

Contents

<i>List of illustrations</i>	ix
<i>Preface</i>	xi
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xii
<i>About the authors</i>	xiv
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xvii
<i>List of judicial cases</i>	xviii
Introduction	1
1 <i>The problem: Why is it important to understand how domestic courts treat the Strasbourg case law?</i>	1
2 <i>The approach: How to study judicial treatment of Strasbourg case law</i>	3
3 <i>Why Czechia? Case study on a country that mixes transitioning and non-transitioning domestic courts</i>	5
4 <i>The main argument</i>	8
5 <i>Roadmap</i>	11
PART I	
Implementation of the Strasbourg rulings: Theoretical framework	17
1 Architecture of the Strasbourg system of human rights	19
1.1 <i>The domestic effects of the Strasbourg case law</i>	20
1.1.1 <i>Inter partes</i> binding force of the ECtHR's judgments	21
1.1.2 <i>Res interpretata</i> effect	22
1.2 <i>Domestic-level matters: "Diffusing" and "filtering" role of the domestic authorities</i>	23
1.3 <i>Implementation processes of the Strasbourg case law: Particular actors within the domestic arena matter</i>	26

2	The role of domestic courts in the Strasbourg system	36
2.1	<i>Domestic judiciaries: The driving force of implementation?</i>	36
2.2	<i>Apex courts and the Strasbourg Court: A far more complicated relationship than it seems</i>	38
2.3	<i>Conclusion</i>	45
3	Navigating the field of judicial compliance, effectiveness, implementation, and judicial treatment of international law	56
3.1	<i>Mapping interactions between international law and domestic practice</i>	57
3.1.1	Concept of compliance and its alternatives	58
3.1.2	Findings of compliance research	59
3.2	<i>Judicialization and specifics of judicial interactions</i>	60
3.3	<i>Going beyond compliance: A three-level approach to judicial treatment</i>	65
3.3.1	Judicial treatment of ECtHR case law	65
3.3.2	Judicial treatment, compliance, effectiveness, and implementation	68
3.3.3	Promises of judicial treatment	71
PART II		
Judicial treatment of Strasbourg case law: A case study on Czechia		
		81
4	Research design: How to study judicial implementation: A prologue to the case study on Czechia	83
4.1	<i>Research scope: A three-level approach to the study of judicial treatment of the ECtHR's case law</i>	85
4.2	<i>Data collection and coding</i>	87
4.3	<i>In search of the data</i>	90
4.3.1	Macro-level analysis: Gotta catch them all	91
4.3.2	Meso-level analysis: Gotta catch the representative sample	94
4.3.3	Micro-level analysis: Gotta catch the important ones	95
4.4	<i>Research challenges and potential inaccuracies</i>	97
5	The Supreme Court: The story of a (post)communist Cinderella	103
5.1	<i>Looking from above: The macro-level analysis</i>	104
5.1.1	The overview of general trends	104
5.1.2	Going beyond compliance	110

- 5.1.3 References as an indicator of the Supreme Court's relationship to the Convention 113
- 5.1.4 Instead of a conclusion: Building new hypotheses 117
- 5.2 *Meso-level analysis: Learning to understand the Supreme Court* 118
- 5.3 *From meso to micro level: From compliance to judicial war on interpretation* 125
 - 5.3.1 Article 5: Courts in a war over how direct the application should be 126
 - 5.3.2 Article 4 of the Protocol No. 7: Playing hide-and-seek with the ECtHR 129
- 5.4 *Conclusion* 131
- 6 The Supreme Administrative Court: A new kid on the block 139
 - 6.1 *Macro-level analysis* 140
 - 6.2 *Meso-level analysis* 145
 - 6.3 *Micro-level analysis* 150
 - 6.3.1 "Article 6 mindset": Extending procedural rights in administrative law 151
 - 6.3.2 ECtHR case law as a guideline: Article 11 ECHR 156
 - 6.4 *Conclusions* 159
- 7 The Czech Constitutional Court 169
 - 7.1 *A helicopter view: General trends concerning the ECtHR case law references* 170
 - 7.2 *A closer look at the nature of the CC's engagement with ECtHR case law* 182
 - 7.2.1 The CC as a loyal ally? 182
 - 7.2.2 Substantive impact of the ECtHR case law 183
 - 7.3 *From numbers to case law: Case studies of the impact of ECtHR case law on the CC's jurisprudence* 187
 - 7.3.1 Freedom of expression cases 187
 - 7.3.2 Positive obligations under Articles 2 and 3 ECHR (effective investigation) 190
 - 7.4 *Conclusion* 191
- 8 Judicial treatment patterns: More complicated than they seem 197
 - 8.1 *Macro-level analysis* 198
 - 8.1.1 How often do apex courts refer? 199
 - 8.1.2 Drivers of citation patterns 203

- 8.1.3 In which rulings and parts of rulings do apex courts refer? 206
- 8.1.4 To which ECtHR rulings do apex courts refer? 207
- 8.2 *Meso- and micro-level analysis* 210
 - 8.2.1 Meso-level analysis 212
 - 8.2.2 Micro-level analysis 215
- 8.3 *Synthesizing conclusion* 216
- 8.4 *Beyond conclusion: D.H. and Others v. the Czech Republic – Lonely troublemaker* 219

PART III

Broader repercussions 233

- 9 Beyond judicial compliance: Domestic courts in the ECHR regime 235
 - 9.1 *The bright side* 237
 - 9.1.1 The Strasbourg Court makes a difference 238
 - 9.1.2 Strasbourg case law as a bulwark against abusive constitutionalism 242
 - 9.2 *The dark side* 245
 - 9.2.1 Backlash, pushback, and withdrawal 245
 - 9.2.2 The judicial abuse of the Strasbourg case law 249
 - 9.3 *Conclusion: The future is in the eye of the beholder* 251

- Appendix* 258
- Index* 274