYConv</i>) and the legal assistance treaties	211
H.III.2. Conflict of sources under the general rules of international law.....	212
H.III.2.1. The principle of <i>lex posterior derogat legi priori</i>	212
H.III.2.2. The principle of <i>lex specialis derogat legi generali</i>	212
H.III.2.3. The principle of <i>maximum efficiency</i>	212
Bibliography.....	214